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## Executive Summary

The Arkansas Prevention Needs Assessment (APNA) Survey was administered in November 2003 to students in grades 6, 8, 10, and 12 using the Communities That Care survey instrument. The APNA Survey was designed to measure the need for prevention services among youth in grades $6,8,10$, and 12 in the areas of substance abuse, delinquency, antisocial behavior, and violence. The questions on the survey ask youth about the factors that place them at risk for substance use and other problem behaviors along with the factors that offer them protection from problem behaviors. The survey also inquires about the use of alcohol, tobacco and other drugs (ATODs) and participation in various antisocial behaviors.

The survey was sponsored by Alcohol and Drug Abuse Prevention (ADAP), Arkansas Department of Human Services, Division of Behavioral Health. ADAP contracted with the Southwest Prevention Center to conduct the survey. The survey was administered to 19,983 youth in grades $6,8,10$, and 12 throughout Arkansas during November 2003.

## Participation by Arkansas Youth

Enrollment figures from the Arkansas School Information Site's Enrollment Report show that for the 2003-2004 school year, there were 35,831 students in the 6 th grade; 37,004 in the 8 th grade; 35,344 in the 10 th grade; and 28,840 in the 12th grade; for a total of 137,019 public school students who were eligible to participate in the survey. An attempt was made to survey all eligible students. A total of 19,983 students ( $14.6 \%$ of eligible students) were surveyed in the 2003 APNA Survey. School districts in 41 of Arkansas' 75 counties and 11 of the 13 ATOD service regions were represented in the
survey results. While participation in the survey was voluntary and some areas of Arkansas were underrepresented, the 19,983 students who completed the survey represent a large sample of Arkansas youth, and their responses will be used to calculate statewide results. For the schools, school districts, counties, and regions with high completion rates, the survey results provide valuable information and are an important resource for planning prevention services. It is necessary to have a sufficient number of completed surveys because program planning often requires knowledge of substance use, antisocial behavior, and risk and protective factors for various subpopulations. For example, a large, representative sample will provide the data necessary to identify the needs of, and plan programs for, youth in a specific community or of

Arkansas has
been using the Risk and Protective Framework to guide prevention efforts aimed at reducing youth problem behaviors.
students from single-parent homes. Having a good completion rate
provides the information needed to plan prevention services for
specific populations.

## The Risk and Protective Factor Framework

Arkansas has been using the Risk and Protective Framework to guide prevention efforts aimed at reducing youth problem behaviors. Risk factors are characteristics of school, community, and family environments, as well as characteristics of students and their peer groups that are known to predict increased likelihood of drug use, delinquency, school dropout, teen pregnancy, and violent behavior among youth. Dr. J. David Hawkins, Dr. Richard F. Catalano, and their colleagues at the University of Washington, Social Development Research Group have investigated the relationship between risk and protective factors and youth problem behavior. For example, they have found that children who live in families with high levels of conflict are more likely to become involved in problem behaviors such as delinquency and drug use than children who live in families with low levels of family conflict.

Protective factors exert a positive influence or buffer against the negative influence of risk, thus reducing the likelihood that adolescents will engage in problem behaviors. Protective factors identified through research reviewed by Drs. Hawkins and Catalano include bonding to family, school, community and peers; healthy beliefs and clear standards for behavior; and individual characteristics. For bonding to serve as a protective influence, it must occur through involvement with peers and adults who communicate healthy values and set clear standards for behavior.

Research on risk and protective factors has important implications for prevention efforts. The premise of the risk and protective factor model is that in order to promote positive youth development and prevent problem behaviors, it is necessary to address those factors that predict the problem behaviors. By measuring risk and protective factors in a population, prevention programs can be implemented that will reduce the elevated risk factors and increase the protective factors. For example, if academic failure is identified as an elevated risk factor in a community, then mentoring, tutoring, and increased opportunities and rewards for classroom participation can be provided to improve academic performance.

In order to make the results of the 2003 APNA Survey more usable, risk and protective profiles were developed that show the percentage of youth at risk and the percentage of youth with protection on each scale. A detailed description of how the profiles were developed is contained in Appendix E of this 2003 Arkansas Prevention Needs Assessment Survey Report. Comparisons can be made between youth in Arkansas and youth from the seven states (Colorado, Illinois, Kansas, Maine, Oregon, Utah, and Washington) who have taken the same survey.

An example of the substance use rates and risk and protective factor profiles contained in the main report can be seen in Figures 1, 2, and 3. The samples are for all students in Arkansas who completed the survey. Similar profiles have been developed for, and were sent to, each participating school district. These profiles allow prevention planners to more precisely target prevention interventions.

Rates of high school ATOD use and antisocial behavior can be seen in Figure 1 on page x. High school students have higher rates of lifetime use and 30day use for alcohol than any other substance. Binge drinking was the highest frequency antisocial behavior engaged in by high school students.
Figure 2 shows the percentage of Arkansas high school students who are at risk for problem behaviors compared to the 7 -state norm. Overwhelmingly, Arkansas students are less at risk than students in other states. As can be seen in the risk factor profile chart (Figure 2), the only areas where Arkansas high school scales are merely equal to the 7 -state level are in Academic Failure, and Depression. All other rates are well below the 7 -state norm. The scales with the lowest percentage of youth at risk were Parent Attitudes Favorable towards Drug Use and Gang Involvement. Figure 2 also compares 2002 and 2003 survey results. As can be seen, 19 of the 25 risk factor scales decreased since the 2002 survey. Comparisons in the two years of data will be discussed in more detail in Section 2.
Binge drinking was the highest frequency antisocial behavior engaged in by high school
students.

For a number of protective factor scales, Arkansas high school students also report a higher level of protection (Figure 3) than students from the 7 -states. Arkansas students who took the survey indicated the highest level of protection in Religiosity (approximately $15 \%$ higher) and Social Skills (over $10 \%$ higher). The area with the lowest protection is Community Opportunities for Prosocial Involvement. In comparing the 2002 and 2003 survey data, Figure 3 shows that 8 of the 10 protective factor scales increased since the 2002 survey. Comparisons in the two years of data will be discussed in more detail in Section 2.

## Substance Use Rates

Throughout the 2003 Report, tables are also used to show information. For example, Table 1 shows the 2002 and 2003 percentages of Arkansas youth in grades $6,8,10$, and 12 who used the 10 categories of ATODs at some time during their life. Lifetime use is a measure of the percentage of students who tried the particular substance at least once in their life and is used to show the level of experimentation with a particular substance.

The results of the Arkansas survey are also compared to a national survey that is conducted each year by the University of Michigan called Monitoring the Future (MTF). The latest results of the national data are for 2003. MTF also only surveys students in grades 8,10 , and 12 .

When looking at the Arkansas and MTF lifetime survey results (Table 1), more Arkansas survey participants in all grades have had lifetime experience with cigarettes and smokeless tobacco than the national sample. Smokeless tobacco use in the Arkansas survey was $6.2 \%$ to $12.0 \%$ greater than the national sample for youth in grades 8,10 and 12, and cigarette use was $7.3 \%$ to $9.1 \%$ greater in Arkansas for grades 8, 10, and 12. Such differences indicate that significantly more Arkansas survey participants smoke than youth nationwide. Similar differences can be seen for smokeless tobacco results.

However, Arkansas youth in all or most grades, had lower lifetime use rates than MTF survey participants: marijuana $(0.8 \%$ to $4.6 \%$ less than national survey participants), hallucinogens ( $1.8 \%$ to $2.0 \%$ less than national participants), any drug ( $3.7 \%$ less than national participants in grade 10 , and $2.2 \%$ less in grade 12 ), and ecstasy ( $0.5 \%$ less than national 10 th graders, and $1.6 \%$ less than national 12th graders).

Overall, 2003 total state lifetime use of all substances are virtually identical to 2002 rates, with very slight decreases in alcohol, smokeless tobacco, marijuana, hallucinogens, cocaine, and any drug use. In looking at the results by grade, 8th grade lifetime use rates decreased slightly for all substances.

Table 2 on page xi shows the percentage of youth in grades $6,8,10$, and 12 who used ATODs in the 30 days prior to completing the survey. More Arkansas youth in grades 8,10 , and 12 who took the survey have used cigarettes, smokeless tobacco, inhalants, and ecstasy in the past 30 days than the national sample. For Arkansas cigarette use compared to national use, $1.5 \%$ more Arkansas eighth graders used, $5.1 \%$ more 10th graders used, and $5.6 \%$ more 12 th graders used. For smokeless tobacco, $3.2 \%$ more Arkansas 8th graders, $5.9 \%$ more 10th graders, and $6.3 \%$ more 12 th graders used.

The results indicate that the state use rate for grades 8,10 , and 12 of marijuana and cocaine is lower than the national use rate.

Overall, 2003 total state 30 -day use of all substances also are virtually identical to 2002 rates. As with lifetime use rates, 8 th grade 30 -day substance use rates decreased for all substances.

Figure 1


Figure 2



## Table 1

Percentage of Arkansas Respondents Who Used ATODs During Their Lifetime by Grade

| Drug Used | Arkansas Grade 6 |  | Arkansas Grade 8 |  | MTF <br> Grade 8 |  | Arkansas Grade 10 |  | MTF <br> Grade 10 |  | Arkansas Grade 12 |  | MTF <br> Grade 12 |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 |
| Alcohol | 22.7 | 21.7 | 46.1 | 44.7 | 47.0 | 45.6 | 66.5 | 65.4 | 66.9 | 66.0 | 76.0 | 77.1 | 78.4 | 76.6 | 50.2 | 51.3 |
| Cigarettes | 18.1 | 17.5 | 39.4 | 36.0 | 31.4 | 28.4 | 53.9 | 52.1 | 47.4 | 43.0 | 62.6 | 61.0 | 57.2 | 53.7 | 41.3 | 41.0 |
| Smokeless Tobacco | 10.0 | 10.1 | 20.0 | 17.5 | 11.2 | 11.3 | 25.8 | 25.8 | 16.9 | 14.6 | 28.4 | 29.6 | 18.3 | 17.0 | 20.1 | 20.4 |
| Marijuana | 3.2 | 3.3 | 16.2 | 14.0 | 19.2 | 17.5 | 32.7 | 31.8 | 38.7 | 36.4 | 44.6 | 45.3 | 47.8 | 46.1 | 22.0 | 22.7 |
| Inhalants | 10.1 | 9.8 | 15.6 | 14.6 | 15.2 | 15.8 | 14.2 | 14.6 | 13.5 | 12.7 | 12.6 | 12.9 | 11.7 | 11.2 | 13.1 | 13.1 |
| Hallucinogens | 0.9 | 1.1 | 2.8 | 2.2 | 4.1 | 4.0 | 5.8 | 5.0 | 7.8 | 6.9 | 7.4 | 8.6 | 12.0 | 10.6 | 3.9 | 4.1 |
| Cocaine | 0.9 | 0.9 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 4.9 | 4.6 | 6.1 | 5.1 | 7.3 | 7.8 | 7.8 | 7.7 | 3.5 | 3.7 |
| Methamphetamines | 0.4 | 0.5 | 2.3 | 1.8 | 3.5 | 3.9 | 5.6 | 4.5 | 6.1 | 5.2 | 7.8 | 8.0 | 6.7 | 6.2 | 3.6 | 3.6 |
| Ecstasy | 0.6 | 0.5 | 2.9 | 2.0 | 4.3 | 3.2 | 5.2 | 4.9 | 6.6 | 5.4 | 7.5 | 6.8 | 10.5 | 8.3 | 3.7 | 3.4 |
| Any Drug | 12.8 | 12.8 | 26.5 | 24.3 | 24.5 | 22.8 | 38.5 | 37.7 | 44.6 | 41.4 | 47.9 | 48.9 | 53.0 | 51.1 | 29.9 | 30.5 |

Table 2

## Percentage of Arkansas Respondents Who Used ATODs During the Past 30 Days by Grade

| Drug Used | Arkansas Grade 6 |  | Arkansas Grade 8 |  | MTF <br> Grade 8 |  | Arkansas Grade 10 |  | MTF <br> Grade 10 |  | Arkansas Grade 12 |  | MTF Grade 12 |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 |
| Alcohol | 8.0 | 6.6 | 22.7 | 19.7 | 19.6 | 19.7 | 39.0 | 37.2 | 35.4 | 35.4 | 47.7 | 48.0 | 48.6 | 47.5 | 27.3 | 27.1 |
| Cigarettes | 3.8 | 3.6 | 13.9 | 11.7 | 10.7 | 10.2 | 23.7 | 21.8 | 17.7 | 16.7 | 30.6 | 30.0 | 26.7 | 24.4 | 16.6 | 16.2 |
| Smokeless Tobacco | 2.9 | 3.1 | 7.9 | 7.3 | 3.3 | 4.1 | 11.2 | 11.2 | 6.1 | 5.3 | 11.6 | 13.0 | 6.5 | 6.7 | 8.0 | 8.5 |
| Marijuana | 1.3 | 1.5 | 8.3 | 5.9 | 8.3 | 7.5 | 16.3 | 15.2 | 17.8 | 17.0 | 20.6 | 20.6 | 21.5 | 21.2 | 10.6 | 10.3 |
| Inhalants | 4.9 | 4.4 | 6.2 | 6.2 | 3.8 | 4.1 | 4.3 | 4.8 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.7 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 4.6 | 4.6 |
| Hallucinogens | 0.4 | 0.4 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.9 | 2.6 | 2.3 | 1.8 | 1.3 | 1.5 |
| Cocaine | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 2.3 | 2.1 | 1.0 | 1.1 |
| Methamphetamines | 0.1 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 2.3 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 2.7 | 2.9 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 1.4 |
| Ecstasy | 0.2 | 0.1 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 1.4 | 0.7 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 2.4 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 1.1 |
| Any Drug | 6.4 | 5.9 | 13.4 | 11.5 | 10.4 | 9.7 | 19.8 | 19.1 | 20.8 | 19.5 | 22.6 | 22.8 | 25.4 | 24.1 | 14.9 | 14.6 |

## Summary

In the 2003 administration of the PNA survey in Arkansas, 72 school districts participated, and the survey questionnaire was completed by 19,983 students in grades $6,8,10$, and 12 . Findings for each of the report sections are summarized below:

## Risk Factor Profiles

Overwhelmingly, Arkansas students are less at risk than students in other states. The only areas where Arkansas high school scales are equal to the 7 -state level are in Academic Failure, and Depression. All other rates are well below the 7state norm. The scales with the lowest percentage of youth at risk were Parent Attitudes Favorable towards Drug Use and Gang Involvement. In comparing 2002 and 2003 survey data, 19 of the 25 risk factor scales decreased since the 2002 survey.

## Substance Use for Arkansas

For most ATODs, lifetime and 30-day usage increases with increased grade. Exceptions can be seen with inhalants, where lifetime usage peaked in grade 8. Overall, 2003 total state lifetime use of all substances are virtually identical to 2002 rates, with very slight decreases in alcohol, smokeless tobacco, marijuana, hallucinogens, cocaine, and any drug use. In looking at the results by grade, 8th grade lifetime use rates decreased slightly for all substances.

In comparing 2002 and 2003 30-day use rates, overall, 2003 total state 30day use of all substances are also virtually identical to 2002 rates. As with lifetime use rates, 8th grade 30 -day substance use rates decreased for all

## Protective Factor Profiles

For a number of protective factor scales, Arkansas high school students also report a higher level of protection than students from the 7states. Arkansas students who took the survey indicated the highest level of protection in Religiosity (approximately 15\% higher) and Social Skills (over $10 \%$ higher). The area with the lowest protection is Community Opportunities for Prosocial Involvement. In comparing the 2002 and 2003 survey data, 8 of the 10 protective factor scales increased since the 2002 survey.

Arkansas Results Compared to National Results
More Arkansas survey participants have used cigarettes and smokeless tobacco in the past 30 days than the national sample, while Arkansas 30-day use of marijuana is lower than the use rate for the nation. More Arkansas youth in all grades have had lifetime experience with cigarettes and smokeless tobacco. However fewer Arkansas students had used marijuana, hallucinogens, and ecstasy in their lifetime.

## Substance Use by Gender

While being female is generally considered a protective factor for substance use, it can be seen that in Arkansas, males and females are very similar in their lifetime and 30-day use of most substances and generally have substance use rates that are within one to three percent of each other. The exceptions are that males in all grades use much more smokeless tobacco (over three times the rate of females for lifetime use) and males in each grade also use more marijuana. In comparing the 2002 results to the 2003 results, total male and female lifetime and 30-day use rates showed virtually no change since the 2002 survey.

## Intention to Use ATODs

A majority of the youth do not intend to use cigarettes or marijuana, though over half ( $53.6 \%$ ) of high school seniors intend to use alcohol. The intention to use all substances generally increases as youth get older. Intention to use cigarettes, alcohol, and marijuana peaked in grade 12. In comparing the 2002 and 2003 survey data, the results show that intention to use substances has slightly decreased in Arkansas. For example, 10th grade intention to smoke cigarettes decreased $1.1 \%$ since the 2002 survey, while 10th grade intentions to smoke marijuana decreased $1.9 \%$.

## Multiple Drug Use

Many of the individuals that use marijuana also use alcohol. For example, the total percentage using marijuana is $10.3 \%$ and those using alcohol and marijuana is $8.5 \%$. Thus, only $1.8 \%$ of those using marijuana do not also use alcohol. A review of tobacco use and any drug use during the past 30 days shows that over one-half of the youth who use tobacco also use an illegal drug.

## Perceived Harmfulness of Drugs: Arkansas Compared to National Sample

In all grades, a greater percentage of Arkansas survey participants than MTF survey participants perceived greater harmfulness in smoking marijuana once or twice and drinking alcohol regularly. In comparing 2002 and 2003 survey data, the results show that perceived harmfulness increased for most grades and for most substances. Rates of perceived harmfulness increased in all grades for smoking one or more packs of cigarettes per day, in all grades for trying marijuana once or twice, and in all grades for smoking marijuana regularly.

## Perceived Availability of Drugs: Arkansas Compared to National Sample

The results reveal that Arkansas survey participants do not perceive any type of drug as being as easy to get as do the youth from the national sample. In all categories, and for all grades, there is a $12.5 \%$ to $31.0 \%$ difference in perceived availability between Arkansas results
and national results. The substance that students perceive as most easy to get is cigarettes. Perceived availability of cigarettes, alcohol, marijuana, and other drugs decreased for grades 8,10 , and 12 since the 2002 survey.

## Heavy Substance Use and Antisocial Behavior by Grade and Gender

Male-female differences also extend to heavy use of alcohol and tobacco and antisocial behavior. Some of the biggest differences were in being suspended from school ( $13.9 \%$ for males compared to $6.8 \%$ for females) and binge drinking ( $18.8 \%$ for males compared to $13.2 \%$ for females). Overall, binge drinking appears to be the biggest antisocial problem among Arkansas youth with $15.9 \%$ of students binge drinking at least once in the past two weeks. In comparing the 2002 and 2003 survey results for the entire survey population, we can see that rates were virtually unchanged.
In the past month, over one in ten ( $12.1 \%$ ) Arkansas students surveyed have attacked someone with the idea of seriously hurting them.

## Handguns and Violence

Responses to most questions on handguns show a very low percentage of students who carry handguns or take them to school. However, a greater percentage of youth believe they wouldn't be caught by their parents $(23.2 \%$ ) or by the cops (48.6\%) if they carried a handgun. Rates of students reporting that they have carried a handgun in the past year and in their lifetime were virtually unchanged since the 2002 survey.

Over one in ten (12.1\%) Arkansas youth, in the past 30 days, have attacked someone with the idea of seriously hurting them, and $15.6 \%$ reported having attacked someone in their lifetime. Though they are the minority, there are many youth in the state who believe that violence is an acceptable way to resolve problems and are willing to hurt another person. For the total survey population and for grades 6,10 , and 12 , the reported rates of belonging to a gang increased $1.0 \%$ to $1.9 \%$ since the 2002 survey. The only rate of violence to decrease for all grades since the 2002 survey was percentage of students who felt unsafe at school.

## Students' Academic Performance and Substance Use

There is a clear relationship between substance use and school performance. Of the students who reported getting better grades, fewer have tried ATODs and fewer are currently using ATODs than those who report poorer grades. For example, failing (F) students are approximately six times more likely to have indicated use of marijuana in the past 30 days than ' $A$ ' students.

## Parent's Education and Youth Substance Use

Like academic grades, there is a direct relationship between parent education and drug use, with lower levels of parent education corresponding with higher levels of youth drug use. In Arkansas, youth whose fathers did not graduate from high school have approximately double the use rate of cigarettes than youth whose fathers were college graduates.

## Marijuana Use in Relation to Perceived Parental Acceptability

Favorable parental attitudes toward drugs influence the attitudes and behavior of their children. Even a small amount of perceived parental acceptability can lead to substance use. For example, relatively few students (6.3\%) reported using marijuana in the past 30 days when their parents thought it is "Very Wrong" to use it. In contrast, when a student believes that their parents agree with use somewhat (i.e. the parent only believes that it is "Wrong," as opposed to "Very Wrong") use skyrockets to $37.6 \%$ for 30 -day use.

## Marijuana Use in Relation to Perceived Peer Acceptability

As with perceived parental acceptability, the slightest perceived peer acceptability seriously increases the chance that a student will use ATODs. For example, when students thought there was "No or very little chance" that they would be seen as cool if they used marijuana, only $4.2 \%$ had used marijuana in the past month. However, when students even thought that there was a "Little chance" that they would be seen as cool, marijuana use rates were over three times higher for past-month use (19.5\%).

## Depressive Symptoms and Substance Use

There is a strong link between students who report depressive symptoms and ATOD use. When compared to the non-depressed group, the depressed youth are nearly three times as likely to use cigarettes in the 30 days prior to the survey, over two times as likely to use marijuana in the past 30 days, and over three times as likely to have used any drug in the past 30 days. These results indicate that when a youth does receive a diagnosis of depression, they should also be assessed for substance abuse.

## Introduction

The Arkansas Prevention Needs Assessment (APNA) Survey was administered in November 2003 to 19,983 students in grades 6, 8, 10, and 12. This is the second time that the Prevention Needs Assessment (PNA) Survey was made available to all public school districts. The APNA Survey was also made available to all Arkansas public schools with students in grades $6,8,10$, and 12 in the Fall of 2002, and an APNA pilot survey was conducted in 1999 to gather baseline data. The 1999 APNA was funded through a CSAP Needs Assessment Contract and surveyed a random sample of Arkansas students.

The APNA Survey was designed to measure the need for prevention services among Arkansas youth in the areas of substance abuse, delinquency, school dropout, and violence. The survey questionnaire asked youth about the factors that place them at risk for substance use and other problem behaviors along with the factors that offer them protection from problem behaviors. The survey also asked youth about their use of alcohol, tobacco, and other drugs (ATODs) and whether or not they engaged in various antisocial behaviors.

The survey was sponsored by the Alcohol and Drug Abuse Prevention, Arkansas Department of Human Services. Arkansas contracted with the Southwest Prevention Center, University of Oklahoma, to conduct the survey.

## Arkansas 2003 Report Overview of Sections

This report is divided into four sections. The first section, Survey Methods, describes how the survey was conducted, who participated, and procedures that were used to ensure that valid information was collected.

The second section, Risk and Protective Factors for Substance Abuse and Other Youth Problems, provides a description of the Risk and Protective Factor Model of substance abuse prevention, including the four domains of risk and protection (community, family, school, and peer/individual), and risk and protective factor results for each of the four domains. Also presented is a description of the scale scores that are used to quantify levels of risk and protection and determine the percentage of youth at risk for problem behaviors. Additionally, information is provided on how the Risk and Protective Factor Model can be used to select programs that are effective in preventing youth problem behavior.

The survey was sponsored by the Arkansas Department of Human Services, and was conducted by the Southwest Prevention Center, University of Oklahoma.

The third section, Survey Results, describes ATOD use and antisocial behavior among Arkansas's youth. The survey asks about the use of ten substances and the results are presented on current use (use in the 30 days prior to the survey) and use during the youth's lifetime. These results are compared to the results of a national survey, Monitoring the Future (MTF). Use is presented by grade, gender, and other demographic variables. Additional analyses include perceived harmfulness and availability of drugs, and student behaviors and attitudes regarding handguns and violence.

The final section, Interpretation of Results, provides examples of how risk factors actually relate to drug and alcohol use. By looking at how factors such as economic background, level of school achievement, degree of parental acceptability of drug use, and degree of peer acceptability of drug use effect substance use, we can begin to understand how the risk and protective factor model of prevention works, and how it can be used to target the needs of schools and communities.

In order to develop effective prevention services at the community level, an adequate number of individuals need to be surveyed to allow an assessment of prevention needs. Because a community is often defined at the school building level, an attempt was made to survey all students in grades 6 , 8,10 , and 12 in Arkansas. This level of surveying is necessary because program planning often requires knowledge of subpopulations, such as youth in a specific community or students from single parent families in grades 10 and 12 . However, because state-wide participation was low, the results presented in this report are not representative of all regions or counties. While participation in the survey was voluntary and some areas of Arkansas were underrepresented, the 19,983 students who completed the survey represent a large sample of Arkansas youth, and their responses will be used to calculate statewide results. For the schools, school districts, counties, and regions with high completion rates, the survey results provide valuable information and are an important resource for planning prevention services. The remainder of this section will discuss the survey questionnaire, how it was administered, the demographics of participants, completion rates, and the ability to generalize the results to other populations.

## Survey Questionnaire

The survey questionnaire was developed through the combined efforts of six states and the Social Development Research Group at the University of Washington. The collaborative survey development process was a Center for Substance Abuse Prevention (CSAP) project called the SixState Consortium. The goal of the Consortium was to develop a survey that provided scientifically sound information about the levels of risk and
protection in a community. The survey has been further refined through the Diffusion Consortium Project that involves seven states and is funded by four Federal Agencies: the National Institute of Drug Abuse (NIDA), Safe and Drug Free Schools Program, Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention, and CSAP. The basic questionnaire was modified by the Southwest Prevention Center to better meet the needs of Arkansas. See Appendix A for a copy of the questionnaire.

Besides measuring risk and protective factors, the survey also assesses the current prevalence of alcohol, tobacco, and other drug use.

Risk and protective factors are characteristics of a community that are reported by the youth who complete the survey. Besides measuring risk and protective factors, the survey also assesses the current prevalence of ATOD use. The substances that are measured by the survey include: 1) alcohol, 2) cigarettes, 3) smokeless tobacco, 4) marijuana, 5) hallucinogens, 6) cocaine, 7) inhalants, 8) methamphetamines, and 9) ecstasy. The questions that ask about substance use are similar to those used in the national survey, Monitoring the Future (MTF), in order that comparisons between the two surveys can be made easily.

There are a total of 18 risk factors and 10 protective factors that are measured by the survey. However, some of the risk factors are broad enough to require more than one scale for adequate measurement. As a result, there are 25 separate risk factor scales and 10 protective factor scales. Appendix B provides a complete list of the risk and protective factors and the corresponding risk and protective factor scales in the survey.

The scales of the survey were originally developed between 1994 and 1997 through extensive testing with over 100,000 students. Work through the Diffusion Consortium Project has resulted in changes to several risk factor
scales and the development of cut-points for each scale that can be used to classify a youth as being at risk on risk factor scales or having protection on protective factor scales.

Before the percentage of youth at risk on a given scale could be calculated, a scale value or cut-point needed to be determined that would separate the at-risk group from the not-at-risk group. Since the PNA survey has been given to over 200,000 youth nationwide, it was possible to select two groups of youth, one that was more at risk for problem behaviors and another group that was less at risk. A cut-point score was then determined for each risk and protective factor scale that best divided the youth from the two groups into their appropriate group, more atrisk or less at-risk. The criteria for selecting the more at-risk and the less at-risk groups included academic grades (the more atrisk group received "D" and "F" grades, the less at-risk group received "A" and "B" grades), ATOD use (the more at-risk group had more regular use, the less at-risk group had no drug use and use of alcohol or tobacco on only a few occasions), and antisocial behavior (the more at-risk group had two or more serious delinquent acts in the past year, the less at-risk group had no serious delinquent acts).

The cut-points that were determined by analyzing the results of the more at-risk and less at-risk groups will remain constant and will be used to produce the profiles for future surveys. Since the cut-points for each scale will remain fixed, the percentage of youth above the cut-point on a scale (at-risk) will provide a method for evaluating the progress of prevention programs over time. For example, if the percentage of youth at risk for family conflict in a community prior to implementing a community-wide family/parenting program was $60 \%$ and then decreased to $50 \%$ one year after the program was implemented, the program would be viewed as helping to reduce family conflict.

There are approximately four survey items that measure each risk factor. The questionnaire has 133 questions, however, many of the questions have multiple components so students actually responded to a total of 203 items. The questions were printed in a test booklet that was machine scoreable. See Appendix A for a copy of the APNA questionnaire. Students from all four grades could easily complete the questionnaire in one class period. A complete item dictionary that lists the risk and protective factor scales and the items they contain as well as the outcome variables can be seen in Appendix D.

## Administration

University of Oklahoma staff attended a meeting in Little Rock, Arkansas in early September 2003 to meet with personnel from the Regional Prevention Resource Centers (PRC) to discuss the roles and responsibilities of initiating the 2003 student survey. Participating PRCs were given an overview of the project, timelines for the 2003 administration and a recruiting packet to use when contacting the schools in their service regions. The recruiting packet included a letter from the ADAP Director, a survey fact sheet, a copy of the survey instrument, administration instructions for the school survey coordinator, teacher administration instructions, and a copy of the parent notification letter.

The PRC personnel were instructed to personally visit each of their school sites to obtain school participation. A phone call to the previous year participants was also initiated as needed. PRC personnel then followed up by phone, fax and email to obtain the school participation agreement form from superintendents. A concerted effort was made to contact every school district in the state to participate in the survey. Surveys were mailed to participating schools on October 20-22, 2003. Administration of the surveys took place during the two week period of November 10-21, 2003. The school contacts were given specific instructions on how to collect and mail the completed surveys back in order to maintain confidentiality. Teachers were given a script
to read and also asked to provide information on how many students took the survey, how many were absent from school, and how many refused to take the survey. Completed surveys were to be returned to sub-contractor, Bach Harrison L.L.C., by the December 5, 2003. OU staff followed up with phone calls directly to school contact to ensure returned surveys.

Surveys were logged and scanned by Bach Harrison, and a final database was developed for analysis and reporting.

## Completion Rate and Ability to Generalize the Results

Not all students participated in the survey. Some students individually chose not to participate, some students' parents refused to give consent for them to participate, and some students were absent on the day the survey was administered. There were a total of 19,983 students who completed the 2003 APNA Survey.

It should be noted that not all of the surveys that were completed contained valid information. Some were eliminated because students were deemed not truthful in their responses, or did not complete some of the questions (see Validity of the Data section for the validity criteria).

19.7\% lived with step-parents,
participated. Though some areas of Arkansas were underrepresented, the 19,983 student responses gathered from the survey will be used to calculate statewide results. The survey results provide valuable information for the schools, school districts, counties, and regions with high completion rates.

The characteristics of the youth who took the survey are presented in Table 3. For the survey, there were nearly an equal number of males and females who took the survey in all grades (female $=48.6 \%$ and males $=51.4 \%$ ). The majority of respondents were White ( $73.3 \%$ ), with the next largest ethnic groups being African Americans (14.8\%) and Hispanics (4.9\%). The other ethnic groups accounted for $6.9 \%$ of the respondents. This demographic
breakdown is very similar to the demographics of the Arkansas school system. According to the National Center for Education Statistics,

Arkansas State Profile, (2003), $71.5 \%$ of Arkansas students were
White, $22.5 \%$ were Black, $4.3 \%$ were Hispanic, and $1.7 \%$ were another ethnicity. The similarity in survey respondents increases our ability to apply the results from the APNA survey to youth across the state. and $24.4 \%$ lived with a single parent.

An analysis of the family structure of respondents showed that $49.3 \%$ lived with both of their biological parents, $19.7 \%$ lived in a step-family structure, $24.4 \%$ lived with a single parent, and the remaining $6.7 \%$ of the respondents lived in other settings.

## Survey Participants

The goal was to survey all Arkansas students in grades 6, 8, 10, and 12. Enrollment figures from the Arkansas School Information Site's Enrollment Report show that for the 2003-2004 school year, there were 35,831 students in the 6th grade; 37,004 in the 8 th grade; 35,344 in the 10th grade; and 28,840 in the 12th grade; for a total of 137,019 public school students who were eligible to participate in the survey. An attempt was made to survey all eligible students. A total of 19,983 students ( $14.6 \%$ of eligible students) were surveyed in the 2003 APNA Survey. Forty-one of Arkansas' 75 counties participated, and 11 of the 13 ATOD service regions

## Validity of the Data

The information presented in this report is based entirely on the truthfulness, recall, and comprehension of the youth who participated in the survey. Many studies have shown that most adolescents are truthful in their responses to the questions on similar surveys. For example, ATOD trends for repeated national and state surveys are very similar. Also, the changes reported by youth parallel the changes during the same period in adolescent admissions to treatment for substance abuse. Finally, the relationships between different kinds of behaviors and the problems adolescents report is very consistent over a wide range of studies. This study was carefully designed to ensure honest responses from participants.

The confidentiality of the survey was stressed through the instructions and administration procedures. Participants were assured that the survey was voluntary, anonymous, and confidential. They were told that no one would see their answers and that there was no way that a survey could be traced back to an individual student. Because the survey was anonymous, most of the reasons to exaggerate or deny behaviors were eliminated. However, several checks were built into the analysis to minimize the impact of students who were not truthful in their responses. Students whose surveys were deemed not truthful were eliminated.

There were 983 (4.9\%) surveys where students did not answer enough of the validity questions to determine whether or not they were honest in their responses. These surveys were not included in the final analyses. Because most of the analyses require a knowledge of the student's grade, $114(0.7 \%)$ additional surveys were eliminated because they did not complete the grade question. This resulted in a total of $1835(9.2 \%)$ questionnaires that were eliminated from most analyses. This is less than the sum of those eliminated according to the criteria cited above because many of those eliminated met more than one criteria for elimination.

There were a total of 19,983 survey questionnaires completed. However, not all of the questionnaires contained valid information. Of these surveys, $738(3.7 \%)$ were eliminated because they were determined to be dishonest. These surveys were eliminated because of three predetermined dishonesty indicators -- 1) the students indicated that they were "Not Honest At All" in completing the survey (221 surveys); 2) the students indicated that they had used the non-existent drug Derbisol (565 surveys); and 3) the students reported an impossibly high level of multiple drug use (209 surveys).


Other measures to reduce response bias included carefully pre-testing the questionnaire to ensure that students understood the meaning of
The confidentiality of the survey was stressed -- participants were assured that the survey was voluntary, anonymous, and confidential. each question, using a well developed and tested administration protocol, and reading the same instructions to all students who participated in the survey.

Othe


Table 3
Total Number and Percentage of Survey Respondents by Grade and Demographic Characteristics for 2003 Survey

|  | Grade 6 |  | Grade 8 |  | Grade 10 |  | Grade 12 |  | 2003 Total |  | 2002 Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% |
| Total Sample | 4,449 | 24.5 | 5,260 | 29.0 | 4,505 | 24.8 | 3,934 | 21.7 | 18,148 | 100.0 | 25,056 | 100.0 |
| Gender |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 2,140 | 48.6 | 2,538 | 48.6 | 2,149 | 48.1 | 1,930 | 49.2 | 8,757 | 48.6 | 11,916 | 47.9 |
| Female | 2,263 | 51.4 | 2,686 | 51.4 | 2,323 | 51.9 | 1,992 | 50.8 | 9,264 | 51.4 | 12,957 | 52.1 |

## Race/Ethnicity

| White | 2,747 | 67.9 | 3,585 | 71.4 | 3,299 | 75.7 | 2,969 | 78.8 | 12,600 | 73.3 | 17,690 | 73.9 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Native American | 265 | 6.2 | 197 | 3.9 | 84 | 1.9 | 60 | 1.6 | 606 | 3.5 | 692 | 2.9 |
| Hispanic | 286 | 7.1 | 259 | 5.2 | 202 | 4.6 | 104 | 2.8 | 851 | 4.9 | 956 | 4.0 |
| African American | 573 | 14.2 | 791 | 15.8 | 654 | 15.0 | 526 | 14.0 | 2,544 | 14.8 | 3,886 | 16.2 |
| Asian or Pacific Islander | 72 | 1.8 | 79 | 1.6 | 59 | 1.4 | 38 | 1.0 | 248 | 1.4 | 257 | 1.1 |
| Other | 104 | 2.6 | 109 | 2.2 | 62 | 1.4 | 71 | 1.9 | 346 | 2.0 | 449 | 1.9 |

Family Structure

| Both Parents | 2,205 | 49.6 | 2,533 | 48.2 | 2,229 | 49.5 | 1,979 | 50.3 | 8,946 | 49.3 | 12,373 | 49.4 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Step-Families | 848 | 19.1 | 1,123 | 21.3 | 921 | 20.4 | 683 | 17.4 | 3,575 | 19.7 | 4,836 | 19.3 |
| Single Parent | 1,129 | 25.4 | 1,277 | 24.3 | 1,085 | 24.1 | 928 | 23.6 | 4,419 | 24.4 | 6,208 | 24.8 |
| Other | 267 | 6.0 | 327 | 6.2 | 270 | 6.0 | 344 | 8.7 | 1,208 | 6.7 | 1,639 | 6.5 |

Language Used at Home

| English | 4,073 | 92.8 | 4,952 | 94.9 | 4,253 | 95.1 | 3,754 | 95.9 | 17,032 | 94.7 | 23,944 | 96.3 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Spanish | 245 | 5.6 | 198 | 3.8 | 158 | 3.5 | 102 | 2.6 | 703 | 3.9 | 668 | 2.7 |
| Another Language | 70 | 1.6 | 70 | 1.3 | 59 | 1.3 | 59 | 1.5 | 258 | 1.4 | 253 | 1.0 |

[^0] not add up to the final completion rate indicated in the text of the report.

Figures 4, 5, and 6
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# Section 2: Risk and Protective Factors for Substance Use and Other Problem Behaviors 

## The History and Importance of Risk and Protective Factors

The Arkansas Prevention Needs Assessment Survey is based upon the Risk and Protective Factor Model of Substance Abuse Prevention. In medical research, risk factors have been found for heart disease and other heath problems. Through media campaigns to inform the general public about the risk factors for heart disease, most people are now aware that behaviors such as eating high fat diets, smoking, high cholesterol, being overweight, and lack of exercise, place them at risk for heart disease. Just as medical research discovered the risk factors for heart disease, social scientists have defined a set of risk factors that place young people at risk for the problem behaviors of substance abuse, delinquency, violence, teen pregnancy, and school dropout. They have also identified a set of protective factors that help to buffer the harmful effects of risk.

Dr. J. David Hawkins, Dr. Richard F. Catalano, and their colleagues at the University of Washington have reviewed more than 30 years of existing work on risk factors from various fields and have completed extensive work of their own to identify risk factors for youth problem behaviors. They identified risk factors in important areas of daily life: 1) the community, 2) the family, 3) the school, and 4) within individuals themselves and their peer interactions. Many of the
problem behaviors faced by youth -- delinquency, substance abuse, violence, school dropout, and teen pregnancy -- share many common risk factors. Programs designed to reduce those common risk factors will have the benefit of reducing several problem behaviors.

Using the risk and protective factor model, Drs. Hawkins and Catalano and their colleagues developed an approach that communities can use to reduce
Just youth problem behavior. Their prevention program is called Communities
as medical esearch discovered the risk factors for heart disease, social scientists have defined risk factors that place youth at risk That Care (CTC) and is available from Channing Bete Company. An overview of the risk factors and protective factors that have been shown to be related to youth problem behavior and their link to the CTC survey (used as the survey instrument for the APNA survey) will be provided.

The risk and protective factors have been organized into the four important areas of a young person's life -- community, family, school, and peer/individual. The remainder of this section of the report is organized according to the four domains. For each domain, the definition of each risk factor is presented and then risk and protective results for Arkansas are provided by grade. Risk and protective factor charts are also provided to illustrate Arkansas risk and protection in relation to other states. On the following page is more information about the risk and protective charts. This information provides instruction on how risk and protective factor scores were developed, and how to read the charts.

## How to Read the Risk and Protective Factor Charts in This Section

There are two components of the risk and protective factor charts that are key to understanding the information that the charts contain: 1) the cutpoints for the risk and protective factor scales, and 2) the dashed lines that indicate a more "national" value.

## Cut-Points

Before the percentage of youth at risk on a given scale could be calculated, a scale value or cut-point needed to be determined that would separate the atrisk group from the not-at-risk group. The Communities That Care survey instrument was designed to assess adolescent substance use, anti-social behavior and the risk and protective factors that predict these adolescent problem behaviors. Since CTC (also known as the Prevention Needs Assessment) surveys have been given to over 200,000 youth nationwide, it was possible to select two groups of youth, one that was more at risk for problem behaviors and another group that was less at risk. A cut-point score was then determined for each risk and protective factor scale that best divided the youth from the two groups into their appropriate group, more at-risk or less at-risk. The criteria for selecting the more at-risk and the less at-risk groups included academic grades (the more at-risk group received "D" and "F" grades, the less at-risk group received "A" and "B" grades), ATOD use (the more at-risk group had more regular use, the less at-risk group had no drug use and use of alcohol or tobacco on only a few occasions), and antisocial behavior (the more at-risk group had two or more serious delinquent acts in the past year, the less at-risk group had no serious delinquent acts).

The cut-points that were determined by analyzing the results of the more atrisk and less at-risk groups will remain constant and will be used to produce the profiles for future surveys. Since the cut-points for each scale will remain fixed, the percentage of youth above the cut-point on a scale (at-risk) will provide a method for evaluating the progress of prevention programs over time. For example, if the percentage of youth at risk for family conflict in a community prior to implementing a community-wide family/parenting program was $60 \%$ and then decreased to $40 \%$ one year after the program was implemented, the program would be viewed as helping to reduce family conflict.

## Dashed Line

Levels of risk and protection in your community also can be compared to a more national sample. The dashed line on each risk and protective factor chart represents the percentage of youth at risk or with protection for the seven state sample upon which the cut-points were developed. The seven states included in the norm group were Colorado, Illinois, Kansas, Maine, Oregon, Utah, and Washington. All the states have a mix of urban and rural students. Again, brief definitions of the risk and protective factors are provided in this section.

## Community Risk and Protective Factors

When looking at the community domain, it is important to consider more than how members of a community interact with the youth of the community. Youth benefit from living in an area where neighbors and community members show concern for them, offer them support, and give encouragement and praise. However, youth also benefit from living in a community that functions in a socially healthy manner. What is the community like? Are drugs and guns readily available? Is there an active presence of law enforcement officers in the community? Is the community lacking in economic resources? Do community members, businesses, or police turn a blind eye toward drug use and antisocial behaviors, or condone such behaviors? Is there a sense of community disorganization or do members of the community work together toward common goals?

All of these community issues, and more, play significant roles in shaping the behaviors of the youth that live within a particular community. By understanding how youth perceive their neighborhood, Arkansas communities can get a better sense of how they need to change in order to reduce the risk that youth will participate in problem behaviors.

Definitions of all community domain risk factors, as well as scale scores for the community domain are provided on the next pages. The table below shows the links between the community risk factors and the five problem behaviors. The check marks have been placed in the chart to indicate where at least two well-designed, published research studies have shown a link between the risk factor and the problem behavior.
Table 4

| YOUTH AT RISK | PROBLEM BEHAVIORS |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 읓 } \\ & \text { 은 } \\ & \text { io } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Community |  |  |  |  |  |
| Availability of Drugs and Firearms | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |
| Community Laws and Norms Favorable Toward Drug Use | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |
| Transitions and Mobility | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| Low Neighborhood Attachment and Community Disorganization | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ |
| Extreme Economic and Social Deprivation | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |

## Availability of Drugs

## (Linked to Substance Abuse and Violence)

The more available drugs are in a community, the higher the risk that young people will abuse drugs in that community. Perceived availability of drugs is also associated with risk. For example, in schools where students just think drugs are more available, a higher rate of drug use occurs.

## Availability of Firearms (Linked to Delinquency and Violence)

Firearm availability and firearm homicide have increased together since the late 1950's. If a gun is present in the home, it is much more likely to be used against a relative or friend than an intruder or stranger. Also, when a firearm is used in a crime or assault instead of another weapon or no weapon, the outcome is much more likely to be fatal. While a few studies report no association between firearm availability and violence, more studies show a positive relationship. Given the lethality of firearms, the increase in the likelihood of conflict escalating into homicide when guns are present, and the strong association between availability of guns and homicide rates, firearm availability is included as a risk factor.

## Community Laws and Norms Favorable Toward Drug Use, Firearms, and Crime <br> (Linked to Substance Abuse, Delinquency, and Violence)

Community norms, the attitudes and policies a community holds about drug use and crime, are communicated in a variety of ways: through laws and written policies, through informal social practices, and through the expectations parents and other community members have of young people. When laws and community standards are favorable toward drug use or crime, or even if they are just unclear, youth are at higher risk.

## Transitions and Mobility <br> (Linked to Substance Abuse, Delinquency, and School Dropout)

Even normal school transitions predict increases in problem behaviors. When children move from elementary school to middle school or from middle school to high school, significant increases in the rates of drug use, school misbehavior, and delinquency result.

Communities with high rates of mobility appear to be linked to an increased risk of drug use and crime problems. The more often people in a community move, the greater the risk of both criminal behavior and drug-related problems in families. While some people find buffers against the negative effects of mobility by making connections in new communities, others are less likely to have the resources to deal with the effects of frequent moves, and are more likely to have problems.

## Low Neighborhood Attachment and Community Disorganization (Linked to Substance Abuse, Delinquency, and Violence)

Higher rates of drug problems, juvenile delinquency and violence occur in communities or neighborhoods where people have little attachment to the community, where the rates of vandalism are high, and where there is low surveillance of public places. These conditions are not limited to low-income neighborhoods, they can also be found in wealthier neighborhoods. The less homogeneous a community (in terms of race, class, religion, and even the mix of industrial to residential neighborhoods) the less connected its residents may feel to the overall community, and the more difficult it is to establish clear community goals and identity. The challenge of creating neighborhood attachment and organization is greater in these neighborhoods.

Perhaps the most significant issue affecting community attachment is whether residents feel they can make a difference in their own lives. If the key players in the neighborhood, such as merchants, teachers, police, and human services personnel, live outside the neighborhood, residents' sense of commitment will be less. Lower rates of voter participation and parental involvement in schools also indicate lower attachment to the community.

## Extreme Economic Deprivation

(Linked to Substance Abuse, Delinquency, Teen Pregnancy, School Dropout, and Violence)

Children who live in deteriorating and crime-ridden neighborhoods characterized by extreme poverty are more likely to develop problems with delinquency, violence, teen pregnancy, and school dropout. Children who live in these areas, and have behavior and adjustment problems early in life, are also more likely to have problems with drugs later on.

## Community Risk and Protective Factor Scales

## Risk Factors

In all grades, a majority of Arkansas survey participants were not at-risk in the community domain. Table 5 shows that the highest scaled score was for Perceived Availability of Drugs for 12th graders ( $49.8 \%$ at-risk), followed by Low Neighborhood Attachment for 12th graders ( $47.8 \%$ at-risk). Students in the 12th grade were also at the greatest risk for Perceived Availability of Handguns. Tenth graders were at the greatest risk for Community Disorganization, Transitions and Mobility, and Laws and Norms Favorable to Drug Use.

In looking at Arkansas' community risk factor scales in relation to the 7 -state norm, Figure 7 illustrates that Arkansas' levels of risk are similar to other states for most grades. Twelfth grade levels of Low Neighborhood Attachment and Perceived Availability of Drugs, and 10th grade levels of Transitions and Mobility and Community Disorganization are the only scores that are slightly above the 7 -state norm. Sixth and 8 th grade Perceived Availability of Drugs and 6th grade Availability of Handguns were significantly lower than the 7 -state norm.

## Protective Factors

There are two protective factor scales for the community domain -- Community Opportunities for Prosocial Involvement and Community Rewards for Prosocial Involvement. Rates of Rewards for Prosocial Involvement were below the 7 -state norm for all grades, with 8th graders having the lowest protection $(47.4 \%)$ and the 6th graders having the highest protection ( $55.9 \%$ ). Rates of Opportunities for Prosocial Involvement were below average, or below the 7 -state norm. Rates for Arkansas were approximately $3 \%$ to $12 \%$ lower than the 7 state norm, indicating that this is an area where prevention programming could benefit Arkansas communities.

Table 5

| Community Domain <br> Risk and Protective Factor Scores | Grade 6 |  | Grade 8 |  | Grade 10 |  | Grade 12 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RISK FACTORS | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 |
| Low Neighborhood Attachment | 43.3 | 42.0 | 38.0 | 36.0 | 44.2 | 42.0 | 48.5 | 47.8 |
| Community Disorganization | 38.7 | 38.5 | 35.4 | 31.9 | 44.2 | 44.7 | 43.0 | 41.1 |
| Transitions and Mobility | 42.4 | 42.1 | 42.1 | 43.9 | 43.6 | 45.7 | 36.5 | 40.5 |
| Laws \& Norms Favor Drug use | 41.0 | 38.6 | 38.2 | 34.9 | 45.0 | 42.1 | 38.3 | 37.8 |
| Perceived Availability of Drugs | 27.7 | 26.8 | 32.9 | 28.1 | 45.3 | 42.7 | 53.7 | 49.8 |
| Perceived Availability of Handguns | 29.4 | 27.5 | 43.9 | 40.0 | 32.4 | 31.7 | 40.0 | 37.0 |
| PROTECTIVE FACTORS | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 |
| Opportunities for Prosocial Involvement | 46.2 | 47.2 | 46.9 | 52.4 | 38.3 | 46.3 | 34.6 | 44.0 |
| Community Reward for Prosocial Involvement | 54.4 | 55.9 | 44.9 | 47.4 | 52.4 | 54.4 | 53.2 | 54.2 |

## Comparisons to 2002 APNA Survey Data

As can be seen in Table 5, while many scores were virtually unchanged, most risk factor scores decreased by significant or insignificant amounts since the 2002 survey. All six risk factor scales decreased in the 6th grade, five of six of the scales decreased for the 8th grade, four of six decreased for the 10th, and five of six decreased for the 12th.

Levels of protection slightly increased for all grades for Community Opportunities for Prosocial Involvement, and Community Rewards for Prosocial Involvement increased in grades $6,8,10$, and 12 .

Appendix E contains risk and protective factor charts for grades $6,8,10$, and 12 . All of these profile charts contain all of the risk and protective factors with comparisons to the 2002 state survey data.

Figure 7


Figure 8


## Family Risk and Protective Factors

For the family domain, one must consider more than parents' personal interaction with their children. Youth benefit from being bonded with their family, and from belonging to a family in which their parents offer support, encouragement, and praise. Other important factors that can contribute to youth problem behaviors are whether or not the youth's parents or siblings have used substances, approve of the use of substances, or have participated in antisocial behaviors. If a youth's living situation is full of conflict (fights and arguments) and disorganization (lack of family communication or parents' not knowing the whereabouts or doings of their children), the youth is also at risk for problem behaviors

Definitions of all family domain risk factors, as well as scores for the family domain are provided on the following pages. The table below shows the links between the family risk factors and the five problem behaviors. The check marks have been placed in the chart to indicate where at least two well designed, published research studies have shown a link between the risk factor and the problem behavior.

Table 6

| YOUTH AT RISK | PROBLEM BEHAVIORS |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 을 } \\ & \text { 은 } \\ & \text { in ì } \end{aligned}$ | U <br> \# <br> 0 <br> ¢ |
| Family |  |  |  |  |  |
| Family History of the Problem Behavior | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| Family Management Problems | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Family Conflict | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Favorable Parental Attitudes and Involvement In the Behavior | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ |

## Family History of the Problem Behavior <br> (Linked to Substance Abuse, Delinquency, Teen Pregnancy, School Dropout, and Violence)

If children are raised in a family with a history of addiction to alcohol or other drugs, the risk of their having alcohol and other drug problems themselves increases. If children are born or raised in a family with a history of criminal activity, their risk of juvenile delinquency increases. Similarly, children who are raised by a teenage mother are more likely to become teen parents, and children of dropouts are more likely to drop out of school themselves.

## Family Management Problems (Linked to Substance Abuse, Delinquency, Teen Pregnancy, School Dropout, and Violence)

Poor family management practices include lack of clear expectations for behavior, failure of parents to monitor their children (knowing where they are and who they are with), and excessively severe or inconsistent punishment.

## Family Conflict <br> (Linked to Substance Abuse, Delinquency, Teen Pregnancy, School Dropout, and Violence)

Persistent, serious conflict between primary care givers or between care givers and children appears to enhance risk for children raised in these families. Conflict between family members appears to be more important than family structure. Whether the family is headed by two biological parents, a single parent, or some other primary care giver, children raised in families high in conflict appear to be at risk for all of the problem behaviors.

## Favorable Parental Attitudes and Involvement In the Behavior

 (Linked to Substance Abuse, Delinquency, and Violence)Parental attitudes and behavior toward drugs, crime, and violence influence the attitudes and behavior of their children. Parental approval of young people's moderate drinking, even under parental supervision, increases the risk of the young person using marijuana. Similarly, children of parents who excuse their children for breaking the law are more likely to develop problems with juvenile delinquency. In families where parents display violent behavior toward those outside or inside the family, there is an increase in the risk that a child will become violent. Further, in families where parents involve children in their own drug or alcohol behavior, for example, asking the child to light the parent's cigarette or to get the parent a beer, there is an increased likelihood that their children will become substance abusers in adolescence.

## Risk Factors

In all grades, a majority of Arkansas survey respondents were not at-risk in the family domain. Table 7 shows that the highest scaled score was for Family History of Antisocial Behavior for 10th graders (43.0\% at-risk), followed by Parent Attitudes Favorable to Drug use for 12th graders ( $42.8 \%$ at-risk).

In looking at Arkansas' Family risk factor scales in relation to the 7 -state norm, Figure 9 illustrates that Arkansas' levels of risk are similar to, or lower than, other states for most grades. Sixth grade rates of Parental Attitudes Favoring Antisocial Behavior, and 6th and 8th grade rates of Parent Attitudes Favoring Drug Use were significantly lower than the 7 -state norm.

## Protective Factors

There are three protective factor scales for the family domain -- Family Attachment, Family Opportunities for Prosocial Involvement, and Family Rewards for Prosocial Involvement. Arkansas seems to excel in the family domain, as most protective factor rates for the state are equal to, or higher than, the 7 -state norm for nearly all grades (the 10th grade rate of Family Attachment was the only exception). Rates of Family Opportunities for Prosocial Involvement (grades 6, 8, and 12), and Family Rewards for Prosocial Involvement (grade 8) were approximately $5 \%$ to $11 \%$ above the 7 -state norm.

Table 7

| Family Domain <br> Risk and Protective Factor Scores | Grade |  | Grade 8 |  | Grade 10 |  | Grade 12 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RISK FACTORS | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 |
| Poor Family Management | 37.6 | 35.1 | 39.8 | 36.0 | 38.7 | 37.4 | 43.0 | 40.3 |
| Family Conflict | 35.2 | 33.1 | 44.1 | 42.3 | 36.7 | 36.9 | 33.6 | 33.7 |
| Family History of Antisocial Behavior | 38.7 | 37.8 | 40.9 | 39.0 | 42.6 | 43.0 | 41.4 | 39.5 |
| Parent Attitudes Favor Antisocial Behavior | 26.2 | 26.4 | 37.5 | 36.4 | 42.4 | 42.2 | 40.4 | 41.5 |
| Parent Attitudes Favor Drugs Use | 12.2 | 11.6 | 25.5 | 24.5 | 41.3 | 40.1 | 41.5 | 42.8 |
| PROTECTIVE FACTORS | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 |
| Family Attachment | 60.1 | 59.2 | 56.1 | 55.9 | 47.3 | 48.3 | 61.0 | 58.8 |
| Family Opportunities for Prosocial Involvement | 63.9 | 64.0 | 64.5 | 65.8 | 56.1 | 57.7 | 57.1 | 57.5 |
| Family Rewards for Prosocial Involvement | 57.2 | 57.6 | 65.7 | 66.2 | 55.2 | 57.2 | 57.0 | 55.7 |

## Comparisons to 2002 APNA Survey Data

As can be seen in Table 7, levels of risk in the family domain were also virtually the same for many scales and grades, through most scores slightly did show slight decreases since the 2002 survey. In the 6th grade, four of five family risk factor scales decreased in the past year; in the 8th grade, all five scales decreased; in the 10th grade, three scales decreased; and in the 12th grade, two scales decreased.
Levels of protection increased $0.1 \%$ to $4.2 \%$ for all grades for Family Opportunities for Prosocial Involvement. Family Attachment for grades 6, 8, and 12 showed slight decreases since the 2002 survey.

Appendix E contains risk and protective factor charts for grades $6,8,10$, and 12 . All of these profile charts contain all of the risk and protective factors with comparisons to the 2002 state survey data.


## School Risk and Protective Factors

In the school domain，the early years are important as far as creating or decreasing the level of risk for children．Academic failure in elementary school puts children at risk for substance use，delinquency，teen pregnancy，school drop out，and violence later in life．Further，a child with early and persistent antisocial behavior is at risk for substance use and other problems later in life．

These two factors（academic failure and early engagement in antisocial behavior）indicate that prevention programs should begin early in a student＇s schooling．Programs that can effectively target the needs of the school population will help to decrease the level of risk，thereby decreasing problem behaviors later in schooling．The Arkansas data will be important for schools， in that it will help them target the problem behaviors and student populations which are at the greatest need for services．

As with the community and family domains，bonding at the school level also decreases risk and increases protection．When students have healthy relationships with their teachers，when they feel as if they are able to play an active role in their classes and in their school，and when they receive encouragement and support，they are more bonded to their school and their commitment to school is less likely to falter．

Definitions of all school domain risk factors，as well as scores for the school domain are provided on the next pages．The table below shows the links be－ tween the school risk factors and the five problem behaviors．The check marks have been placed in the chart to indicate where at least two well designed， published research studies have shown a link between the risk factor and the problem behavior．

## Table 8

| YOUTH AT RISK | PROBLEM BEHAVIORS |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 若 容 言 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 읓 } \\ & \text { 은 } \\ & \text { ì } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| School |  |  |  |  |  |
| Early and Persistent Antisocial Behavior | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Academic Failure in Elementary School | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Lack of Commitment to School | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |

## Early and Persistent Antisocial Behavior （Linked to Substance Abuse，Delinquency，Teen Pregnancy， School Dropout，and Violence）

Boys who are aggressive in grades K－3 are at higher risk for substance abuse and delinquency．When a boy＇s aggressive behavior in the early grades is combined with isolation or withdrawal，there is an even greater risk of problems in adolescence．This increased risk also applies to aggressive behavior combined with hyperactivity or attention deficit disorder．

This risk factor also includes persistent antisocial behavior in early adolescence，like misbehaving in school，skipping school，and getting into fights with other children．Young people，both girls and boys，who engage in these behaviors during early adolescence are at increased risk for drug abuse，delinquency，teen pregnancy，school dropout，and violence．

## Academic Failure in Elementary School （Linked to Substance Abuse，Delinquency，Teen Pregnancy， School Dropout，and Violence）

Beginning in the late elementary grades，academic failure increases the risk of drug abuse，delinquency，violence，teen pregnancy，and school dropout． Students fail for many reasons．It appears that the experience of failure，not necessarily the student＇s ability，increases the risk of problem behaviors．

## Lack of Commitment to School （Linked to Substance Abuse，Delinquency，Teen Pregnancy， School Dropout，and Violence）

Lack of commitment to school means the young person has ceased to see the role of student as a viable one．Young people who have lost this commitment to school are at higher risk for all five problem behaviors．

## School Risk and Protective Factor Scales

## Risk Factors

There are two risk factor scales for the school domain -Academic Failure and Low Commitment to School. Rates for both risk factors were nearly similar to the 7 -state norm for all grades, with 10th graders having slightly higher risk rates for Academic Failure (47.8\% for grade 10).

Risk factor rates are very close for all grades, indicating that in the school domain, students are equally effected by the risk factors.

## Protective Factors

There are also two protective factor scales for the school domain -- School Opportunities for Prosocial Involvement and School Rewards for Prosocial Involvement. In many cases, the protective factor rates in this domain were higher than the 7-state norm. The following rates were well above the 7 -state norm line: 8th and 10th grade rates of Opportunities for Prosocial Involvement (8th grade rates were approximately $5 \%$ above the 7 -state norm and 10th grade rates approximately $4 \%$ higher), and 10th grade Rewards for Prosocial Involvement ( $60.6 \%$, or approximately $4 \%$ above the norm).

Table 9

| School Domain <br> Risk and Protective Factor Scores | Grade 6 |  | Grade 8 |  | Grade 10 |  | Grade 12 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RISK FACTORS | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 |
| Academic Failure | 45.4 | 44.6 | 49.5 | 46.3 | 48.8 | 47.8 | 42.4 | 43.3 |
| Low Commitment to School | 44.5 | 41.4 | 42.2 | 38.7 | 44.6 | 41.5 | 46.2 | 43.5 |
| PROTECTIVE FACTORS | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 |
| Opportunities for Prosocial Involvement | 45.6 | 44.4 | 60.7 | 61.3 | 53.5 | 59.9 | 53.2 | 59.9 |
| Rewards for Prosocial Involvement | 54.3 | 58.2 | 47.8 | 52.6 | 54.9 | 60.6 | 41.1 | 45.4 |

## Comparisons to 2002 APNA Survey Data

Data presented in Table 9 depicts how levels of risk in the school domain remained virtually unchanged since the 2002 survey, but did decrease slightly. Rates of Low Commitment to School decreased $2.7 \%$ to $5.1 \%$ in all grades. Academic Failure decreased $3.2 \%$ in grade 8 .

While 2002 rates of protection were much lower than the 7 -state norm, 2003 rates showed a significant increase, and now most rates are equal to or higher than the 7 -state norm. For example, rates of rewards for prosocial involvement increased $3.9 \%$ in grade 6 (from $54.3 \%$ in 2002 to $58.2 \%$ in 2003); $4.8 \%$ in grade 8 (from $47.9 \%$ in 2002 to $52.6 \%$ in 2003); $5.7 \%$ in grade 10 (from $54.9 \%$ in 2002 to $60.6 \%$ in 2003); and $4.3 \%$ in grade 12 (from $41.1 \%$ in 2002 to $4.3 \%$ in 2003).

Appendix E contains risk and protective factor charts for grades 6, 8, 10, and 12. All of these profile charts contain all of the risk and protective factors with comparisons to the 2002 state survey data.


## Peer/Individual Risk and Protective Factors

The final domain of a student's life - peer/individual - consists of much more than mere peer pressure. While students are at risk for problem behaviors when they have friends who are engaging in unfavorable behaviors; or their friends have favorable attitudes toward the behaviors (i.e. it is seen as "cool"); the peer/individual domain also consists of several factors which spring from the individual. For example, students who are depressed, rebellious, or who feel alienation are more likely to use drugs and show antisocial behavior. Other constitutional factors also play a part in whether or not a student is at risk for ATOD use or antisocial behaviors.

Definitions of all peer/individual domain risk and protective factors, as well as a description of individual characteristics, bonding, and healthy beliefs and clear standards, are presented in this section. Also in this discussion of peer/ individual risk factors, scores for the scales in this domain are provided in the form of tables and charts. The table below shows the links between the peer/ individual risk factors and the five problem behaviors. The check marks have been placed in the chart to indicate where at least two well designed, published research studies have shown a link between the risk factor and the problem behavior.

Table 10

| YOUTH AT RISK | PROBLEM BEHAVIORS |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 츨 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 음 } \\ & \text { 운 } \\ & \text { ì } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Individual/Peer |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alienation and Rebelliousness | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| Friends Who Engage in a Problem Behavior | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Favorable Attitudes Toward the Problem Behavior | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| Early Initiation of the Problem Behavior | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Depressive Symptoms | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |
| Intention to Use ATODs | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |

## Alienation, Rebelliousness, and Lack of Bonding to Society (Linked to Substance Abuse, Delinquency, and School Dropout)

Young people who feel they are not part of society, are not bound by rules, don't believe in trying to be successful or responsible, or who take an active rebellious stance toward society are at higher risk of drug abuse, delinquency, and school dropout.

## Friends Who Engage in the Problem Behavior <br> (Linked to Substance Abuse, Delinquency, Teen Pregnancy, School Dropout, and Violence)

Youth who associate with peers who engage in problem behaviors are much more likely to engage in the same problem behaviors. This is one of the most consistent predictors of youth problem behaviors that the research has identified. Even when young people come from well-managed families and do not experience other risk factors, just hanging out with those who engage in problem behaviors greatly increases their risks. However, young people who experience a low number of risk factors are less likely to associate with those who are involved in problem behaviors.

## Favorable Attitudes Toward the Problem Behavior (Linked to Substance Abuse, Delinquency, Teen Pregnancy, and School Dropout)

During the elementary school years, children usually express anti-drug, anticrime, pro-social attitudes. They have difficulty imagining why people use drugs, commit crimes, and drop out of school. In middle school, as others they know participate in such activities, their attitudes often shift toward greater acceptance of these behaviors. This places them at higher risk.

## Early Initiation of the Problem Behavior <br> (Linked to Substance Abuse, Delinquency, Teen Pregnancy, School Dropout, and Violence)

The earlier young people begin using drugs, committing crimes, engaging in violent activity, becoming sexually active, and dropping out of school, the greater the likelihood that they will have problems with these behaviors later on. For example, research shows that young people who initiate drug use before age fifteen are at twice the risk of having drug problems as those who wait until after age nineteen.

## Depressive Symptoms

## (Linked to Substance Abuse and Delinquency)

Young people who are depressed are overrepresented in the criminal justice system and are more likely to use drugs. Survey research and other studies have shown a link between depression and other youth problem behaviors. Because they are depressed, these individuals have difficulty in identifying and engaging in pro-social activities. They consequently do not gain recognition for demonstrating positive behaviors or develop attachments to their schools or communities. On this Arkansas Prevention Needs Assessment survey, youth who scored highest on the items measuring depressive symptoms also scored significantly higher on all of the drug use questions (see Table 31 and Figure 33 in the Interpretation of Results section).

## Intention to Use ATODs <br> (Linked to Substance Abuse)

Many prevention programs focus on reducing the intention of participants to use ATODs later in life. Reduction of intention to use ATODs often follows successful prevention interventions.

## Gang Involvement

## (Linked to Substance Abuse, Delinquency, School Dropout, and Violence)

Youth who belong to gangs are more at risk for antisocial behavior and drug use. The risk factors associated with gang involvement are well known as many gang-related crimes and events are covered by local media. Gang membership has been linked to violence, shootings, destruction of public property, and involvement in other illegal behaviors including distribution of drugs.

## Constitutional Factors <br> (Linked to Substance Abuse, Delinquency, and Violence)

Constitutional factors are factors that may have a biological or physiological basis. These factors are often seen in young people with behaviors such as sensation-seeking, low harm-avoidance, and lack of impulse control. These factors appear to increase the risk of young people abusing drugs, engaging in delinquent behavior, and/or committing violent acts.

Some young people who are exposed to multiple risk factors do not become substance abusers, juvenile delinquents, teen parents, or school dropouts. Balancing the risk factors are protective factors, those aspects of people's lives that counter risk factors or provide buffers against them. They protect by either reducing the impact of the risks or by changing the way a person responds to the risks. A key strategy to counter risk factors is to enhance protective factors that promote positive behavior, health, well-being, and personal success. Research indicates that protective factors fall into three basic categories: Individual Characteristics, Bonding, and Healthy Beliefs and Clear Standards.

## Individual Characteristics

Research has identified four individual characteristics as protective factors. These attributes are considered to be inherent in the youngster and are difficult, if not impossible, to change. They consist of:

Gender. Given equal exposure to risks, girls are less likely to develop health and behavior problems in adolescence than are boys.

A Resilient Temperament. Young people who have the ability to quickly adjust to or recover from misfortune or changes are at reduced risk.

A Positive Social Orientation. Young people who are good natured, enjoy social interactions, and elicit positive attention from others are at reduced risk.

Intelligence. Bright children are less likely to become delinquent or drop out of school. However, intelligence does not protect against substance abuse.

## Bonding

Research indicates that one of the most effective ways to reduce children's risk is to strengthen their bond with positive, pro-social family members, teachers, or other significant adults, and/or pro-social friends. Children who are attached to positive families, friends, schools, and their community, and who are committed to achieving the goals valued by these groups, are less likely to develop problems in adolescence. Children who are bonded to others who hold healthy beliefs are less likely to do things that threaten that bond, such as use drugs, commit crimes, or drop out of school. For example, if children are attached to their parents and want to please them, they will
be less likely to risk breaking this connection by doing things of which their parents strongly disapprove. Studies of successful children who live in high risk neighborhoods or situations indicate that strong bonds with a care giver can keep children from getting into trouble. Positive bonding makes up for many disadvantages caused by risk factors or environmental characteristics.

## Healthy Beliefs and Clear Standards

Bonding is only part of the protective equation. Research indicates that another group of protective factors falls into the category of healthy beliefs and clear standards. The people with whom children are bonded need to have clear, positive standards for behavior. The content of these standards is what protects young people. For example, being opposed to youth alcohol and drug use is a standard that has been shown to protect young people from the damaging effects of substance abuse risk factors. Children whose parents have high expectations for their school success and achievement are less likely to drop out of school. Clear standards against criminal activity and early, unprotected sexual activity have a similar protective effect.

The negative effects of risk factors can be reduced when schools, families, and/or peer groups teach young people healthy beliefs and set clear standards for their behavior. Examples of healthy beliefs include believing it is best for children to be drug and crime free and to do well in school. Examples of clear standards include establishing clear no drug and alcohol family rules, establishing the expectation that a youngster does well in school, and having consistent family rules against problem behaviors.

## Risk Factors

Unlike the school domain where the risk scores were similar for each grade, for many risk factors in the peer/individual domain, the levels of risk often increase with increased grade level and peak in grade 12. For example, in the Perceived Risk of Drug Use risk factor, $27.5 \%$ of 6th graders, $35.7 \%$ of 8 th graders, $36.8 \%$ of 10th graders, and $43.4 \%$ of 12 graders were at-risk. The jump in risk from grade 6 to grade 8 is similar in the jump in drug and alcohol use that usually occurs during that time frame. Other factors such as Attitudes Favorable to Drug Use, Interaction with Antisocial Peers, Early Initiation of Drug Use, and Early Initiation of Antisocial Behavior also increased with increased grade level.

The highest level of risk is found when looking at the depression score for 8 th graders ( $49.2 \%$ at-risk). When looking at other grades individually, the highest risk score for students in most grades was the Depression Scale, with $47.3 \%$ of 6th graders, $49.2 \%$ of 8 th graders, and $48.6 \%$ of 10th graders being at risk. The highest score for 12th graders was Interaction with Antisocial Peers ( $48.4 \%$ at-risk).

In comparison to the 7 -state norm, Arkansas risk factor scores are generally below the norm. Some factors that are higher than the 7 -state norm for most or all grades were the Depression Scale for all grades, Interaction With Antisocial Peers for the 10th and 12th grades, and Rebelliousness for 6th graders. Factors that are significantly lower than the norm are Early Initiation of Antisocial Behavior and Drug Use, Attitudes Favorable to Drug Use, Perceived Risk of Drug Use, Rewards for Antisocial Behavior, and Gang Involvement.

## Protective Factors

There are three protective factor scales for the peer/individual domain -Religiosity, Social Skills, and Belief in Moral Order. The only score that was below the 7 -state norm was 12 th grade Belief in the Moral Order. All other rates were above the 7 -state norm line by $2 \%$ to $18 \%$.

Table 11

| Peer-Individual Domain <br> Risk and Protective Factor Scores | Grade 6 |  | Grade 8 |  | Grade 10 |  | Grade 12 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| RISK FACTORS | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 |
| Rebelliousness | 47.2 | 46.9 | 34.6 | 33.9 | 39.6 | 39.6 | 37.3 | 38.1 |
| Early Initiation of Antisocial Behavior | 20.4 | 19.5 | 32.5 | 30.3 | 35.3 | 35.5 | 34.1 | 36.4 |
| Early Initiation of Drug Use | 30.3 | 28.5 | 36.6 | 33.9 | 39.6 | 38.0 | 40.0 | 40.5 |
| Attitudes Favorable to Antisocial Behavior | 40.4 | 39.5 | 35.0 | 34.7 | 43.8 | 40.0 | 39.9 | 41.6 |
| Attitudes Favorable to Drug Use | 24.2 | 22.4 | 29.2 | 26.6 | 40.6 | 37.7 | 38.2 | 38.8 |
| Perceived Risk of Drug Use | 29.6 | 27.5 | 38.6 | 35.7 | 39.2 | 36.8 | 43.2 | 43.4 |
| Interaction with Antisocial Peers | 32.4 | 30.5 | 46.0 | 43.6 | 48.8 | 48.4 | 48.1 | 48.4 |
| Friends' Use of Drugs | 24.2 | 24.2 | 36.6 | 33.8 | 39.9 | 38.9 | 39.4 | 37.8 |
| Sensation Seeking | 36.6 | 36.4 | 38.1 | 38.2 | 41.9 | 40.7 | 45.4 | 43.9 |
| Rewards for Antisocial Behavior | 24.2 | 21.6 | 39.4 | 36.9 | 36.9 | 35.8 | 45.7 | 45.2 |
| Depression Scale | 45.8 | 47.3 | 48.3 | 49.2 | 49.1 | 48.6 | 43.2 | 45.6 |
| Gang Involvement | 14.7 | 15.5 | 16.9 | 17.3 | 14.9 | 17.7 | 11.4 | 12.8 |
| PR0TECTIVE FACTORS | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 |
| Religiosity | 65.4 | 65.4 | 69.4 | 69.2 | 67.4 | 65.8 | 90.3 | 87.7 |
| Social Skills | 73.8 | 74.1 | 67.9 | 69.2 | 57.5 | 58.7 | 67.1 | 67.0 |
| Belief in Moral Order | 59.1 | 61.0 | 61.3 | 62.7 | 64.6 | 66.0 | 49.6 | 50.4 |

## Comparisons to 2002 APNA Survey Data

Compared to 2002 data, most 2003 risk factor rates decreased. As can be seen in Table 11, in all grades, seven of the twelve risk factor scales decreased. Increases can be found for the 6th and 12th grade Depression scale scores and the 10th and 12th grade Gang Involvement scale scores. Protective factor scale scores increased in all grades for Belief in Moral Order. The Religiosity scale decreased $1.6 \%$ in grade 10 and 2.6\% in grade 12.

Appendix E contains risk and protective factor charts for grades 6, 8, 10, and 12. All of these profile charts contain all of the risk and protective factors with comparisons to the 2002 state survey data.
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## Section 3: Survey Results

## Age of Initiation

Arkansas students were asked to report when, if ever, they first used ATODs. In calculating the average age of initiation, only the ages indicated by students who had used the substance before were taken into account.

The results show that students begin using cigarettes before using any other substance. Of the students who had used cigarettes, the average age of first use was 11.94 years. A period of one and a half years separates the age of first sip of alcohol and the first regular alcohol use, with the first sip occurring at 12.67 years, and the first regular use of alcohol at 14.24 years. The results also show that students begin trying marijuana earlier than one would think. Of the students who had used marijuana, the average age of first use was 13.50 years - over a year before students indicated that they had begun drinking regularly.

In comparing 2002 APNA Survey results to those from the 2003 survey, results were virtually unchanged for first use of all substances. The largest change was a decrease in age of first regular alcohol use, which decreased .36 years (from 14.60 years in 2002 to 14.24 years in 2003).

Table 12

| Age of Initiation |  | Average Age of First Use <br> Drug Used <br> (Of Students Who Indicated That They Had Used) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2002 | 2003 |  |
| First Cigarette Use | 11.89 | 11.94 |  |
| First Marijuana Use | 13.52 | 13.50 |  |
| First Alcohol Sip or More | 12.60 | 12.67 |  |
| First Regular Alcohol Use | 14.60 | 14.24 |  |

Figure 15


## Lifetime ATOD Use, By Grade

## Arkansas Lifetime Usage

Lifetime use is seen as a good measure of youth experimentation with alcohol, tobacco, and other drugs. If a student indicates that they have used a substance at least once in their lifetime, the results of this lifetime use are reported in this section. As can be seen in Figure 16, the most commonly used substances are alcohol ( $51.3 \%$ of Arkansas survey participants in the 2003 survey have used at least once), cigarettes ( $41.0 \%$ have used), smokeless tobacco ( $20.4 \%$ have used), marijuana ( $22.7 \%$ have used), and inhalants ( $13.1 \%$ have used).

## Arkansas Results Compared to National Results

When looking at Table 13 (following page) at the Arkansas and MTF survey results, more Arkansas survey participants in all grades have had lifetime experience with cigarettes and smokeless tobacco than the national sample. Smokeless tobacco use for Arkansas youth who took the survey was $6.2 \%$ to $12.6 \%$ greater than the national sample for youth in grades 8, 10 and 12 ; and cigarette use was $7.3 \%$ to $9.1 \%$ greater in Arkansas. However, Arkansas youth in all grades used the following substances less than students nationally: marijuana ( $0.8 \%$ to $4.6 \%$ less than MTF students), hallucinogens ( $1.8 \%$ to $2.0 \%$ less than MTF), and ecstasy ( $0.5 \%$ to $1.6 \%$ less than MTF). Figure 17 illustrates the differences in lifetime ATOD use by Arkansas 10th grader participants and National MTF 10th grade participants.

## 2003 Results Compared to 2002 Results

Table 13 also shows that, overall, rates of lifetime substance use were virtually unchanged since the 2002 survey, though total state use of alcohol slightly increased $1.2 \%$. In looking at the results by grade, 8th grade lifetime use rates decreased for all substances.

In comparing the 2003 results for the APNA and the MTF to 2002 results, it appears that the differences in state and national use have increased as Arkansas use of cigarettes and smokeless tobacco is decreasing at a slower rate than national use. For example, in the 2002 survey, the difference between 10th grade Arkansas and national cigarette use was $6.5 \%$ (APNA 10th graders -- $53.9 \%$; MTF 10th graders -- $47.4 \%$ ). In the 2003 survey, the difference increased to $9.1 \%$ (APNA 10th graders -- $52.1 \%$; MTF 10 th graders -43.0\%). Similar increases in the difference in state and national use can be also be seen for 12th grade cigarette use, and 8th, 10th, and 12th grade smokeless tobacco use.

## Figure 16
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## Lifetime ATOD Use: 2003 Summary

- Lifetime use is seen as a good measure of youth experimentation with alcohol, tobacco, and other drugs.
- The most common substances used are alcohol, cigarettes, smokeless tobacco, marijuana, and inhalants.
- More Arkansas youth in all grades have had lifetime experience with cigarettes and smokeless tobacco than the national sample.
- Smokeless tobacco use for Arkansas youth who took the survey was $6.2 \%$ to $12.6 \%$ greater than the national sample for youth in grades 8,10 , and 12.
- Cigarette use was $7.3 \%$ to $9.1 \%$ greater in Arkansas.
- Arkansas youth in all grades used marijuana, hallucinogens, and ecstasy less than students who took the national MTF survey.
- Overall, rates of lifetime substance use were virtually unchanged since the 2002 survey.

Table 13
Percentage of Arkansas Respondents Who Used ATODs During Their Lifetime by Grade

| Drug Used | Arkansas Grade 6 |  | Arkansas Grade 8 |  | MTF Grade 8 |  | Arkansas Grade 10 |  | MTF <br> Grade 10 |  | Arkansas Grade 12 |  | MTF <br> Grade 12 |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 |
| Alcohol | 22.7 | 21.7 | 46.1 | 44.7 | 47.0 | 45.6 | 66.5 | 65.4 | 66.9 | 66.0 | 76.0 | 77.1 | 78.4 | 76.6 | 50.2 | 51.3 |
| Cigarettes | 18.1 | 17.5 | 39.4 | 36.0 | 31.4 | 28.4 | 53.9 | 52.1 | 47.4 | 43.0 | 62.6 | 61.0 | 57.2 | 53.7 | 41.3 | 41.0 |
| Smokeless Tobacco | 10.0 | 10.1 | 20.0 | 17.5 | 11.2 | 11.3 | 25.8 | 25.8 | 16.9 | 14.6 | 28.4 | 29.6 | 18.3 | 17.0 | 20.1 | 20.4 |
| Marijuana | 3.2 | 3.3 | 16.2 | 14.0 | 19.2 | 17.5 | 32.7 | 31.8 | 38.7 | 36.4 | 44.6 | 45.3 | 47.8 | 46.1 | 22.0 | 22.7 |
| Inhalants | 10.1 | 9.8 | 15.6 | 14.6 | 15.2 | 15.8 | 14.2 | 14.6 | 13.5 | 12.7 | 12.6 | 12.9 | 11.7 | 11.2 | 13.1 | 13.1 |
| Hallucinogens | 0.9 | 1.1 | 2.8 | 2.2 | 4.1 | 4.0 | 5.8 | 5.0 | 7.8 | 6.9 | 7.4 | 8.6 | 12.0 | 10.6 | 3.9 | 4.1 |
| Cocaine | 0.9 | 0.9 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 4.9 | 4.6 | 6.1 | 5.1 | 7.3 | 7.8 | 7.8 | 7.7 | 3.5 | 3.7 |
| Methamphetamines | 0.4 | 0.5 | 2.3 | 1.8 | 3.5 | 3.9 | 5.6 | 4.5 | 6.1 | 5.2 | 7.8 | 8.0 | 6.7 | 6.2 | 3.6 | 3.6 |
| Ecstasy | 0.6 | 0.5 | 2.9 | 2.0 | 4.3 | 3.2 | 5.2 | 4.9 | 6.6 | 5.4 | 7.5 | 6.8 | 10.5 | 8.3 | 3.7 | 3.4 |
| Any Drug | 12.8 | 12.8 | 26.5 | 24.3 | 24.5 | 22.8 | 38.5 | 37.7 | 44.6 | 41.4 | 47.9 | 48.9 | 53.0 | 51.1 | 29.9 | 30.5 |

## 30-Day ATOD Use, By Grade

## Arkansas 30-Day Usage

When looking at the percentage of students who indicated that they used ATODs in the past 30 days (Table 14 and Figure 18), an increase by grade can be seen with all substances except inhalants. For example, only $3.6 \%$ of 6 th graders had smoked cigarettes in the past 30 days, whereas the rate for 12th graders was $30.0 \%$. However, 30 -day inhalant usage peaked at grade $8(6.2 \%)$ and declined to $2.7 \%$ by grade 12 .

## Arkansas Results Compared to National Results

Table 14 on the following page shows the percentage of Arkansas survey participants and youth nationwide who used ATODs in the 30 days prior to completing the survey. More Arkansas youth have used cigarettes, smokeless tobacco, inhalants, and ecstasy in the past 30 days than the national sample. For cigarette use, $1.5 \%$ more Arkansas 8th graders used, $5.1 \%$ more 10 th graders used, and $5.6 \%$ more 12 th graders used. For smokeless tobacco, $3.2 \%$ more Arkansas 8 th graders, $5.9 \%$ more 10 th graders, and $6.3 \%$ more 12th graders used. Figure 19 clearly shows the difference in 30-day usage levels for the state and the nation for 10th grade students. A comparison of state and national results shows that Arkansas use rates of marijuana are lower than the use rates for the nation for grades 8,10 , and 12 .

## 2003 Results Compared to 2002 Results

Overall, rates of 30 -day substance use changed very little since the 2002 survey. As with lifetime use rates, 8th grade 30 -day substance use rates decreased for all substances. Sixth grade use rates also decreased slightly in the use of alcohol and 10th grade use decreased for alcohol, cigarettes, and marijuana.

## Figure 18
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## 30-Day ATOD Use: 2003 Summary

- For all substances except inhalants, use increases in increased grade level.
- More Arkansas survey participants in grades 8,10 , and 12 have used cigarettes and smokeless tobacco in the past 30 days than the national sample.
- For cigarette use, $1.5 \%$ more Arkansas 8th graders used, $5.1 \%$ more 10th graders used, and $5.6 \%$ more 12th graders used than in the MTF survey.
- For smokeless tobacco, 3.2\% more Arkansas 8th graders, $5.9 \%$ more 10th graders, and $6.3 \%$ more 12 th graders used.
- On a positive note, the 2003 Arkansas use rates of marijuana are lower than the use rates for the national MTF survey for grades 8,10 , and 12 .
- Overall, rates of 30-day substance use changed very little since the 2002 survey. As with lifetime use rates, 8th grade 30 -day substance use rates decreased for all substances.

Table 14
Percentage of Arkansas Respondents Who Used ATODs During the Past 30 Days by Grade

| Drug Used | Arkansas Grade 6 |  | Arkansas <br> Grade 8 |  | MTF Grade 8 |  | Arkansas Grade 10 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MTF } \\ & \text { Grade } 10 \end{aligned}$ |  | Arkansas Grade 12 |  | MTF <br> Grade 12 |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 |
| Alcohol | 8.0 | 6.6 | 22.7 | 19.7 | 19.6 | 19.7 | 39.0 | 37.2 | 35.4 | 35.4 | 47.7 | 48.0 | 48.6 | 47.5 | 27.3 | 27.1 |
| Cigarettes | 3.8 | 3.6 | 13.9 | 11.7 | 10.7 | 10.2 | 23.7 | 21.8 | 17.7 | 16.7 | 30.6 | 30.0 | 26.7 | 24.4 | 16.6 | 16.2 |
| Smokeless Tobacco | 2.9 | 3.1 | 7.9 | 7.3 | 3.3 | 4.1 | 11.2 | 11.2 | 6.1 | 5.3 | 11.6 | 13.0 | 6.5 | 6.7 | 8.0 | 8.5 |
| Marijuana | 1.3 | 1.5 | 8.3 | 5.9 | 8.3 | 7.5 | 16.3 | 15.2 | 17.8 | 17.0 | 20.6 | 20.6 | 21.5 | 21.2 | 10.6 | 10.3 |
| Inhalants | 4.9 | 4.4 | 6.2 | 6.2 | 3.8 | 4.1 | 4.3 | 4.8 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.7 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 4.6 | 4.6 |
| Hallucinogens | 0.4 | 0.4 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.9 | 2.6 | 2.3 | 1.8 | 1.3 | 1.5 |
| Cocaine | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 2.3 | 2.1 | 1.0 | 1.1 |
| Methamphetamines | 0.1 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 2.3 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 2.7 | 2.9 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 1.4 |
| Ecstasy | 0.2 | 0.1 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 1.4 | 0.7 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 2.4 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 1.1 |
| Any Drug | 6.4 | 5.9 | 13.4 | 11.5 | 10.4 | 9.7 | 19.8 | 19.1 | 20.8 | 19.5 | 22.6 | 22.8 | 25.4 | 24.1 | 14.9 | 14.6 |

## Lifetime ATOD Use by Gender

## Lifetime Usage

Tables 15 and 16 on the following page show the percentage of lifetime ATOD use for males and for females. Lifetime use is a measure of the experience that young people have had with the various substances. While being female is generally considered a protective factor for substance use, it can be seen that, of the Arkansas students who took the survey, males and females are very similar in their use of most substances and generally have substance use rates that are within one to three percent of each other. The exceptions are that males in all grades use much more smokeless tobacco, over three times the rate of females, and more use marijuana in each grade. Interestingly, an exception to the pattern appears in the 8th grade. Eighth grade male and female lifetime substance use rates are very similar, differing
only by $0.0 \%$ to $2.0 \%$ (not including smokeless tobacco). However, further examination of the use rates by gender indicates equal or higher use by females with females having slightly higher use rates in six of the ten substance categories. Despite this pattern in the 8th grade, however, more males than females in 10th and 12th grade consistently use substances. The differences in use begin to increase more in the higher grades. Such a finding indicates that females may be experimenting with drug use at equal or higher rates as males in the early grades, but in high school, males take over at the more dominant substance users.

In comparing the 2002 results to the 2003 results, total male and female lifetime use rates in 2003 were virtually identical to 2002 rates (see Figure 20). Male and female use increased slightly for alcohol (1.1\% increase for males and $1.0 \%$ increase for females).

Figure 20


Table 15

| Percentage of Males by Grade Who Used ATODs During Their Lifetime |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Grade 6 |  | Grade 8 |  | Grade 10 |  | Grade 12 |  | Total |  |
|  | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 |
| Alcohol | 26.7 | 24.8 | 47.2 | 44.6 | 65.9 | 65.2 | 76.0 | 78.0 | 51.3 | 52.3 |
| Cigarettes | 20.5 | 19.2 | 40.5 | 36.3 | 54.1 | 52.9 | 64.1 | 62.0 | 42.4 | 41.9 |
| Smokeless Tobacco | 15.2 | 15.4 | 30.3 | 27.4 | 41.6 | 39.6 | 49.2 | 47.8 | 32.2 | 32.0 |
| Marijuana | 4.5 | 4.4 | 19.9 | 15.9 | 35.2 | 35.0 | 48.5 | 48.7 | 24.5 | 25.1 |
| Inhalants | 10.9 | 11.2 | 15.2 | 13.6 | 13.5 | 14.1 | 14.9 | 15.4 | 13.5 | 13.5 |
| Hallucinogens | 1.1 | 1.4 | 3.4 | 2.0 | 6.4 | 5.4 | 8.7 | 10.3 | 4.5 | 4.6 |
| Cocaine | 1.1 | 1.2 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 4.9 | 4.8 | 8.6 | 8.5 | 3.7 | 4.0 |
| Methamphetamines | 0.5 | 0.5 | 2.4 | 1.8 | 5.2 | 4.3 | 7.9 | 8.1 | 3.6 | 3.5 |
| Ecstasy | 0.8 | 0.5 | 3.0 | 2.0 | 5.1 | 5.4 | 7.9 | 7.3 | 3.8 | 3.7 |
| Any Drug | 14.6 | 15.2 | 28.9 | 25.2 | 40.1 | 40.4 | 52.1 | 52.8 | 32.2 | 32.9 |

Table 16
Percentage of Females by Grade Who Used ATODs During Their Lifetime

| Drug Used | Grade 6 |  | Grade 8 |  | Grade 10 |  | Grade 12 |  | Total |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 |  |
| Alcohol | 19.0 | 18.7 | 45.3 | 44.5 | 67.1 | 65.5 | 76.0 | 76.2 | 49.4 | 50.4 |  |
| Cigarettes | 15.8 | 15.9 | 38.5 | 35.8 | 53.8 | 51.5 | 61.3 | 60.1 | 40.4 | 40.2 |  |
| Smokeless Tobacco | 5.1 | 5.2 | 10.2 | 8.1 | 11.5 | 13.2 | 10.6 | 12.0 | 9.1 | 9.5 |  |
| Marijuana | 2.0 | 2.2 | 12.8 | 12.1 | 30.3 | 29.0 | 41.1 | 42.2 | 19.7 | 20.4 |  |
| Inhalants | 9.3 | 8.4 | 15.8 | 15.4 | 14.8 | 15.2 | 10.6 | 10.7 | 12.6 | 12.6 |  |
| Hallucinogens | 0.8 | 0.9 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 5.2 | 4.7 | 6.2 | 6.9 | 3.4 | 3.6 |  |
| Cocaine | 0.7 | 0.6 | 2.6 | 2.4 | 4.9 | 4.5 | 6.2 | 7.2 | 3.3 | 3.5 |  |
| Methamphetamines | 0.3 | 0.6 | 2.2 | 1.8 | 5.9 | 4.7 | 7.6 | 8.0 | 3.7 | 3.6 |  |
| Ecstasy | 0.5 | 0.5 | 2.8 | 2.1 | 5.3 | 4.5 | 7.0 | 6.2 | 3.6 | 3.2 |  |
| Any Drug | 11.0 | 10.5 | 24.1 | 23.3 | 36.9 | 35.6 | 44.2 | 45.4 | 27.9 | 28.3 |  |

## 30-Day ATOD Use by Gender

## 30-Day Usage

Tables 17 and 18 on the following page show the percentage of ATOD use in the past 30 days by males and females in the four grades and the total for all males and all females. Again, rates are very similar and vary only by one to three percent. However, marijuana 30 -day usage rates for males were $3.7 \%$ higher than females ( $12.3 \%$ compared to $8.5 \%$ ). The 30 -day usage rate of smokeless tobacco is significantly higher for males ( $14.4 \%$ for males compared to $3.0 \%$ for females). Also, while 30 -day use rates of any drug and alcohol were similar for males and females in grades 6,8 , and 10 (with males using only $0.1 \%$ to $0.4 \%$ more), the difference between genders was larger in grade $12-10.6 \%$ more males used alcohol than females ( $53.4 \%$ compared to $42.8 \%$ ), and $7.7 \%$ more males used any drug ( $26.7 \%$ compared to $19.0 \%$ ).

As with lifetime substance use, 8th grade females had slightly higher use rates in six of the ten substance categories, indicating that females and males in the early grades are on more equal footing. However, the 30 -day use rates by gender show that males use much more than females in the high school grades. For example, in the 6th grade, the difference between male and female alcohol use was only $1.9 \%$; in the 8th grade, the difference was $2.0 \%$; in the 10th grade the difference was $2.9 \%$; and by the 12 th grade, the difference increased to $10.6 \%$.

In comparing male and female 30-day use in the 2003 survey to the 2002 survey, total male and female 30 -day use was also virtually unchanged, with use rates fluctuating only $0 \%$ to $0.3 \%$ for males and $0 \%$ to $0.8 \%$ for females.
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Table 17
Percentage of Males by Grade Who Used ATODs During The Past 30 Days

| Drug Used | Grade 6 |  | Grade 8 |  | Grade 10 |  | Grade 12 |  | Total |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 |
| Alcohol | 9.6 | 7.5 | 23.6 | 20.7 | 40.4 | 38.7 | 52.5 | 53.4 | 29.1 | 29.2 |
| Cigarettes | 4.5 | 4.2 | 14.3 | 11.5 | 24.0 | 23.1 | 33.0 | 31.3 | 17.3 | 17.0 |
| Smokeless Tobacco | 4.6 | 4.8 | 12.9 | 12.0 | 19.9 | 19.1 | 22.7 | 22.7 | 14.0 | 14.4 |
| Marijuana | 1.7 | 2.1 | 10.6 | 6.5 | 18.5 | 18.1 | 23.8 | 24.3 | 12.5 | 12.3 |
| Inhalants | 5.2 | 5.1 | 6.3 | 5.3 | 4.1 | 5.0 | 2.7 | 3.5 | 4.8 | 4.8 |
| Hallucinogens | 0.5 | 0.5 | 1.6 | 0.7 | 2.1 | 2.5 | 2.3 | 2.6 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| Cocaine | 0.5 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 1.1 | 1.1 |
| Methamphetamines | 0.1 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 2.3 | 1.9 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 1.4 | 1.3 |
| Ecstasy | 0.3 | 0.2 | 1.4 | 0.7 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 1.2 | 1.2 |
| Any Drug | 7.4 | 7.2 | 15.5 | 11.3 | 21.6 | 21.9 | 26.2 | 26.7 | 16.8 | 16.5 |

Table 18
Percentage of Females by Grade Who Used ATODs During The Past 30 Days

| Drug Used | Grade 6 |  | Grade 8 |  | Grade 10 |  | Grade 12 |  | Total |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 |
| Alcohol | 6.6 | 5.7 | 21.9 | 18.7 | 37.9 | 35.8 | 43.6 | 42.8 | 25.9 | 25.1 |
| Cigarettes | 3.1 | 3.0 | 13.6 | 11.8 | 23.4 | 20.8 | 28.5 | 28.7 | 16.0 | 15.6 |
| Smokeless Tobacco | 1.3 | 1.6 | 3.2 | 2.9 | 3.4 | 4.0 | 2.0 | 3.6 | 2.5 | 3.0 |
| Marijuana | 1.0 | 0.9 | 6.0 | 5.2 | 14.4 | 12.5 | 17.8 | 16.9 | 9.0 | 8.5 |
| Inhalants | 4.6 | 3.8 | 6.0 | 7.0 | 4.5 | 4.6 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 4.4 | 4.5 |
| Hallucinogens | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 2.5 | 1.2 | 1.5 |
| Cocaine | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 1.1 |
| Methamphetamines | 0.2 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 2.3 | 1.9 | 2.5 | 2.8 | 1.4 | 1.4 |
| Ecstasy | 0.1 | 0.1 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 0.9 |
| Any Drug | 5.5 | 4.7 | 11.4 | 11.4 | 18.2 | 16.5 | 19.5 | 19.0 | 13.1 | 12.8 |

## ATOD Use by Region and County

The State of Arkansas has 75 counties which are divided into 13 ATOD service regions. Several tables have been prepared which supply total region and county results for the 10 categories of substances. In Appendix F, results are provided for the substance use rates for the past 30 days and lifetime for each of the 11 participating regions and 41 participating counties in Arkansas.

The regions and counties differ in the percentage of youth who use ATODs, and Chi- Square statistical tests show that the differences between the regions and counties are significant ( $\mathrm{p}<.001$ ). However, comparisons between regions and counties must be made with caution because of the different number of students surveyed in each. For example, as can be seen in Table 19, all regions have a large enough survey response rate to conduct statistical analyses. However, in some of the regions a small percentage of the total number of students were surveyed. In those cases, generalizing the results to the entire region would be misleading. Therefore, data on risk and protective factor
levels and ATOD use should be interpreted with caution as the results for the students who actually completed the survey may not always be representative of the entire region. The same considerations should be taken into account when interpreting the county results.

The number of students in each grade should also be reviewed when examining region and county data. For example, in Region 8 (as seen in Table 19) a larger population of students in grades 6 and 8 ( 375 and 407 respectively) participated in the survey than in grades 10 and 12 (268 and 225). Because older students tend to have a higher rate of ATOD use than younger students, the total results for Region 8 would be expected to show lower ATOD use than if there was a more equal representation in all grades.

Tables reporting use by region and county are located in Appendix F of this report. These tables show the total percentage of students in each participating region and county who used each substance.

Table 19
Total Number and Percentage of Survey Respondents by Grade and Participating Region for 2003 Survey

|  | Grade 6 |  | Grade 8 |  | Grade 10 |  | Grade 12 |  | 2003 Total |  | 2002 Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% |
| 1 | 656 | 14.7 | 949 | 18.0 | 777 | 17.2 | 800 | 20.3 | 3,182 | 17.5 | 3,913 | 15.6 |
| 2 | 112 | 2.5 | 151 | 2.9 | 140 | 3.1 | 95 | 2.4 | 498 | 2.7 | --- | --- |
| 3 | 123 | 2.8 | 139 | 2.6 | 152 | 3.4 | 125 | 3.2 | 539 | 3.0 | 602 | 2.4 |
| 4 | 1,407 | 31.6 | 1,296 | 24.6 | 1,115 | 24.8 | 995 | 25.3 | 4,813 | 26.5 | 4,784 | 19.1 |
| 5 | 877 | 19.7 | 957 | 18.2 | 906 | 30.1 | 704 | 17.9 | 3,444 | 19.0 | 1,628 | 6.5 |
| 6 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 7 | 114 | 2.6 | 145 | 2.8 | 126 | 2.8 | 151 | 3.8 | 536 | 3.0 | 410 | 1.6 |
| 8 | 375 | 8.4 | 407 | 7.7 | 268 | 5.9 | 225 | 5.7 | 1,275 | 7.0 | 1,717 | 6.9 |
| 9 | 140 | 3.1 | 147 | 2.8 | 210 | 4.7 | 154 | 3.9 | 651 | 3.6 | 6,543 | 26.1 |
| 10 | 147 | 3.3 | 364 | 6.9 | 279 | 6.2 | 268 | 6.8 | 1,058 | 5.8 | 1,770 | 7.1 |
| 11 | 353 | 7.9 | 502 | 9.5 | 386 | 8.6 | 329 | 8.4 | 1,570 | 8.7 | 1,170 | 4.7 |
| 12 | 145 | 3.3 | 203 | 3.9 | 146 | 3.2 | 88 | 2.2 | 582 | 3.2 | 1,146 | 4.6 |
| 13 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | 1,373 | 5.5 |
| Total | 4,449 | 100.0 | 5,260 | 100.0 | 4,505 | 100.0 | 3,934 | 100.0 | 18,148 | 100.0 | 25,056 | 100.0 |

[^1]
## Intention to Use ATODs

Youth were asked whether they would use cigarettes, alcohol, or marijuana when they became an adult. The response categories were NO!, no, yes, and YES! The percentages of students in each grade answering "YES" or "yes" to the questions are listed in Table 20.

As can be seen, a majority of the youth do not intend to use cigarettes or marijuana, though over half ( $53.6 \%$ ) of high school seniors intend to use alcohol.

The intention to use all substances generally increases as youth get older. Intention to use cigarettes, alcohol, and marijuana in 2003 peaked in grade 12.

Just as with substance use rates, students' intentions to use ATODs increase the most after grade 6. From the 6th grade to the 8th grade, intention to smoke cigarettes nearly doubles (from $5.9 \%$ in the 6 th grade to $10.5 \%$ in the 8 th grade), intention to drink alcohol doubles (from $11.6 \%$ in the 6th grade to $29.9 \%$ in the 8th grade), and intention to smoke marijuana nearly quadruples (from $1.7 \%$ in the 6 th grade to $6.7 \%$ in the 8 th grade). Youth need prevention programs prior to the onset of substance use and then at regular intervals to maintain low rates of substance use and intention to use.

In comparing the two years of survey data, the results show little change in the intention to use substances. Tenth grade intention to smoke cigarettes decreased $1.1 \%$ since the 2002 survey, and 10th grade intentions to smoke marijuana decreased $1.9 \%$. However, students intentions to drink alcohol when they become adults did increase in several grades since the 2002 survey. Intention to drink alcohol decreased $9.9 \%$ in the 6th grade, but increased $1.1 \%$ for the 10 th grade, and $2.6 \%$ for the 12th grade.

Table 20
Percentage of Youth with Intention to Use ATODs

| Question | Grade 6 |  | Grade 8 |  | Grade 10 |  | Grade 12 |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 |
| Smoke Cigarettes | 6.0 | 5.9 | 12.7 | 10.5 | 15.7 | 14.6 | 18.2 | 18.0 | 12.7 | 12.1 |
| Drink Alcohol | 21.5 | 11.6 | 29.7 | 29.9 | 45.4 | 46.5 | 51.0 | 53.6 | 33.0 | 35.1 |
| Smoke Marijuana | 1.7 | 1.7 | 8.5 | 6.7 | 13.7 | 11.8 | 13.6 | 14.0 | 9.0 | 8.5 |
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## Multiple Drug Use

The percentage of youth who use various substances individually and in combination with other substances is shown in Table 21. "Any Substance" is defined as using one or more of the nine substances measured by the survey. The percentage of students in grade 12 who used at least one substance in the 30 days prior to completing the survey was $57.3 \%$. The categories of alcohol, marijuana, and tobacco are contained in other tables in this report, but are shown here for reference. For most substances, there is a large increase in the use rate from grade 6 to grade 8 , and from 8 to grade 10 , after which there is a smaller increase from grade 10 to grade 12 . These findings indicate that efforts to prevent substance use must start before grade 8 and include booster sessions in grades 8 and 9 to help prevent the increase in drug use as students move into high school.

Many of the individuals who use marijuana also use alcohol. For example, the total percentage using marijuana is $10.3 \%$ and those using alcohol and marijuana is $8.5 \%$. Thus, only $1.8 \%$ of those using marijuana do not also use alcohol. A review of tobacco use and any drug use during the past 30 days shows that over one-half of the youth who use tobacco also use an illegal drug ( $19.6 \%$ tobacco use compared to $8.9 \%$ tobacco and any drug use). Reviewing the use of alcohol with other drugs and tobacco with other drugs shows that most of the youth use one other drug besides alcohol and tobacco, which is mostly marijuana.

Table 21

| Percentage Using Multiple Drugs in the Past 30 Days (2003) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Grade 6 | Grade 8 | Grade 10 | Grade 12 | Total |  |
| Any Substance | 13.4 | 29.1 | 46.6 | 57.3 | 36.2 |  |
| Alcohol | 6.6 | 19.7 | 37.2 | 48.0 | 27.1 |  |
| Cigarettes | 3.6 | 11.7 | 21.8 | 30.0 | 16.2 |  |
| Smokeless Tobacco | 3.1 | 7.3 | 11.2 | 13.0 | 8.5 |  |
| Tobacco (cig. or smokeless) | 5.3 | 15.0 | 26.1 | 34.4 | 19.6 |  |
| Marijuana | 1.5 | 5.9 | 15.2 | 20.6 | 10.3 |  |
| Tobacco and Alcohol | 2.3 | 9.2 | 19.4 | 26.8 | 13.9 |  |
| Tobacco and Marijuana | 1.0 | 4.4 | 10.9 | 14.6 | 7.4 |  |
| Alcohol and Marijuana | 0.8 | 4.5 | 12.7 | 17.7 | 8.5 |  |
| Marijuana and Tobacco and Alcohol (all three) | 0.7 | 3.7 | 9.4 | 12.8 | 6.3 |  |
| Alcohol and Any Other Drug | 2.0 | 6.9 | 14.8 | 19.3 | 10.4 |  |
| Alcohol and Any 1 Other Drug | 1.4 | 4.8 | 10.1 | 13.7 | 7.2 |  |
| Alcohol and Any 2 Other Drugs | 0.3 | 1.1 | 2.7 | 3.3 | 1.8 |  |
| Tobacco and Any Other Drug | 1.7 | 6.3 | 12.6 | 15.9 | 8.9 |  |
| Tobacco and Any 1 Other Drug | 1.1 | 4.4 | 8.5 | 11.2 | 6.1 |  |
| Tobacco and Any 2 Other Drugs | 0.3 | 1.1 | 2.4 | 2.6 | 1.6 |  |
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## Perceived Harmfulness of ATODs

When students perceive that a substance is harmful, they are less likely to use it. The APNA survey asked students, "How much do you think people risk harming themselves (physically or in other ways) if they" smoked cigarettes heavily, tried marijuana, smoked marijuana regularly, or drank alcohol regularly. Response categories were that the previously named substance categories placed them at "No Risk," "Slight Risk," "Moderate Risk," or "Great Risk."

In all grades, a larger percentage of Arkansas survey participants than MTF survey participants perceived greater harmfulness in smoking marijuana once or twice and drinking alcohol regularly. In all grades within these two categories, there was a $2.9 \%$ to $13.0 \%$ difference in the rate of students in Arkansas and nationally that perceived harmfulness. The greatest difference is seen in the percent of students who perceived "Great risk" in drinking one or two alcoholic beverages every day. While only $20.1 \%$ of 12 th grade students in the national survey perceived harmfulness, $33.1 \%$ of Arkansas 12th grade students perceived harmfulness. However, for perceived harmfulness of smoking marijuana regularly, Arkansas students in all grades perceived less risk in this category than did students nationwide. Also, Arkansas students in grades 10 and 12 perceived less harmfulness in smoking one or more packs of cigarettes per day than did national 10th and 12th graders. Such a finding is consistent with the higher cigarette use by Arkansas youth.

In comparing 2002 and 2003 survey data, the results show that perceived harmfulness of heavy cigarette smoking, trying marijuana, and regular
marijuana smoking increased for all grades. Perceived harmfulness increased in all grades for smoking one or more packs of cigarettes per day (increases of $1.6 \%$ to $4.4 \%$ ), in all grades for trying marijuana once or twice (increases of $0.9 \%$ to $3.4 \%$ ), and in all grades for smoking marijuana regularly (increases of $1.4 \%$ to $4.1 \%$ ). While perceived harmfulness of drinking alcohol regularly increased in the 6 th grade, it decreased $2.7 \%$ in the 12 th grade.

## Figure 24



Table 22
Percentage of Arkansas and Monitoring the Future Respondents Who Perceive that Using the Four Categories of Substances Places People at "Great Risk"

| Question | Arkansas Grade 6 |  | Arkansas Grade 8 |  | Grade 8 MTF |  | Arkansas Grade 10 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Grade } 10 \\ \text { MTF } \end{gathered}$ |  | Arkansas Grade 12 |  | Grade 12 MTF |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 |
| Smoke one or more packs of cigarettes per day | 61.8 | 65.5 | 58.5 | 62.9 | 57.5 | 57.7 | 58.6 | 60.9 | 64.3 | 65.7 | 60.2 | 61.8 | 74.2 | 72.1 | 59.8 | 62.8 |
| Try marijuana once or twice | 49.4 | 51.9 | 39.2 | 42.6 | 28.2 | 30.2 | 26.8 | 28.1 | 19.9 | 21.1 | 20.9 | 21.8 | 16.1 | 16.1 | 35.5 | 36.7 |
| Smoke marijuana regularly | 76.1 | 77.9 | 69.5 | 73.6 | 71.7 | 74.2 | 56.2 | 59.4 | 60.8 | 63.9 | 49.5 | 50.9 | 53.0 | 54.9 | 64.1 | 66.1 |
| Drink one or two alcoholic beverages nearly every day | 45.0 | 46.7 | 38.2 | 38.7 | 29.6 | 29.9 | 34.7 | 33.8 | 31.0 | 30.9 | 35.8 | 33.1 | 21.0 | 20.1 | 38.8 | 38.2 |

## Perceived Availability of ATODs

Availability of ATODs has been linked to substance abuse and violence. On the survey questionnaire, a question asked if the participant wanted to get the substances listed in Table 23, "how easy would it be to get some." The response choices were, "Very Hard," "Sort of Hard," "Sort of Easy," and "Very Easy." Table 21 contains the percentage of youth who reported that it was "Sort of Easy" or "Very Easy" to get the substances. The results reveal that Arkansas survey participants do not perceive any type of drug as being as easy to get as do the youth from the national sample. In all categories, and for all grades, there is a $12.5 \%$ to $31.0 \%$ difference in perceived availability between Arkansas results and national results. This difference is illustrated in Figure 25 , which looks at the perceived availability of students in grades 8,10 , and 12 in the Arkansas and national surveys. The substance that students perceive as most easy to get is cigarettes.

In comparing 2002 data to 2003 data, perceived availability was virtually unchanged in the 2003 survey in grade 6 and for the overall survey population. However, perceived availability of cigarettes decreased $2.3 \%$ to $3.7 \%$ for grades 8,10 , and 12 ; perceived availability of marijuana decreased $2.7 \%$ to $5.1 \%$ for grades 8,10 , and 12 ; and perceived availability of other drugs decreased $2.1 \%$ to $5.6 \%$ in grade 8,10 , and 12 .

Figure 25


Table 23
Percentage of Arkansas and Monitoring the Future Respondents Who Perceive the Four Substances as "Sort of Easy" or "Very Easy" to Get

|  | Arkansas Grade 6 |  | Arkansas Grade 8 |  | Grade 8 MTF |  | Arkansas Grade 10 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Grade } 10 \\ \text { MTF } \end{gathered}$ |  | Arkansas Grade 12 |  | Grade 12 MTF |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 |
| Cigarettes | 24.8 | 24.5 | 46.8 | 43.1 | 64.3 | 63.1 | 71.3 | 68.0 | 83.3 | 80.7 | 87.8 | 85.5 | N/A | N/A | 54.9 | 54.6 |
| Alcoholic beverage | 17.2 | 15.9 | 38.4 | 36.0 | 67.9 | 67.0 | 63.1 | 61.6 | 84.8 | 83.4 | 78.0 | 75.8 | 94.7 | 94.2 | 46.6 | 46.6 |
| Marijuana | 9.1 | 8.5 | 30.9 | 25.8 | 46.6 | 44.8 | 61.3 | 58.6 | 75.9 | 73.9 | 77.9 | 74.6 | 87.2 | 87.1 | 41.9 | 41.2 |
| Cocaine, LSD, or Amphetamines | 5.9 | 5.6 | 14.4 | 12.1 | N/A | N/A | 26.9 | 24.8 | N/A | N/A | 39.1 | 33.5 | N/A | N/A | 20.0 | 18.6 |

## Heavy Substance Use and Other Antisocial Behavior by Grade and Gender

Male-female differences also extend to heavy use of alcohol and tobacco and antisocial behavior. Figure 26 and Table 24 show that males engage in all these behaviors more than females. Some of the biggest differences were in being suspended from school ( $13.9 \%$ for males compared to $6.8 \%$ for females) and binge drinking ( $18.8 \%$ for males compared to $13.2 \%$ for females). As with substance use, male-female differences in antisocial behavior tend to increase with increased grade level. For example, in the 6th grade, the difference in male and female reported rates of binge drinking was only $1.4 \%$. In the 8 th grade, the difference was $2.4 \%$; in the 10 th grade, the difference was $5.8 \%$; and in the 12th grade, the difference was $13.9 \%$.

Table 24, which contains rates of heavy substance use and antisocial behavior, shows that unlike ATOD usage, antisocial behavior doesn't always increase by increased grade level. The rate of students being suspended from school peaked in grade 8 . The rate of stealing a vehicle peaked in grade 10 . Rates of being drunk or high at school, binge drinking, regular cigarette use, being arrested, and selling illegal drugs peaked in grade 12 .

Overall, binge drinking appears to be the biggest antisocial problem among Arkansas youth with $15.9 \%$ of students binge drinking at least once in the past two weeks. The results indicate that for Arkansas 6th and 8th graders, the biggest antisocial problem is being suspended ( $7.7 \%$ of 6 th graders, $12.5 \%$ of 8 th graders). The least amount of 6 th and 8 th graders are involved in regular cigarette use ( $0.3 \%$ of 6 th graders, $1.2 \%$ of 8 th graders). The antisocial behaviors that 10th and 12th graders participated in the most were binge drinking ( $22.2 \%$ of 10th graders, $30.5 \%$ of 12 th graders) and being drunk or high at school ( $16.8 \%$ of 10th graders, $21.2 \%$ of 12th graders). The behavior that the fewest 10th graders participated in was regular cigarette smoking ( $3.3 \%$ ), and for the 12th graders it was stealing a vehicle ( $1.9 \%$ ).
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Overall, male and female engagement in antisocial behaviors showed almost no change from 2002 to 2003. For the entire survey population, antisocial behavior rates also showed little to no change. Some examples of the small changes can be found in looking at rates of binge drinking, which increased $0.7 \%$ (from $15.2 \%$ in 2002 to $15.9 \%$ in 2003); and reported arrest rates, which increased $0.5 \%$ (from $4.8 \%$ in 2002 to $5.3 \%$ in 2003).

## Table 24

Percentage of Males, Females, and the State Total That Engaged in Heavy Substance Use and Antisocial Behavior In the Past Year

| Drug Used / Antisocial Behavior | Grade 6 |  |  |  |  |  | Grade 8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Males |  | Females |  | State |  | Males |  | Females |  | State |  |
|  | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 |
| Binge drinking | 4.2 | 4.2 | 2.4 | 2.9 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 13.7 | 11.1 | 10.2 | 8.7 | 11.9 | 9.9 |
| Pack / day cigarettes | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 2.0 | 1.6 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 1.5 | 1.2 |
| Suspended from school | 12.6 | 12.0 | 3.9 | 3.7 | 8.1 | 7.7 | 17.6 | 16.6 | 8.4 | 8.6 | 13.0 | 12.5 |
| Drunk or high at school | 3.0 | 2.8 | 1.9 | 1.4 | 2.5 | 2.1 | 10.0 | 8.2 | 8.8 | 8.2 | 9.4 | 8.3 |
| Sold illegal drugs | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 4.4 | 3.8 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 2.8 | 2.7 |
| Stolen a vehicle | 1.5 | 1.7 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 3.6 | 3.7 | 1.9 | 2.2 | 2.7 | 2.9 |
| Been arrested | 3.3 | 2.8 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 2.0 | 1.7 | 7.3 | 6.4 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 5.2 | 4.8 |
| Drug Used / Antisocial Behavior | Grade 10 |  |  |  |  |  | Grade 12 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Males |  | Females |  | State |  | Males |  | Females |  | State |  |
|  | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 |
| Binge drinking | 25.9 | 25.4 | 18.1 | 19.5 | 21.8 | 22.2 | 37.0 | 37.6 | 23.0 | 23.8 | 29.5 | 30.5 |
| Pack / day cigarettes | 4.1 | 4.0 | 2.6 | 2.7 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 7.7 | 6.9 | 4.7 | 4.5 | 6.1 | 5.7 |
| Suspended from school | 15.5 | 14.9 | 9.3 | 8.5 | 12.3 | 11.6 | 11.5 | 11.2 | 5.6 | 5.9 | 8.3 | 8.5 |
| Drunk or high at school | 19.2 | 19.2 | 16.2 | 14.6 | 17.6 | 16.8 | 26.4 | 25.8 | 16.6 | 16.8 | 21.1 | 21.2 |
| Sold illegal drugs | 10.0 | 10.5 | 5.0 | 4.0 | 7.4 | 7.1 | 13.3 | 12.3 | 5.5 | 6.8 | 9.1 | 9.5 |
| Stolen a vehicle | 3.7 | 5.2 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 3.3 | 4.0 | 2.8 | 2.6 | 0.9 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 1.9 |
| Been arrested | 8.7 | 10.3 | 4.6 | 4.5 | 6.5 | 7.3 | 9.4 | 10.2 | 3.3 | 5.3 | 6.2 | 7.7 |
| Drug Used / Antisocial Behavior | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Males |  |  |  | Females |  |  |  | State |  |  |  |
|  | 2002 |  | 2003 |  | 2002 |  | 2003 |  | 2002 |  | 2003 |  |
| Binge drinking | 18.3 |  | 18.8 |  | 12.5 |  | 13.2 |  | 15.2 |  | 15.9 |  |
| Pack / day cigarettes | 3.1 |  | 3.1 |  | 2.0 |  | 1.9 |  | 2.5 |  | 2.5 |  |
| Suspended from school | 14.5 |  | 13.9 |  | 6.8 |  | 6.8 |  | 10.5 |  | 10.2 |  |
| Drunk or high at school | 13.4 |  | 13.5 |  | 10.2 |  | 10.0 |  | 11.7 |  | 11.7 |  |
| Sold illegal drugs | 6.4 |  | 6.6 |  | 2.8 |  | 3.0 |  | 4.5 |  | 4.7 |  |
| Stolen a vehicle | 2.9 |  | 3.3 |  | 1.6 |  | 1.8 |  | 2.2 |  | 2.5 |  |
| Been arrested | 6.9 |  | 5.5 |  | 2.9 |  | 3.3 |  | 4.8 |  | 5.3 |  |

## Handguns and Violence

The issue of youth handgun carrying is becoming a serious concern of communities, schools, and families. The APNA survey has several questions about handguns and violent behavior. Table 25 lists the questions concerning possession of handguns by grade, and Table 26 lists questions concerning violence. It is clear that responses to most of the questions show a very low percentage of students who carry handguns or take them to school. However, with such subject matter, even low percentages should be taken seriously by schools and communities. For example, $0.7 \%$ of the students surveyed reported having taken a handgun to school in the past 12 months. In regard to carrying a handgun in general, $5.2 \%$ of students surveyed reported having carried a handgun in the past 12 months, and $6.0 \%$ of students surveyed reported having carried a handgun in their lifetime. Further, many students believe that they wouldn't be caught by their parents ( $23.2 \%$ ) or by the police $(48.6 \%)$ if they carried a handgun. On a more positive note, however, only $4.4 \%$ of students think that they would be seen as cool if they carried a handgun. Most students (73.5\%) also perceived that it would be difficult to get a handgun if they wanted one.

When looking at the results by grade, it's interesting to note that 10th graders reported the highest rate of taking a handgun to school in the past year, and carrying a handgun in their lifetime. Twelfth graders reported the highest rate of believing it was easy to get a gun and that their parent's wouldn't know if they carried a handgun.

Rates of students reporting that they have carried a handgun in the past year and in their lifetime were virtually unchanged since the 2002 survey. However, the rate of perceived availability of handguns (percent of students believing that it was "Very Easy" or "Sort of Easy" to get a handgun) showed a positive decrease in all grades since the 2002 survey. Also, the percentage of students who believed that the police wouldn't catch them if they carried a handgun decreased $2.4 \%$ to $3.3 \%$ in grade $6,8,10$, and 12 .
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Table 25
Number and Percentage of Youth Who Responded to Questions About Handguns

|  | 6th Grade |  | 8th Grade | 10 th Grade | 12th Grade |  | Total |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 |
| Taken a Handgun to School in Past 12 <br> Months | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.7 |
| Carried a Handgun in the Past 12 Months | 4.1 | 4.3 | 5.9 | 5.1 | 4.8 | 6.4 | 5.1 | 5.1 | 4.9 | 5.2 |
| Carried a Handgun - Lifetime | 4.1 | 4.5 | 6.4 | 5.7 | 5.8 | 7.0 | 6.1 | 7.0 | 5.5 | 6.0 |
| Very Easy or Sort of Easy to Get a Handgun | 15.3 | 15.2 | 26.2 | 22.7 | 32.4 | 31.8 | 40.1 | 36.9 | 27.4 | 26.5 |
| Not At All Wrong to Take a Handgun to <br> School | 0.5 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.9 |
| Very or Pretty Good Chance You Would Be <br> Seen As Cool if You Carried a Handgun | 4.5 | 4.6 | 5.5 | 5.2 | 3.7 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 3.1 | 4.2 | 4.4 |
| Parents Wouldn't Know if You Carried a <br> Handgun | 13.3 | 13.0 | 21.3 | 18.2 | 28.4 | 27.8 | 36.2 | 33.8 | 24.1 | 23.2 |
| Police Wouldn't Catch Kid Carrying a <br> Handgun | 31.2 | 28.8 | 49.9 | 46.5 | 60.8 | 58.4 | 64.5 | 61.2 | 50.2 | 48.6 |

The Arkansas PNA Survey also asked several questions about youths' violent behaviors and attitudes towards violence. Table 26 and Figure 28 show the questions that relate to violence. A review of the responses reveals that $15.6 \%$ of the youth in Arkansas have attacked someone with the idea of seriously hurting them at some time in their life, and over one in ten ( $12.1 \%$ ) have attacked someone in the past 12 months. However, only a small percentage (4.1\%) believe that it isn't at all wrong to attack someone to seriously hurt them. Though these results show that violent students are the minority, there's no denying that there are many youth in Arkansas who believe that violence is an acceptable way to resolve problems and are willing to hurt another person.

When looking at the results by grade, it appears that 8th and 10th graders have the most problems with violent behavior and attitudes. More 10th graders had attacked someone in the past year (14.0\%), and believed it was okay to attack someone (5.1\%). Eighth graders had the highest rates of believing it was not wrong at all to pick a fight $(8.5 \%)$, and of belonging to a gang $(8.0 \%)$. As 8th and 10th graders also showed the highest rates of some behaviors related to handguns, it seems that middle school aged children should be the target group for antisocial behavior prevention programs.

For the total survey population and for grades 6,10 , and 12 , the reported rates of belonging to a gang increased $1.0 \%$ to $1.9 \%$ since the 2002 survey. The only rate to decrease for all grades since the 2002 survey was percentage of students who felt unsafe at school. The percentage of students feeling unsafe at school decreased 2.0\% for the 6th grade (from $15.8 \%$ in 2002 to $13.8 \%$ in 2003), $3.7 \%$ for the 8th grade (from $26.3 \%$ in 2002 to $22.6 \%$ in 2003), $2.7 \%$ for the 10th grade (from $25.7 \%$ in 2002 to $23.0 \%$ in 2003), $3.5 \%$ for the 12th grade (from $20.6 \%$ in 2002 to $17.1 \%$ in 2003), and $2.6 \%$ overall (From $22.0 \%$ in 2002 to $19.4 \%$ in 2003).
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Table 26

| Number and Percentage of Youth Who Responded to Questions About Violence and Gangs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 6th Grade |  | 8th Grade |  | 10th Grade |  | 12th Grade |  | Total |  |
|  | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 |
| Attacked Someone to Seriously Hurt Them in Their Lifetime | 9.9 | 9.9 | 17.1 | 15.8 | 18.3 | 18.4 | 17.6 | 18.6 | 15.4 | 15.6 |
| Attacked Someone to Seriously Hurt Them in Past 12 Months | 8.3 | 8.5 | 14.4 | 13.1 | 13.6 | 14.0 | 11.4 | 12.7 | 11.9 | 12.1 |
| Not At All Wrong to Attack Someone to Seriously Hurt Them | 2.1 | 2.4 | 4.7 | 4.6 | 4.6 | 5.1 | 4.2 | 4.1 | 3.8 | 4.1 |
| Not At All Wrong to Pick a Fight | 4.4 | 5.0 | 9.0 | 8.5 | 7.1 | 7.0 | 5.6 | 5.8 | 6.5 | 6.7 |
| I Do Not Feel Safe At My School (response of "NO" or "no" to the statement "I feel safe at my school") | 15.8 | 13.8 | 26.3 | 22.6 | 25.7 | 23.0 | 20.6 | 17.1 | 22.0 | 19.4 |
| If a Person Pushes You, Push Them Back | 10.3 | 11.0 | 15.6 | 14.0 | 14.3 | 14.8 | 11.4 | 13.0 | 12.9 | 13.3 |
| Have You Ever Belonged to a Gang? | 6.1 | 7.1 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 5.8 | 7.7 | 4.4 | 5.6 | 6.2 | 7.2 |

# Section 4: Interpretation of Results 

## Academic Performance and Substance Use

Table 27 and Figure 29 show a clear relationship between substance use and academic performance. Of the students who report getting better grades, fewer have tried ATODs and fewer are currently using ATODs than those who report poorer grades. Failing (F) students are approximately two times more likely to have used alcohol, five times more likely to have used cigarettes in the past 30 days, six times more likely to have indicated use of marijuana in the past 30 days, and five times more likely to have used any drug in the past 30 days than "A" students. Similar and more dramatic differences can be seen for individual drugs.

Obviously, the students getting A's are more invested in the education process and more bonded to school. The challenge of prevention programs is to develop methods of keeping all students interested in learning and feeling attached to school. A survey of 1,000 youth on probation in Utah found that even though the probationers received poor grades and were often suspended from school, they still believed that education was important. Thus, many youth with lower grades have not given up on school and the education process, but are not able to succeed in a traditional school setting.

Table 27

| Percentage Using ATODs by Academic Performance (2003) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Drugs Used |  |  |  |  |  |  | Academic Grades |  |  |  |  |
|  | Mostly A's | Mostly B's | Mostly C's | Mostly D's | Mostly F's |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 40.6 | 54.9 | 61.2 | 63.8 | 63.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 18.3 | 28.5 | 36.4 | 38.9 | 43.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4.7 | 9.8 | 17.0 | 22.0 | 28.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 7.8 | 15.8 | 25.8 | 32.8 | 39.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Any Drug Lifetime | 18.8 | 31.2 | 42.7 | 50.4 | 56.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Any Drug 30 Days | 7.6 | 14.1 | 22.3 | 29.6 | 38.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Figure 29


## Parents ${ }^{\prime}$ Education and Youth Substance Use

Research has shown that one of the best indicators of socioeconomic level is the parents' education. While the father's education is shown in Table 28, analysis using the mother's education shows similar results.

Like academic grades, there is a direct relationship between parent education and drug use, with lower levels of parent education corresponding with higher levels of youth drug use. In Arkansas, youth whose fathers did not graduate from high school have approximately double the use rate of cigarettes, $8.0 \%$ higher alcohol 30 -day use rates, $7.2 \%$ higher marijuana 30 -day use rates, and $9.8 \%$ higher any drug 30 -day use rates than youth whose fathers were college graduates. Trends for all education levels can be seen on the following page in Figure 30. Thus, higher socioeconomic levels appear to be related to less substance use among all categories of drugs.

Table 28

| Percentage Using ATODs by Fathers' Education (2003) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Drugs Used |  | Father's Education |  |  |  |  |
|  | Not Graduated <br> High School | Graduated <br> High School | Some <br> College | College <br> Graduate | Graduate <br> School |  |
| Alcohol Lifetime | 64.5 | 55.7 | 56.6 | 48.8 | 50.5 |  |
| Alcohol 30 Days | 35.6 | 30.3 | 31.8 | 25.9 | 27.6 |  |
| Marijuana 30 Days | 16.0 | 11.2 | 12.3 | 8.6 | 8.8 |  |
| Cigarettes 30 Days | 25.6 | 17.9 | 18.5 | 13.3 | 12.3 |  |
| Any Drug Lifetime | 43.0 | 32.3 | 33.9 | 26.7 | 24.9 |  |
| Any Drug 30 Days | 21.3 | 15.6 | 15.8 | 11.9 | 11.5 |  |

Figure 30


## Marijuana Use in Relation to Perceived Parental Acceptability

When parents have favorable attitudes toward drugs, they influence the attitudes and behavior of their children. For example, parental approval of young people's moderate drinking, even under parental supervision, increases the risk of the young person using marijuana. Further, in families where parents involve children in their own drug or alcohol behavior, for example, asking the child to light the parent's cigarette or to get the parent a beer, there is an increased likelihood that their children will become drug abusers in adolescence.

Table 29 and Figure 31 illustrate how even a small amount of perceived parental acceptability can lead to substance use. In the Arkansas PNA Survey, students were asked how wrong their parents felt it was to use different ATODs. The table to the right displays the percentage of students who have used marijuana in their lifetime and in the past 30 days in relation to their responses about their parents' acceptance of marijuana use.

As can be seen, relatively few students ( $17.4 \%$ lifetime, $6.3 \%$ 30 -day) use marijuana when their parents think it is "Very Wrong" to use it. In contrast, when a student believes that their parents agree with use somewhat (i.e. the parent only believes that it is "Wrong" not "Very Wrong") use skyrockets to $67.3 \%$ for lifetime use and $37.6 \%$ for 30 -day use. Rates of use continue to increase as the perceived parental acceptability increases.

These results make a strong argument for the importance of parents having strong and clear standards and rules when it comes to ATOD use.

Table 29

| Marijuana Use in Relation to Perceived Parental Acceptability of Use (2003 ) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| How wrong do your parents feel it would be <br> for you to smoke marijuana? | Has Used Marijuana <br> As Least Once in Lifetime | Has Used Marijuana <br> As Least Once in Past 30 Days |
| Very Wrong | 17.4 | 6.3 |
| Wrong | 67.3 | 37.6 |
| A Little Bit Wrong | 81.4 | 56.4 |
| Not Wrong At All | 75.6 | 61.8 |

Figure 31


## Marijuana Use in Relation to Perceived Peer Acceptability

During the elementary school years, children usually express anti-drug, anti-crime, and pro-social attitudes. They have difficulty imagining why people use drugs, commit crimes, and drop out of school. In middle school, as others they know participate in such activities, their attitudes often shift toward greater acceptance of these behaviors. This places students at higher risk. The results provided in the following table and figure illustrate the relation between peer acceptability and individual drug use.

As with perceived parental acceptability, the slightest perceived peer acceptability seriously increases the chance that a student will use ATODs. In this section, lifetime and 30 -day marijuana use results are looked at in relation to what students thought were their chances of being seen as cool if they used marijuana.

When students thought there was "No or very little chance" that they would be seen as cool if they used marijuana, only $12.1 \%$ had tried marijuana in their lifetime and only $4.2 \%$ had used it in the last month. However, when students thought that there was even a "Little chance" that they would be seen as cool, marijuana use rates were over three times higher for lifetime use $(44.5 \%)$ and over four times higher for past-month use (19.5\%). Students who thought that there was a "Very good chance" they would be seen as cool, were over eight times more likely to use marijuana than students who perceived that marijuana use was not cool.

These results better illustrate how peer acceptability puts youth at risk for ATOD use, and suggests that a good way to decrease use is to get students to decrease acceptability of drugs.

Table 30
Marijuana Use in Relation to Perceived Peer Acceptability of Use (2003)

| What are your chances you <br> would be seen as cool if you smoked <br> marijuana? | Has Used Marijuana <br> As Least Once in Lifetime | Has Used Marijuana <br> As Least Once in Past 30 Days |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| No or very little chance | 12.1 | 4.2 |
| Little chance | 44.5 | 19.5 |
| Some chance | 49.9 | 24.5 |
| Pretty good chance | 46.6 | 25.8 |
| Very good chance | 53.9 | 35.9 |

Figure 32


## Depressive Symptoms and Substance Use

The substance use rate of youth who reported depressive symptoms is much greater than those who have a much more positive outlook on life. The four depressive symptoms that were asked on the survey questionnaire were: 1) Sometimes I think that life is not worth it, 2) At times I think I am no good at all, 3) All in all, I am inclined to think that I am a failure, and 4) In the past year, have you felt depressed or sad MOST days, even if you felt OK sometimes? The questions were scored on a scale of 1 to 4 (NO!, no, yes, YES!). The survey respondents were divided into three groups. The first group was the depressed group who scored at least a mean of 3.75 on the depressive symptoms. This meant that those individuals marked "YES!" to all four items or marked "yes" to one item and "YES!" to three. The second group was the non-depressed group who marked "NO!" to all four of the items, and the third group was a middle group who comprised the remaining respondents. The Arkansas survey results show that there were 1,128 students in the depressed group, 14,020 in the middle group, and 2,608 in the not depressed group. The results of the substance use among the three groups is shown in Table 31.

The results in Table 31 and Figure 33 show a strong link between students who report depressive symptoms and ATOD use. When compared to the non-depressed group, the depressed youth are nearly three times as likely to use cigarettes in the 30 days prior to the survey, over two times as likely to use marijuana in the past 30 days, and over three times as likely to have used any drug in the past 30 days.

The ATOD use rates of the middle depressive symptoms group, that was comprised of most students, were between the rates of the depressed and non-depressed groups. For the substances, the usage rates for this group were anywhere from $2.2 \%$ to $13.7 \%$ higher than that of the non-depressed rate. Thus, individuals with a positive outlook on life tend to use fewer substances than their peers.

Table 31
Percentage Using ATODs and Level of Depressive Symptoms (2003)

|  | Level of Depressive Symptoms |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Not Depressed | Middle | Depressed |
| Number of Youth | 2,608 | 14,020 | 1,128 |
| Alcohol Lifetime | 39.0 | 52.7 | 67.3 |
| Alcohol 30 Days | 21.5 | 27.4 | 39.4 |
| Marijuana 30 Days | 7.9 | 10.1 | 20.2 |
| Cigarettes 30 Days | 11.1 | 16.1 | 31.8 |
| Any Drug Lifetime | 19.9 | 30.9 | 51.7 |
| Any Drug 30 Days | 9.0 | 14.3 | 32.0 |

Figure 33


# Appendix A: Arkansas Prevention Needs Assessment 2003 Student Survey 



# A rkansas Communities That Care Survey 

 to obtain your opinion about a number of things concerning you, your friends, your family, your neighbor

In order for this study to be helpful, it is important that you answer each question as thoughtfully and honestly as possible.


Be sure to read the instructions below before you begin to answer. Thank you very much for being an important part of this project.

## I nstructions

1. This is not a test, so there are no right or wrong answers.
2. All of the questions should be answered by marking one of the answer spaces. If you don't always find an answer
that fits exactly, use one that comes closest. If any question does not apply to you, or you are not sure of what it means, just leave it blank.
3. Your answers will be read automatically by a computer. Please follow these instructions carefully.

- Use only a \#2 pencil.
- Make heavy marks inside the circles.
- Erase cleanly any answer you wish to change
- Make no other markings or comments on the answer pages, since they interfere with the automatic reading. 4. Some of the questions have the following format:


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { feel about that sentence. } \\
& \text { no No! }
\end{aligned}
$$





BEFORE BEGINNING THE SURVEY:
The following numbers will be provided to you by the
person administering the survey Please write the person administering the survey. Please wrie
numbers in the space provided and then darken the circles corresponding to those numbers.
Mark (the little) no if you think the statement is $\underline{\text { mostly not true for you. }}$
Mark (the Big) NO! if you think the statement is definitely not true for you.
In the example above, the student marked yes because he or she thinks the
one answer).
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## Appendix B: Risk and Protective Factors and Their Associated Scales

| Community Domain Protective Factors | Protective Factor | Associated Scales |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Community Opportunities for Prosocial Involvement | Community Opportunities for Prosocial Involvement |
|  | Community Rewards for Prosocial Involvement | Community Rewards for Prosocial Involvement |
| Community Domain Risk Factors | Risk Factor | Associated Scales |
|  | Low Neighborhood Attachment and Community Disorganization | Low Neighborhood Attachment Community Disorganization |
|  | Transitions \& Mobility | Transitions \& Mobility |
|  | Laws and Norms Favorable to Drug Use, Firearms, and Crime | Laws and Norms Favorable to Drug Use |
|  | Availability of Drugs and Firearms | Perceived Availability of Drugs Perceived Availability of Handguns |
|  | Media Portrayals of Violence | No Scale |
|  | Extreme Economic Deprivation | No Scale |
| Family Domain Protective Factors | Protective Factor | Associated Scales |
|  | Family Attachment | Family Attachment |
|  | Family Opportunities for Positive Involvement | Family Opportunities for Positive Involvement |
|  | Family Rewards for Positive Involvement | Family Rewards for Positive Involvement |

Appendix B (Cont.): Risk and Protective Factors and Their Associated Scales

| Family Domain Risk Factors | Risk Factor | Associated Scales |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Family Management Problems | Poor Family Management |
|  | Family Conflict | Family Conflict |
|  | Family Involvement in the Problem Behavior | Family History of Antisocial Behavior |
|  | Favorable Parental Attitudes Towards The Problem Behavior | Parental Attitudes Favorable to Antisocial Behavior Parental Attitudes Favorable to Drug Use |
| School Domain Protective Factors | Protective Factor | Associated Scales |
|  | School Opportunities for Prosocial Involvement | School Opportunities for Prosocial Involvement |
|  | School Rewards for Prosocial Involvement | School Rewards for Prosocial Involvement |
| School Domain Risk Factors | Risk Factor | Associated Scales |
|  | Academic Failure Beginning in Late Elementary School | Academic Failure |
|  | Lack of Commitment to School | Low School Commitment |
|  | Early and Persistent Antisocial Behavior | Early Initiation of Drug use Early Initiation of Antisocial Behavior |

Appendix B (Cont.): Risk and Protective Factors and Their Associated Scales

| Individual-Peer Protective Factors | Protective Factor | Associated Scales |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Religiosity | Religiosity |
|  | Social Skills | Social Skills |
|  | Belief in the Moral Order | Belief in the Moral Order |
| Individual-Peer Risk Factors | Risk Factor | Associated Scales |
|  | Rebelliousness | Rebelliousness |
|  | Friends Who Engage in the Problem Behavior | Interaction with Antisocial Peers Friends' Use of Drugs Rewards for Antisocial Behavior |
|  | Favorable Attitudes Towards the Problem Behavior | Attitudes Favorable Towards Antisocial Behavior <br> Attitudes Favorable Towards Drug Use Perceived Risks of Drug Use Intention to Use |
|  | Early Initiative of the Problem Behavior | Early Initiative of Drug Use Early Initiative of Antisocial Behavior |
|  | Constitutional Factors | Sensation Seeking Depressive Symptoms |

## Appendix C: Arkansas PNA Survey Results, Frequency and Percentage for Each Response Category

| Question | Response | \# | \% | Question | Response | \# | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. How old are you? | 10 | 18 | 0.1 | 4. What do you consider yourself to be? | White, not of Hispanic Origin | 12665 | 73.2 |
|  | 11 | 2750 | 15.1 |  | Black or African American | 2567 | 14.8 |
|  | 12 | 1542 | 8.5 |  | American Indian/Native American, | 610 | 3.5 |
|  | 13 | 3482 | 19.1 |  | Eskimo, or Aleut |  |  |
|  | 14 | 1724 | 9.5 |  | Spanish/Hispanic/Latino | 206 | 1.2 |
|  | 15 | 3039 | 16.7 |  | Mexican American | 258 | 1.5 |
|  | 16 | 1543 | 8.5 |  | Mexican | 238 | 1.4 |
|  | 17 | 2841 | 15.6 |  | Cuban | 16 | 0.1 |
|  | 18 | 1166 | 6.4 |  | Other Spanish | 14 | 0.1 |
|  | 19 or older | 91 | 0.5 |  | Chicano | 47 | 0.3 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Puerto Rican | 19 | 0.1 |
| 2. What grade are you in? | 6th | 4449 | 24.5 |  | Central or South American | 57 | 0.3 |
|  | 8th | 5260 | 29.0 |  | Asian or Pacific Islander | 43 | 0.2 |
|  | 10th | 4505 | 24.8 |  | Chinese | 21 | 0.1 |
|  | 12th | $3934$ | 21.7 |  | Filipino | 29 | 0.2 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Hawaiian | 20 | 0.1 |
| 3. Are you: | male | 8808 | 48.6 |  | Korean | 7 | 0.0 |
|  | female | 9301 | 51.4 |  | Vietnamese | 64 | 0.4 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Other Asian or Pacific Islander | 23 | 0.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Japanese | 16 | 0.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Asian Indian | 14 | 0.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Samoan | 4 | 0.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Guamanian | 3 | 0.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Cambodian | 5 | 0.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Other (Please Specify___) | 348 | 2.0 |

5. Think of where you live most of the time. Which of the following people live there with you? (Choose all that apply.)

| Mother | Yes | 15534 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Stepmother | Yes | 926 |
| Foster Mother | Yes | 56 |
| Grandmother | Yes | 1493 |
| Aunt | Yes | 526 |
| Sister(s) | Yes | 7211 |
| Stepsister(s) | Yes | 688 |
| Other children | Yes | 626 |
| Your own children | Yes | 138 |
| Father | Yes | 10621 |
| Stepfather | Yes | 2861 |
| Foster Father | Yes | 50 |
| Grandfather | Yes | 799 |
| Uncle | Yes | 510 |
| Brother(s) | Yes | 7514 |
| Stepbrother(s) | Yes | 718 |
| Other Adults | Yes | 615 |
|  |  |  |

6. How many brothers and sisters,

| 0 | 5126 |
| :--- | ---: |
| 1 | 5125 |
| 2 | 3333 |
| 3 | 1765 |
| 4 | 996 |
| 5 | 582 |
| 6 or more | 921 | including stepbrothers and stepsisters do you have that are younger than you?

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \\
& 1 \\
& 2 \\
& 3 \\
& 4
\end{aligned}
$$

you? including stepbrothers and stepsisters, do you have that are older than you?
28.
38.7
$5 \quad 9$.
5.6
3.3


|  | Question | Response | \# | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | What is the language you use most often at home? | English | 17129 | 94.6 |
|  |  | Spanish | 708 | 3.9 |
|  |  | Another Language | 264 | 1.5 |
| 9. What is the zip code where you live? |  |  |  |  |
| 10. | What is the highest level of schooling your father completed? | Completed grade school or less | 375 | 2.1 |
|  |  | Some high school | 1940 | 11.0 |
|  |  | Completed high school | 4880 | 27.6 |
|  |  | Some college | 1977 | 11.2 |
|  |  | Completed college | 2719 | 15.4 |
|  |  | Graduate or professional school after college | 1154 | 6.5 |
|  |  | Don't know | 4352 | 24.6 |
|  |  | Does not apply | 264 | 1.5 |
| 11. | What is the highest level of schooling your mother completed? | Completed grade school or less | 293 | 1.7 |
|  |  | Some high school | 1825 | 10.3 |
|  |  | Completed high school | 4666 | 26.3 |
|  |  | Some college | 2867 | 16.2 |
|  |  | Completed college | 3661 | 20.7 |
|  |  | Graduate or professional school after college | 1219 | 6.9 |
|  |  | Don't know | 3027 | 17.1 |
|  |  | Does not apply | 168 | 0.9 |
| 12. | Where are you living now? | On a farm | 1460 | 8.1 |
|  |  | In the country, not on a farm | 4922 | 27.2 |
|  |  | In a city, town, or suburb | 11710 | 64.7 |
| 13. | Putting them all together, what were your grades like last year? | Mostly F's | 343 | 2.0 |
|  |  | Mostly D's | 832 | 4.7 |
|  |  | Mostly C's | 3842 | 21.9 |
|  |  | Mostly B's | 6469 | 36.8 |
|  |  | Mostly A's | 6070 | 34.6 |



|  | Question | Response | \# | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17. | My teacher(s) notices when I am doing a good job and lets me know about it. | NO! | 1674 | 9.3 |
|  |  | no | 3370 | 18.7 |
|  |  | yes | 8229 | 45.7 |
|  |  | YES! | 4739 | 26.3 |
| 18. | There are a lot of chances for students in my school to get involved in sports, clubs, and other school activities outside of class. | NO! | 827 | 4.6 |
|  |  | no | 1344 | 7.4 |
|  |  | yes | 5925 | 32.8 |
|  |  | YES! | 9962 | 55.2 |
| 19. | There are lots of chances for students in my school to talk with a teacher one-on-one. | NO! | 1214 | 6.8 |
|  |  | no | 2893 | 16.1 |
|  |  | yes | 8169 | 45.5 |
|  |  | YES! | 5689 | 31.7 |
| 20. | I feel safe at my school. | NO! | 1472 | 8.2 |
|  |  | no | 2017 | 11.3 |
|  |  | yes | 8107 | 45.3 |
|  |  | YES! | 6296 | 35.2 |
| 21. | The school lets my parents know when I have done something well. | NO! | 4705 | 26.1 |
|  |  | no | 6800 | 37.8 |
|  |  | yes | 4483 | 24.9 |
|  |  | YES! | 2007 | 11.2 |
| 22. | My teachers praise me when I work hard in school. | NO! | 3064 | 17.1 |
|  |  | no | 5969 | 33.4 |
|  |  | yes | 6552 | 36.6 |
|  |  | YES! | 2300 | 12.9 |
| 23. | Are your school grades better than the grades of most students in your class? | NO! | 1767 | 9.9 |
|  |  | no | 5127 | 28.8 |
|  |  | yes | 7735 | 43.5 |
|  |  | YES! | 3166 | 17.8 |
|  |  |  | ne 2 | 04 |


|  | Question | Response | \# | \% | Question | Response | \# | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24. | I have lots of chances to be part of class discussions or activities. | NO! | 1085 | 6.0 | c. try to do your best work in school? | Never | 274 | 1.6 |
|  |  | no | 3285 | 18.3 |  | Seldom | 820 | 4.6 |
|  |  | yes | 9203 | 51.3 |  | Sometimes | 3034 | 17.2 |
|  |  | YES! | 4367 | 24.3 |  | Often | 5175 | 29.3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Almost Always | 8358 | 47.3 |
| 25. | How often do you feel that the school work you are assigned is meaningful and important? | Never | 1117 | 6.2 | 29. Think of your four best friends (the friends you feel closest to). In the past year ( 12 months), how many of your best friends have... |  |  |  |
|  |  | Seldom | 2565 | 14.2 | a. smoked cigarettes? | 0 Friends | 10010 | 55.5 |
|  |  | Sometimes | 7137 | 39.5 |  | 1 Friend | 2706 | 15.0 |
|  |  | Often | 4171 | 23.1 |  | 2 Friends | 1919 | 10.6 |
|  |  | Almost Always | 3085 | 17.1 |  | 3 Friends | 1285 | 7.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 Friends | 2110 | 11.7 |
| 26. | How interesting are most of your courses to you? | Very interestingQuite interesting | $4594$ | 9.5 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 25.7 | tried beer, wine or hard liquor (for example, vodka, whiskey, or gin) when their parents didn't know about it? | 0 Friends | 8505 | 47.4 |
|  |  | Fairly interesting | 7119 | 39.8 |  | 1 Friend | 2379 | 13.3 |
|  |  | Slightly Dull | 2933 | 16.4 |  | 2 Friends | 1881 | 10.5 |
|  |  | Very Dull | 1563 | 8.7 |  | 3 Friends | 1514 | 8.4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 Friends | 3668 | 20.4 |
|  | How important do you think the things you are learning in school are going to be for your later life? | Very important | 7583 | 41.9 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Quite important | 4478 | 24.8 | c. used marijuana? | 0 Friends | 12053 | 67.5 |
|  |  | Fairly important | 3688 | 20.4 |  | 1 Friend | 1944 | 10.9 |
|  |  | Slightly important | 1775 | 9.8 |  | 2 Friends | 1306 | 7.3 |
|  |  | Not at all important | 564 | 3.1 |  | 3 Friends | 882 | 4.9 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 Friends | 1665 | 9.3 |
| 28. Now, thinking back over the past year in school, how often did you: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | enjoy being in school? | Never | 1587 | 9.0 | used LSD, cocaine, amphetamines, or other illegal drugs? | 0 Friends | 15877 | 88.4 |
|  |  | Seldom | 2588 | 14.6 |  | 1 Friend | 1058 | 5.9 |
|  |  | Sometimes | 5902 | 33.4 |  | 2 Friends | 454 | 2.5 |
|  |  | Often | 4607 | 26.1 |  |  | 221 |  |
|  |  | Almost Always | 2994 | 16.9 |  | 4 Friends | 354 | 2.0 |
| b. hate being in school? |  | Never | 2248 | 12.8 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Seldom | 4717 | 26.9 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Sometimes | 5074 | 28.9 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Often | 3267 | 18.6 |  |  |  |  |
| June 2004 |  | Almost Always | 2226 | 12.7 |  |  | Pag | 77 |


| Question | Response | \# | \% | Question | Response | \# | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| e. been suspended from school? | 0 Friends | 12372 | 68.9 | k. been members of a gang? | 0 Friends | 15748 | 87.7 |
|  | 1 Friend | 2973 | 16.5 |  | 1 Friend | 1003 | 5.6 |
|  | 2 Friends | 1231 | 6.9 |  | 2 Friends | 422 | 2.4 |
|  | 3 Friends | 563 | 3.1 |  | 3 Friends | 205 | 1.1 |
|  | 4 Friends | 830 | 4.6 |  | 4 Friends | 573 | 3.2 |
| f. carried a handgun? | 0 Friends | 16598 | 92.4 | 1. attended a RAVE party? | 0 Friends | 14634 | 82.1 |
|  | 1 Friend | 705 | 3.9 |  | 1 Friend | 1246 | 7.0 |
|  | 2 Friends | 268 | 1.5 |  | 2 Friends | 694 | 3.9 |
|  | 3 Friends | 121 | 0.7 |  | 3 Friends | 346 | 1.9 |
|  | 4 Friends | 281 | 1.6 |  | 4 Friends | 898 | 5.0 |
| g. sold illegal drugs? | 0 Friends | 15673 | 87.5 | m. used drugs at a RAVE party? | 0 Friends | 15870 | 88.9 |
|  | 1 Friend | 1109 | 6.2 |  | 1 Friend | 829 | 4.6 |
|  | 2 Friends | 514 | 2.9 |  | 2 Friends | 424 | 2.4 |
|  | 3 Friends | 256 | 1.4 |  | 3 Friends | 209 | 1.2 |
|  | 4 Friends | 368 | 2.1 |  | 4 Friends | 516 | 2.9 |
| h. stolen or tried to steal a motor vehicle such as a car or motorcycle? | 0 Friends | 16807 | 93.2 | 30. How old were you when you first: |  |  |  |
|  | 1 Friend | 743 | 4.1 | a. smoked marijuana? | Never have | 14123 | 78.2 |
|  | 2 Friends | 230 | 1.3 |  | 10 or younger | 350 | 1.9 |
|  | 3 Friends | 82 | 0.5 |  | 11 | 297 | 1.6 |
|  | 4 Friends | 165 | 0.9 |  | 12 | 517 | 2.9 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 13 | 774 | 4.3 |
| i. been arrested? | 0 Friends | 14782 | 82.2 |  | 14 | 708 | 3.9 |
|  | 1 Friend | 1832 | 10.2 |  | 15 | 621 | 3.4 |
|  | 2 Friends | 734 | 4.1 |  | 16 | 455 | 2.5 |
|  | 3 Friends | 311 | 1.7 |  | 17 or Older | 205 | 1.1 |
|  | 4 Friends | 329 | 1.8 |  |  |  |  |
| j. dropped out of school? | 0 Friends | 16356 | 91.0 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 Friend | 1131 | 6.3 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 Friends | 283 | 1.6 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 Friends | 89 | 0.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Page 78 | 4 Friends | 116 | 0.6 |  |  | June 20 |  |


| Question | Response | \# | \% | Question | Response | \# | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| b. smoked a cigarette, even just a puff? | Never have | 10340 | 57.4 | e. got suspended from school? | Never have | 14657 | 81.5 |
|  | 10 or younger | 2720 | 15.1 |  | 10 or younger | 885 | 4.9 |
|  | 11 | 1144 | 6.4 |  | 11 | 486 | 2.7 |
|  | 12 | 1087 | 6.0 |  | 12 | 490 | 2.7 |
|  | 13 | 978 | 5.4 |  | 13 | 541 | 3.0 |
|  | 14 | 712 | 4.0 |  | 14 | 396 | 2.2 |
|  | 15 | 537 | 3.0 |  | 15 | 276 | 1.5 |
|  | 16 | 343 | 1.9 |  | 16 | 164 | 0.9 |
|  | 17 or Older | 152 | 0.8 |  | 17 or Older | 92 | 0.5 |
| c. had more than a sip or two of beer, wine or hard liquor (for example, vodka, whiskey, or gin)? | Never have | 8416 | 46.8 | f. got arrested? | Never have | 16606 | 92.5 |
|  | 10 or younger | 2408 | 13.4 |  | 10 or younger | 125 | 0.7 |
|  | 11 | 970 | 5.4 |  | 11 | 110 | 0.6 |
|  | 12 | 1201 | 6.7 |  | 12 | 154 | 0.9 |
|  | 13 | 1503 | 8.4 |  | 13 | 206 | 1.1 |
|  | 14 | 1240 | 6.9 |  | 14 | 215 | 1.2 |
|  | 15 | 1180 | 6.6 |  | 15 | 244 | 1.4 |
|  | 16 | 708 | 3.9 |  | 16 | 162 | 0.9 |
|  | 17 or Older | 376 | 2.1 |  | 17 or Older | 136 | 0.8 |
| d. began drinking alcoholic beverages regularly, that is, at least once or twice a month? | Never have | 13830 | 76.9 | g. carried a handgun? | Never have | 16844 | 94.0 |
|  | 10 or younger | 267 | 1.5 |  | 10 or younger | 282 | 1.6 |
|  | 11 | 208 | 1.2 |  | 11 | 168 | 0.9 |
|  | 12 | 314 | 1.7 |  | 12 | 124 | 0.7 |
|  | 13 | 563 | 3.1 |  | 13 | 153 | 0.9 |
|  | 14 | 672 | 3.7 |  | 14 | 129 | 0.7 |
|  | 15 | 906 | 5.0 |  | 15 | 100 | 0.6 |
|  | 16 | 730 | 4.1 |  | 16 | 80 | 0.4 |
|  | 17 or Older | 502 | 2.8 |  | 17 or Older | 45 | 0.3 |




| Question | Response | \# | \% | Question | Response | \# | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| c. sold illegal drugs? | Never | 17139 | 95.2 | f. attacked someone with the idea of | Never | 15862 | 87.8 |
|  | 1 or 2 Times | 335 | 1.9 |  | 1 or 2 Times | 1441 | 8.0 |
|  | 3 to 5 Times | 115 | 0.6 |  | 3 to 5 Times | 373 | 2.1 |
|  | 6 to 9 Times | 82 | 0.5 |  | 6 to 9 Times | 128 | 0.7 |
|  | 10 to 19 Times | 87 | 0.5 |  | 10 to 19 Times | 83 | 0.5 |
|  | 20 to 29 Times | 59 | 0.3 |  | 20 to 29 Times | 50 | 0.3 |
|  | 30 to 39 Times | 28 | 0.2 |  | 30 to 39 Times | 25 | 0.1 |
|  | 40+ Times | 154 | 0.9 |  | 40+ Times | 97 | 0.5 |
| d. stolen or tried to steal a motor vehicle such as a car or motorcycle? | Never | 17615 | 97.5 | g. been drunk or high at school? | Never | 15932 | 88.3 |
|  | 1 or 2 Times | 303 | 1.7 |  | 1 or 2 Times | 1015 | 5.6 |
|  | 3 to 5 Times | 50 | 0.3 |  | 3 to 5 Times | 308 | 1.7 |
|  | 6 to 9 Times | 26 | 0.1 |  | 6 to 9 Times | 175 | 1.0 |
|  | 10 to 19 Times | 26 | 0.1 |  | 10 to 19 Times | 158 | 0.9 |
|  | 20 to 29 Times | 14 | 0.1 |  | 20 to 29 Times | 115 | 0.6 |
|  | 30 to 39 Times | 3 | 0.0 |  | 30 to 39 Times | 43 | 0.2 |
|  | 40+ Times | 37 | 0.2 |  | 40+ Times | 306 | 1.7 |
| e. been arrested? | Never | 17040 | 94.7 | h. taken a handgun to school? | Never | 17960 | 99.3 |
|  | 1 or 2 Times | 774 | 4.3 |  | 1 or 2 Times | 57 | 0.3 |
|  | 3 to 5 Times | 105 | 0.6 |  | 3 to 5 Times | 15 | 0.1 |
|  | 6 to 9 Times | 33 | 0.2 |  | 6 to 9 Times | 10 | 0.1 |
|  | 10 to 19 Times | 12 | 0.1 |  | 10 to 19 Times | 7 | 0.0 |
|  | 20 to 29 Times | 7 | 0.0 |  | 20 to 29 Times | 7 | 0.0 |
|  | 30 to 39 Times | 6 | 0.0 |  | 30 to 39 Times | 3 | 0.0 |
|  | 40+ Times | 25 | 0.1 |  | 40+ Times | 19 | 0.1 |
|  |  |  |  | 41. What are the chances you would be seen as cool if you... |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | a. smoked cigarettes? | No or Very Little Chance | 12256 | 68.2 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Little Chance | 2927 | 16.3 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Some Chance | 1626 | 9.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Pretty Good Chance | 715 | 4.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Very Good Chance | 437 | 2.4 |


|  | Question | Response | \# | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| b. | began drinking alcohol beverages regularly, that is, at least once or twice a month? | No or Very Little Chance | 10970 | 61.3 |
|  |  | Little Chance | 2567 | 14.3 |
|  |  | Some Chance | 2085 | 11.6 |
|  |  | Pretty Good Chance | 1441 | 8.0 |
|  |  | Very Good Chance | 847 | 4.7 |
| c. smoked marijuana? |  | No or Very Little Chance | 12587 | 70.4 |
|  |  | Little Chance | 1895 | 10.6 |
|  |  | Some Chance | 1498 | 8.4 |
|  |  | Pretty Good Chance | 957 | 5.4 |
|  |  | Very Good Chance | 948 | 5.3 |
| d. carried a handgun? |  | No or Very Little Chance | 15268 | 85.3 |
|  |  | Little Chance | 1280 | 7.1 |
|  |  | Some Chance | 584 | 3.3 |
|  |  | Pretty Good Chance | 296 | 1.7 |
|  |  | Very Good Chance | 477 | 2.7 |
| 42. | You're looking at CD's in a music store with a friend. You look up and see her slip a CD under her coat. She smiles and says, "Which one do you want? Go ahead, take it while nobody's around." There is nobody in sight, no employees and no other customers. What would you do now? | Ignore her | 3123 | 17.3 |
|  |  | Grab a CD and leave the store | 1522 | 8.4 |
|  |  | Tell her to put the CD back | 7884 | 43.7 |
|  |  | Act like it is a joke, and ask her to put the CD back | 5498 | 30.5 |
| 43. | It's 8:00 on a weeknight and you are about to go over to a friend's home when your mother asks you where you are going. You say "Oh, just going to go hang out with some friends." She says, "No, you'll just get into trouble if you go out. Stay home tonight." What would you do now? | Leave the house anyway | 1018 | 5.7 |
|  |  | Explain what you are going to do with your friends, tell her when you will get home, and ask if you can go out | 12391 | 69.1 |
|  |  | Say nothing and start watching TV | 2967 | 16.6 |
|  |  | Get into an argument with her | 1552 | 8.7 |


| Question |  | Response | \# | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 44. | You are visiting another part of town, and you don't know any of the people your age there. You are walking down the street, and some teenager you don't know is walking toward you. He is about your size, and as he is about to pass you, he deliberately bumps into you and you almost lose your balance. What would you say or do? | Push the person back | 2381 | 13.3 |
|  |  | Say "Excuse me" and keep on walking | 8782 | 49.2 |
|  |  | Say "Watch where you are going" and keep on walking | 4850 | 27.2 |
|  |  | Swear at the person and walk away | 1841 | 10.3 |
| 45. | You are at a party at someone's house, and one of your friends offers you a drink containing alcohol. What would you say or do? | Drink it | 5243 | 29.4 |
|  |  | Tell your friend, "No thanks, I don't drink" and suggest that you and your friend go and do something else. | 5282 | 29.6 |
|  |  | Just say, "No thanks" and walk away | 5478 | 30.7 |
|  |  | Make up a good excuse, tell your friend you had something else to do, and leave. | 1845 | 10.3 |
| 46. | I think sometimes it's okay to cheat at school. | NO! | 6399 | 35.6 |
|  |  | no | 5443 | 30.2 |
|  |  | yes | 4787 | 26.6 |
|  |  | YES! | 1369 | 7.6 |
| 47. | How often do you attend religious services or activities? | Never | 2118 | 11.9 |
|  |  | Rarely | 4059 | 22.9 |
|  |  | 1-2 Times a month | 2467 | 13.9 |
|  |  | About Once a Week or More | 9117 | 51.3 |
| 48. | I like to see how much I get away with | Very False | 7790 | 43.6 |
|  |  | Somewhat False | 4745 | 26.6 |
|  |  | Somewhat True | 4173 | 23.4 |
|  |  | Very True | 1142 | 6.4 |


|  | Question | Response | \# | \% |  | Question | Response | \# | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 49. | It is important to think before you act. | NO! | 417 | 2.3 | try marijuana once or twice? |  | No risk | 3162 | 17.7 |
|  |  | no | 534 | 3.0 |  |  | Slight risk | 4198 | 23.5 |
|  |  | yes | 5551 | 30.8 |  |  | Moderate risk | 3963 | 22.2 |
|  |  | YES! | 11502 | 63.9 |  |  | Great risk | 6541 | 36.6 |
| 50. | Sometimes I think that life is not worth it. | NO! | 7778 | 43.7 |  | smoke marijuana regularly? | No risk | 1685 | 9.5 |
|  |  | no | 3855 | 21.6 |  |  | Slight risk | 1582 | 8.9 |
|  |  | yes | 4008 | 22.5 |  |  | Moderate risk | 2777 | 15.6 |
|  |  | YES! | 2173 | 12.2 |  |  | Great risk | 11721 | 66.0 |
|  | At times I think I am no good at all. | NO! | 5414 | 30.5 |  | take one or more drinks of an alcoholic beverage (beer, wine, liquor) nearly every day? | No risk | 2407 | 13.5 |
|  |  | no | 4110 | 23.2 |  |  | Slight risk | 3743 | 20.9 |
|  |  | yes | 5545 | 31.2 |  |  | Moderate risk | 4912 | 27.5 |
|  |  | YES! | 2681 | 15.1 |  |  | Great risk | 6823 | 38.2 |
| 52. | All in all, I am inclined to think I am a failure. | NO! | 8645 | 48.8 | 55. | Have you ever used smokeless tobacco (chew, snuff, plug, dipping tobacco, or chewing tobacco)? | Never | 14373 | 79.6 |
|  |  | no | 5084 | 28.7 |  |  | Once or Twice | 1870 | 10.4 |
|  |  | yes | 2616 | 14.8 |  |  | Once in a while but not regularly | 730 | 4.0 |
|  |  | YES! | 1372 | 7.7 |  |  | Regularly in past | 389 | 2.2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Regularly now | 702 | 3.9 |
|  | In the past year, have you felt depressed or sad MOST days, even if you felt OK sometimes? | NO! | 5010 | 28.1 | 56. | How frequently have you used smokeless tobacco during the past 30 days? |  |  |  |
|  |  | no | 4083 | 22.9 |  |  | Never | 16511 | 91.5 |
|  |  | yes | 4845 | 27.2 |  |  | Once or Twice | 709 | 3.9 |
|  |  | YES! | 3904 | 21.9 |  |  | Once or Twice/week | 247 | 1.4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | About once a day | 171 | 0.9 |
|  | How much do you think people risk harming themselves (physically or in other ways) if they: |  |  |  |  |  | More than once a day | 409 | 2.3 |
|  | smoke one or more packs of cigarettes per day? | No risk | 1276 | 7.1 |  | Have you ever smoked cigarettes? | Never | 10649 | 59.0 |
|  |  | Slight risk | 1417 | 7.9 |  |  | Once or Twice | 3461 | 19.2 |
|  |  | Moderate risk | 3992 | 22.3 |  |  | Once in a while but not regularly | 1493 | 8.3 |
|  |  | Great risk | 11248 | 62.7 |  |  | Regularly in past | 909 | 5.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Regularly now | 1537 | 8.5 |


| Question |  | Response | \# | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | How frequently have you smoked cigarettes during the past 30 days? | Not at all | 15099 | 83.7 |
|  |  | Less than one cigarette per day | 1171 | 6.5 |
|  |  | One to five cigarettes per day | 843 | 4.7 |
|  |  | About one-half pack per day | 475 | 2.6 |
|  |  | About one pack per day | 293 | 1.6 |
|  |  | About one and one-half packs per day | 106 | 0.6 |
|  |  | Two packs or more per day | 54 | 0.3 |
| 59. | On how many occasions (if any) have you had alcoholic beverages beer, wine or hard liquor) to drink in your lifetime - more than just a few sips? | 0 Occasions | 8749 | 48.7 |
|  |  | 1-2 Occasions | 3059 | 17.0 |
|  |  | 3-5 Occasions | 1677 | 9.3 |
|  |  | 6-9 Occasions | 1051 | 5.8 |
|  |  | 10-19 Occasions | 1020 | 5.7 |
|  |  | 20-39 Occasions | 805 | 4.5 |
|  |  | 40+ Occasions | 1618 | 9.0 |
| 60. | On how many occasions (if any) have you had beer, wine or hard liquor to drink during the past $\mathbf{3 0}$ days? | 0 Occasions | 13111 | 72.9 |
|  |  | 1-2 Occasions | 2510 | 14.0 |
|  |  | 3-5 Occasions | 1047 | 5.8 |
|  |  | 6-9 Occasions | 577 | 3.2 |
|  |  | 10-19 Occasions | 425 | 2.4 |
|  |  | 20-39 Occasions | 147 | 0.8 |
|  |  | 40+ Occasions | 171 | 1.0 |
| 61. | Think back over the last two weeks. How many times have you had 5 or more drinks in a row? | None | 15168 | 84.0 |
|  |  | Once | 1105 | 6.1 |
|  |  | Twice | 742 | 4.1 |
|  |  | 3-5 times | 599 | 3.3 |
|  |  | 6-9 times | 196 | 1.1 |
|  |  | 10 or more times | 239 | 1.3 |


| Question |  | Response | \# | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 62. | On how many occasions (if any) have you used marijuana in your lifetime? | 0 Occasions | 13921 | 77.3 |
|  |  | 1-2 Occasions | 1140 | 6.3 |
|  |  | 3-5 Occasions | 596 | 3.3 |
|  |  | 6-9 Occasions | 400 | 2.2 |
|  |  | 10-19 Occasions | 420 | 2.3 |
|  |  | 20-39 Occasions | 335 | 1.9 |
|  |  | 40+ Occasions | 1201 | 6.7 |
| 63. | On how many occasions (if any) have you used marijuana during the past 30 days? | 0 Occasions | 16127 | 89.6 |
|  |  | 1-2 Occasions | 638 | 3.5 |
|  |  | 3-5 Occasions | 306 | 1.7 |
|  |  | 6-9 Occasions | 223 | 1.2 |
|  |  | 10-19 Occasions | 230 | 1.3 |
|  |  | 20-39 Occasions | 177 | 1.0 |
|  |  | 40+ Occasions | 298 | 1.7 |
|  | On how many occasions (if any) have you used LSD or other psychedelics in your lifetime? | 0 Occasions | 17246 | 95.9 |
|  |  | 1-2 Occasions | 336 | 1.9 |
|  |  | 3-5 Occasions | 140 | 0.8 |
|  |  | 6-9 Occasions | 91 | 0.5 |
|  |  | 10-19 Occasions | 58 | 0.3 |
|  |  | 20-39 Occasions | 47 | 0.3 |
|  |  | 40+ Occasions | 60 | 0.3 |
| 65. | On how many occasions (if any) have you used LSD or other psychedelics in the past 30 days? | 0 Occasions | 17569 | 98.5 |
|  |  | 1-2 Occasions | 158 | 0.9 |
|  |  | 3-5 Occasions | 51 | 0.3 |
|  |  | 6-9 Occasions | 33 | 0.2 |
|  |  | 10-19 Occasions | 11 | 0.1 |
|  |  | 20-39 Occasions | 11 | 0.1 |
|  |  | 40+ Occasions | 4 | 0.0 |


|  | Question | Response | \# | \% |  | uestion | Response | \# | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 66. | On how many occasions (if any) have you used cocaine or other crack in your lifetime? | 0 Occasions | 17166 | 96.3 | 70. | On how many occasions (if any) have you used methamphetamines in your lifetime? | 0 Occasions | 17020 | 96.4 |
|  |  | 1-2 Occasions | 332 | 1.9 |  |  | 1-2 Occasions | 228 | 1.3 |
|  |  | 3-5 Occasions | 119 | 0.7 |  |  | 3-5 Occasions | 113 | 0.6 |
|  |  | 6-9 Occasions | 68 | 0.4 |  |  | 6-9 Occasions | 54 | 0.3 |
|  |  | 10-19 Occasions | 53 | 0.3 |  |  | 10-19 Occasions | 79 | 0.4 |
|  |  | 20-39 Occasions | 41 | 0.2 |  |  | 20-39 Occasions | 43 | 0.2 |
|  |  | 40+ Occasions | 55 | 0.3 |  |  | 40+ Occasions | 115 | 0.7 |
| 67. | On how many occasions (if any) have you used cocaine or other crack in the past 30 days? | 0 Occasions | 17557 | 98.9 | 71. | On how many occasions (if any) have you used methamphetamines in the past 30 days? | 0 Occasions | 17398 | 98.6 |
|  |  | 1-2 Occasions | 109 | 0.6 |  |  | 1-2 Occasions | 109 | 0.6 |
|  |  | 3-5 Occasions | 36 | 0.2 |  |  | 3-5 Occasions | 64 | 0.4 |
|  |  | 6-9 Occasions | 24 | 0.1 |  |  | 6-9 Occasions | 35 | 0.2 |
|  |  | 10-19 Occasions | 18 | 0.1 |  |  | 10-19 Occasions | 13 | 0.1 |
|  |  | 20-39 Occasions | 3 | 0.0 |  |  | 20-39 Occasions | 13 | 0.1 |
|  |  | 40+ Occasions | 6 | 0.0 |  |  | 40+ Occasions | 9 | 0.1 |
| 68. | On how many occasions (if any) have you sniffed glue, breathed the contents of an aerosol spray can, or inhaled other gases or sprays, in order to get high in your lifetime? | 0 Occasions | 15458 | 86.9 | 72. | On how many occasions (if any) have you used ecstasy ("X", "E", "MDMA") in your lifetime? | 0 Occasions | 17006 | 96.5 |
|  |  | 1-2 Occasions | 1276 | 7.2 |  |  | 1-2 Occasions | 350 | 2.0 |
|  |  | 3-5 Occasions | 428 | 2.4 |  |  | 3-5 Occasions | 116 | 0.7 |
|  |  | 6-9 Occasions | 220 | 1.2 |  |  | 6-9 Occasions | 56 | 0.3 |
|  |  | 10-19 Occasions | 179 | 1.0 |  |  | 10-19 Occasions | 41 | 0.2 |
|  |  | 20-39 Occasions | 82 | 0.5 |  |  | 20-39 Occasions | 21 | 0.1 |
|  |  | 40+ Occasions | 142 | 0.8 |  |  | 40+ Occasions | 29 | 0.2 |
| 69. | On how many occasions (if any) have you sniffed glue, breathed the contents of an aerosol spray can, or inhaled other gases or sprays, in order to get high in the past 30 days? | 0 Occasions | 16955 | 95.4 | 73. | On how many occasions (if any) have you used ecstasy ("X", "E", "MDMA") in the past 30 days? | 0 Occasions | 17434 | 98.9 |
|  |  | 1-2 Occasions | 540 | 3.0 |  |  | 1-2 Occasions | 129 | 0.7 |
|  |  | 3-5 Occasions | 148 | 0.8 |  |  | 3-5 Occasions | 33 | 0.2 |
|  |  | 6-9 Occasions | 68 | 0.4 |  |  | 6-9 Occasions | 11 | 0.1 |
|  |  | 10-19 Occasions | 37 | 0.2 |  |  | 10-19 Occasions | 5 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 20-39 Occasions | 12 | 0.1 |  |  | 20-39 Occasions | 2 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 40+ Occasions | 20 | 0.1 |  |  | 40+ Occasions | 10 | 0.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | On how many occasions (if any) have you used derbisol in your lifetime? | 0 Occasions | 17527 | 100.0 |
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|  | Question | Response | \# | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 75. | On how many occasions (if any) have you used derbisol in the past $\mathbf{3 0}$ days? | 0 Occasions | 17542 | 100.0 |
| 76. | On how many occasions (if any) have you used other illegal drugs in your lifetime? | 0 Occasions | 15765 | 89.3 |
|  |  | 1-2 Occasions | 654 | 3.7 |
|  |  | 3-5 Occasions | 304 | 1.7 |
|  |  | 6-9 Occasions | 212 | 1.2 |
|  |  | 10-19 Occasions | 155 | 0.9 |
|  |  | 20-39 Occasions | 134 | 0.8 |
|  |  | $40+$ Occasions | 439 | 2.5 |
| 77. | On how many occasions (if any) have you used other illegal drugs during the past 30 days? | 0 Occasions | 16382 | 94.0 |
|  |  | 1-2 Occasions | 443 | 2.5 |
|  |  | 3-5 Occasions | 198 | 1.1 |
|  |  | 6-9 Occasions | 117 | 0.7 |
|  |  | 10-19 Occasions | 108 | 0.6 |
|  |  | 20-39 Occasions | 63 | 0.4 |
|  |  | 40+ Occasions | 124 | 0.7 |
| 78. | If you wanted to get some beer, wine or hard liquor (for example, vodka, whiskey, or gin), how easy would it be for you to get some? | Very hard | 6488 | 37.7 |
|  |  | Sort of hard | 2690 | 15.6 |
|  |  | Sort of easy | 3545 | 20.6 |
|  |  | Very easy | 4485 | 26.1 |
| 79. | If you wanted to get some cigarettes, how easy would it be for you to get some? | Very hard | 5707 | 33.2 |
|  |  | Sort of hard | 2113 | 12.3 |
|  |  | Sort of easy | 2672 | 15.5 |
|  |  | Very easy | 6700 | 39.0 |
| 80. | If a kid smoked marijuana in your neighborhood would he or she be caught by the police? | NO! | 4192 | 24.3 |
|  |  | no | 6430 | 37.3 |
|  |  | yes | 3589 | 20.8 |
|  |  | YES! | 3026 | 17.6 |


| Question |  | Response | \# | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 81. | If you wanted to get a drug like cocaine, LSD, or amphetamines, how easy would it be for you to get some? | Very hard | 11256 | 66.4 |
|  |  | Sort of hard | 2541 | 15.0 |
|  |  | Sort of easy | 1959 | 11.6 |
|  |  | Very easy | 1185 | 7.0 |
| 82. | If a kid drank some beer, wine or hard liquor (for example, vodka, whiskey, or gin) in your neighborhood would he or she be caught by the police? | NO! | 5654 | 32.9 |
|  |  | no | 6653 | 38.8 |
|  |  | yes | 2783 | 16.2 |
|  |  | YES! | 2070 | 12.1 |
| 83. | If you wanted to get a handgun, how easy would it be for you to get one? | Very hard | 9201 | 54.2 |
|  |  | Sort of hard | 3273 | 19.3 |
|  |  | Sort of easy | 2136 | 12.6 |
|  |  | Very easy | 2362 | 13.9 |
| 84. | If a kid carried a handgun in your neighborhood would he or she be caught by the police? | NO! | 3178 | 18.6 |
|  |  | no | 5125 | 30.0 |
|  |  | yes | 4598 | 26.9 |
|  |  | YES! | 4170 | 24.4 |
|  | If you wanted to get some marijuana, how easy would it be for you to get some? | Very hard | 8241 | 48.4 |
|  |  | Sort of hard | 1776 | 10.4 |
|  |  | Sort of easy | 2342 | 13.8 |
|  |  | Very easy | 4664 | 27.4 |
| 86. How wrong would most adults in your neighborhood think it is for kids your age: |  |  |  |  |
|  | to use marijuana? | Very wrong | 13965 | 80.6 |
|  |  | Wrong | 2080 | 12.0 |
|  |  | A little bit wrong | 896 | 5.2 |
|  |  | Not wrong at all | 392 | 2.3 |


| Question | Response | \# | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| b. to drink alcohol? | Very wrong | 10576 | 61.2 |
|  | Wrong | 3436 | 19.9 |
|  | A little bit wrong | 2466 | 14.3 |
|  | Not wrong at all | 801 | 4.6 |
| c. to smoke cigarettes? | Very wrong | 10300 | 59.6 |
|  | Wrong | 3290 | 19.0 |
|  | A little bit wrong | 2480 | 14.4 |
|  | Not wrong at all | 1203 | 7.0 |
| 87. About how many adults (over 21) have you known personally who in the past year have: |  |  |  |
| a. used marijuana, crack, cocaine, or | 0 adults | 9733 | 56.8 |
|  | 1 adult | 2222 | 13.0 |
|  | 2 adults | 1509 | 8.8 |
|  | 3-4 adults | 1262 | 7.4 |
|  | 5+ adults | 2424 | 14.1 |
| b. sold or dealt drugs? | 0 adults | 11813 | 69.2 |
|  | 1 adult | 1830 | 10.7 |
|  | 2 adults | 1176 | 6.9 |
|  | 3-4 adults | 789 | 4.6 |
|  | $5+$ adults | 1460 | 8.6 |
| c. done other things that could get them in trouble with the police, like stealing, selling stolen goods, mugging others, etc.? | 0 adults | 12241 | 71.6 |
|  | 1 adult | 1836 | 10.7 |
|  | 2 adults | 950 | 5.6 |
|  | 3-4 adults | 689 | 4.0 |
|  | $5+$ adults | 1374 | 8.0 |
| d. gotten drunk or high? | 0 adults | 6762 | 39.6 |
|  | 1 adult | 2728 | 16.0 |
|  | 2 adults | 1693 | 9.9 |
|  | 3-4 adults | 1518 | 8.9 |
|  | 5+ adults | 4379 | 25.6 |

> | Question $\quad$ Response |
| :---: |
| 88. Sometimes we don't know what we will do as adults, but we may have an |

\# \% idea. Please answer how true these statements may be for you.

| Very False | 13290 | 77.9 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Somewhat False | 1678 | 9.8 |
| Somewhat True | 1341 | 7.9 |
| Very True | 744 | 4.4 |

b. When I am an adult, I will drink beer, Very False 8086 wine, or liquor

Very False
Somewhat Fal
2936
Somewhat True $3818 \quad 22.4$
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { Very True } & 2169 & 12.8\end{array}$
c. When I am an adult, I will smoke Very False $\quad 1452085.3$
marijuana
89. If I had to move, I would miss the neighborhood I now live in.
no
neghorhood I now live in.
$2403 \quad 14.2$
yes
449626.5
YES! 692740.8
90. My neighbors notice when I am doing
$7228 \quad 43.0$ a good job and let me know about
$4487 \quad 26.7$
no
$3030 \quad 18.0$
yes
$2079 \quad 12.4$
91. I like my neighborhood
$2405 \quad 14.4$
no
$1719 \quad 10.3$
yes $6230 \quad 37.4$
YES!
$6318 \quad 37$.

|  | uestion | Response | \# | \% |  | Question | Response | \# | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | There are lots of adults in my neighborhood I could talk to about something important. | NO! | 5348 | 31.8 | 95. | How many times have you changed homes since kindergarten? | Never | 4351 | 25.9 |
|  |  | no | 4040 | 24.0 |  |  | 1 or 2 times | 5371 | 31.9 |
|  |  | yes | 3827 | 22.7 |  |  | 3 or 4 times | 3373 | 20.1 |
|  |  | YES! | 3621 | 21.5 |  |  | 5 or 6 times | 1630 | 9.7 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 or more times | 2095 | 12.5 |
| 93. How much do each of the following statements describe your neighborhood? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | crime and/or drug selling | NO! | 11091 | 66.2 | 96. | There are people in my neighborhood who are proud of me when I do something well. | NO! | 3052 | 18.3 |
|  |  | no | 2958 | 17.6 |  |  | no | 4011 | 24.0 |
|  |  | yes | 1785 | 10.7 |  |  | yes | 6128 | 36.7 |
|  |  | YES! | 928 | 5.5 |  |  | YES! | 3496 | 21.0 |
| b. | fights | NO! | 9814 | 58.7 |  | Which of the following activities for people your age are available in your community? |  |  |  |
|  |  | no | 3346 | 20.0 |  | sports teams | Yes | 13673 | 83.3 |
|  |  | yes | 2350 | 14.1 |  |  | No | 2747 | 16.7 |
|  |  | YES! | 1204 | 7.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | scouting | Yes | 9388 | 58.3 |
|  | lots of empty or abandoned buildings | NO! | 11768 | 70.4 |  |  | No | 6725 | 41.7 |
|  |  | no | 3302 | 19.8 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | yes | 1119 | 6.7 |  | boys and girls clubs | Yes | 9903 | 61.3 |
|  |  | YES! | 523 | 3.1 |  |  | No | 6265 | 38.8 |
|  | lots of graffiti | NO! | 12915 | 78.2 |  | 4-H clubs | Yes | 8386 | 53.5 |
|  |  | no | 2772 | 16.8 |  |  | No | 7287 | 46.5 |
|  |  | yes | 493 | 3.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | YES! | 338 | 2.0 |  | service clubs | Yes | 8326 | 53.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | No | 7383 | 47.0 |
|  | People move in and out of neighborhood a lot. | NO! | 5607 | 33.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | no | 7063 | 42.1 | 98 | Have you changed schools in the past year? | No | 13096 | 79.5 |
|  |  | yes | 2931 | 17.5 |  |  | Yes | 3379 | 20.5 |
|  |  | YES! | 1177 | 7.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | I feel safe in my neighborhood. | NO! | 918 | 5.6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | no | 1455 | 8.9 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | yes | 6801 | 41.4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | YES! | 7260 | 44.2 |
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|  | Question | Response | \# | \% | Question | Response | \# | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 100. | How many times have you changed schools since kindergarten? | Never | 6492 | 39.6 | b. smoke cigarettes? | Very Wrong | 12582 | 79.0 |
|  |  | 1 or 2 times | 4668 | 28.5 |  | Wrong | 1901 | 11.9 |
|  |  | 3 or 4 times | 2821 | 17.2 |  | A Little Bit Wrong | 934 | 5.9 |
|  |  | 5 or 6 times | 1225 | 7.5 |  | Not Wrong at All | 506 | 3.2 |
|  |  | 7 or more times | 1197 | 7.3 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | c. smoke marijuana? | Very Wrong | 14175 | 90.2 |
| 101. | I'd like to get out of my neighborhood. | NO! | 6056 | 37.1 |  | Wrong | 829 | 5.3 |
|  |  | no | 5183 | 31.7 |  | A Little Bit Wrong | 407 | 2.6 |
|  |  | yes | 3065 | 18.8 |  | Not Wrong at All | 309 | 2.0 |
|  |  | YES! | 2022 | 12.4 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | d. steal something worth more than \$5? | Very Wrong | 14104 | 89.0 |
| 102. | Have you changed homes in the past year? | No | 12088 | 74.0 |  | Wrong | 1315 | 8.3 |
|  |  | Yes | 4237 | 26.0 |  | A Little Bit Wrong | 276 | 1.7 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Not Wrong at All | 161 | 1.0 |
| 103. | There are people in my neighborhood who encourage me to do my best. | NO! | 2634 | 16.3 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | no | 4063 | 25.1 | e. draw graffiti, or write things or draw | Very Wrong | 13911 | 87.5 |
|  |  | yes | 5778 | 35.7 | pictures on buildings or other property (without the owner's permission)? | Wrong | 1295 | 8.1 |
|  |  | YES! | 3733 | 23.0 |  | A Little Bit Wrong | 466 | 2.9 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Not Wrong at All | 225 | 1.4 |
| 104. | Have you attended a RAVE party? | NO! | 11268 | 69.8 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | no | 3023 | 18.7 | f. pick a fight with someone? | Very Wrong | 10554 | 66.4 |
|  |  | yes | 1073 | 6.7 |  | Wrong | 3184 | 20.0 |
|  |  | YES! | 769 | 4.8 |  | A Little Bit Wrong | 1638 | 10.3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Not Wrong at All | 526 | 3.3 |
| 105. | Have you used drugs while attending a RAVE party? | NO! | 12877 | 80.1 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | no | 2418 | 15.0 | 107. Have any of your brothers or sisters eva. drink beer, wine or hard liquor (forexample, vodka, whiskey or gin)regularly? |  |  |  |
|  |  | yes | 397 | 2.5 |  | No | 7874 | 49.8 |
|  |  | YES! | 393 | 2.4 |  | Yes | 7236 | 45.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | I don't have any brothers or sisters | 690 | 4.4 |
| 106. How wrong do your parents feel it would be for you to: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a. | drink beer, wine or hard liquor (for example, vodka, whiskey or gin) regularly? | Very Wrong | 11666 | 73.0 | b. smoked marijuana? | No | 99 | 13 |
|  |  | Wrong | 2224 | 13.9 |  | No Yes | 11199 3838 | 7.3 24.4 |
|  |  | A Little Bit Wrong | 1586 | 9.9 |  |  | 680 | 43 |
|  |  | Not Wrong at All | 509 | 3.2 |  | I don't have any brothers or sisters | 680 | 4.3 |
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|  | Question | Response | \# | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 120. | Do you share your thoughts and feelings with your mother? | NO! | 1994 | 13.0 |
|  |  | no | 2875 | 18.8 |
|  |  | yes | 4633 | 30.2 |
|  |  | YES! | 5820 | 38.0 |
| 121. | My parents ask me what I think before most family decisions affecting me are made. | NO! | 2390 | 15.7 |
|  |  | no | 2891 | 19.0 |
|  |  | yes | 5202 | 34.2 |
|  |  | YES! | 4716 | 31.0 |
| 122. | How often do your parents tell you they're proud of you for something you've done? | Never or almost never | 1158 | 7.8 |
|  |  | Sometimes | 3915 | 26.4 |
|  |  | Often | 4456 | 30.0 |
|  |  | All the time | 5321 | 35.8 |
| 123. | Do you share your thoughts and feelings with your father? | NO! | 3892 | 25.6 |
|  |  | no | 3315 | 21.8 |
|  |  | yes | 4303 | 28.3 |
|  |  | YES! | 3683 | 24.2 |
| 124. | Do you enjoy spending time with your mother? | NO! | 1029 | 6.7 |
|  |  | no | 1025 | 6.7 |
|  |  | yes | 5214 | 34.2 |
|  |  | YES! | 7985 | 52.4 |
| 125. | Do you enjoy spending time with your father? | NO! | 2150 | 14.2 |
|  |  | no | 1149 | 7.6 |
|  |  | yes | 4848 | 32.0 |
|  |  | YES! | 6992 | 46.2 |
| 126. | If I had a personal problem, I could ask my mom or dad for help. | NO! | 1281 | 8.6 |
|  |  | no | 1335 | 8.9 |
|  |  | yes | 4611 | 30.8 |
|  |  | YES! | 7752 | 51.8 |


|  | Question | Response | \# | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 127. | Do you feel very close to your father? | NO! | 2504 | 16.9 |
|  |  | no | 2242 | 15.1 |
|  |  | yes | 4040 | 27.3 |
|  |  | YES! | 6027 | 40.7 |
| 128. | My parents give me lots of chances to | NO! | 1343 | 9.0 |
|  |  | no | 2815 | 18.9 |
|  |  | yes | 5123 | 34.4 |
|  |  | YES! | 5611 | 37.7 |
| 129. | My parents ask if I've gotten my | NO! | 1251 | 8.4 |
|  |  | no | 1678 | 11.3 |
|  |  | yes | 4360 | 29.3 |
|  |  | YES! | 7603 | 51.1 |
| 130. | People in my family have serious | NO! | 5234 | 35.3 |
|  |  | no | 5571 | 37.6 |
|  |  | yes | 2299 | 15.5 |
|  |  | YES! | 1731 | 11.7 |
| 131. | Would your parents know if you did | NO! | 855 | 5.8 |
|  |  | no | 1292 | 8.7 |
|  |  | yes | 4496 | 30.2 |
|  |  | YES! | 8226 | 55.3 |
| 132. | How important were these questions | Not too Important | 3253 | 21.8 |
|  |  | Fairly Important | 3767 | 25.3 |
|  |  | Important | 4449 | 29.9 |
|  |  | Very Important | 3434 | 23.0 |
| 133. | How honest were you in filling out this | I was very honest | 12604 | 84.5 |
|  | survey? | I was honest pretty much of the time | 1880 | 12.6 |
|  |  | I was honest some of the time | 305 | 2.0 |
|  |  | I was honest once in awhile | 121 | 0.8 |
|  |  | I was not honest at all | 0 | 0.0 |

## Appendix D: Item Dictionary for the 2003 Arkansas PNA Survey

| Item Dictionary for the 2003 Arkansas Prevention Needs Assessment Student Survey Questionnaire |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scales and Questions | Response Categories | Question Number |
| DEMOGRAPHICS |  |  |
| How old are you? | 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19 or older | 1 |
| What grade are you in? | 6th, 8th, 10th, 12th | 2 |
| Are you: | Female, Male | 3 |
| What do you consider yourself to be? | See questionnaire for complete list of ethnic categories | 4 |
| Think of where you live most of the time. Which of the following people live with you? (Choose all that apply) | See questionnaire for complete list of categories | 5 |
| How many brothers and sisters, including stepbrothers and stepsisters, do you have that are older than you? | $0,1,2,3,4,5,6$ or more | 6 |
| How many brothers and sisters, including stepbrothers and stepsisters, do you have that are younger than you? | $0,1,2,3,4,5,6$ or more | 7 |
| What is the language you use most often at home? | English, Spanish, Another Language | 8 |
| What is the zip code where you live? |  | 9 |


| What is the highest level of schooling your father completed? | Completed grade school or less, Some high school, completed high school, Some college, Completed college, Graduate or professional school after college, Do not know, Does not apply | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| What is the highest level of schooling your mother completed? | Completed grade school or less, Some high school, completed high school, Some college, Completed college, Graduate or professional school after college, Do not know, Does not apply | 11 |
| Where are you living now? | On a farm; In the country, not on a farm; In a city, town, or suburb | 12 |
| COM M UNITY: Low Neighborhood Attachment |  |  |
| I like my neighborhood. | NO!, No, Yes, YES! | 91 |
| If I had to move, I would miss the neighborhood I now live in. | NO!, No, Yes, YES! | 89 |
| I would like to get out of my neighborhood. | NO!, No, Yes, YES! | 101 |
| COM M UNITY: Community Disorganization |  |  |
| How much do each of the following statements describe your neighborhood? |  | 93 |
| Crime and/or drug selling | NO!, No, Yes, YES! | 93a |
| Fights | NO!, No, Yes, YES! | 93b |
| Lots of empty or abandoned buildings | NO!, No, Yes, YES! | 93c |
| Lots of graffiti | NO!, No, Yes, YES! | 93d |
| I feel safe in my neighborhood. | NO!, No, Yes, YES! | 99 |

COMMUNITY: Transitions and Mobility

| Have you changed homes in past year (the last 12 months) | NO, YES | 102 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| How many times have you changed homes since kindergarten? | Never, 1-2 times, 3-4 times, 5-6 times, 7 or more times | 95 |
| Have you changed schools in the past year (the last 12 months)? | NO, YES | 98 |
| How many times have you changed schools since kindergarten? | Never, 1-2 times, 3-4 times, 5-6 times, 7 or more times | 100 |
| People move in and out of my neighborhood a lot. | NO!, No, Yes, YES! | 94 |
| COMMUNITY: Laws and Norms Favorable to Drug Use |  |  |
| How wrong would most adults in your neighborhood think it is for kids your age: |  | 86 |
| To use marijuana? | Very Wrong, Wrong, A Little Bit Wrong, Not Wrong At All | 86a |
| To drink alcohol? | Very Wrong, Wrong, A Little Bit Wrong, Not Wrong At All | 86b |
| To smoke cigarettes? | Very Wrong, Wrong, A Little Bit Wrong, Not Wrong At All | 86 c |
| If a kid drank some beer, wine, or hard liquor (for example, vodka, whiskey, or gin) in your neighborhood, would he or she be caught by the police? | NO!, No, Yes, YES! | 82 |

\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{|l|l|l|}\hline \begin{array}{l}\text { If a kid smokes marijuana in your neighborhood, would he or she } \\
\text { be caught by the police? }\end{array} & \text { NO!, No, Yes, YES! } & \\
\hline \begin{array}{l}\text { If a kid carried a handgun in your neighborhood, would he or she } \\
\text { be caught by the police? }\end{array}
$$ \& NO!, No, Yes, YES! \& 80 <br>
\hline COMMUNITY: Perceived Availability of Drugs \& \& 84 <br>
\hline \begin{array}{l}If you wanted to get some beer, wine, or hard liquor (for example, <br>

vodka, whiskey, or gin) how easy would it be for you to get some?\end{array} \& Very hard, Sort of hard, Sort of easy, Very easy\end{array}\right]\)


| Scouting | NO!, No, Yes, YES! | 97b |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Boys and girls clubs | NO!, No, Yes, YES! | 97c |
| 4-H clubs | NO!, No, Yes, YES! | 97d |
| Service clubs | NO!, No, Yes, YES! | 97e |
| COM MUNITY: Rewards for Conventional Involvement |  |  |
| My neighbors notice when I am doing a good job and let me know about it. | NO!, No, Yes, YES! | 90 |
| There are people in my neighborhood, who encourage me to do my best. | NO!, No, Yes, YES! | 103 |
| There are people in my neighborhood, or the area around where I live, who are proud of me when I do something well. | NO!, No, Yes, YES! | 96 |
| FAMILY: Poor Family Management |  |  |
| My parents ask if I have gotten my homework done. | NO!, No, Yes, YES! | 129 |
| My parents want me to call if I am going to be late getting home. | NO!, No, Yes, YES! | 113 |
| Would your parents know if you did not come home on time? | NO!, No, Yes, YES! | 131 |
| When I am not at home, one of my parents knows where I am and who I am with. | NO!, No, Yes, YES! | 111 |
| The rules in my family are clear. | NO!, No, Yes, YES! | 108 |


| My family has clear rules about alcohol and drug use. | NO!, No, Yes, YES! | 115 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| If you drank some beer, wine, or hard liquor (for example, vodka, whiskey, or gin) without your parents' permission, would you be caught by your parents? | NO!, No, Yes, YES! | 114 |
| If you skipped school, would you be caught by your parents? | NO!, No, Yes, YES! | 117 |
| If you carried a handgun, without your parents' permission, would you be caught by your parents? | NO!, No, Yes, YES! | 116 |
| FAMILY: Conflict |  |  |
| People in my family often insult or yell at each other. | NO!, No, Yes, YES! | 110 |
| People in my family have serious arguments. | NO!, No, Yes, YES! | 130 |
| We argue about the same things in my family over and over. | NO!, No, Yes, YES! | 112 |
| FAMILY: History of Antisocial Behavior |  |  |
| Has anyone in your family ever had a severe alcohol or drug problem? | No, Yes | 109 |
| Have any of your brothers or sisters ever: |  | 107 |
| Drunk beer, wine, or hard liquor (for example, vodka, whiskey, or gin)? | No, Yes, I don't have any brothers or sisters | 107a |
| Smoked marijuana? | No, Yes, I don't have any brothers or sisters | 107b |
| Smoked cigarettes? | No, Yes, I don't have any brothers or sisters | 107c |
| Taken a handgun to school? | No, Yes, I don't have any brothers or sisters | 107d |
| Been suspended or expelled from school? | No, Yes, I don't have any brothers or sisters | 107e |


| About how many adults have you known personally who in the past year have: |  | 87 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Used marijuana, crack, cocaine, or other drugs? | None, 1 adult, 2 adults, 3 or 4 adults, 5 or more adults | 87a |
| Sold or dealt drugs? | None, 1 adult, 2 adults, 3 or 4 adults, 5 or more adults | 87b |
| Done other things that could get them in trouble with the police, like stealing, selling stolen goods, mugging or assaulting others, etc? | None, 1 adult, 2 adults, 3 or 4 adults, 5 or more adults | 87c |
| Gotten drunk or high? | None, 1 adult, 2 adults, 3 or 4 adults, 5 or more adults | 87d |
| FAMILY: Parental Attitudes Favorable Toward Drug Use |  |  |
| How wrong do your parents feel it would be for you to: |  | 106 |
| Drink beer, wine, or hard liquor (for example, vodka, whiskey, or gin regularly? | Very Wrong, Wrong, A Little Bit Wrong, Not Wrong At All |  |
| Smoke cigarettes? | Very Wrong, Wrong, A Little Bit Wrong, Not Wrong At All | 106b |
| Smoke marijuana? | Very Wrong, Wrong, A Little Bit Wrong, Not Wrong At All | 106c |
| FAMILY: Parental Attitudes Favorable to Antisocial Behavior |  |  |
| How wrong do your parents feel it would be for you to: |  | 106 |
| Steal anything worth more than \$5.00? | Very Wrong, Wrong, A Little Bit Wrong, Not Wrong At All |  |
| Draw graffiti, write things, or draw pictures on buildings or other property | Very Wrong, Wrong, A Little Bit Wrong, Not Wrong At All | 106e |


| Pick a fight with someone? | Very Wrong, Wrong, A Little Bit Wrong, Not Wrong At All |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FAMILY: Attachment |  |  |
| Do you feel very close to your mother? | NO!, No, Yes, YES! | 119 |
| Do you share your thoughts and feelings with your mother? | NO!, No, Yes, YES! | 120 |
| Do you feel very close to your father? | NO!, No, Yes, YES! | 127 |
| Do you share your thoughts and feelings with your father? | NO!, No, Yes, YES! | 123 |
| FAMILY: Opportunities for Positive Involvement |  |  |
| My parents give me lots of chances to do fun things with them. | NO!, No, Yes, YES! | 128 |
| My parents ask me what I think before most family decisions affecting me are made. | NO!, No, Yes, YES! | 121 |
| If I had a personal problem, I could ask my mom or dad for help. | NO!, No, Yes, YES! | 126 |
| FAMILY: Rewards for Conventional Involvement |  |  |
| My parents notice when I am doing a good job, and let me know about it. | Never or almost never, Sometimes, Often, All the time | 118 |
| How often do your parents tell you that they are proud of you for something you have done? | Never or almost never, Sometimes, Often, All the time | 122 |


| Do you enjoy spending time with your mother? | NO!, No, Yes, YES! | 124 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Do you enjoy spending time with your father? | NO!, No, Yes, YES! | 125 |
| SCHOOL: Academic Failure |  |  |
| Putting them all together, what were your grades like last year? | Mostly F's, Mostly D's, Mostly C's, Mostly B's, Mostly A's | 13 |
| Are your school grades better than the grades of most students in your class? | NO!, No, Yes, YES! | 23 |
| SCH00L: Little Commitment to School |  |  |
| How often do you feel that the school work you are assigned is meaningful and important. | Never, Seldom, Sometimes, Often, Almost Always | 25 |
| How interesting are most of your courses to you? | Very interesting and stimulating, Quite interesting, Fairly interesting, Slightly dull, Very dull | 26 |
| How important do you think the things you are learning in school are going to be for your later life? | Very important, Quite important, Fairly important, Slightly important, Not at all important | 27 |
| Now thinking back over the past year in school, how often did you: |  | 28 |
| Enjoy being in school? | Never, Seldom, Sometimes, Often, Almost always | 28a |
| Hate being in school? | Never, Seldom, Sometimes, Often, Almost always | 28 b |
| Try to do your best work in school? | Never, Seldom, Sometimes, Often, Almost always | 28 c |


| During the LAST FOUR WEEKS how many whole days of school have you missed |  | 14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Because of illness? | None, 1 day, 2 days, 3 days, 4-5 days, 6-10 days, 11 or more days | 14a |
| Because you skipped or "cut"? | None, 1 day, 2 days, 3 days, 4-5 days, 6-10 days, 11 or more days | 14b |
| For other reasons? | None, 1 day, 2 days, 3 days, 4-5 days, 6-10 days, 11 or more days | 14c |
| SCHOOL: Opportunities for Positive Involvement |  |  |
| In my school, students have lots of chances to help decide things like class activities and rules. | NO!, No, Yes, YES! | 15 |
| There are lots of chances for students in my school to talk with a teacher one-on-one. | NO!, No, Yes, YES! | 19 |
| Teachers ask me to work on special classroom projects. | NO!, No, Yes, YES! | 16 |
| There are a lot of chances for students in my school to get involved in sports, clubs, and other school activities outside of class. | NO!, No, Yes, YES! | 18 |
| There are lots of chances to be part of class discussions or activities. | NO!, No, Yes, YES! | 24 |
| SCHOOL: Rewards for Conventional Involvement |  |  |
| My teacher(s) notices when I am doing a good job and lets me know about it. | NO!, No, Yes, YES! | 17 |
| The school lets my parents know when I have done something well. | NO!, No, Yes, YES! | 21 |


| I feel safe at my school. | NO!, No, Yes, YES! | 20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| My teachers praise me when I work hard in school. | NO!, No, Yes, YES! | 22 |
| SCHOOL: Safety Concerns |  |  |
| I feel safe at my school. | NO!, No, Yes, YES! | 20 |
| How many times in the past year have you taken a handgun to school? | Never, 1-2 times, 3-5 times, 6-9 times, 10-19 times, 20-29 times, 30-39 times, 40+times | 40h |
| How wrong do you think it is for someone your age to take a handgun to school | Very Wrong, Wrong, A Little Bit Wrong, Not Wrong At All | 31a |
| Have any of you brothers or sisters ever taken a handgun to school? | No, Yes, I don't have any brothers or sisters | 107d |
| PEER-INDIVIDUAL: Rebelliousness |  |  |
| I do the opposite of what people tell me, just to get them mad. | Very false, Somewhat false, Somewhat true, Very true | 35 |
| I ignore rules that get in my way. | Very false, Somewhat false, Somewhat true, Very true | 32 |
| I like to see how much I can get away with. | Very false, Somewhat false, Somewhat true, Very true | 48 |
| PEER-INDIVIDUAL: Early Initiation of Drug Use |  |  |
| How old were you when you first: |  | 30 |
| Smoked marijuana? | Never Have, 10 or Younger, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17 or older | 30a |
| Smoked a cigarette, even just a puff? | Never Have, 10 or Younger, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17 or older | 30b |


| Had more than a sip or two of beer, wine, or hard liquor (for example Vodka, whiskey, or gin)? | Never Have, 10 or Younger, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17 or older | 30c |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Began drinking alcoholic beverages regularly that is, at least once or twice A month? | Never Have, 10 or Younger, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17 or older | 30d |
| PEER-INDIVIDUAL: Early Initiation of Antisocial Behavior |  |  |
| How old were you when you first: |  | 30 |
| Got suspended from school? | Never Have, 10 or Younger, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17 or older | 30 e |
| Got arrested? | Never Have, 10 or Younger, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17 or older | 30 f |
| Carried a handgun? | Never Have, 10 or Younger, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17 or older | 30 g |
| Attacked someone with the idea of seriously hurting them? | Never Have, 10 or Younger, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17 or older | 30h |
| PEER-INDIVIDUAL: Impulsiveness |  |  |
| It is important to think before you act. | NO! No Yes YeS! | 49 |
| PEER-INDIVIDUAL: Antisocial Behavior |  |  |
| How many times in the past year (the last 12 months) have you: |  | 40 |
| Been suspended from school? | Never, 1 to 2 Times, 3 to 5 Times, 6 to 9 Times, 10 to 19 Times, 20 to 29 Times, 30 to 39 Times, $40+$ Times | 40a |
| Carried a handgun? | Never, 1 to 2 Times, 3 to 5 Times, 6 to 9 Times, 10 to 19 Times, 20 to 29 Times, 30 to 39 Times, 40+ Times | 40b |
| Sold illegal drugs? | Never, 1 to 2 Times, 3 to 5 Times, 6 to 9 Times, 10 to 19 Times, 20 to 29 Times, 30 to 39 Times, 40+ Times | 40c |


| Stolen or tried to steal a motor vehicle such as a car or motorcycle? | Never, 1 to 2 Times, 3 to 5 Times, 6 to 9 Times, 10 to 19 Times, 20 to 29 Times, 30 to 39 Times, $40+$ Times | 40d |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Been arrested? | Never, 1 to 2 Times, 3 to 5 Times, 6 to 9 Times, 10 to 19 Times, 20 to 29 Times, 30 to 39 Times, $40+$ Times | 40e |
| Attacked someone with the idea of seriously hurting them? | Never, 1 to 2 Times, 3 to 5 Times, 6 to 9 Times, 10 to 19 Times, 20 to 29 Times, 30 to 39 Times, $40+$ Times | 40f |
| Been drunk or high at school? | Never, 1 to 2 Times, 3 to 5 Times, 6 to 9 Times, 10 to 19 Times, 20 to 29 Times, 30 to 39 Times, $40+$ Times | 40g |
| Taken a handgun to school? | Never, 1 to 2 Times, 3 to 5 Times, 6 to 9 Times, 10 to 19 Times, 20 to 29 Times, 30 to 39 Times, $40+$ Times | 40h |
| PEER INDIVIDUAL: Favorable Attitudes Towards Antisocial Behavior |  |  |
| How wrong do you think it is for someone your age to: |  | 31 |
| Take a handgun to school? | Very Wrong, Wrong, A Little Bit Wrong, Not Wrong At All | 31a |
| Steal anything worth more than \$5.00 | Very Wrong, Wrong, A Little Bit Wrong, Not Wrong At All | 31b |
| Pick a fight with someone | Very Wrong, Wrong, A Little Bit Wrong, Not Wrong At All | 31c |
| Attack someone with the idea of seriously hurting them? | Very Wrong, Wrong, A Little Bit Wrong, Not Wrong At All | 31d |
| Stay away from school all day when their parents think they are at school? | Very Wrong, Wrong, A Little Bit Wrong, Not Wrong At All |  |


| PEER-INDIVIDUAL: Favorable Attitudes Towards Drug Use |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| How wrong do you think it is for someone your age to: |  | 31 |
| Drink beer, wine, or hard liquor (for example, vodka, whiskey, or gin) regularly (at least once or twice a month)? | Very Wrong, Wrong, A Little Bit Wrong, Not Wrong At All | 31f |
| Smoke cigarettes? | Very Wrong, Wrong, A Little Bit Wrong, Not Wrong At All | 31 g |
| Smoke marijuana? | Very Wrong, Wrong, A Little Bit Wrong, Not Wrong At All | 31h |
| Use LSD, cocaine, amphetamines, or another illegal drug? | Very Wrong, Wrong, A Little Bit Wrong, Not Wrong At All | 31 i |
| PEER-INDIVIDUAL: Perceived Risks of Drug Use |  |  |
| How much do you think people risk harming themselves (Physically or in other ways) if they: |  | 54 |
| Smoke one or more packs of cigarettes per day? | No risk, Slight risk, Moderate risk, Great risk | 54a |
| Try marijuana once or twice? | No risk, Slight risk, Moderate risk, Great risk | 54b |
| Smoke marijuana regularly | No risk, Slight risk, Moderate risk, Great risk | 54c |
| Take one or two drinks of an alcohol beverage (beer, wine, liquor) nearly every day? | No risk, Slight risk, Moderate risk, Great risk | 54d |

## PEER-INDIVIDUAL: Friends' Use of Drugs

| Think of your four best friends (the friends you feel closest to). In the past year ( 12 months), how many of your best friends have: |  | 29 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Smoked cigarettes? | None, 1, 2, 3, 4 | 29a |
| Tried beer, wine, or hard liquor (for example, vodka, whiskey, or gin) when their parents didn't know about it? | None, 1, 2, 3, 4 | 29b |
| Used marijuana? | None, 1, 2, 3, 4 | 290 |
| Used LSD, cocaine, amphetamines, or other illegal drugs? | None, 1, 2, 3, 4 | 29d |
| PEER-INDIVIDUAL: Interaction with Antisocial Peers |  |  |
| Think of your four best friends (the friends you feel closest to). In the past year ( 12 months), how many of your best friends have: |  | 29 |
| Been suspended from school? | None, 1, 2, 3, 4 | 29e |
| Carried a handgun? | None, 1, 2, 3, 4 | 29 f |
| Sold illegal drugs | None, 1, 2, 3, 4 | 29g |
| Stolen or tried to steal a motor vehicle such as a car or a motorcycle? | None, 1, 2, 3, 4 | 29g |
| Been arrested? | None, 1, 2, 3, 4 | 29i |
| Dropped out of school? | None, 1, 2, 3, 4 | 29j |

## PEER-INDIVIDUAL -Depression

| Sometimes I think that life is not worth it. | NO!, No, Yes, YES! | 50 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| At times I think I am no good at all. | NO!, No, Yes, YES! | 51 |
| All in all, I am inclined to think that I am a failure. | NO!, No, Yes, YES! | 52 |
| In the past year, have you felt depressed or sad MOST days, even if you felt OK sometimes. | NO!, No, Yes, YES! | 53 |
| PEER-INDIVIDUAL: Sensation Seeking |  |  |
| How many times have you done the following things: |  | 37 |
| Done what feels good no matter what. | Never; I've done it, but not in the past year; Less than once a month; About once a month; Two or three times a month; Once a week or more | 37a |
| Done something dangerous because someone dared you to do it. | Never; I've done it, but not in the past year; Less than once a month; About once a | 37b |
| Done crazy things even if they are a little dangerous. | Never; I've done it, but not in the past year; Less than once a month; About once a month; Two or three times a month; Once a week or more | 37c |
| PEER-INDIVIDUAL: Rewards for Antisocial Involvement |  |  |
| What are the chances you would be seen as cool if you: |  |  |
| Smoked cigarettes? | No or very little chance, Little chance, Some chance, Pretty good chance, Very good chance | 41a |
| Began drinking alcoholic beverages regularly, at least once or twice a month? | No or very little chance, Little chance, Some chance, Pretty good chance, Very good chance | 41b |
| Smoked marijuana? | No or very little chance, Little chance, Some chance, Pretty good chance, Very good chance | 41c |
| Carried a handgun? | No or very little chance, Little chance, Some chance, Pretty good chance, Very good chance | 41d |

## PEER-INDIVIDUAL: Religiosity

| How often do you attend religious services or activities? | Never, Rarely, 1-2 Times a Month, About Once a Week or More | 47 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PEER -INDIVIDUAL: Intent to Use |  |  |
| Sometimes we don't know what we will do as adults, but we may have an idea. Please tell me wow true these statements may be for you as an adult. |  | 88 |
| When I am an adult, I will smoke cigarettes | Very false, Somewhat false, Somewhat true, Very true | 88a |
| When I am an adult, I will drink beer, wine, or hard liquor | Very false, Somewhat false, Somewhat true, Very true | 88b |
| When I am an adult, I will smoke marijuana | Very false, Somewhat false, Somewhat true, Very true | 880 |
| PEER-INDIVIDUAL: Social Skills |  |  |
| You are looking at CD's in the music store with a friend. You look up and see her slip a CD under her coat. She smiles and says, "Which one do you want? Go ahead, take it while nobody's around." There is no one in sight, no employees or other customers. What would you do now? | Ignore her, Grab a CD and leave the store, Tell her to put the CD back, Act like it is a joke, and ask her to put the CD back | 42 |
| It is 8:00 on a weeknight and you are about to go over to a friend's house when your mother asks you where you are going. You say, "Oh, just going to go hang out with some friends." She says, "No, you'll just get into trouble if you go out. Stay home tonight." What would you do now? | Leave the house anyway; Explain what you are going to do with your friends, tell her when you will get home, and ask if you can go out; Not say anything and start watching TV; Get into an argument with her | 43 |


| You are visiting another part of town, and you do not know any of the people your age there. You are walking down the street, and some teenager you do not know is walking toward you. He is about your size, and as he is about to pass you, he deliberately bumps into you and you almost lose your balance. What would you say or do? | Push the person back, Say "Excuse me" and keep on walking; Say "Watch where you're going" and keep on walking; Swear at the person and walk away | 44 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| You are at a party at someone's house, and one of your friends offers you a drink containing alcohol. What would you say or do? | Drink it; Tell your friend, "No thanks, I don’t drink" and suggest that you and your friend go and do something else; Just say, "No thanks" and walk away; Make up a good excuse, tell your friend you had something else to do, and leave | 45 |
| PEER-INDIVIDUAL: Belief in the Moral Order |  |  |
| I think it is okay to take something without asking if you can get away with it. | NO!, No, Yes, YES! | 36 |
| I think sometimes it's okay to cheat at school. | NO!, No, Yes, YES! | 46 |
| It is all right to beat up people if they start the fight. | NO!, No, Yes, YES! | 33 |
| It is important to be honest with your parents, even if they become upset or you get punished. | NO!, No, Yes, YES! | 34 |
| OUTCOME: Gang Involvement |  |  |
| How old were you when you first: |  | 30 |
| Belonged to a gang? | Never Have, 10 or Younger, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17 or older | 30i |
| Have you ever belonged to a gang? | Yes, No | 38 |
| If you have ever belonged to a gang, did the gang have a name? | Yes, No, I never have belonged to a gang | 39 |


| Think of your four best friends ( the friends you feel closest to). In the past year (12 months), how many of your best friends have: |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Been members of a gang? | None, 1, 2, 3, 4 | 29k |
| RESPONSE HONESTY |  |  |
| How important were these questions? | Not too important, Fairly important, Important, Very Important | 132 |
| How honest were you in filling out this survey? | I was very honest, I was honest pretty much of the time, I was honest some of the time, I was honest once in a while, I was not honest at all | 133 |
| DRUG USE OUTCOMES |  |  |
| Have you ever used smokeless tobacco (chew, snuff, plug, dipping tobacco, or chewing tobacco)? | Never, Once or twice, Once in a while but not regularly, Regularly in the past, Regularly now | 55 |
| How frequently have you used smokeless tobacco during the past 30 days? | Never, Once or twice, Once in a while but not regularly, Regularly in the past, Regularly now | 56 |
| Have you ever smoked cigarettes? | Never, Once or twice, Once in a while but not regularly, Regularly in the past, Regularly now | 57 |
| How frequently have you smoked cigarettes during the past 30 days? | Not at all, Less than one cigarette per day, One to five cigarettes per day, About one-half pack per day, About one pack per day, About one and one-half packs per day, Two packs or more per day | 58 |
| On how many occasions have you had beer, wine, or hard liquor to drink in your lifetime? (more than just a few sips) | 0 -occasions, 1-2 occasions, 3-5 occasions, 6-9 occasions, 10-19 occasions, 20-39 occasions, 40 or more occasions | 59 |
| On how many occasions (if any) have you had beer, wine, or hard liquor during the past 30 days? | 0 -occasions, 1-2 occasions, 3-5 occasions, 6-9 occasions, 10-19 occasions, 20-39 occasions, 40 or more occasions | 60 |


| Think back over the last two weeks. How many times have you had five or more alcoholic drinks in a row? | None, 1 time, 2 times, 3-5 times, 6-9 times, 10 or more times | 61 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| On how many occasions (if any) have you used marijuana in your lifetime? | 0 -occasions, 1-2 occasions, 3-5 occasions, 6-9 occasions, 10-19 occasions, 20-39 occasions, 40 or more occasions | 62 |
| DRUG USE OUTCOMES |  |  |
| On how many occasions (if any) have you used marijuana during the past 30 days? | 0 -occasions, 1-2 occasions, 3-5 occasions, 6-9 occasions, 10-19 occasions, 20-39 occasions, 40 or more occasions | 63 |
| On how many occasions (if any) have you used LSD or other psychedelics in your lifetime? | 0 -occasions, 1-2 occasions, 3-5 occasions, 6-9 occasions, 10-19 occasions, 20-39 occasions, 40 or more occasions | 64 |
| On how many occasions (if any) have you used LSD or other psychedelics during the past 30 days? | 0 -occasions, 1-2 occasions, 3-5 occasions, 6-9 occasions, 10-19 occasions, 20-39 occasions, 40 or more occasions | 65 |
| On how many occasions (if any) have you used cocaine or crack in your lifetime? | 0 -occasions, 1-2 occasions, 3-5 occasions, 6-9 occasions, 10-19 occasions, 20-39 occasions, 40 or more occasions | 66 |
| On how many occasions (if any) have you used cocaine or crack during the past 30 days? | 0 -occasions, 1-2 occasions, 3-5 occasions, 6-9 occasions, 10-19 occasions, 20-39 occasions, 40 or more occasions | 67 |
| On how many occasions (if any) have you sniffed glue, breathed the contents of an aerosol spray can, or inhaled other gases or sprays in order to get high in your lifetime? | 0 -occasions, 1-2 occasions, 3-5 occasions, 6-9 occasions, 10-19 occasions, 20-39 occasions, 40 or more occasions | 68 |
| On how many occasions (if any) have you sniffed glue, breathed the contents of an aerosol spray can, or inhaled other gases or sprays in order to get high during the past 30 days? | 0 -occasions, 1-2 occasions, 3-5 occasions, 6-9 occasions, 10-19 occasions, 20-39 occasions, 40 or more occasions |  |
| On how many occasions (if any) have you taken methamphetamines in your lifetime? | 0 -occasions, 1-2 occasions, 3-5 occasions, 6-9 occasions, 10-19 occasions, 20-39 occasions, 40 or more occasions | 70 |


| On how many occasions (if any) have you taken methamphetamines in the past 30 days? | 0 -occasions, 1-2 occasions, 3-5 occasions, 6-9 occasions, 10-19 occasions, 20-39 occasions, 40 or more occasions | 71 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DRUG USE OUTCOMES |  |  |
| On how many occasions (if any) have you used ecstasy in your lifetime? | 0 -occasions, 1-2 occasions, 3-5 occasions, 6-9 occasions, 10-19 occasions, 20-39 occasions, 40 or more occasions | 72 |
| On how many occasions (if any) have you used ecstasy during the past 30 days? | 0 -occasions, 1-2 occasions, 3-5 occasions, 6-9 occasions, 10-19 occasions, 20-39 occasions, 40 or more occasions | 73 |
| On how many occasions (if any) have you used derbisol in you lifetime? | 0 -occasions, 1-2 occasions, 3-5 occasions, 6-9 occasions, 10-19 occasions, 20-39 occasions, 40 or more occasions | 74 |
| On how many occasions (if any) have you used derbisol during the past 30 days? | 0 -occasions, 1-2 occasions, 3-5 occasions, 6-9 occasions, 10-19 occasions, 20-39 occasions, 40 or more occasions | 75 |
| On how many occasions (if any) have you used other illegal drugs in your lifetime? | 0 -occasions, 1-2 occasions, 3-5 occasions, 6-9 occasions, 10-19 occasions, 20-39 occasions, 40 or more occasions | 76 |
| On how many occasions (if any) have you used other illegal drugs during the past 30 days? | 0 -occasions, 1-2 occasions, 3-5 occasions, 6-9 occasions, 10-19 occasions, 20-39 occasions, 40 or more occasions | 77 |
| RAVE |  |  |
| Think of your four best friends (the friends you feel closest to). In the past year (12 month), how many of your best friends have: |  |  |
| Attended a RAVE Party? | None, 1, 2, 3, 4 | 291 |
| Used Drugs while attending a RAVE Party? | None, 1, 2, 3, 4 | 29m |
| Have you attended a RAVE Party? | NO!, No, Yes, YES! | 104 |
| Have you used drugs while attending a RAVE Party? | NO!, No, Yes, YES! | 105 |

## Appendix E: Description of Profile Reports, Sample Profile Report, and Selected Charts for All Arkansas Youth, and Males Compared to Females

## Risk and Protective Factor Scales and Profiles

Many of the questions on the survey have been combined into risk and protective factor scales. This allows the information contained in items that measure the same type of information to be summarized as a scale score. All of the scales are scored so that the higher the score the greater the risk for risk factors and the greater the protection for protective factors.

A benefit of using the risk and protective factor model in dealing with adolescent social problems is that it provides a method of measuring levels of risk and protection. Once the areas of highest risk and the areas of lowest protection are identified, they can be addressed by programs designed to reduce levels of risk and increase levels of protection. The decreases in risk and increases in protection will ultimately results in a reduction of the rate of youth problem behaviors. After the prevention programs have been implemented, the risk and protective factor levels can again be measured to determine the effectiveness of the intervention.

The questions on the survey have been divided into 27 risk factor scales and 10 protective factor scales. A new risk factor scale that measures intention to use ATODs was added in 2000 to the survey. An item dictionary that lists the risk and protective factor scales and the questions they contain has been prepared and included in Appendix D for reference.

In order to make the results of the 2003 Survey more useable, risk and protective profiles have been developed that show the percentage of youth at risk and the percentage of youth with protection on each scale. The profiles allow a comparison between the percentage of youth at risk for the entire state of Arkansas and specific areas of the state. Also, each report presents data from the 2002 and 2003 surveys, allowing the state, schools, counties and regions to identify changing rates over time. Profiles have been prepared for counties, regions, school districts, and individual schools.

## Interpreting Risk and Protective Factor Profile Reports

In 2000, a profile report was developed by Bach Harrison L.L.C. to help disseminate the results of the survey to a wider range of readers. The profile reports for the Arkansas survey contain results from the 2002 and 2003 administrations. The purpose of the report is to provide information to prevention planners that will allow them to begin planning prevention services for their areas. The profile reports contain information specific to a geographic area or population group and are designed to assist in prevention planning at the school, county, region, and state levels. This Appendix contains an example of a complete profile report (grades $6,8,10$, and 12) and charts for Arkansas males compared to females. Briefly, the report contains a description of the Risk and Protective Factor Framework; a section on how to use the information provided in the report; substance use and antisocial behavior charts for grades $6,8,10$, and 12 ; risk and protective factor charts for the four grades; school safety charts for the four grades; risk and protective factor definitions; and numeric tables that contain all of the data displayed in the charts.

An advantage of having the data available from the profile report is that the ATOD use, antisocial behavior, and the percentage of youth at risk and with protection provide a base line that can be used to compare the results from future surveys. A community can determine whether it is becoming more or less at risk in an area by comparing the survey results from one survey administration to the next. Through future student survey administrations; schools, communities, and regional and state agencies that deliver prevention services can effectively evaluate their prevention efforts and determine if those efforts are having the desired effect of reducing risk and increasing protection in youth. These changes in risk and protection will, hopefully, result in the reduction of the level of youth problem behaviors in the community.

For more information on the Arkansas Prevention Needs Assessment Student Survey, how to conduct a student survey in your community, the risk and protective factor model of prevention, resource allocation, prevention's best practices, and program evaluation, contact Alcohol and Drug Abuse Prevention at (501) 686-9515.
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The 2003 Arkansas Prevention Needs Assessment Survey
This report summarizes findings from the Prevention Needs Assessment Survey, a survey of $6^{\text {th }}, 8^{\text {th }}, 10^{\text {th }}$ and $12^{\text {th }}$ grade school students, conducted in the Fall of 2003. This survey was available free of charge to all Arkansas public school districts that chose to participate. The survey was designed to assess adolescent substance use and related behaviors, and risk and protective factors that predict these behaviors. In this report, the results are presented for each grade along with the overall results for the State. Table 1
contains characteristics of the students who


> This is the second year that the Arkansas PNA Survey was administered. Because trends over time are very important to prevention planning, readers are encouraged to review the results from last year's (2002) survey. By comparing the results of the two surveys, changes in ATOD use, rates of antisocial behavior, and levels risk and protective factors can be determined for a specific grade. It is important to note that the results in this report are for students who were not sampled in the even grades $(6,8,10$, and 12$)$ during the 2002 survey. Those students are now in grades $7,9,11$, and out of school. Together, the results of the 2002 and 2003 PNA surveys provide a complete picture of ATOD use, antisocial behavior, risk, and protection for students in Arkansas.

The Risk and Protective Factor Model of Prevention
Risk and protective factor-focused prevention is based on a simple premise: To prevent a problem from happening, we need to identify the factors that increase the risk of that problem developing and then find



 Чठิ! risk exposure and lead to the development of healthy behaviors.
Risk factors include characteristics of school, community, and family environments, as well as characteristics of students and their peer groups that are known to predict increased likelihood of drug use, delinquency, and violent behaviors among youth (Hawkins, Catalano \& Miller, 1992; Hawkins, Arthur \& Catalano, 1995;
Brewer, Hawkins, Catalano \& Neckerman, 1995).

Protective factors exert a positive influence or buffer against the negative influence of risk, thus reducing the likelihood that behaviors. Protective factors identified through research reviewed by the Social Development Research Group include social bonding to family, school,
community and peers; and healthy beliefs and clear standards for behavior. Research on risk and protective factors has
important implications for prevention efforts. The premise of this approach is that in order to promote positive youth development and prevent problem behaviors, it is necessary to address those factors that predict the problem. By
 рәџ!̣иәр! әq иет реәлdsәр!м рие рәнеләә and targeted by preventive interventions
 For example, if academic failure is identified as an elevated risk factor in a community, then mentoring and tutoring interventions can be provided that will improve academic performance, and also increase opportunities and rewards for
classroom participation.

[^3]SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT USING SURVEY DATA
Data from the Arkansas Prevention Needs Assessment Survey can be used to help school and
Each risk and protective factor can be linked to specific types of interventions that have been shown to be effective in either reducing the risk(s) and enhancing the protection(s). The steps outlined below will help your school and community make key decisions regarding allocation of resources, how and when to address specific needs, and which strategies are most effective and known to produce results.

## What are the numbers telling you?

Review the charts and data tables presented in this report. Using the table below, note your findings as you discuss the following questions.
Which 3 to 5 risk factors appear to be higher than you would want? - t?
Which 3 to 5 protective factors appear to be lower than you would wand
Which levels of 30 day drug use are increasing and/or unacceptably high?
Which substances are your students using the most?
At which grades do you see unacceptable usage levels?
-Which levels of antisocial behaviors are increasing and/or unacceptably high?
Which behaviors are your students exhibiting the most?
At which grades do you see unacceptable behavior levels?
How to decide if a rate is "unacceptable."

- Look across the charts to determine which items stand out as either much higher or much
Compare your data to statewide data and national data. Differences of $5 \%$ between the local
and other data are probably significant.
Determine the standards and values held in your area. For example: Is it acceptable in your community for $75 \%$ of high school students to drink alcohol regularly even when the statewide percentage is 90 ?
Use these data for planning:
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How do I decide which intervention(s) to employ?

- Strategies should be selected based on the risk factors that are high in your community and
the protective factors which are low.
- Strategies should be age appropriate and employed prior to the onset of the problem
behavior.
- Strategies chosen should address more than a single risk and protective factor.
- No single strategy offers the solution.
How do I know whether or not the intervention was effective?
- Participation in the annual administration of the survey provides trend data necessary for determining the effectiveness of the implemented intervention(s) and also provides data for determining any new efforts that are needed.


## HOW TO READ THE CHARTS

1. Student responses for risk and protective factors, substance use and antisocial behavior questions are displayed by grade on the following pages.
2. The factors are grouped into 4 domains: community, family, peer-individual, and school.
3. The bars represent the percent of students in the grade who reported elevated risk or protection, substance use or antisocial behaviors or school safety concerns.
4. Scanning across these charts, you can easily determine which factors are most (or least) 'ssəıppe of Кұ!
5. Bars will be completed by a small dot. The dot shows the comparison from the state and provides additional information for you in determining the relative importance of each risk or protective factor.
6. A dashed line on each risk and protective factor chart represents the percentage of youth at risk or with protection for the seven state sample upon which the cut-points were developed. The seven states included in the norm group were Colorado, Illinois, Kansas, Maine, Oregon, Utah and Washington. This gives you a comparison to a national sample.
7. Brief definitions of the risk and protective factors can be found following the graphs.
8. Actual percentages are provided in the data tables following the charts.
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Table 2. Risk and Protective Factor Definitions

| Community Domain Risk Factors |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Community and Personal Transitions \& Mobility | Neighborhoods with high rates of residential mobility have been shown to have higher rates of juvenile crime and drug selling, while children who experience frequent residential moves and stressful life transitions have been shown to have higher risk for school failure, delinquency, and drug use. |
| Community Disorganization | Research has shown that neighborhoods with high population density, lack of natural surveillance of public places, physical deterioration, and high rates of adult crime also have higher rates of juvenile crime and drug selling. |
| Low Neighborhood Attachment | A low level of bonding to the neighborhood is related to higher levels of juvenile crime and drug selling. |
| Laws and Norms <br> Favorable Toward Drug Use | Research has shown that legal restrictions on alcohol and tobacco use, such as raising the legal drinking age, restricting smoking in public places, and increased taxation have been followed by decreases in consumption. Moreover, national surveys of high school seniors have shown that shifts in normative attitudes toward drug use have preceded changes in prevalence of use. |
| Perceived Availability of Drugs and Handguns | The availability of cigarettes, alcohol, marijuana, and other illegal drugs has been related to the use of these substances by adolescents. The availability of handguns is also related to a higher risk of crime and substance use by adolescents. |
| Community Domain Protective Factors |  |
| Opportunities for Positive Involvement | When opportunities are available in a community for positive participation, children are less likely to engage in substance use and other problem behaviors. |
| Rewards for Positive Involvement | Rewards for positive participation in activities helps children bond to the community, thus lowering their risk for substance use. |
| Family Domain Risk Factors |  |
| Family History of Antisocial Behavior | When children are raised in a family with a history of problem behaviors (e.g., violence or ATOD use), the children are more likely to engage in these behaviors. |
| Family Conflict | Children raised in families high in conflict, whether or not the child is directly involved in the conflict, appear at risk for both delinquency and drug use. |
| Parental Attitudes Favorable Toward Antisocial Behavior \& Drugs | In families where parents use illegal drugs, are heavy users of alcohol, or are tolerant of children's use, children are more likely to become drug abusers during adolescence. The risk is further increased if parents involve children in their own drug (or alcohol) using behavior, for example, asking the child to light the parent's cigarette or get the parent a beer from the refrigerator. |
| Poor Family Discipline | Parents' use of inconsistent and/or unusually harsh or severe punishment with their children places them at higher risk for substance use and other problem behaviors. |
| Poor Family Supervision | Parents' failure to provide clear expectations and to monitor their children's behavior makes it more likely that they will engage in drug abuse whether or not there are family drug problems. |
| Family Attachment | Young people who feel that they are a valued part of their family are less likely to engage in substance use and other problem behaviors. |
| Family Domain Protective Factors |  |
| Opportunities for Positive Involvement | Young people who are exposed to more opportunities to participate meaningfully in the responsibilities and activities of the family are less likely to engage in drug use and other problem behaviors. |
| Rewards for Positive Involvement | When parents, siblings, and other family members praise, encourage, and attend to things done well by their child, children are less likely to engage in substance use and problem behaviors. |
| School Domain Risk Factors |  |
| Academic Failure | Beginning in the late elementary grades (grades 4-6) academic failure increases the risk of both drug abuse and delinquency. It appears that the experience of failure itself, for whatever reasons, increases the risk of problem behaviors. |


| Table 2. Risk and Protective Factor Definitions (Continued) |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Little Commitment to School | Surveys of high school seniors have shown that the use of hallucinogens, cocaine, heroin, stimulants, and sedatives or non-medically prescribed tranquilizers is significantly lower among students who expect to attend college than among those who do not. Factors such as liking school, spending time on homework, and perceiving the coursework as relevant are also negatively related to drug use. |
| School Domain Protective Factors |  |
| Opportunities for Positive Involvement | When young people are given more opportunities to participate meaningfully in important activities at school, they are less likely to engage in drug use and other problem behaviors. |
| Rewards for Positive Involvement | When young people are recognized and rewarded for their contributions at school, they are less likely to be involved in substance use and other problem behaviors |
| Peer-Individual Risk Factors |  |
| Favorable Attitudes Toward Antisocial Behavior | Young people who accept or condone antisocial behavior are more likely to engage in a variety of problem behaviors, including drug use. |
| Early Initiation of Problem Behavior | Early onset of drug use predicts misuse of drugs. The earlier the onset of any drug use, the greater the involvement in other drug use and the greater frequency of use. Onset of drug use prior to the age of 15 is a consistent predictor of drug abuse, and a later age of onset of drug use has been shown to predict lower drug involvement and a greater probability of discontinuation of use. |
| Favorable Attitudes Toward Drug Use | Initiation of use of any substance is preceded by values favorable to its use. During the elementary school years, most children express anti-drug, anti-crime, and pro-social attitudes and have difficulty imagining why people use drugs. However, in middle school, as more youth are exposed to others who use drugs, their attitudes often shift toward greater acceptance of these behaviors. Youth who express positive attitudes toward drug use are at higher risk for subsequent drug use. |
| Friends' Use of Drugs | Young people who associate with peers who engage in alcohol or substance abuse are much more likely to engage in the same behavior. Peer drug use has consistently been found to be among the strongest predictors of substance use among youth. Even when young people come from well-managed families and do not experience other risk factors, spending time with friends who use drugs greatly increases the risk of that problem developing. |
| Interaction with Antisocial Peers | Young people who associate with peers who engage in problem behaviors are at higher risk for engaging in antisocial behavior themselves. |
| Low Perceived Risk of Drug Use | Young people who do not perceive drug use to be risky are far more likely to engage in drug use. |
| Rewards for Antisocial Involvement | Young people who receive rewards for their antisocial behavior are at higher risk for engaging further in antisocial behavior and substance use. |
| Rebelliousness | Young people who do not feel part of society, are not bound by rules, don't believe in trying to be successful or responsible, or who take an active rebellious stance toward society, are at higher risk of abusing drugs. In addition, high tolerance for deviance, a strong need for independence, and normlessness have all been linked with drug use. |
| Sensation Seeking | Young people who seek out opportunities for dangerous, risky behavior in general are at higher risk for participating in drug use and other problem behaviors. |
| Peer-Individual Protective Factors |  |
| Religiosity | Young people who regularly attend religious services are less likely to engage in problem behaviors. |
| Social Skills | Young people who are socially competent and engage in positive interpersonal relations with their peers are less likely to use drugs and engage in other problem behaviors. |
| Belief in the Moral Order | Young people who have a belief in what is "right" or "wrong" are less likely to use drugs. |
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 Table 11. A

|  | Grade 6 |  | Grade 8 |  | Grade 10 |  | Grade 12 |  | Total Average |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 |
| Age of first ATOD use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Marijuana | 10.8 | 10.9 | 12.1 | 12.1 | 13.4 | 13.3 | 14.5 | 14.4 | 13.5 | 13.5 |
| Cigarettes | 10.4 | 10.4 | 11.2 | 11.2 | 12.1 | 12.1 | 12.9 | 12.8 | 11.9 | 11.9 |
| Alcohol Use | 10.5 | 10.5 | 11.7 | 11.6 | 12.9 | 12.9 | 14.0 | 14.0 | 12.6 | 12.7 |
| Regular Alcohol Use | 10.8 | 10.8 | 12.4 | 12.4 | 14.0 | 14.0 | 15.4 | 15.3 | 14.2 | 14.2 |
| Age of first Antisocial Behavior |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| School Suspension | 10.6 | 10.6 | 11.7 | 11.7 | 12.6 | 12.7 | 13.6 | 13.5 | 12.2 | 12.3 |
| Been Arrested | 11.0 | 10.9 | 12.3 | 12.3 | 13.8 | 13.8 | 15.1 | 15.1 | 13.5 | 13.7 |
| Carried a Gun | 10.8 | 10.7 | 11.7 | 11.9 | 12.6 | 12.9 | 14.1 | 13.9 | 12.3 | 12.5 |
| Attacked to Harm | 10.8 | 10.8 | 11.9 | 12.0 | 13.0 | 12.9 | 14.0 | 13.9 | 12.5 | 12.6 |
| Belonged to a Gang | 10.9 | 10.9 | 12.2 | 12.2 | 13.0 | 13.1 | 13.5 | 13.6 | 12.3 | 12.5 |

## CONTACTS FOR PREVENTION

Prevention Resource Centers
Region 4 PREVENTION RESOURCE CENTER Council
Jonesboro
P O Box 1497
P O Box 1497
(520 West Monroe Street)
Jonesboro, AR 72403
Ms. Dorothy Newsom, PRC Coordinator
(870) 933-0033
Fax: (870) 933-0048
E-MAIL: dnewsom@mynewroads.com
(870) 933-0033
Fax: (870) 933-0048
E-MAIL: dnewsom@mynewroads.com
Counties: Randolph, Clay, Lawrence, Greene,
Craighead, Mississippi, Poinsett

| Region 5 PREVENTION RESOURCE CENTER |
| :--- |
| Operated by Harbor House, Inc. |


Region 6 PREVENTION RESOURCE CENTER
Operated by Community Service, Inc
Morrilton
P O Box 679
(100 South Cherokee Street)

Mr. Jim Rhodes, PRC Coordinator
(501) 354-4589
Fax: (501) 354-5410
E-MAIL: jrhodes@communityserviceinc.com
Counties: Johnson, Pope, Conway, Yell, Perry, Faulkner
Region 1 PREVENTION RESOURCE CENTER

## Operated by Decision Point

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Springdale } \\
& \text { JTL Shop Building } \\
& 614 \text { East Emma Street, Suite M428 } \\
& \text { Springdale, AR } 72764
\end{aligned}
$$

Mr. Jim Smith, PRC Coordinator

> (479) 927-2655
> Fax: $(479) 927-2$
E-MAIL: jsmith@jtlshop.jonesnet.org
Counties: Benton, Carroll, Madison, Washington

## Region 2 PREVENTION RESOURCE CENTER

 Awareness and Prevention CouncilHarrison
310 South Pine Stree
Harrison, AR 72601
Ms. Andrea Parton, PRC Coordinator
(870) 741-9131
Fax: (870) 741-1523
E-MAIL: nadap@alltel.net Searcy

## $\frac{\text { Region } 3 \text { PREVENTION RESOURCE CENTER }}{\text { Operated by North Arkansas Human }}$

 Services System, Inc.Searcy
3302 East Moore Avenue
Searcy, AR 72143
Ms. Pat Huckeby, PRC Coordinator
(501) 268-7419
Eax: (501) 268-5301
Counties: Fulton, Izard, Sharp, Stone, Jackson Cleburne, Van Buren, White, Woodruff, Independence

Region 7 PREVENTION RESOURCE CENTER
Operated by Crowley's Ridge Development
Council
Turrell
P.O. Box 252
92 Third Street
Turrell, AR 72384
Mr. Dewayne Alcorn, PRC Coordinator
(870) 343-2887
Fax: (870) 343-2374
E-MAIL: dalcorn@mynewroads.com
Counties: Cross, Crittenden, St. Francis,
Region 8 PREVENTION RESOURCE CENTER
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## Appendix F: Lifetime and 30-Day ATOD use for Participating Regions and Counties

| Percentage of Youth Who Used ATODs in Their Lifetime by Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Alcohol |  | Cigarettes |  | Smokeless Tobacco |  | Marijuana |  | Inhalants |  | Hallucinogens |  | Cocaine |  | Methamphetamines |  | Ecstasy |  | Any Drug |  |
|  | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 |
| 1 | 53.2 | 54.0 | 41.6 | 40.6 | 22.0 | 21.7 | 23.3 | 24.6 | 15.8 | 11.9 | 4.8 | 5.2 | 4.3 | 5.3 | 4.1 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 3.9 | 32.8 | 31.6 |
| 2 | --- | 53.1 | --- | 48.1 | --- | 31.2 | --- | 23.5 | --- | 12.0 | --- | 5.1 | --- | 3.9 | --- | 5.4 | --- | 3.5 | --- | 29.5 |
| 3 | 48.5 | 57.4 | 43.9 | 55.2 | 23.8 | 31.8 | 19.6 | 27.2 | 16.7 | 17.7 | 4.9 | 4.3 | 4.7 | 4.9 | 6.5 | 4.5 | 4.3 | 3.6 | 28.6 | 36.2 |
| 4 | 51.4 | 47.9 | 43.2 | 39.0 | 21.1 | 18.9 | 21.4 | 19.0 | 13.4 | 12.7 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 3.2 | 3.1 | 3.8 | 3.1 | 2.8 | 2.6 | 29.2 | 26.5 |
| 5 | 49.2 | 50.2 | 42.8 | 38.4 | 25.2 | 16.4 | 21.8 | 23.2 | 13.4 | 14.3 | 4.1 | 4.8 | 3.8 | 4.5 | 3.5 | 4.4 | 5.2 | 5.0 | 29.5 | 31.1 |
| 6 | --- | --- | --- | --- | -- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | -- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 7 | 55.0 | 56.1 | 49.1 | 46.9 | 24.3 | 24.1 | 22.9 | 26.1 | 14.3 | 11.0 | 4.7 | 4.2 | 4.4 | 2.7 | 4.7 | 2.5 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 32.0 | 34.1 |
| 8 | 52.5 | 50.4 | 45.8 | 39.7 | 25.5 | 20.1 | 22.5 | 19.7 | 15.1 | 15.6 | 4.3 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 2.8 | 4.3 | 2.1 | 3.5 | 2.4 | 30.9 | 30.2 |
| 9 | 45.5 | 58.1 | 35.0 | 47.6 | 14.7 | 25.6 | 21.1 | 28.4 | 11.2 | 15.6 | 4.0 | 5.1 | 3.6 | 4.7 | 3.8 | 5.6 | 3.9 | 3.8 | 27.8 | 36.6 |
| 10 | 51.3 | 57.1 | 44.0 | 45.7 | 20.5 | 22.8 | 24.2 | 26.5 | 11.9 | 10.7 | 2.8 | 3.4 | 3.0 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.8 | 3.9 | 4.4 | 32.2 | 33.9 |
| 11 | 51.5 | 48.7 | 47.5 | 40.5 | 23.4 | 20.7 | 19.7 | 22.8 | 11.9 | 12.4 | 2.4 | 2.6 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 2.6 | 2.3 | 2.1 | 29.5 | 31.2 |
| 12 | 51.1 | 51.5 | 43.3 | 38.3 | 18.8 | 16.8 | 23.7 | 26.0 | 11.1 | 11.7 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 2.7 | 3.8 | 3.1 | 2.6 | 4.5 | 3.7 | 31.5 | 33.0 |
| 13 | 50.1 | --- | 41.4 | --- | 18.2 | --- | 20.5 | --- | 10.7 | --- | 2.6 | --- | 2.3 | --- | 1.9 | --- | 2.8 | --- | 27.7 | --- |
| ** Cells containing the ---s symbol indicate an area where data is not available due to the region not participating in either the 2002 or 2003 survey. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Percentage of Youth Who Used ATODs in the Past 30 Days by Region

|  | Alcohol |  | Cigarettes |  | Smokeless Tobacco |  | Marijuana |  | Inhalants |  | Hallucinogens |  | Cocaine |  | Methamphetamines |  | Ecstasy |  | Any Drug |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 |
| 1 | 30.4 | 29.9 | 17.1 | 17.3 | 8.8 | 9.6 | 11.2 | 12.3 | 6.1 | 4.3 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 16.7 | 16.6 |
| 2 | --- | 27.2 | --- | 22.8 | --- | 15.3 | --- | 10.1 | --- | 4.5 | --- | 2.3 | --- | 1.2 | --- | 1.5 | --- | 0.8 | --- | 13.6 |
| 3 | 22.3 | 30.2 | 20.4 | 21.6 | 10.0 | 12.6 | 9.9 | 12.8 | 6.1 | 5.5 | 0.8 | 1.7 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 14.5 | 17.6 |
| 4 | 28.5 | 24.5 | 18.2 | 15.7 | 8.0 | 7.3 | 10.3 | 7.9 | 4.8 | 4.4 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.7 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 14.5 | 11.7 |
| 5 | 25.2 | 25.4 | 15.9 | 14.7 | 10.0 | 6.3 | 11.3 | 10.8 | 4.9 | 4.7 | 1.3 | 1.7 | 0.7 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.9 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 15.8 | 15.4 |
| 6 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 7 | 31.4 | 32.3 | 19.4 | 16.4 | 8.8 | 8.9 | 10.1 | 12.8 | 4.2 | 4.6 | 1.5 | 1.9 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 2.5 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 14.0 | 17.1 |
| 8 | 26.8 | 24.4 | 19.1 | 15.1 | 12.4 | 9.0 | 10.5 | 8.4 | 5.4 | 6.7 | 1.8 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 0.8 | 1.8 | 0.9 | 1.4 | 0.9 | 15.3 | 14.2 |
| 9 | 24.0 | 31.7 | 13.4 | 20.0 | 5.6 | 12.3 | 10.4 | 13.6 | 3.7 | 5.2 | 1.3 | 1.9 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.9 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 14.0 | 17.0 |
| 10 | 30.3 | 33.0 | 17.5 | 17.2 | 8.0 | 10.5 | 11.6 | 10.7 | 3.6 | 4.2 | 1.0 | 1.7 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 15.5 | 14.5 |
| 11 | 26.8 | 26.3 | 16.6 | 15.0 | 8.0 | 8.1 | 8.5 | 9.5 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 13.1 | 14.1 |
| 12 | 30.9 | 28.3 | 19.5 | 15.7 | 8.4 | 7.3 | 12.8 | 16.6 | 3.4 | 4.0 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 15.7 | 21.1 |
| 13 | 25.7 | --- | 15.5 | --- | 6.7 | --- | 9.4 | --- | 3.5 | --- | 0.8 | --- | 0.7 | --- | 1.0 | --- | 0.7 | --- | 12.7 | --- |

Percentage of Youth Who Used ATODs in Their Lifetime by County

|  | Alcohol |  | Cigarettes |  | Smokeless <br> Tobacco |  | Marijuana |  | Inhalants |  | Hallucinogens |  | Cocaine |  | Methamphetamines |  | Ecstasy |  | Any Drug |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 |
| Arkansas | 52.9 | 50.1 | 43.0 | 37.5 | 19.4 | 14.2 | 26.8 | 23.7 | 9.3 | 10.1 | 3.5 | 2.1 | 2.6 | 3.8 | 3.0 | 2.1 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 34.1 | 31.4 |
| Ashley | 48.6 | --- | 38.9 | --- | 17.6 | --- | 19.7 | --- | 12.1 | --- | 2.3 | --- | 1.8 | --- | 2.5 | --- | 3.3 | --- | 27.1 | --- |
| Benton | 55.8 | 53.3 | 44.7 | 37.1 | 20.4 | 21.2 | 26.2 | 22.1 | 18.2 | 14.7 | 5.7 | 4.7 | 6.2 | 4.9 | 5.0 | 3.3 | 3.6 | 3.9 | 36.6 | 31.7 |
| Bradley | 57.5 | --- | 49.8 | --- | 23.2 | --- | 22.8 | --- | 10.9 | --- | 2.9 | --- | 1.6 | --- | 1.0 | --- | 2.0 | --- | 31.3 | --- |
| Calhoun | 55.4 | 58.4 | 44.9 | 39.0 | 28.8 | 32.9 | 20.8 | 17.4 | 12.2 | 8.1 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 2.4 | 1.2 | 2.5 | 1.2 | 2.5 | 1.9 | 30.3 | 23.9 |
| Carroll | 49.8 | 48.8 | 39.9 | 35.2 | 21.6 | 19.6 | 21.3 | 13.9 | 13.8 | 10.3 | 3.6 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 2.3 | 3.0 | 2.6 | 3.3 | 1.9 | 29.9 | 21.1 |
| Chicot | 46.5 | --- | 38.7 | --- | 14.5 | --- | 20.0 | --- | 7.0 | --- | 2.9 | --- | 4.1 | --- | 1.6 | --- | 2.3 | --- | 25.7 | --- |
| Clark | 46.7 | 49.4 | 40.5 | 43.4 | 27.4 | 21.7 | 16.9 | 15.7 | 10.8 | 18.7 | 3.6 | 2.4 | 2.6 | 2.4 | 3.6 | 1.8 | 2.6 | 2.4 | 24.2 | 31.3 |
| Clay | 50.1 | 39.4 | 37.4 | 32.4 | 23.1 | 20.8 | 15.9 | 12.7 | 10.2 | 7.7 | 4.8 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 2.1 | 1.8 | 2.1 | 1.2 | 21.7 | 17.8 |
| Cleburne | 62.5 | --- | 56.5 | --- | 31.9 | --- | 26.3 | --- | 23.5 | --- | 5.8 | --- | 5.9 | --- | 7.5 | --- | 6.8 | --- | 39.4 | --- |
| Columbia | 55.0 | 45.8 | 42.5 | 40.3 | 35.0 | 28.2 | 12.5 | 13.9 | 5.0 | 10.0 | 2.5 | 2.8 |  | 1.4 |  | 1.5 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 17.5 | 21.7 |
| Craighead | 49.2 | 45.3 | 37.6 | 34.7 | 16.5 | 15.8 | 19.4 | 18.0 | 11.9 | 12.4 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 3.8 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 2.8 | 3.0 | 2.5 | 26.1 | 25.7 |
| Crawford | 53.0 | 51.2 | 50.7 | 44.2 | 29.1 | 23.3 | 30.7 | 18.6 | 16.8 | 16.3 | 5.0 | 4.7 | 4.0 | 2.3 | 3.0 | 7.0 | 7.6 | 2.3 | 37.9 | 23.3 |
| Crittenden | 45.3 | --- | 53.8 | --- | 13.2 | --- | 18.9 | --- | 9.8 | --- | 5.7 | --- | 3.8 | --- | 2.0 | --- | 2.0 | --- | 27.5 | --- |
| Cross | 56.2 | 62.4 | 50.8 | 53.0 | 27.6 | 31.9 | 24.2 | 22.3 | 14.0 | 12.9 | 6.2 | 5.1 | 4.5 | 2.2 | 6.8 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 3.4 | 32.8 | 30.9 |
| Dallas | 48.4 | 59.3 | 46.4 | 37.0 | 24.6 | 14.8 | 16.5 | 29.6 | 9.9 | 11.5 | 0.7 | 3.7 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 3.8 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 24.3 | 40.7 |
| Franklin | 52.9 | 64.6 | 42.2 | 57.7 | 35.9 | 40.2 | 14.2 | 30.2 | 14.9 | 24.0 | 2.2 | 4.1 | 1.9 | 2.1 | 1.2 | 5.2 | 3.8 | 2.1 | 26.3 | 40.4 |
| Fulton | --- | 49.0 | --- | 55.0 | --- | 38.0 | --- | 20.0 | --- | 10.0 | --- | 2.0 | --- | 1.0 | --- | 2.0 | --- | 2.0 | --- | 26.8 |
| Garland | 48.2 | 44.8 | 48.2 | 31.6 | 16.0 | 13.7 | 30.7 | 15.7 | 16.9 | 16.3 | 7.1 | 3.1 | 6.7 | 2.8 | 5.4 | 1.4 | 4.9 | 2.3 | 38.9 | 27.1 |
| Grant | 51.3 | 58.2 | 39.8 | 41.8 | 20.4 | 29.3 | 26.8 | 36.7 | 14.4 | 19.4 | 2.7 | 10.2 | 4.5 | 4.1 | 4.5 | 5.1 | 6.3 | 7.2 | 33.6 | 40.8 |
| Greene | 48.2 | 45.2 | 39.0 | 41.4 | 24.5 | 19.5 | 15.5 | 17.2 | 18.7 | 13.8 | 2.4 | 3.6 | 4.0 | 2.5 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 1.6 | 3.3 | 27.7 | 25.1 |
| Hempstead | 44.6 | --- | 42.1 | --- | 13.0 | --- | 17.4 | --- | 13.7 | --- | 2.2 | --- | 1.5 | --- | 0.5 | --- | 2.2 | --- | 27.8 | --- |
| Hot Spring | 51.6 | 51.3 | 43.8 | 40.8 | 25.2 | 17.6 | 22.7 | 22.9 | 15.9 | 12.4 | 3.9 | 3.3 | 3.7 | 2.8 | 4.0 | 2.6 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 31.5 | 31.2 |
| Independence | 53.8 | --- | 35.8 | --- | 30.9 | --- | 13.8 | --- | 12.3 | --- | 2.5 | --- | 3.7 | --- | 3.7 | --- | 1.2 | --- | 20.0 | --- |
| Jackson | 47.4 | --- | 49.4 | --- | 20.8 | --- | 25.3 | --- | 18.8 | --- | 7.2 | --- | 6.5 | --- | 12.0 | --- | 5.3 | --- | 34.2 | --- |
| Jefferson | 49.1 | --- | 44.4 | --- | 18.0 | --- | 20.0 | --- | 12.1 | -- | 4.1 | -- | 2.4 | --- | 3.0 | --- | 4.5 | -- | 28.4 | --- |
| Lafayette | 50.0 | 51.2 | 43.1 | 49.4 | 30.2 | 27.6 | 12.3 | 17.6 | 10.0 | 9.8 | 1.1 | 3.5 | 0.6 | 3.7 | 1.7 | 4.9 | 3.9 | 4.9 | 20.2 | 22.5 |

** Not all counties had school districts that participated in the 2002 and 2003 APNA Surveys.
** Cells containing the --- symbol indicate an area where data is not available either due to the county not participating in either the 2002 or 2003 survey, or the county not gathering enough data to report a percentage.

Percentage of Youth Who Used ATODs in Their Lifetime by County, Continued

| Lawrence | 57.3 | 51.7 | 54.2 | 44.3 | 29.7 | 21.9 | 25.8 | 21.6 | 14.5 | 12.0 | 4.0 | 3.4 | 2.5 | 1.6 | 5.4 | 3.0 | 2.6 | 1.9 | 34.4 | 28.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Logan | --- | 56.6 | --- | 50.2 | --- | 29.0 | --- | 25.8 | --- | 17.8 | --- | 4.1 | --- | 3.1 | --- | 3.5 | --- | 1.4 | --- | 34.2 |
| Lonoke | 46.4 | 59.3 | 37.4 | 50.0 | 16.2 | 24.7 | 23.1 | 31.2 | 14.1 | 17.1 | 4.7 | 5.8 | 3.9 | 5.1 | 4.6 | 5.5 | 4.1 | 3.8 | 30.3 | 39.8 |
| Madison | 59.3 | 57.3 | 47.3 | 47.8 | 33.2 | 33.9 | 21.4 | 26.3 | 13.5 | 13.8 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 2.9 | 3.5 | 2.7 | 2.4 | 4.4 | 3.6 | 30.0 | 34.0 |
| Miller | 52.0 | 60.2 | 42.4 | 45.2 | 19.2 | 19.7 | 30.4 | 31.0 | 11.9 | 10.8 | 3.5 | 3.9 | 3.6 | 2.4 | 2.9 | 2.6 | 4.5 | 5.1 | 37.5 | 38.0 |
| Mississippi | 52.0 | 55.9 | 46.3 | 46.2 | 20.4 | 22.9 | 25.5 | 23.5 | 14.7 | 14.1 | 2.1 | 3.2 | 2.6 | 4.4 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 3.1 | 35.1 | 34.0 |
| Monroe | --- | 55.7 | --- | 44.1 | --- | 21.2 | --- | 33.9 | --- | 11.8 | --- | 3.9 | --- | 3.4 | --- | 1.7 | --- | 3.4 | --- | 42.0 |
| Montgomery | 63.8 | --- | 54.2 | --- | 32.3 | --- | 23.2 | --- | 18.1 | --- | 4.2 | --- | 4.2 | --- | 5.4 | --- | 5.5 | --- | 32.6 | --- |
| Nevada | --- | 49.9 | --- | 43.8 | --- | 24.3 | --- | 20.4 | --- | 14.4 | --- | 1.6 | --- | 0.8 | --- | 1.1 | --- | 1.9 | --- | 30.2 |
| Newton | --- | 51.7 | --- | 47.8 | --- | 33.4 | --- | 21.1 | --- | 10.6 | --- | 4.8 | --- | 3.1 | --- | 3.1 | --- | 3.1 | --- | 26.8 |
| Ouachita | 44.6 | --- | 47.6 | --- | 14.0 | --- | 21.6 | --- | 11.3 | --- | 1.8 | --- | 1.8 | --- | 1.2 | --- | 1.2 | --- | 32.3 | --- |
| Phillips | 56.8 | 37.7 | 46.1 | 27.9 | 24.3 | 14.3 | 22.7 | 6.5 | 16.0 | 1.6 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 3.4 | 0.0 | 3.4 | 3.2 | 32.6 | 10.0 |
| Pike | 59.5 | 57.7 | 51.3 | 47.2 | 30.9 | 34.2 | 19.0 | 21.4 | 13.0 | 19.2 | 4.2 | 2.6 | 3.8 | 3.0 | 4.2 | 2.6 | 5.0 | 1.7 | 26.2 | 31.9 |
| Poinsett | 48.7 | 48.0 | 48.8 | 43.9 | 22.0 | 18.8 | 25.8 | 20.3 | 11.7 | 12.6 | 4.6 | 4.8 | 3.4 | 4.9 | 3.7 | 4.9 | 3.1 | 5.4 | 31.6 | 26.0 |
| Polk | 52.7 | 38.1 | 52.1 | 46.8 | 34.1 | 32.9 | 22.2 | 16.7 | 12.1 | 10.6 | 4.4 | 4.5 | 4.1 | 5.9 | 4.1 | 3.3 | 4.1 | 1.3 | 27.9 | 24.3 |
| Prairie | 73.4 | --- | 53.8 | --- | 39.4 | --- | 32.3 | --- | 13.8 | --- | 1.5 | --- | 3.1 | --- | 3.1 | --- | 3.2 | --- | 40.6 | --- |
| Pulaski | 37.2 | --- | 28.6 | --- | 7.2 | --- | 17.7 | --- | 8.0 | --- | 3.2 | --- | 2.6 | --- | 2.1 | --- | 2.7 | --- | 24.2 | --- |
| Randolph | 56.7 | 52.1 | 45.7 | 43.1 | 22.7 | 23.7 | 20.8 | 19.2 | 18.3 | 13.7 | 3.4 | 4.3 | 3.2 | 4.3 | 3.3 | 3.7 | 2.9 | 2.3 | 31.7 | 26.0 |
| Saint Francis | --- | 57.0 | --- | 51.9 | --- | 21.3 | --- | 31.1 | --- | 12.0 | --- | 5.6 | --- | 3.7 | --- | 1.9 | --- | 3.7 | --- | 40.2 |
| Saline | 51.3 | 56.4 | 38.3 | 44.2 | 19.4 | 26.9 | 22.5 | 24.4 | 11.8 | 13.5 | 4.3 | 4.1 | 4.2 | 4.1 | 4.7 | 5.7 | 4.7 | 3.8 | 28.9 | 32.2 |
| Searcy | --- | 55.3 | --- | 48.5 | --- | 27.9 | --- | 27.0 | --- | 14.1 | --- | 5.5 | --- | 5.2 | --- | 8.9 | --- | 4.2 | --- | 33.5 |
| Sebastian | 44.4 | 49.7 | 35.8 | 36.0 | 14.1 | 13.3 | 22.5 | 23.1 | 12.6 | 13.8 | 4.6 | 4.9 | 4.4 | 4.7 | 4.3 | 4.4 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 29.5 | 30.9 |
| Sevier | 58.4 | 53.6 | 51.2 | 45.6 | 28.2 | 26.4 | 23.8 | 21.4 | 10.8 | 10.9 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 4.6 | 1.9 | 3.1 | 2.5 | 4.1 | 3.1 | 30.8 | 29.9 |
| Stone | --- | 62.5 | --- | 57.3 | --- | 36.9 | --- | 25.2 | --- | 19.6 | --- | 3.9 | --- | 4.9 | --- | 4.9 | --- | 2.0 | --- | 35.3 |
| Union | 53.9 | 46.5 | 49.6 | 39.6 | 22.7 | 16.7 | 21.1 | 25.2 | 13.9 | 12.6 | 3.9 | 3.0 | 2.5 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 2.4 | 32.5 | 33.2 |
| Van Buren | 36.1 | --- | 35.2 | --- | 17.9 | --- | 15.7 | --- | 17.4 | --- | 5.8 | --- | 5.0 | --- | 5.0 | --- | 4.9 | --- | 25.0 | --- |
| Washington | 51.5 | 54.9 | 39.1 | 41.8 | 19.6 | 18.3 | 23.2 | 28.9 | 16.0 | 10.4 | 5.3 | 6.9 | 4.3 | 7.1 | 4.6 | 6.2 | 5.1 | 4.6 | 32.9 | 34.1 |
| White | 42.3 | 59.2 | 35.6 | 55.0 | 19.2 | 28.8 | 11.4 | 30.3 | 6.9 | 19.7 | 1.0 | 5.3 | 1.0 | 5.9 | 1.0 | 5.0 | 1.0 | 4.7 | 17.2 | 39.6 |

** Not all counties had school districts that participated in the 2002 and 2003 APNA Surveys.
** Cells containing the --- symbol indicate an area where data is not available either due to the county not participating in either the 2002 or 2003 survey, or the county not gathering enough data to report a percentage.

Percentage of Youth Who Used ATODs in the Past 30 Days by County

|  | Alcohol |  | Cigarettes |  | Smokeless Tobacco |  | Marijuana |  | Inhalants |  | Hallucinogens |  | Cocaine |  | Methamphetamines |  | Ecstasy |  | Any Drug |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 |
| Arkansas | 35.1 | 25.7 | 17.7 | 14.0 | 9.1 | 5.5 | 15.7 | 13.9 | 2.0 | 3.8 | 1.2 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 18.0 | 18.4 |
| Ashley | 26.6 | --- | 15.5 | --- | 7.0 | --- | 9.8 | --- | 3.8 | --- | 0.8 | --- | 0.4 | --- | 1.5 | --- | 1.0 | --- | 13.1 | --- |
| Benton | 30.4 | 28.8 | 16.8 | 15.8 | 6.7 | 9.4 | 12.5 | 11.5 | 7.5 | 5.6 | 2.4 | 1.9 | 2.3 | 1.0 | 1.9 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 19.4 | 17.2 |
| Bradley | 28.0 | --- | 18.8 | --- | 9.1 | --- | 8.1 | --- | 3.3 | --- | 0.7 | --- | 0.7 | --- | --- | --- | 0.7 | --- | 12.3 | --- |
| Calhoun | 29.1 | 31.3 | 15.6 | 14.6 | 10.6 | 13.5 | 4.9 | 5.6 | 5.9 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 0.6 | --- | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 7.6 |
| Carroll | 28.2 | 24.7 | 19.0 | 12.4 | 9.3 | 9.9 | 9.8 | 8.1 | 5.9 | 4.8 | 0.6 | 1.7 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.7 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 15.1 | 12.5 |
| Chicot | 21.5 | --- | 12.1 | --- | 3.5 | --- | 9.6 | --- | 2.9 | --- | 1.0 | --- | 1.3 | --- | 0.6 | --- | 0.3 | --- | 12.1 | --- |
| Clark | 26.9 | 24.1 | 17.9 | 13.3 | 15.2 | 10.8 | 9.3 | 4.8 | 3.1 | 5.4 | 3.1 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 12.0 | 10.3 |
| Clay | 24.6 | 14.5 | 14.8 | 9.8 | 9.8 | 8.7 | 6.4 | 2.3 | 5.1 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 10.6 | 4.2 |
| Cleburne | 37.7 | --- | 27.5 | --- | 15.9 | --- | 13.1 | --- | 11.9 | --- | 0.7 | --- | 1.5 | --- | 0.8 | --- | 0.8 | --- | 21.2 | --- |
| Columbia | 35.0 | 18.1 | 10.0 | 13.9 | 20.0 | 9.7 | 7.7 | 6.9 | --- | 2.9 | --- | 0.0 | --- | 0.0 | --- | 0.0 | --- | 0.0 | 7.7 | 8.7 |
| Craighead | 27.9 | 24.7 | 15.8 | 14.1 | 6.0 | 6.2 | 9.8 | 7.5 | 4.0 | 4.2 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.6 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 13.5 | 11.4 |
| Crawford | 25.4 | 27.9 | 19.8 | 20.9 | 12.1 | 9.3 | 14.4 | 0.0 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | --- | 2.3 | 0.5 | 2.3 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 19.0 | 2.3 |
| Crittenden | 24.5 | --- | 20.8 | --- | 7.5 | --- | 15.1 | --- | 1.9 | --- | 1.9 | --- | 2.0 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | 15.7 | --- |
| Cross | 30.5 | 33.0 | 23.8 | 22.7 | 12.2 | 16.0 | 10.7 | 11.7 | 4.5 | 7.3 | 2.2 | 2.8 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 2.8 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.7 | 15.3 | 17.0 |
| Dallas | 25.8 | 48.1 | 16.5 | 14.8 | 7.1 | 3.7 | 6.5 | 18.5 | 4.4 | 3.8 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 3.8 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 10.2 | 22.2 |
| Franklin | 27.7 | 37.5 | 14.1 | 29.9 | 13.9 | 26.8 | 5.9 | 13.5 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 2.1 | 0.9 | 2.1 | --- | 0.0 | 11.7 | 18.3 |
| Fulton | --- | 22.0 | --- | 15.0 | --- | 19.0 | --- | 8.1 | --- | 3.0 | --- | 1.0 | --- | 0.0 | --- | 1.0 | --- | 0.0 | --- | 12.4 |
| Garland | 24.4 | 23.1 | 21.9 | 12.0 | 5.8 | 7.3 | 14.7 | 9.6 | 6.7 | 8.2 | 1.8 | 1.1 | 2.2 | 1.1 | 1.8 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 20.6 | 16.7 |
| Grant | 27.4 | 40.8 | 21.2 | 24.5 | 7.1 | 16.3 | 15.0 | 29.6 | 2.7 | 5.1 | --- | 3.1 | --- | 0.0 | 2.7 | 3.1 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 16.4 | 33.7 |
| Greene | 24.4 | 22.3 | 11.5 | 15.0 | 8.3 | 8.5 | 5.6 | 7.1 | 7.6 | 5.8 | 1.2 | 2.2 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 0.4 | 2.0 | 0.8 | 1.7 | 12.6 | 11.5 |
| Hempstead | 23.0 | --- | 14.2 | --- | --- | --- | 8.8 | --- | 4.2 | --- | 0.7 | --- | 0.5 | --- | 0.3 | --- | 0.5 | --- | 13.0 | --- |
| Hot Spring | 24.3 | 22.7 | 18.2 | 15.2 | 12.3 | 7.0 | 10.1 | 10.2 | 5.5 | 6.3 | 1.6 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 1.6 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 15.3 | 15.7 |
| Independence | 15.2 | --- | 17.3 | --- | 12.5 | --- | 5.0 | --- | 3.7 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | 8.9 | --- |
| Jackson | 23.5 | --- | 23.5 | --- | 9.1 | --- | 12.3 | --- | 5.3 | --- | 2.0 | --- | 1.3 | --- | 5.3 | --- | --- | --- | 17.2 | --- |
| Jefferson | 27.6 | --- | 20.9 | --- | 7.9 | --- | 9.3 | --- | 4.9 | --- | 1.8 | --- | 0.4 | --- | 1.2 | --- | 2.0 | --- | 13.2 | --- |
| Lafayette | 27.5 | 31.0 | 14.4 | 18.6 | 9.9 | 13.8 | 4.5 | 11.6 | 2.8 | 1.2 |  | 1.2 |  | 2.4 | 1.1 | 2.4 | 1.7 | 2.4 | 7.5 | 13.4 |

** Not all counties had school districts that participated in the 2002 and 2003 APNA Surveys.
** Cells containing the --- symbol indicate an area where data is not available either due to the county not participating in either the 2002 or 2003 survey, or the county not gathering enough data to report a percentage.

Percentage of Youth Who Used ATODs in the Past 30 Days by County, Continued

| Lawrence | 31.9 | 22.2 | 23.5 | 19.1 | 12.6 | 7.4 | 12.2 | 7.4 | 4.5 | 5.9 | 1.7 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 0.5 | 2.1 | 1.6 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 16.2 | 12.4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Logan | --- | 29.3 | --- | 22.1 | --- | 13.4 | --- | 9.3 | --- | 7.4 | --- | 1.7 | --- | 1.1 | --- | 0.7 | --- | 0.0 | --- | 14.8 |
| Lonoke | 25.9 | 37.0 | 16.6 | 21.8 | 6.4 | 13.4 | 11.4 | 16.1 | 4.6 | 5.2 | 1.8 | 2.4 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 2.2 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 15.7 | 19.6 |
| Madison | 33.2 | 33.7 | 19.3 | 18.2 | 17.0 | 15.4 | 9.5 | 9.6 | 4.0 | 5.7 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 1.2 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 14.0 | 14.5 |
| Miller | 32.5 | 35.7 | 20.0 | 17.1 | 7.3 | 9.5 | 15.1 | 12.4 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 1.1 | 2.3 | 1.3 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 1.7 | 19.4 | 16.2 |
| Mississippi | 28.8 | 28.3 | 21.6 | 18.0 | 7.3 | 9.5 | 12.3 | 10.5 | 5.0 | 4.9 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.7 | 0.7 | 1.7 | 0.9 | 17.3 | 15.2 |
| Monroe | --- | 39.0 | --- | 14.0 | --- | 5.0 | --- | 17.4 | --- | 3.9 | --- | 1.1 | --- | 0.6 | --- | 1.1 | --- | 0.6 | --- | 21.1 |
| Montgomery | 34.0 | --- | 25.8 | --- | 13.4 | --- | 11.6 | --- | 6.4 | --- | 2.1 | --- | 3.2 | --- | 3.2 | --- | 2.2 | --- | 15.1 | --- |
| Nevada | --- | 29.0 | --- | 17.3 | --- | 10.1 | --- | 8.7 | --- | 3.8 | --- | 0.5 | --- | 0.8 | --- | 0.0 | --- | 0.5 | --- | 13.2 |
| Newton | --- | 26.5 | --- | 22.7 | --- | 16.6 | --- | 10.9 | --- | 5.4 | --- | 2.4 | --- | 1.0 | --- | 1.4 | --- | 1.4 | --- | 14.8 |
| Ouachita | 21.8 | --- | 16.5 | --- | 5.3 | --- | 12.0 | --- | 3.6 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | 15.6 | --- |
| Phillips | 34.3 | 11.7 | 14.6 | 4.8 | 5.7 | 3.2 | 8.0 | 0.0 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 12.2 | 0.0 |
| Pike | 35.0 | 30.3 | 18.3 | 20.9 | 16.4 | 14.5 | 8.7 | 5.6 | 5.3 | 6.0 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 1.9 | 1.3 | 1.9 | 0.4 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 13.5 | 9.9 |
| Poinsett | 27.7 | 26.3 | 21.0 | 21.7 | 7.6 | 10.0 | 12.9 | 12.3 | 4.3 | 2.7 | 1.9 | 2.2 | 0.7 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 2.7 | 0.7 | 2.2 | 15.7 | 14.2 |
| Polk | 28.9 | 17.4 | 18.2 | 15.4 | 13.4 | 18.7 | 10.9 | 6.4 | 4.4 | 3.9 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 1.3 | 2.0 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 14.8 | 9.7 |
| Prairie | 41.5 | --- | 24.6 | --- | 20.0 | --- | 18.5 | --- | 4.6 | --- | 1.5 | --- | 3.1 | --- | 3.1 | --- | 1.6 | --- | 23.4 | --- |
| Pulaski | 18.3 | --- | 7.6 | --- | 2.6 | --- | 8.5 | --- | 3.0 | --- | 0.9 | --- | 1.0 | --- | 1.0 | --- | 0.6 | --- | 12.0 | --- |
| Randolph | 31.8 | 26.5 | 19.6 | 16.3 | 8.5 | 8.0 | 10.0 | 8.2 | 7.5 | 4.1 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 1.4 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 16.0 | 11.1 |
| Saint Francis | --- | 31.8 | --- | 16.7 | --- | 6.5 | --- | 14.2 | --- | 3.7 | --- | 2.8 | --- | 1.9 | --- | 0.0 | --- | 1.9 | --- | 20.2 |
| Saline | 27.1 | 24.4 | 16.0 | 17.5 | 7.3 | 10.9 | 11.0 | 10.0 | 3.7 | 5.3 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 14.2 | 13.5 |
| Searcy | --- | 28.1 | --- | 22.9 | --- | 13.4 | --- | 9.0 | --- | 3.1 | --- | 2.1 | --- | 1.6 | --- | 1.6 | --- | 0.0 | --- | 11.7 |
| Sebastian | 22.0 | 25.0 | 14.3 | 13.2 | 5.8 | 4.2 | 13.2 | 11.3 | 4.1 | 4.5 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 0.9 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 2.0 | 1.7 | 2.2 | 17.3 | 15.8 |
| Sevier | 35.2 | 29.2 | 17.1 | 17.0 | 12.9 | 11.3 | 9.9 | 7.8 | 2.5 | 5.2 | 1.5 | 0.8 | 1.5 | 0.3 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 3.2 | 0.6 | 13.2 | 12.1 |
| Stone | --- | 31.7 | --- | 25.0 | --- | 11.5 | --- | 11.7 | --- | 6.8 | --- | 0.0 | --- | 1.9 | --- | 0.0 | --- | 0.0 | --- | 16.7 |
| Union | 27.4 | 24.4 | 17.6 | 14.2 | 7.3 | 6.4 | 10.2 | 10.5 | 4.1 | 5.2 | 1.6 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 15.4 | 15.7 |
| Van Buren | 12.3 | --- | 14.8 | --- | 5.8 | --- | 10.7 | --- | 5.8 | -- | 0.8 | --- | 1.7 | -- | 1.7 | --- | 0.8 | --- | 13.3 | --- |
| Washington | 30.4 | 30.9 | 15.8 | 19.6 | 7.3 | 7.4 | 11.5 | 15.1 | 6.1 | 2.9 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.1 | 16.8 | 18.3 |
| White | 17.3 | 32.3 | 15.4 | 22.4 | 6.7 | 11.4 | 4.8 | 14.6 | 2.0 | 5.9 | --- | 2.5 | --- | 1.9 | --- | 2.8 | --- | 1.6 | 7.2 | 19.6 |

** Not all counties had school districts that participated in the 2002 and 2003 APNA Surveys.
** Cells containing the --- symbol indicate an area where data is not available either due to the county not participating in either the 2002 or 2003 survey, or the county not gathering enough data to report a percentage.


[^0]:    *Numbers and percentages listed here reflect only those students who answered each of the demographic questions. Therefore, the numbers and percentages in the Total column do

[^1]:    ** Cells containing the --- symbol indicate an area where data is not available due to the region not participating in either the 2002 or 2003 survey.

[^2]:    

[^3]:    第 abuse prevention is based on the work of J . David Hawkins, Ph.D., Richard F. Catalano, Ph.D.; and a team of researchers at the University of Washington in Seattle.
     researched adolescent problem behaviors and identified risk factors for adolescent drug abuse and delinquency. Not
    insta interre abuse, delinquency, school dropout, teen pregnancy, and violence and were able to identify risk factors for these problems.

[^4]:    Hot Springs
    1401 Malvern Avenue, Suite 100
    Hot Springs, AR 71901
    Fax: (501) 624-5
    Fax: (501) 624-5636
    E-MAIL: mmoore@fsainc.org
    Counties: Clark, Garland, Hot Spring,
    Montgomery, Pike
    Region 9 PREVENTION RESOURCE CENTER
    Operated by Family Service Agency
    North Little Rock
    628 West Broadway, Suite 300
    North Little Rock, AR 72114
    Mr. Hayse Miller, PRC Coordinator
    (501) 372-4242 Ext. 328 \& 325

    Fax: (501) 372-6565
    E-MAIL: hmiller@fsainc.org
    Counties: Pulaski, Saline, Lonoke, Praire
    Region 10 PREVENTION RESOURCE
    品
    

    Texarkana
    P O Box 1987 (2904 Arkansas Blvd)
    Texarkana, AR 71854
    Ms.Trena Goings, PRC Coordinator
    870) 773-4655

    Fax: (870) 772-4650
    E-MAIL: tgoings@sw
    Cou

