2012 APNA



Arkansas Prevention Needs Assessment Student Survey

Clark County Profile Report

Arkansas Department of Human Services

Division of Behavioral Health Services

Prevention Services

Contents

1	INTRODUCTION 1.1 The Risk and Protective Factor Model of Prevention	6 9
2	TOOLS FOR ASSESSMENT AND PLANNING	9
3	SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT USING SURVEY DATA 3.1 What are the numbers telling you?	10
4	HOW TO READ THE CHARTS AND TABLES	12
5	NO CHILD LEFT BEHIND PROFILE	53
6	STUDENT TOBACCO USE, EXPERIENCES AND PREVENTION SERVICES	59
7	DRUG-FREE COMMUNITIES SUPPORT PROGRAM CORE MEASURES	62
8	PREVENTION RESOURCES 8.1 Regional Prevention Resource Centers	

List of Tables

1	Student Totals
2	Grade
3	Sex
4	Ethnic Origin
5	Risk and Protective Factor Scale Definition
6	Alcohol - Lifetime Use
7	Cigarettes - Lifetime Use
8	Chewing Tobacco - Lifetime Use
9	Marijuana - Lifetime Use
10	Hallucinogens - Lifetime Use
11	Cocaine - Lifetime Use
12	Inhalants - Lifetime Use
13	Synthetic Marijuana - Lifetime Use
14	Meth - Lifetime Use
15	Bath Salts - Lifetime Use
16	Heroin - Lifetime Use
17	Ecstasy - Lifetime Use
18	Prescription Drugs - Lifetime Use
19	Over-The-Counter Drugs - Lifetime Use
20	Alcopops - Lifetime Use
21	Any Drug - Lifetime Use
22	Alcohol - Past 30 Day Use
23	Cigarettes - Past 30 Day Use
24	Chewing Tobacco - Past 30 Day Use
25	Marijuana - Past 30 Day Use
26	Hallucinogens - Past 30 Day Use
27	Cocaine - Past 30 Day Use
28	Inhalants - Past 30 Day Use
29	Synthetic Marijuana - Past 30 Day Use
30	Meth - Past 30 Day Use
31	Bath Salts - Past 30 Day Use
32	Heroin - Past 30 Day Use
33	Ecstasy - Past 30 Day Use
34	Prescription Drugs - Past 30 Day Use
35	Over-The-Counter Drugs - Past 30 Day Use
36	Alcopops - Past 30 Day Use
37	Any Drug - Past 30 Day Use
38	Binge Drinking
39	Pack of Cigarettes
40	Suspended from School
41	Drunk or High at School
42	Sold Illegal Drugs
43	Stolen a Vehicle

44	Been Arrested	45
45	Attacked to Harm	45
46	Carried a Handgun	
47	Handgun to School	45
4 8	Community Risk - High Community Disorganization	45
49	Community Risk - Transitions and Mobility	45
50	Community Risk - Laws and Norms Favorable to Drug Use	46
51	Community Risk - Perceived Availability of Drugs	46
52	Community Risk - Perceived Availability of Handguns	
53	Family Risk - Poor Family Management	46
54	Family Risk - Family Conflict	46
55	Family Risk - Family History of Antisocial Behavior	46
56	Family Risk - Parental Attitudes Favorable to ATOD	47
57	Family Risk - Parental Attitudes Favorable to ASB	47
5 8	School Risk - Academic Failure	47
59	School Risk - Low Commitment to School	
60	Peer Risk - Early Initiation of Drug Use	47
61	Peer Risk - Early Initiation of ASB	47
62	Peer Risk - Peer Favorable Attitudes to ASB	
63	Peer Risk - Peer Favorable Attitudes to Drug Use	
64	Peer Risk - Low Perceived Risk of Drug Use*	
65	Peer Risk - Interaction with Antisocial Peers	
66	Peer Risk - Friends' Use of Drugs	
67	Peer Risk - Peer Rewards for Antisocial Involvement	
68	Peer Risk - Depressive Symptoms	
69	Peer Risk - Gang Involvement	
70	School Protective - School Opportunities for PSI	
71	School Protective - School Rewards for PSI	
72	Peer Protective - Religiosity	
73	Peer Protective - Interaction with Prosocial Peers	
74	Sources of Alcohol	
75	Location of Alcohol Use	
76	I feel safe at my school	
77	How often have you taken a handgun to school	
78	How wrong do you think it is for someone your age to take a gun	
	to school.	
79	Have any of your brothers/sisters ever taken a gun to school	
80	Avg Age of First Marijuana	
81	Avg Age of First Cigarettes	53
82	Avg Age of First Alcohol	53
83	Avg Age of First Regular Alcohol Use	53
84	Avg Age of First School Suspension	
85	Avg Age of First Been Arrested	
86	Avg Age of First Carried a Gun	
87	Avg Age of First Attacked to Harm	54

88	Avg Age of First Belonged to a Gang	54
89	Cigarettes - Lifetime Use	59
90	Chewing Tobacco - Lifetime Use	59
91	Cigarettes - Past 30 Day Use	59
92	Chewing Tobacco - Past 30 Day Use	59
93	Which statement best describes rules about smoking inside your	
	home?	60
94	Which statement best describes rules about smoking in your family	
	cars?	60
95	During this school year, were you taught in any of your classes about	
	the dangers of tobacco use?	61
96	During the past 12 months, have you participated in any commu-	
	nity activities to discourage people your age from using cigarettes,	
	chewing tobacco, snuff, dip or cigars?	61
97	Core Measure by Grade for Past 30 Day Use	62
98	Core Measure by Grade for Perception of Risk	62
99	Core Measure by Grade for Parental Disapproval	62
100	Core Measure by Grade for Friends Disapproval	62
101	Core Measure by Sex for Past 30 Day Use	63
102	Core Measure by Sex for Perception of Risk	63
103	Core Measure by Sex for Parental Disapproval	63
104	Core Measure by Sex for Friends Disapproval	63

List of Figures

1	Alcohol, Tobacco and Other Drug Use - Grade 6	13
2	Alcohol, Tobacco and Other Drug Use - Grade 8	14
3	Alcohol, Tobacco and Other Drug Use - Grade 10	15
4	Alcohol, Tobacco and Other Drug Use - Grade 12	16
5	Heavy Use and Antisocial Behavior - Grade 6	17
6	Heavy Use and Antisocial Behavior - Grade 8	18
7	Heavy Use and Antisocial Behavior - Grade 10	19
8	Heavy Use and Antisocial Behavior - Grade 12	20
9	Risk Factors - Grade 6	21
10	Risk Factors - Grade 8	
11	Risk Factors - Grade 10	23
12	Risk Factors - Grade 12	
13	Protective Factors - Grade 6	
14	Protective Factors - Grade 8	26
15	Protective Factors - Grade 10	27
16	Protective Factors - Grade 12	28
17	School Safety Profile - Grade 6	29
18	School Safety Profile - Grade 8	30
19	School Safety Profile - Grade 10	
20	School Safety Profile - Grade 12	32
21	Sources and Locations of Alcohol Use - Grade 6	33
22	Sources and Locations of Alcohol Use - Grade 8	34
23	Sources and Locations of Alcohol Use - Grade 10	35
24	Sources and Locations of Alcohol Use - Grade 12	36
25	No Child Left Behind Profile - Grade 6	55
26	No Child Left Behind Profile - Grade 8	56
27	No Child Left Behind Profile - Grade 10	57
28	No Child Left Behind Profile - Grade 12	58

1 INTRODUCTION

This report summarizes findings from the Arkansas Prevention Needs Assessment Survey (APNA), a survey of 6th, 8th, 10th and 12th grade school students, conducted in the fall of 2012. This survey was available free of charge to all Arkansas public school districts that chose to participate. The survey was designed to assess adolescent substance use and related behaviors, and risk and protective factors that predict these behaviors. In this report, the results are presented for each grade along with the overall results for the State. Table 1 provides information on the total number of students, the number of school districts and the number of schools represented by this report. Table 2 provides information on the number and percent of students at each grade. Table 3 provides information on the number and percent of students by sex. Table 4 provides information on the number and percent of students by ethnic origin.

The APNA Survey was first administered in the fall of 2002 and has been administered in the fall of each school year since then. Because trends over time are very important to prevention planning, readers are encouraged to review the results from the previous surveys. By comparing the results of the previous surveys, changes in ATOD (alcohol, tobacco and other drugs) use, rates of ASB (antisocial behavior), and levels of risk and protective factors can be determined for a specific grade. It is important to note that the results in this report are for students who were not sampled in the even grades (6, 8, 10, and 12) during the previous year's survey. Those students are now in grades 7, 9, 11 or are out of school. Together, the results of the current and past APNA surveys provide a complete picture of ATOD use, antisocial behavior, risk, and protection for students in Arkansas.

(Methods Note Regarding Long-Term Trend Data:) The 2006 procedures varied from those used in this report, as well as those used in all other earlier reports. Non-standard procedures for calculating: 1) drug prevalence rates, and 2) for calculating scores on the risk and protective factor questions, were used in the 2006 report. The variation in 2006 procedures related to how missing data (i.e., instances where the student did not respond to a question) were counted. The effect of the 2006 procedure was to slightly reduce the reported prevalence levels for all drugs, and to lower the calculated scores for the risk and protective factor questions.

In this report, the computational methods used for all calculations are identical to those used in all prior reports, other than the 2006 report. In addition, to produce the most accurate long-term trend data possible, 2006 results have been recalculated using standard procedures consistent with all reporting years. This means that, in some cases, small deviations in 2006 data points will be noted between this report and the actual 2006 report.

Table 1: Student Totals

Response	Group	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
Total Students	county	554	629	580	647
	state	88,912	87,760	90,468	86,424

Table 2: Grade

		200	09-10	2010-11		2011-12		2012-13	
Response	Group	pct	n	pct	n	pct	n	pct	n
6	county	37.0	205	27.3	172	30.0	174	26.4	171
	state	28.5	25,324	29.8	26,125	28.7	25,980	28.2	24,392
8	county	32.7	181	25.1	158	31.4	182	24.9	161
	state	27.8	24,711	28.4	24,882	28.1	25,464	27.7	23,920
10	county	24.5	136	26.1	164	30.7	178	22.9	148
	state	24.3	21,629	23.4	20,530	24.3	21,957	24.9	21,537
12	county	5.8	32	21.5	135	7.9	46	25.8	167
	state	19.4	17,248	18.5	16,223	18.9	17,067	19.2	16,575

Table 3: Sex

		200	2009-10 2010-11		2011-12		2012-13		
Response	Group	pct	n	pct	n	pct	n	pct	n
Male	county	48.2	263	45.2	281	49.5	284	44.3	286
	state	48.3	42,276	48.7	42,253	48.5	43,428	48.5	41,682
Female	county	51.8	283	54.8	341	50.5	290	55.7	359
	state	51.7	45,185	51.3	44,591	51.5	46,195	51.5	44,322
NO ANSWER			1,451		916		845		420

Table 4: Ethnic Origin

		200	09-10	20	10-11	20	11-12	20	12-13
Response	Group	pct	n	pct	n	pct	n	pct	n
Hispanic	county	5.7	35	7.0	49	6.6	44	7.8	57
	state	8.8	8,900	9.3	9,427	9.7	10,184	9.9	10,006
Black or African American	county	30.7	188	26.5	187	28.3	189	28.3	206
	state	18.2	18,449	16.7	16,904	16.9	17,822	17.2	17,364
Asian	county	1.3	8	1.0	7	1.3	9	1.0	7
	state	1.5	1,532	1.7	1,731	1.8	1,880	1.8	1,790
American Indian	county	4.2	26	3.3	23	5.4	36	3.7	27
	state	4.4	4,480	4.8	4,843	4.9	5,163	5.1	5,146
Alaska Native	county	0.2	1	0.3	2	0.0	0	0.3	2
	state	0.2	213	0.2	206	0.2	231	0.2	233
White	county	52.6	322	55.2	389	51.3	343	52.0	378
	state	58.6	59,377	59.2	60,031	58.3	61,357	57.5	57,957
Native Hawaiian	county	0.0	0	0.3	2	1.0	7	0.3	2
	state	0.6	627	0.7	734	0.7	742	0.8	786
Other	county	5.2	32	6.5	46	6.0	40	6.6	48
	state	7.6	7,703	7.4	7,553	7.4	7,836	7.5	7,559

1.1 The Risk and Protective Factor Model of Prevention

Risk and protective factor-focused prevention is based on a simple premise: To prevent a problem from happening, we need to identify the factors that increase the risk of that problem developing and then find ways to reduce the risks. Just as medical researchers have found risk factors for heart attacks such as diets high in fats, lack of exercise, and smoking, a team of researchers, the Social Development Research Group (SDRG), at the University of Washington, have defined a set of risk factors for drug abuse. The research team also found that some children exposed to multiple risk factors manage to avoid behavior problems later even though they were exposed to the same risks as children who exhibited behavior problems. Based on research, they identified protective factors and processes that work together to buffer children from the effects of high risk exposure and lead to the development of healthy behaviors.

Risk factors include characteristics of school, community, and family environments, as well as characteristics of students and their peer groups that are known to predict increased likelihood of drug use, delinquency, and violent behaviors among youth (Hawkins, Catalano & Miller, 1992; Hawkins, Arthur & Catalano, 1995; Brewer, Hawkins, Catalano & Neckerman, 1995).

2 TOOLS FOR ASSESSMENT AND PLANNING

Protective factors exert a positive influence or buffer against the negative influence of risk, thus reducing the likelihood that adolescents will engage in problem behaviors. Protective factors, identified through research reviewed by the Social Development Research Group, include social bonding to family, school, community and peers; and healthy beliefs and clear standards for behavior.

Research on risk and protective factors has important implications for prevention efforts. The premise of this approach is that in order to promote positive youth development and prevent problem behaviors, it is necessary to address those factors that predict the problem. By measuring risk and protective factors in a population, specific risk factors that are elevated and widespread can be identified and targeted by preventive interventions that also promote related protective factors. For example, if academic failure is identified as an elevated risk factor in a community, then mentoring and tutoring interventions can be provided that will improve academic performance, and also increase opportunities and rewards for classroom participation.

Risk and protective factor-focused drug abuse prevention is based on the work of J. David Hawkins, Ph.D., Richard F. Catalano, Ph.D.; and a team of researchers at

the University of Washington in Seattle. Beginning in the early 1980's, the group researched adolescent problem behaviors and identified risk factors for adolescent drug abuse and delinquency. The chart below shows the links between the 16 risk factors and the five problem behaviors. The check marks have been placed in the chart to indicate where at least two well designed, published research studies have shown a link between the risk factor and the problem behavior.

	PF		EM BEH	HAVIOR:	S
YOUTH AT RISK	Substance Abuse	Delinquency	Teen Pregnancy	School Drop-Out	Violence
Community					
Availability of Drugs and Firearms	1				1
Community Laws and Norms Favorable	,				
Toward Drug Use	•				
Transitions and Mobility	✓	1		✓	
Low Neighborhood Attachment and	1	1			1
Community Disorganization	•	•			•
Extreme Economic and Social Deprivation	✓	1	✓	✓	1
Family					
Family History of High Risk Behavior	1	1	1	1	
Family Management Problems	1	1	1	1	1
Family Conflict	✓	1	1	1	1
Favorable Parental Attitudes and	/	1			,
Involvement in the Problem Behavior	•	'			
School					
Early and Persistent Antisocial Behavior	✓	1	1	1	1
Academic Failure in Elementary School	✓	1	1	✓	✓
Lack of Commitment to School	1	1	1	1	
Individual/Peer					
Alienation and Rebelliousness	1	1		1	
Friends Who Engage in a Problem Behavior	1	1	1	1	1
Favorable Attitudes Toward the Problem	,	,	,	,	
Behavior					
Early Initiation of the Problem Behavior	✓	1	√	✓	1

3 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT USING SURVEY DATA

Data from the Arkansas Prevention Needs Assessment Survey can be used to help school and community planners assess current conditions and prioritize areas of greatest need.

Each risk and protective factor can be linked to specific types of interventions that have been shown to be effective in either reducing the risk(s) and enhancing the protection(s). The steps outlined below will help your school and community make key decisions regarding allocation of resources, how and when to address specific needs, and which strategies are most effective and known to produce results.

3.1 What are the numbers telling you?

Review the charts and data tables presented in this report. Using the table in section 3.3, note your findings as you discuss the following questions

- Which 3 to 5 risk factors appear to be higher than you would want?
- Which 3 to 5 protective factors appear to be lower than you would want?
- Which levels of 30 day drug use are increasing and/or unacceptably high?
 - Which substances are your students using the most?
 - At which grades do you see unacceptable usage levels?
- Which levels of antisocial behaviors are increasing and/or unacceptably high?
 - Which behaviors are your students exhibiting the most?
 - At which grades do you see unacceptable behavior levels?

3.2 How to decide if a rate is "unacceptable."

- Look across the charts to determine which items stand out as either much higher or much lower than the others.
- Compare your data to statewide data and national data. Differences of 5% or more between the local and other data should be carefully reviewed.
- Determine the standards and values held in your area. For example: Is it acceptable in your community for 75% of high school students to drink alcohol regularly even when the statewide percentage is 90?

3.3 Use these data for planning:

- Substance use and antisocial behavior data raise awareness about the problems and promote dialogue.
- Risk and protective factor data identify exactly where the community needs to take action.
- Promising approaches talk with resources listed on the last page of this report
 for ideas about programs that have been proven effective in addressing the risk
 factors that are high in your area, and in improving the protective factors that
 are low.

	Unacceptable	Unacceptable	Unacceptable	Unacceptable
Measure	Rate #1	Rate #2	Rate #3	Rate #4
30 Day				
Drug Use				
Antisocial				
Behavior				
Risk				
Factors				
Protective				
Factors				

How do I decide which intervention(s) to employ?

- Strategies should be selected based on the risk factors that are high in your community and the protective factors that are low.
- Strategies should be age appropriate and employed prior to the onset of the problem behavior.
- Strategies chosen should address more than a single risk and protective factor.
- No single strategy offers the solution.

How do I know whether or not the intervention was effective?

• Participation in the annual administration of the survey provides trend data necessary for determining the effectiveness of the implemented intervention(s) and also provides data for determining any new efforts that are needed.

4 HOW TO READ THE CHARTS AND TABLES

- 1. Student responses for risk and protective factors, substance use and antisocial behavior questions are displayed by grade on the following pages.
- 2. The factors are grouped into 4 domains: community, family, peer-individual, and school.
- 3. The bars represent the percent of students in the grade who reported elevated risk or protection, substance use, antisocial behaviors or school safety concerns.
- 4. Scanning across these charts, you can easily determine which factors are most (or least) prevalent, thus identifying which are the most important for your community to address.
- 5. Bars will be complemented by a small dot. The dot shows the comparison from the state and provides additional information for you in determining the relative importance of each risk or protective factor.
- 6. A dashed line on each risk and protective factor chart represents the percentage of youth at risk or with protection for the seven state sample upon which the cut-points were developed. The seven states included in the norm group were Colorado, Illinois, Kansas, Maine, Oregon, Utah and Washington. This gives you a comparison to a national sample.
- 7. Brief definitions of the risk and protective factors can be found following the graphs.
- 8. The tables provide more detailed information and are broken down by grade level. The combined category consists of all the grade levels represented in this report combined together (ie. if the report is based on 10th and 12th graders then the combined category will be all the 10th and 12th graders combined). For the tables on substance use, some substances also have a comparison to the Monitoring the Future (MTF) data. Monitoring the Future is an annual federally funded national survey of substance use across the country for students in grade 8, 10 and 12. For some substances and for some years or some grades, there is no corresponding MTF data.
- 9. The following abbreviations are sometimes used in the tables and charts due to space constraints:

ATOD stands for Alcohol, Tobacco and Other Drug Use.

ASB stands for Antisocial Behaviors.

PSI stands for Prosocial Involvement.

MTF stands for Monitoring the Future.

NOTE: The Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA) has recently made changes to the reporting requirments for the Drug-Free Communities (DFC) Support Programs Grant which required a change to one of the questions used in calculating the risk factor for Low Perceived Risk of Use. As a result, it is possible that this year's results may be higher than previous results.

Alcohol, Tobacco and Other Drug Use - Grade 6 Clark County




Figure 1: Alcohol, Tobacco and Other Drug Use - Grade 6

Alcohol, Tobacco and Other Drug Use - Grade 8 Clark County




Figure 2: Alcohol, Tobacco and Other Drug Use - Grade 8

Alcohol, Tobacco and Other Drug Use - Grade 10 Clark County




Figure 3: Alcohol, Tobacco and Other Drug Use - Grade 10

Alcohol, Tobacco and Other Drug Use - Grade 12 Clark County




Figure 4: Alcohol, Tobacco and Other Drug Use - Grade 12

Heavy Use and Antisocial Behavior - Grade 6 Clark County




Figure 5: Heavy Use and Antisocial Behavior - Grade 6

Heavy Use and Antisocial Behavior - Grade 8 Clark County




Figure 6: Heavy Use and Antisocial Behavior - Grade 8

Heavy Use and Antisocial Behavior - Grade 10 Clark County




Figure 7: Heavy Use and Antisocial Behavior - Grade 10

Heavy Use and Antisocial Behavior - Grade 12 Clark County




Figure 8: Heavy Use and Antisocial Behavior - Grade 12

Risk Factors - Grade 6 Clark County




Figure 9: Risk Factors - Grade 6

^{*}see note on page 12

Risk Factors - Grade 8 Clark County




Figure 10: Risk Factors - Grade 8

^{*}see note on page 12

Risk Factors - Grade 10 Clark County




Figure 11: Risk Factors - Grade 10

^{*}see note on page 12

Risk Factors - Grade 12 Clark County




Figure 12: Risk Factors - Grade 12

^{*}see note on page 12

Protective Factors - Grade 6 Clark County School Peer 80-70-60-50-40-30-20--- 7-State Norm - State 2012-13 10-County 2012-13 County 2011-12 Percentages (%) School Opportunities for PSI School Rewards for PSI

Figure 13: Protective Factors - Grade 6




Figure 14: Protective Factors - Grade 8

Protective Factors - Grade 10 Clark County




Figure 15: Protective Factors - Grade 10

Protective Factors - Grade 12 Clark County




Figure 16: Protective Factors - Grade 12

School Safety Profile - Grade 6 Clark County




Figure 17: School Safety Profile - Grade 6

School Safety Profile - Grade 8 Clark County




Figure 18: School Safety Profile - Grade 8

School Safety Profile - Grade 10 Clark County




Figure 19: School Safety Profile - Grade 10

School Safety Profile - Grade 12 Clark County




Figure 20: School Safety Profile - Grade 12

Sources and Locations of Alcohol Use - Grade 6 Clark County




Figure 21: Sources and Locations of Alcohol Use - Grade 6

Sources and Locations of Alcohol Use - Grade 8 Clark County




Figure 22: Sources and Locations of Alcohol Use - Grade 8

Sources and Locations of Alcohol Use - Grade 10 Clark County




Figure 23: Sources and Locations of Alcohol Use - Grade 10

Sources and Locations of Alcohol Use - Grade 12 Clark County




Figure 24: Sources and Locations of Alcohol Use - Grade 12

Table 5: Risk and Protective Factor Scale Definition

	Community Domain Risk Factors				
Community	Research has shown that neighborhoods with high population				
Disorganization	density, lack of natural surveillance of public places, physical				
<u> </u>	deterioration, and high rates of adult crime also have higher				
	rates of juvenile crime and drug selling.				
Transitions	Reseach has shown that transitions from school to school may				
and Mobility	be accompanied by significant increases in rates of drug use,				
and mosnity	school dropout and antisocial behavior.				
Laws and Norms	Research has shown that legal restrictions on alcohol and to-				
Favorable Toward	bacco use, such as raising the legal drinking age, restricting				
Drug Use	smoking in public places, and increased taxation have been fol-				
Drug Ose					
	lowed by decreases in consumption. Moreover, national surveys				
	of high school seniors have shown that shifts in normative atti-				
	tudes toward drug use have preceded changes in prevalence of				
	use.				
Perceived Availability	The availability of cigarettes, alcohol, marijuana, and other il-				
of Drugs	legal drugs has been related to the use of these substances by				
	adolescents.				
Perceived Availability	The availability of handguns has also been related to the use of				
of Handguns	these substances by adolescents.				
Family Domain Risk Factors					
Poor Family	Parents' use of inconsistent and/or unusually harsh or severe				
Management	punishment with their children places them at higher risk for				
	substance use and other problem behaviors. Also, parents' fail-				
	ure to provide clear expectations and to monitor their children's				
	behavior makes it more likely that they will engage in drug abuse				
	whether or not there are family drug problems.				
Family Conflict	Children raised in families high in conflict, whether or not the				
•	child is directly involved in the conflict, appear at risk for both				
	delinquency and drug use.				
Family History of	When children are raised in a family with a history of problem				
Antisocial Behavior	behaviors (e.g., violence or ATOD use), the children are more				
	likely to engage in these behaviors.				
Parental Attitudes	In families where parents use illegal drugs, are heavy users of				
Favorable Toward	alcohol, or are tolerant of children's use, children are more likely				
Drug Use	to become drug abusers during adolescence. The risk is further				
	increased if parents involve children in their own drug (or alco-				
	hol) using behavior, for example, asking the child to light the				
	parent's cigarette or get the parent a beer from the refrigerator.				
Parental Attitudes	In families where parents are tolerant of their child's antisocial				
Favorable Toward	behavior (i.e. fighting, stealing, defacing property, etc.), chil-				
Antisocial Behavior	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
Antisocial Denavior	dren are more likely to become drug abusers during adolescence. School Domain Risk Factors				
Academic Failure					
Academic Failure	Beginning in the late elementary grades (grades 4-6) academic				
	failure increases the risk of both drug abuse and delinquency. It				
	appears that the experience of failure itself, for whatever reasons,				
	increases the risk of problem behaviors.				

continued on the next column

Risk and Protective Factor Scale Definition (continued

Risk and Protective Factor Scale Definition (continued)			
Low Commitment	Surveys of high school seniors have shown that the use of hal-		
to School	lucinogens, cocaine, heroin, stimulants, and sedatives or non-		
	medically prescribed tranquilizers is significantly lower among		
	students who expect to attend college than among those who do		
	not. Factors such as liking school, spending time on homework,		
	and perceiving the coursework as relevant are also negatively		
	related to drug use.		
	School Domain Protective Factors		
Opportunities for	When young people are given more opportunities to participate		
Prosocial	meaningfully in important activities at school, they are less likely		
Involvement	to engage in drug use and other problem behaviors.		
Rewards for	When young people are recognized and rewarded for their contri-		
Prosocial	butions at school, they are less likely to be involved in substance		
Involvement	use and other problem behaviors.		
E 1 1 22 22	Individual/Peer Risk Factors		
Early Initiation	Early onset of drug use predicts misuse of drugs. The earlier the		
of Drug Use	onset of any drug use, the greater the involvement in other drug		
	use and the greater frequency of use. Onset of drug use prior to the age of 15 is a consistent predictor of drug abuse, and a later		
	age of onset of drug use has been shown to predict lower drug		
	involvement and a greater probability of discontinuation of use.		
Early Initiation	Early onset of antisocial behaviors such as being suspended from		
of Antisocial Behavior	school, arrests, carrying handguns, fighting, etc. makes young		
of Antisocial Bellaviol	people more likely to be involved in substance abuse.		
Attitudes Favorable	During the elementary school years, most children express anti-		
Toward Drug Use	drug, anti-crime, and pro-social attitudes and have difficulty		
Tomara Brag Osc	imagining why people use drugs. However, in middle school,		
	as more youth are exposed to others who use drugs, their atti-		
	tudes often shift toward greater acceptance of these behaviors.		
	Youth who express positive attitudes toward drug use are more		
	likely to engage in a variety of problem behaviors, including drug		
	use.		
Attitudes Favorable	During the elementary school years, most children express anti-		
Toward	drug, anti-crime, and pro-social attitudes and have difficulty		
Antisocial Behavior	imagining why people engage in antisocial behaviors. How-		
	ever, in middle school, as more youth are exposed to others		
	who engage in antisocial behavior, their attitudes often shift to-		
	ward greater acceptance of these behaviors. Youth who express		
	positive attitudes toward antisocial behavior are more likely to		
	engage in a variety of problem behaviors, including antisocial		
L. D LD' .	behavior.		
Low Perceived Risk	Young people who do not perceive drug use to be risky are far		
of Drug Use	more likely to engage in drug use.		
Interaction with Antisocial Peers	Young people who associate with peers who engage in problem		
Antisociai Peers	behaviors are at higher risk for engaging in antisocial behavior themselves.		
Friends' Hea of Druss			
Friends' Use of Drugs	Young people who associate with peers who engage in alcohol or substance abuse are much more likely to engage in the same be-		
	havior. Peer drug use has consistently been found to be among		
	the strongest predictors of substance use among youth. Even		
	when young people come from well-managed families and do not		
	experience other risk factors, spending time with friends who use		
	drugs greatly increases the risk of that problem developing.		
	continued on the next column		

continued on the next column

Risk and Protective Factor Scale Definition (continued)

Rewards for Antisocial Involve-	Young people who receive rewards for their antisocial behavior are at higher risk for engaging further in antisocial behavior and		
ment	substance use.		
Depressive	Young people who express feelings of sadness for long periods		
Symptoms	over the past year and who have negative attitudes about them-		
	selves and life in general are more likely to use drugs.		
Gang	Gang involvement by young people is strongly related to many		
Involvement	problem behaviors includeing drug use.		
	Individual/Peer Protective Factors		
Religiosity	Young people who regularly attend religious services are less		
	likely to engage in problem behaviors.		
Interaction with	Reseach has found that young people who interact with prosocial		
Prosocial Peers	peers are less likely to engage in problem behaviors.		

Table 6: Alcohol - Lifetime Use

Grade	Group	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
6	county	19.1	13.9	18.5	8.8
	state	18.1	14.1	12.9	9.7
8	county	26.9	35.1	31.8	21.7
	state	38.8	33.8	32.3	26.6
	MTF	36.6	35.8	33.1	29.5
10	county	56.5	50.0	48.3	50.0
	state	59.9	54.8	53.4	47.9
	MTF	59.1	58.2	56.0	54.0
12	county	81.2	64.9	64.4	55.6
	state	70.7	66.3	65.9	61.1
	MTF	72.3	71.0	70.0	69.4
Combined	county	34.0	39.4	35.7	33.6
	state	44.3	38.9	38.2	33.9

Table 7: Cigarettes - Lifetime Use

Grade	Group	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
6	county	10.9	5.4	15.5	6.1
	state	10.3	8.8	7.9	7.9
8	county	17.4	21.9	16.0	16.8
	state	23.9	22.0	21.1	20.6
	MTF	20.1	20.0	18.4	15.5
10	county	32.8	26.0	34.0	31.4
	state	38.0	35.8	33.0	32.9
	MTF	32.7	33.0	30.4	27.7
12	county	50.0	48.1	43.5	36.0
	state	46.0	44.3	44.1	42.1
	MTF	43.6	42.2	40.0	39.5
Combined	county	20.3	24.0	23.6	22.3
	state	27.9	25.5	24.6	24.2

Table 8: Chewing Tobacco - Lifetime Use

Grade	Group	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
6	county	5.5	1.8	10.1	5.5
	state	5.7	5.9	5.4	5.3
8	county	11.0	13.6	8.6	4.5
	state	12.3	12.8	12.4	12.1
	MTF	9.6	9.9	9.7	8.1
10	county	15.5	13.3	16.0	18.7
	state	19.6	21.0	18.6	19.5
	MTF	15.2	16.8	15.6	15.4
12	county	28.1	23.1	19.6	22.0
	state	22.4	23.8	23.8	23.6
	MTF	16.3	17.6	16.9	17.4
Combined	county	10.9	12.3	12.2	12.5
	state	14.2	14.7	14.1	14.2

Table 9: Marijuana - Lifetime Use

Grade	Group	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
6	county	2.5	0.6	2.8	0.6
	state	1.5	1.3	1.2	1.4
8	county	6.8	5.8	7.4	3.3
	state	9.6	9.3	9.2	9.4
	MTF	15.7	17.3	16.4	15.2
10	county	20.2	15.0	15.6	25.4
	state	24.3	23.8	23.5	24.5
	MTF	32.3	33.4	34.5	33.8
12	county	18.8	33.8	36.4	33.5
	state	35.0	34.4	34.9	35.6
	MTF	42.0	43.8	45.5	45.2
Combined	county	9.0	12.8	11.0	15.6
	state	15.8	14.9	15.2	16.0

Table 10: Hallucinogens - Lifetime Use

Grade	Group	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
6	county	0.5	0.0	0.7	0.0
	state	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1
8	county	0.6	0.0	0.6	0.0
	state	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.7
	MTF	3.3	1.8	1.7	1.3
10	county	1.6	2.0	0.0	3.8
	state	2.0	1.9	1.9	2.3
	MTF	5.5	3.0	2.8	2.6
12	county	0.0	2.3	2.2	1.2
	state	3.6	3.3	3.5	3.6
	MTF	8.7	4.0	4.0	3.8
Combined	county	0.7	1.0	0.6	1.2
	state	1.4	1.3	1.3	1.5

Table 11: Cocaine - Lifetime Use

Grade	Group	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
6	county	0.5	0.0	0.7	0.6
	state	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.3
8	county	0.0	1.3	0.6	0.0
	state	1.0	0.9	0.8	0.9
	MTF	2.6	2.6	2.2	1.9
10	county	2.4	1.4	0.7	3.0
	state	2.1	1.6	1.7	1.8
	MTF	4.6	3.7	3.3	3.3
12	county	0.0	2.3	4.4	1.2
	state	3.3	2.8	2.9	2.8
	MTF	6.0	5.5	5.2	4.9
Combined	county	0.7	1.2	1.0	1.2
	state	1.6	1.2	1.3	1.3

Table 12: Inhalants - Lifetime Use

Grade	Group	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
6	county	7.9	2.4	9.0	1.9
	state	8.7	7.0	6.8	4.0
8	county	10.8	6.5	12.0	11.3
	state	14.7	12.0	11.6	8.5
	MTF	14.9	14.5	13.1	11.8
10	county	22.6	10.3	8.9	13.0
	state	15.1	12.1	11.9	8.8
	MTF	12.3	12.0	10.1	9.9
12	county	6.2	12.2	4.4	4.3
	state	11.4	9.9	9.4	7.4
	MTF	9.5	9.0	8.1	7.9
Combined	county	12.2	7.5	9.6	7.3
	state	12.4	10.2	9.9	7.1

Table 13: Synthetic Marijuana - Lifetime Use

Grade	Group	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
6	county	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	state	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5
8	county	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.3
	state	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.0
10	county	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.4
	state	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.8
12	county	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.5
	state	0.0	0.0	0.0	13.2
Combined	county	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.0
	state	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.7

Table 14: Meth - Lifetime Use

Grade	Group	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
Graue	Group	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
6	county	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.6
	state	0.5	0.3	0.3	0.3
8	county	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.0
	state	0.9	0.7	0.8	0.8
	MTF	1.6	1.8	1.3	1.3
10	county	1.6	0.0	0.7	1.5
	state	1.8	1.6	1.5	1.8
	MTF	2.8	2.5	2.1	1.8
12	county	0.0	0.8	2.2	0.6
	state	2.2	1.9	1.9	2.2
	MTF	2.4	2.3	2.1	1.7
Combined	county	0.6	0.3	0.8	0.7
	state	1.3	1.0	1.0	1.2

Table 15: Bath Salts - Lifetime Use

Grade	Group	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
6	county	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0
	state	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.2
8	county	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.7
	state	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.2
10	county	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.1
	state	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0
12	county	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	state	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.8
Combined	county	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.3
	state	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.1

Table 16: Heroin - Lifetime Use

Grade	Group	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
6	county	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.0
	state	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.1
8	county	0.0	0.7	0.6	0.0
	state	0.5	0.5	0.6	0.6
	MTF	1.3	1.3	1.2	0.8
10	county	1.6	0.7	0.0	0.8
	state	1.3	0.9	0.9	1.2
	MTF	1.5	1.3	1.2	1.1
12	county	0.0	0.8	0.0	0.0
	state	1.9	1.7	1.7	2.0
	MTF	1.2	1.6	1.4	1.1
Combined	county	0.4	0.5	0.4	0.2
	state	0.9	0.7	0.8	0.9

Table 17: Ecstasy - Lifetime Use

Grade	Group	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
6	county	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	state	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1
8	county	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.7
	state	1.1	0.9	0.8	0.8
	MTF	2.2	3.3	2.6	2.0
10	county	1.6	1.4	0.0	4.6
	state	3.2	2.8	2.5	2.5
	MTF	5.5	6.4	6.6	5.0
12	county	0.0	3.8	4.4	1.2
	state	5.3	4.6	4.1	4.0
	MTF	6.5	7.3	8.0	7.2
Combined	county	0.6	1.2	0.4	1.5
	state	2.2	1.8	1.6	1.7

Table 18: Prescription Drugs - Lifetime Use

Grade	Group	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
6	county	3.4	3.0	2.1	0.6
	state	3.7	2.9	2.9	1.9
8	county	3.4	11.3	5.7	4.0
	state	9.1	7.8	7.5	5.0
10	county	20.3	11.0	11.6	11.5
	state	17.7	15.5	14.6	11.7
12	county	3.1	22.9	26.7	8.6
	state	21.2	19.6	19.1	15.7
	MTF	_	_	_	21.2
Combined	county	7.3	11.5	8.3	6.0
	state	12.1	10.4	10.1	7.9

Table 19: Over-The-Counter Drugs - Lifetime Use

Grade	Group	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
6	county	2.0	0.6	0.7	0.0
	state	2.3	2.0	1.9	1.0
8	county	5.1	3.3	4.6	1.3
	state	5.4	4.3	4.1	2.6
10	county	10.7	6.2	6.2	9.9
	state	9.0	7.3	6.9	5.3
12	county	3.1	13.8	11.1	4.3
	state	9.6	8.7	8.0	6.7
Combined	county	5.1	5.6	4.6	3.7
	state	6.2	5.1	4.9	3.7

Table 20: Alcopops - Lifetime Use

Grade	Group	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
6	county	7.9	1.8	9.2	2.5
	state	9.0	6.6	6.2	4.6
8	county	18.9	24.5	20.7	12.7
	state	25.6	22.0	21.1	16.5
	MTF	29.4	30.0	27.0	23.5
10	county	40.2	38.6	29.5	34.6
	state	44.8	39.5	38.8	32.6
	MTF	51.4	51.3	48.4	46.7
12	county	50.0	50.8	51.1	41.6
	state	54.7	50.1	49.9	43.1
	MTF	67.4	62.6	62.4	60.5
Combined	county	21.5	27.5	22.7	22.5
	state	31.3	26.8	26.7	22.4

Table 21: Any Drug - Lifetime Use

Grade	Group	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
6	county	12.3	8.4	14.4	4.4
	state	14.6	12.2	12.3	7.5
8	county	22.7	20.1	20.5	16.6
	state	27.0	23.8	23.4	17.6
10	county	44.4	26.4	27.2	31.3
	state	39.3	35.9	35.7	31.0
12	county	25.0	43.5	46.7	34.0
	state	45.4	43.2	43.5	40.1
Combined	county	23.9	23.5	23.0	21.3
	state	30.0	26.8	27.0	22.5

Table 22: Alcohol - Past 30 Day Use

Grade	Group	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
6	county	4.4	0.6	3.5	0.6
	state	3.8	2.6	2.6	1.8
8	county	10.3	11.8	9.1	6.6
	state	13.8	11.3	11.0	8.7
	MTF	14.9	13.8	12.7	11.0
10	county	31.7	17.6	19.3	21.6
	state	28.2	24.8	24.0	20.5
	MTF	30.4	28.9	27.2	27.6
12	county	58.1	42.7	37.8	26.5
	state	37.7	34.7	35.0	31.1
	MTF	43.5	41.2	40.0	41.5
Combined	county	15.8	16.9	12.9	13.7
	state	19.1	16.2	16.3	14.0

Table 23: Cigarettes - Past 30 Day Use

Grade	Group	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
6	county	1.0	1.2	2.1	0.0
	state	1.8	1.5	1.4	1.4
8	county	7.6	7.1	2.9	4.5
	state	6.8	5.9	5.7	5.2
	MTF	6.5	7.1	6.1	4.9
10	county	12.1	6.6	13.1	13.0
	state	14.6	14.1	12.3	12.5
	MTF	13.1	13.6	11.8	10.8
12	county	25.0	20.0	19.6	14.6
	state	21.3	20.0	20.2	18.9
	MTF	20.1	19.2	18.7	17.1
Combined	county	7.1	8.1	7.1	7.9
	state	10.2	9.1	8.8	8.6

Table 24: Chewing Tobacco - Past 30 Day Use

Grade	Group	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
6	county	2.5	0.0	2.0	0.6
	state	1.5	1.6	1.5	1.4
8	county	3.5	5.2	2.9	2.6
	state	5.2	4.6	4.5	4.7
	MTF	3.7	4.1	3.5	2.8
10	county	6.0	4.0	7.7	7.9
	state	9.4	9.4	8.1	9.2
	MTF	6.5	7.5	6.6	6.4
12	county	15.6	10.0	10.9	9.1
	state	10.7	10.5	10.5	11.2
	MTF	8.4	8.5	8.3	7.9
Combined	county	4.4	4.5	4.8	5.0
	state	6.3	5.9	5.6	6.1

Table 25: Marijuana - Past 30 Day Use

Grade	Group	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
6	county	0.5	0.0	0.7	0.6
	state	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.4
8	county	4.5	1.3	2.3	1.3
	state	4.1	3.9	4.0	4.1
	MTF	6.5	8.0	7.2	6.5
10	county	6.5	4.8	7.5	13.5
	state	11.4	11.2	11.1	11.8
	MTF	15.9	16.7	17.6	17.0
12	county	3.1	17.7	4.4	11.8
	state	15.7	16.1	16.8	17.0
	MTF	20.6	21.4	22.6	22.9
Combined	county	3.4	5.4	3.5	6.6
	state	7.1	6.8	7.1	7.5

Table 26: Hallucinogens - Past 30 Day Use

Grade	Group	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
6	county	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	state	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1
8	county	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
	state	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.3
	MTF	0.9	0.6	0.5	_
10	county	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.5
	state	0.7	0.6	0.6	0.8
	MTF	1.3	0.7	0.7	_
12	county	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6
	state	0.9	0.9	1.1	1.0
	MTF	2.2	0.8	0.8	0.8
Combined	county	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.5
	state	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.5

Table 27: Cocaine - Past 30 Day Use

Grade	Group	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
6	county	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
	state	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1
8	county	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
	state	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.4
	MTF	0.8	0.6	0.8	_
10	county	0.0	0.7	0.0	0.8
	state	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.6
	MTF	0.9	0.9	0.7	_
12	county	0.0	0.8	0.0	0.0
	state	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6
	MTF	1.3	1.3	1.1	1.1
Combined	county	0.4	0.3	0.0	0.2
	state	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.4

Table 28: Inhalants - Past 30 Day Use

Grade	Group	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
6	county	3.0	0.6	2.1	2.5
	state	3.8	3.1	2.9	1.7
8	county	5.7	1.3	5.1	3.3
	state	6.1	4.5	4.2	3.3
	MTF	3.8	3.6	3.2	-
10	county	12.1	1.4	7.5	3.1
	state	4.1	3.3	3.2	2.5
	MTF	2.2	2.0	1.7	_
12	county	0.0	0.8	0.0	0.0
	state	2.3	1.7	1.7	1.4
	MTF	1.2	1.4	1.0	0.9
Combined	county	5.8	1.0	4.5	2.2
	state	4.2	3.3	3.1	2.3

Table 29: Synthetic Marijuana - Past 30 Day Use

Grade	Group	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
6	county	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	state	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2
8	county	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.7
	state	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.2
10	county	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.8
	state	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.3
12	county	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6
	state	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.6
Combined	county	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.2
	state	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.5

Table 30: Meth - Past 30 Day Use

Grade	Group	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
6	county	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6
	state	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1
8	county	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	state	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.3
	MTF	0.5	0.7	0.4	-
10	county	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.8
	state	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.6
	MTF	0.6	0.7	0.5	_
12	county	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	state	0.6	0.4	0.5	0.7
	MTF	0.5	0.5	0.6	0.5
Combined	county	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.3
	state	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.4

Table 31: Bath Salts - Past 30 Day Use

Grade	Group	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
6	county	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	state	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4
8	county	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	state	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5
10	county	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.5
	state	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5
12	county	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	state	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3
Combined	county	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3
	state	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5

Table 32: Heroin - Past 30 Day Use

Grade	Group	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
6	county	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	state	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0
8	county	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	state	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2
	MTF	0.4	0.4	0.4	_
10	county	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
	state	0.5	0.2	0.3	0.4
	MTF	0.4	0.4	0.4	_
12	county	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	state	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.7
	MTF	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.3
Combined	county	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
	state	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.3

Table 33: Ecstasy - Past 30 Day Use

Grade	Group	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
6	county	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
	state	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
8	county	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	state	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.3
	MTF	0.6	1.1	0.6	_
10	county	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.3
	state	0.9	0.8	0.7	0.8
	MTF	1.3	1.9	1.6	_
12	county	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	state	1.2	0.9	0.8	1.0
	MTF	1.8	1.4	2.3	0.9
Combined	county	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.5
	state	0.6	0.5	0.4	0.5

Table 34: Prescription Drugs - Past 30 Day Use

Grade	Group	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
6	county	1.5	1.2	2.1	0.0
	state	1.6	1.2	1.4	0.8
8	county	1.7	8.0	3.4	1.3
	state	4.1	3.5	3.3	2.2
10	county	11.4	6.2	6.8	6.9
	state	8.1	6.8	6.6	5.4
12	county	0.0	10.8	4.4	1.2
	state	9.3	8.0	7.8	7.0
	MTF	_	_	_	7.0
Combined	county	3.8	6.3	4.1	2.2
	state	5.4	4.4	4.4	3.5

Table 35: Over-The-Counter Drugs - Past 30 Day Use

Grade	Group	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
6	county	2.0	1.8	0.7	0.0
	state	1.2	1.0	1.0	0.6
8	county	1.7	3.3	2.3	1.3
	state	2.8	2.1	2.1	1.3
10	county	9.8	2.1	3.4	4.6
	state	4.0	3.0	3.2	2.4
12	county	0.0	6.1	4.4	0.6
	state	3.9	3.2	3.1	2.5
Combined	county	3.6	3.2	2.4	1.5
	state	2.9	2.2	2.2	1.6

Table 36: Alcopops - Past 30 Day Use

Grade	Group	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
6	county	2.5	0.0	2.8	0.6
	state	2.7	1.8	1.8	1.4
8	county	7.4	8.6	6.9	3.3
	state	9.8	8.0	7.7	6.2
	MTF	9.5	9.4	8.6	7.6
10	county	19.7	13.1	15.8	19.1
	state	19.2	16.3	15.7	13.7
	MTF	19.0	19.4	15.8	16.3
12	county	31.2	24.6	26.7	16.7
	state	23.9	21.1	21.8	18.4
	MTF	27.4	24.1	23.1	21.8
Combined	county	9.8	10.8	10.0	9.6
	state	12.8	10.6	10.7	9.1

Table 37: Any Drug - Past 30 Day Use

Grade	Group	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
6	county	7.9	3.6	4.8	2.5
	state	7.2	6.0	5.9	3.5
8	county	14.2	12.3	10.8	6.0
	state	14.0	12.0	11.7	8.6
10	county	28.0	12.9	17.0	17.3
	state	21.2	19.2	19.1	16.2
12	county	3.1	24.4	11.1	12.9
	state	23.9	22.6	23.4	21.0
Combined	county	14.4	12.7	10.9	9.4
	state	15.8	13.9	14.1	11.5

Table 38: Binge Drinking

Grade	Group	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
6	county	2.0	0.6	0.7	1.3
	state	1.7	1.2	1.1	0.8
8	county	5.2	4.0	1.7	2.0
	state	7.4	6.1	5.8	5.0
10	county	14.8	9.6	14.4	13.0
	state	17.2	15.0	15.0	13.2
12	county	34.4	25.4	28.9	16.2
	state	25.2	23.0	23.3	20.4
Combined	county	7.9	9.2	7.5	8.0
	state	11.7	9.9	10.0	8.9

Table 39: Pack of Cigarettes

Grade	Group	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
6	county	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	state	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
8	county	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.6
	state	0.6	0.4	0.4	0.4
10	county	0.9	0.0	2.0	1.4
	state	1.5	1.4	1.1	1.1
12	county	0.0	0.0	2.2	1.8
	state	2.5	2.1	2.0	2.1
Combined	county	0.4	0.0	0.8	1.0
	state	1.0	0.9	8.0	0.8

Table 40: Suspended from School

Grade	Group	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
6	county	8.8	8.4	11.2	5.9
	state	11.2	10.9	10.6	11.2
8	county	25.0	17.1	13.6	19.1
	state	16.3	15.5	14.8	14.3
10	county	30.8	26.2	16.6	14.3
	state	15.2	14.7	13.2	12.6
12	county	9.4	14.8	19.6	10.4
	state	10.9	10.3	10.1	9.4
Combined	county	19.5	16.6	14.3	12.3
	state	13.6	13.0	12.3	12.1

Table 41: Drunk or High at School

Grade	Group	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
6	county	2.0	0.0	0.6	0.6
	state	2.2	1.7	1.6	1.2
8	county	5.0	2.6	1.7	1.9
	state	7.8	6.8	6.7	6.0
10	county	18.9	9.4	12.5	14.8
	state	16.2	14.1	13.9	13.9
12	county	3.1	21.5	10.9	8.8
	state	18.5	17.1	17.7	16.9
Combined	county	7.2	7.8	5.4	6.2
	state	10.3	8.9	9.1	8.8

Table 42: Sold Illegal Drugs

			_	_	
Grade	Group	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
6	county	0.5	0.6	0.0	0.6
	state	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.3
8	county	1.1	1.3	1.1	0.6
	state	2.0	2.1	1.9	1.9
10	county	9.2	1.9	4.7	5.5
	state	6.6	6.0	5.6	5.7
12	county	0.0	6.7	6.5	4.3
	state	8.4	8.0	7.8	7.5
Combined	county	2.8	2.4	2.3	2.7
	state	3.9	3.6	3.4	3.5

Table 43: Stolen a Vehicle

Grade	Group	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
6	county	1.0	1.2	0.6	1.2
	state	1.2	1.2	1.0	0.9
8	county	1.7	0.6	1.7	0.6
	state	2.2	2.0	1.8	1.5
10	county	9.0	1.9	5.9	2.1
	state	3.1	2.8	2.3	2.1
12	county	0.0	0.7	2.2	0.6
	state	2.2	1.7	1.7	1.6
Combined	county	3.1	1.1	2.7	1.1
	state	2.1	1.9	1.7	1.5

Table 44: Been Arrested

Grade	Group	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
6	county	1.5	1.2	1.2	1.8
	state	2.1	2.0	1.6	1.4
8	county	2.2	3.8	3.4	3.8
	state	5.0	4.5	4.3	4.0
10	county	12.1	5.1	3.6	7.0
	state	7.4	6.9	6.0	5.8
12	county	9.4	3.7	4.3	3.7
	state	7.1	6.2	6.1	5.4
Combined	county	4.8	3.4	2.9	4.0
	state	5.2	4.6	4.3	4.0

Table 45: Attacked to Harm

Grade	Group	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
6	county	13.6	11.5	10.2	7.7
	state	13.9	11.9	11.1	9.4
8	county	19.6	13.5	11.4	13.4
	state	18.4	15.9	14.8	13.2
10	county	22.7	14.6	16.7	13.3
	state	18.8	16.5	14.3	13.4
12	county	12.5	14.8	13.0	8.5
	state	15.2	13.1	11.8	10.9
Combined	county	17.7	13.5	12.7	10.6
	state	16.6	14.3	13.1	11.7

Table 46: Carried a Handgun

Grade	Group	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
6	county	3.5	3.0	3.6	2.4
	state	4.1	3.7	3.6	4.2
8	county	6.1	3.8	4.0	3.2
	state	5.2	4.3	4.4	4.7
10	county	10.5	4.4	6.5	5.4
	state	6.3	5.3	4.7	4.8
12	county	0.0	5.2	6.5	2.5
	state	6.1	5.1	5.0	4.8
Combined	county	5.9	4.0	4.8	3.3
	state	5.3	4.5	4.4	4.6

Table 47: Handgun to School

Grade	Group	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
6	county	0.5	0.0	0.6	0.6
	state	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.3
8	county	1.1	0.0	0.6	0.0
	state	0.6	0.7	0.6	0.6
10	county	1.5	0.6	1.8	2.1
	state	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.7
12	county	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	state	1.0	1.0	1.1	0.9
Combined	county	0.9	0.2	0.9	0.6
	state	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.6

Table 48: Community Risk - High Community Disorganization

Grade	Group	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
6	county	34.4	34.0	37.3	30.8
	state	38.4	34.7	35.6	35.7
8	county	38.9	33.8	25.3	27.5
	state	34.5	32.2	31.7	31.8
10	county	45.4	39.7	43.2	41.2
	state	46.6	45.0	43.7	43.8
12	county	53.1	39.2	55.6	39.4
	state	45.5	43.3	42.9	42.6
Combined	county	39.5	36.6	36.4	34.5
	state	40.8	38.1	37.9	38.0

Table 49: Community Risk - Transitions and Mobility

Grade	Group	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
6	county	61.0	65.5	59.7	54.5
	state	51.1	50.0	49.5	46.9
8	county	41.3	42.4	47.0	49.7
	state	53.1	53.8	52.7	52.7
10	county	56.8	48.8	46.0	47.0
	state	59.9	60.2	59.6	58.7
12	county	50.0	49.2	54.5	43.6
	state	51.1	52.5	51.5	49.4
Combined	county	52.6	51.7	51.1	48.5
	state	53.8	54.0	53.3	52.0

Table 50: Community Risk - Laws and Norms Favorable to Drug Use

Grade	Group	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
6	county	42.2	32.5	45.0	34.1
	state	39.2	35.7	35.5	34.2
8	county	34.5	30.0	28.7	23.5
	state	33.7	31.0	30.8	29.4
10	county	56.0	27.6	42.5	34.2
	state	41.3	38.1	37.4	37.3
12	county	21.9	37.3	31.1	32.1
	state	33.7	31.6	31.9	29.5
Combined	county	41.1	31.8	37.1	30.7
	state	37.1	34.1	33.9	32.7

Table 51: Community Risk - Perceived Availability of Drugs

Grade	Group	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
6	county	24.1	17.8	23.8	19.4
	state	22.7	18.9	18.8	17.9
8	county	28.1	15.3	20.5	14.4
	state	25.7	22.9	23.0	23.0
10	county	28.6	26.5	30.7	28.8
	state	36.3	33.9	33.0	32.6
12	county	18.8	37.8	48.9	34.6
	state	42.5	40.1	39.5	37.7
Combined	county	26.0	23.9	26.8	24.4
	state	30.9	27.7	27.5	27.0

Table 52: Community Risk - Perceived Availability of Handguns

Grade	Group	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
6	county	26.9	24.5	28.8	25.0
	state	24.6	23.5	24.6	24.0
8	county	32.9	38.9	44.6	33.8
	state	37.3	35.6	36.4	35.3
10	county	27.8	20.5	26.3	34.2
	state	31.7	30.5	29.3	30.7
12	county	18.8	36.2	42.2	34.0
	state	36.6	35.8	35.9	35.6
Combined	county	28.6	30.0	35.3	31.8
	state	32.3	31.0	31.3	31.2

Table 53: Family Risk - Poor Family Management

Grade	Group	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
6	county	39.5	29.1	30.1	31.2
	state	38.2	36.0	35.2	33.5
8	county	34.9	40.0	29.1	21.7
	state	39.7	36.6	36.7	33.0
10	county	46.2	37.8	30.0	36.9
	state	38.1	36.0	35.2	32.5
12	county	40.6	35.4	53.3	30.6
	state	39.7	37.0	36.9	34.2
Combined	county	39.3	35.4	32.1	29.5
	state	38.9	36.4	36.0	33.2

Table 54: Family Risk - Family Conflict

Grade	Group	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
6	county	35.5	29.8	35.0	25.6
	state	40.5	36.0	35.0	33.2
8	county	51.8	45.1	40.6	39.9
	state	49.1	46.7	46.2	43.6
10	county	43.0	46.1	35.5	37.0
	state	40.8	39.5	38.3	35.2
12	county	28.1	33.9	35.6	33.1
	state	38.6	37.2	37.0	33.3
Combined	county	42.2	38.5	37.2	34.0
	state	42.6	40.1	39.3	36.6

Table 55: Family Risk - Family History of Antisocial Behavior

Grade	Group	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
6	county	32.9	35.0	42.0	21.0
	state	35.3	33.3	33.1	30.2
8	county	34.6	33.3	32.5	31.2
	state	35.4	33.8	33.8	33.5
10	county	38.8	38.8	30.5	34.0
	state	40.4	38.5	37.0	37.5
12	county	34.4	35.8	35.6	39.7
	state	39.1	37.5	36.3	35.9
Combined	county	34.8	35.6	35.1	32.0
	state	37.4	35.5	34.9	34.1

Table 56: Family Risk - Parental Attitudes Favorable to ATOD

Grade	Group	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
6	county	13.3	11.3	9.3	7.6
	state	14.5	12.7	12.4	9.0
8	county	27.9	25.0	26.1	17.4
	state	27.0	25.1	24.9	18.1
10	county	40.2	40.0	35.7	32.1
	state	41.5	38.1	38.1	29.8
12	county	43.8	42.1	44.4	27.8
	state	40.6	38.8	39.8	30.2
Combined	county	25.7	28.7	25.6	21.1
	state	29.9	27.2	27.5	21.0

Table 57: Family Risk - Parental Attitudes Favorable to ASB

Grade	Group	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
6	county	28.3	30.7	29.1	28.0
	state	33.3	32.0	31.4	28.0
8	county	47.3	43.1	37.0	38.5
	state	45.8	43.9	43.4	40.1
10	county	42.3	46.9	48.7	41.1
	state	50.5	48.8	48.5	43.4
12	county	34.4	38.9	48.9	45.9
	state	48.0	47.0	48.0	41.0
Combined	county	38.0	39.6	38.9	38.6
	state	44.0	42.2	42.2	37.8

Table 58: School Risk - Academic Failure

Grade	Group	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
6	county	40.9	41.6	35.7	37.3
	state	42.6	42.2	40.6	39.7
8	county	34.3	34.0	36.5	36.9
	state	44.6	43.0	42.0	40.8
10	county	45.0	41.5	41.9	37.8
	state	46.4	45.5	43.8	42.3
12	county	36.7	30.1	45.5	29.9
	state	39.6	39.7	37.3	36.7
Combined	county	39.5	37.1	38.7	35.3
	state	43.5	42.8	41.1	40.1

Table 59: School Risk - Low Commitment to School

Grade	Group	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
6	county	38.0	30.6	41.7	40.0
	state	42.0	40.1	38.3	38.9
8	county	39.4	32.7	30.3	29.7
	state	35.1	34.0	33.2	34.2
10	county	42.9	37.3	40.9	40.8
	state	38.7	38.0	37.7	38.5
12	county	37.5	47.4	45.7	45.1
	state	40.6	40.9	41.0	42.1
Combined	county	39.6	36.5	38.2	39.0
	state	39.0	38.0	37.2	38.1

Table 60: Peer Risk - Early Initiation of Drug Use

Grade	Group	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
6	county	21.2	17.2	21.2	16.3
	state	25.8	21.7	20.3	19.6
8	county	17.3	19.0	16.5	14.6
	state	26.7	23.2	22.4	21.4
10	county	33.1	23.6	20.2	27.0
	state	30.8	27.6	25.5	25.5
12	county	15.6	27.4	32.6	22.7
	state	30.8	28.7	27.7	26.3
Combined	county	22.5	21.5	20.4	20.0
	state	28.3	24.8	23.6	22.9

Table 61: Peer Risk - Early Initiation of ASB

Grade	Group	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
6	county	26.7	23.4	26.6	15.7
	state	26.8	24.2	23.5	22.8
8	county	45.3	37.3	33.5	35.3
	state	37.8	34.3	33.1	32.0
10	county	51.5	45.9	39.5	39.9
	state	41.7	39.1	36.8	35.6
12	county	46.9	48.9	45.7	50.0
	state	40.6	38.3	36.8	35.7
Combined	county	40.0	38.3	34.3	35.0
	state	36.2	33.2	31.9	31.0

Table 62: Peer Risk - Peer Favorable Attitudes to ASB

Grade	Group	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
6	county	31.8	33.9	35.3	42.0
	state	39.2	38.3	36.7	34.9
8	county	32.4	26.6	23.7	23.4
	state	34.3	32.7	32.2	30.1
10	county	52.3	41.5	39.2	39.2
	state	42.3	41.9	40.5	38.4
12	county	25.0	36.3	34.8	34.1
	state	39.3	38.0	37.7	35.5
Combined	county	36.6	34.5	32.8	34.7
	state	38.6	37.5	36.5	34.6

Table 63: Peer Risk - Peer Favorable Attitudes to Drug Use

Grade	Group	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
6	county	17.4	6.5	17.1	10.1
	state	18.0	15.6	15.1	15.3
8	county	17.9	16.6	15.3	13.9
	state	23.0	21.2	21.4	21.5
10	county	32.6	29.4	24.6	32.0
	state	34.1	32.4	32.2	33.1
12	county	25.0	35.6	37.0	28.0
	state	32.6	32.2	32.8	32.4
Combined	county	21.7	21.3	20.4	20.7
	state	26.1	24.2	24.3	24.7

Table 64: Peer Risk - Low Perceived Risk of Drug Use*

Grade	Group	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
6	county	33.0	39.8	26.0	34.4
	state	33.1	33.3	33.9	36.9
8	county	35.2	35.7	29.5	41.6
	state	37.7	36.8	37.7	44.0
10	county	36.6	30.7	35.0	39.4
	state	37.2	37.4	38.7	47.0
12	county	43.8	41.5	39.1	47.2
	state	43.1	43.7	45.3	52.8
Combined	county	35.2	36.8	31.0	40.7
	state	37.3	37.2	38.3	44.5

*see note on page 12

Table 65: Peer Risk - Interaction with Antisocial Peers

Grade	Group	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
6	county	40.6	38.7	46.4	38.2
	state	40.1	39.0	38.8	38.1
8	county	65.0	55.4	43.8	43.9
	state	51.7	48.5	48.1	47.3
10	county	68.9	56.2	56.3	44.6
	state	52.2	50.4	48.5	48.1
12	county	37.5	49.6	47.8	49.4
	state	49.2	47.3	46.6	45.6
Combined	county	55.3	49.8	48.8	44.0
	state	48.0	45.9	45.2	44.6

Table 66: Peer Risk - Friends' Use of Drugs

Grade	Group	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
6	county	19.9	11.3	25.0	10.3
	state	21.2	19.2	18.1	17.1
8	county	31.1	23.6	20.5	17.9
	state	30.7	28.4	28.1	26.2
10	county	37.1	26.9	22.4	26.4
	state	33.9	31.4	30.2	29.7
12	county	18.8	24.4	23.9	22.6
	state	30.5	28.0	29.0	27.7
Combined	county	27.7	21.3	22.7	19.1
	state	28.8	26.3	25.9	24.8

Table 67: Peer Risk - Peer Rewards for Antisocial Involvement

Grade	Group	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
6	county	23.4	16.2	33.3	25.9
	state	23.7	24.2	23.9	24.1
8	county	34.8	28.2	33.9	26.8
	state	38.6	36.0	37.2	37.0
10	county	45.1	42.2	36.4	42.8
	state	41.6	42.7	42.8	42.9
12	county	50.0	63.7	60.9	56.1
	state	54.5	55.1	56.6	56.0
Combined	county	34.0	36.3	36.7	37.8
	state	38.2	37.7	38.5	38.6

Table 68: Peer Risk - Depressive Symptoms

Grade	Group	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
6	county	36.5	31.9	34.4	32.7
	state	39.1	38.0	38.1	35.3
8	county	38.1	35.7	34.5	38.2
	state	43.1	42.8	41.7	42.1
10	county	45.6	42.9	42.0	42.8
	state	45.6	46.0	44.1	43.3
12	county	40.6	39.4	47.8	33.5
	state	40.9	41.3	39.6	37.7
Combined	county	39.4	37.3	37.8	36.6
	state	42.2	41.9	40.9	39.7

Table 69: Peer Risk - Gang Involvement

Grade	Group	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
6	county	21.2	16.2	17.2	10.2
	state	19.9	19.5	18.5	16.4
8	county	16.8	19.6	11.9	10.9
	state	21.0	18.8	17.0	15.3
10	county	38.9	24.5	32.1	27.2
	state	26.7	26.3	24.4	23.6
12	county	21.9	19.5	32.6	18.3
	state	23.8	25.7	25.2	23.5
Combined	county	24.0	19.9	21.3	16.4
	state	22.6	22.0	20.8	19.3

Table 70: School Protective - School Opportunities for PSI

Grade	Group	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
6	county	38.6	47.3	49.4	36.7
	state	48.3	47.8	49.1	50.6
8	county	61.5	71.0	70.7	63.6
	state	67.3	65.3	66.3	65.5
10	county	44.4	60.2	61.0	66.7
	state	64.6	65.4	65.6	65.0
12	county	56.2	57.0	55.6	59.0
	state	66.1	66.3	66.2	66.3
Combined	county	48.5	58.8	60.3	56.0
	state	61.0	60.4	61.2	61.4

Table 71: School Protective - School Rewards for PSI

Grade	Group	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
6	county	48.0	55.6	53.3	46.7
	state	56.1	56.8	57.9	57.5
8	county	55.0	69.2	61.7	62.2
	state	56.1	56.2	56.3	55.5
10	county	53.4	70.8	53.4	57.4
	state	64.5	65.5	64.9	62.9
12	county	46.9	48.9	52.2	48.2
	state	49.4	51.2	50.4	49.6
Combined	county	51.5	61.5	55.9	53.4
	state	56.9	57.7	57.7	56.8

Table 72: Peer Protective - Religiosity

Grade	Group	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
6	county	64.7	61.3	70.6	69.8
	state	60.9	61.1	62.3	62.3
8	county	70.6	71.3	72.9	70.9
	state	66.6	67.3	67.0	67.1
10	county	71.7	71.4	71.5	70.5
	state	65.3	64.2	65.3	65.2
12	county	87.5	92.4	91.3	92.1
	state	86.0	85.3	85.2	85.2
Combined	county	69.6	73.3	73.4	76.0
	state	68.5	68.1	68.7	68.8

Table 73: Peer Protective - Interaction with Prosocial Peers

Grade	Group	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
6	county	62.6	53.6	56.3	60.1
	state	55.8	57.3	59.3	60.1
8	county	68.3	69.6	77.8	75.8
	state	64.6	65.3	65.4	66.1
10	county	64.4	66.9	68.4	67.6
	state	62.4	62.6	63.5	63.6
12	county	75.0	69.6	67.4	65.9
	state	60.7	61.0	59.4	59.4
Combined	county	65.7	64.6	67.7	67.2
	state	60.8	61.5	62.1	62.5

Table 74: Sources of Alcohol

		Bought It Myself WITH a Fake ID	Bought It Myself WITHOUT a Fake ID	Someone I Know Age 21 or Older	Someone I Know Under Age 21	My Brother or Sister	Home WITH Parents' Permission	Home WITHOUT Parents' Permission	Another Relative	A Stranger Bought It For Me	Took It From a Store or Shop	Other
6	county	20.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	40.0
0	state	2.0	0.0	14.3	3.1	3.5	17.0	9.8	8.7	0.7	1.2	39.0
8	county	0.0	0.0	25.0	4.2	4.2	4.2	16.7	20.8	4.2	0.0	20.8
	state	1.2	0.6	20.9	10.7	4.4	14.7	14.6	8.9	1.3	0.6	22.1
10	county	3.8	5.8	26.9	17.3	5.8	17.3	7.7	1.9	1.9	0.0	11.5
	state	1.0	1.2	31.3	15.3	4.0	12.3	8.9	5.7	1.9	0.4	17.9
12	county	1.2	0.0	48.8	13.4	3.7	6.1	1.2	6.1	1.2	0.0	18.3
	state	1.3	2.4	46.3	14.7	2.7	8.6	3.1	4.0	2.2	0.2	14.7
Combined	county	2.5	1.8	37.4	12.9	4.3	9.2	6.1	6.7	1.8	0.0	17.2
	state	1.2	1.5	33.8	13.3	3.6	11.7	7.9	5.9	1.8	0.4	19.0

Table 75: Location of Alcohol Use

			Someone	Open Area Like a	Sporting Event or	Restaurant, Bar, or a	Empty Building or			
		My Home	Else's Home	Park, etc.	Concert	Nightclub	Site	Hotel/Motel	In a Car	At School
6	county	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	state	45.6	28.3	13.6	1.6	3.2	1.7	2.2	2.0	1.9
8	county	27.8	61.1	5.6	5.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	state	37.4	41.8	11.4	1.6	1.6	1.1	1.2	1.6	2.1
10	county	31.4	49.0	11.8	2.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	2.0
	state	29.9	51.6	10.8	1.0	1.6	0.6	1.4	1.6	1.5
12	county	19.5	49.4	22.1	2.6	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3
	state	22.6	59.1	10.0	1.1	2.1	0.6	1.6	1.6	1.2
Combined	county	24.5	50.3	17.0	2.7	1.4	0.7	0.7	1.4	1.4
	state	29.4	51.3	10.8	1.2	1.9	0.8	1.5	1.7	1.5

Table 76: I feel safe at my school.

		NO!	no	yes	YES!
6	county	4.1	13.5	47.6	34.7
	state	5.9	8.8	38.5	46.7
8	county	8.5	11.8	56.2	23.5
	state	7.2	12.9	51.1	28.8
10	county	3.4	19.7	59.9	17.0
	state	7.6	13.9	56.3	22.2
12	county	4.2	10.8	59.0	25.9
	state	6.4	11.4	55.9	26.2
Combined	county	5.0	13.8	55.5	25.6
	state	6.8	11.7	49.8	31.7

Table 77: How often have you taken a handgun to school.

		Never	1-2 times	3-5 times	6-9 times	10-19 times	20-29 times	30-39 times	40+ times
6	county	99.4	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	state	99.7	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
8	county	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	state	99.4	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1
10	county	97.9	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
	state	99.3	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.2
12	county	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	state	99.1	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.3
Combined	county	99.4	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
	state	99.4	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1

Table 78: How wrong do you think it is for someone your age to take a gun to school.

		Very Wrong	Wrong	A Little Bit Wrong	Not Wrong at All
6	county	91.8	5.9	1.8	0.6
	state	92.5	5.7	1.3	0.5
8	county	88.6	8.2	2.5	0.6
	state	86.0	10.4	2.6	0.9
10	county	86.5	11.5	0.7	1.4
	state	86.1	9.9	2.6	1.3
12	county	91.5	6.7	1.2	0.6
	state	89.1	7.5	2.2	1.2
Combined	county	89.7	8.0	1.6	0.8
	state	88.5	8.4	2.2	1.0

Table 79: Have any of your brothers/sisters ever taken a gun to school.

		0		
				I don't have any brothers or
		No	Yes	sisters
6	county	99.2	0.0	0.8
	state	95.5	0.7	3.8
8	county	93.0	0.7	6.3
	state	94.3	1.5	4.1
10	county	92.0	1.0	7.0
	state	93.4	2.0	4.6
12	county	93.6	1.3	5.1
	state	92.8	2.2	5.0
Combined	county	94.4	0.8	4.8
	state	94.1	1.6	4.3

5 NO CHILD LEFT BEHIND PROFILE

The No Child Left Behind Profile looks specifically at student responses to the questions "How old were you when you first ...". The questions cover both first incidences of drug use (marijuana, cigarettes, alcohol, and regular use of alcohol) and first incidences of antisocial behaviors (suspension, arrest, carrying a gun, attacking someone and belonging to a gang). Possible responses to these questions range from age 10 to age 17 or the student can respond to the question with Never. The average age figures are based only on those students who responded to the question with an answer other than Never.

Table 80: Avg Age of First Marijuana

Grade	Group	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
6	county	10.0	10.0	11.5	11.0
	state	10.8	11.0	11.1	10.9
8	county	11.8	12.3	12.0	12.0
	state	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1
10	county	13.3	13.8	13.3	13.4
	state	13.4	13.5	13.5	13.4
12	county	15.3	14.9	15.1	14.9
	state	14.5	14.6	14.7	14.7
Combined	county	13.1	14.2	13.6	14.2
	state	13.6	13.7	13.7	13.7

Table 81: Avg Age of First Cigarettes

Grade	Group	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
6	county	10.4	10.3	10.6	10.2
	state	10.4	10.4	10.4	10.5
8	county	11.7	11.6	11.0	11.3
	state	11.3	11.3	11.3	11.3
10	county	12.1	12.1	12.4	12.5
	state	12.3	12.4	12.4	12.5
12	county	14.1	13.8	13.3	13.9
	state	13.3	13.4	13.5	13.6
Combined	county	11.9	12.7	11.9	12.7
	state	12.1	12.2	12.3	12.4

Table 82: Avg Age of First Alcohol

Grade	Group	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
6	county	10.4	10.2	10.7	10.3
	state	10.5	10.5	10.5	10.5
8	county	11.3	11.6	11.3	11.7
	state	11.6	11.7	11.6	11.6
10	county	12.9	13.4	12.9	12.8
	state	12.9	13.0	13.0	13.0
12	county	14.8	14.3	13.9	14.1
	state	14.1	14.2	14.2	14.3
Combined	county	12.2	13.0	12.2	12.9
	state	12.6	12.7	12.7	12.8

Table 83: Avg Age of First Regular Alcohol Use

Grade	Group	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
6	county	10.8	10.0	10.0	10.0
	state	10.8	10.8	10.9	11.0
8	county	11.4	12.6	11.3	12.2
	state	12.3	12.3	12.2	12.3
10	county	14.2	13.8	13.9	14.0
	state	14.0	14.0	14.0	13.9
12	county	16.2	15.6	15.3	15.0
	state	15.3	15.3	15.3	15.4
Combined	county	13.8	14.5	13.7	14.4
	state	14.1	14.1	14.2	14.2

Table 84: Avg Age of First School Suspension

Grade	Group	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
6	county	10.6	10.9	10.3	10.4
	state	10.5	10.5	10.5	10.5
8	county	11.9	11.8	11.5	11.8
	state	11.5	11.5	11.5	11.4
10	county	12.1	12.6	12.6	12.3
	state	12.4	12.5	12.3	12.3
12	county	12.7	13.2	12.7	12.7
	state	13.2	13.2	13.1	13.1
Combined	county	11.8	12.4	11.9	12.2
	state	12.0	12.0	11.9	11.9

Table 85: Avg Age of First Been Arrested

Grade	Group	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
6	county	10.7	10.5	10.5	11.5
	state	10.9	10.9	10.8	10.8
8	county	10.8	11.0	12.5	12.5
	state	12.3	12.2	12.2	12.2
10	county	13.5	13.6	13.7	13.8
	state	13.7	13.6	13.6	13.5
12	county	16.5	14.5	14.3	14.5
	state	15.0	14.9	14.8	14.7
Combined	county	12.8	12.9	13.3	13.7
	state	13.5	13.4	13.5	13.4

Table 86: Avg Age of First Carried a Gun

Grade	Group	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
6	county	10.4	10.4	10.4	0.0
	state	10.7	10.7	10.8	10.7
8	county	10.9	11.3	10.6	10.7
	state	11.7	11.7	11.7	11.7
10	county	12.4	13.2	12.1	12.3
	state	12.8	12.8	12.8	12.7
12	county	10.0	13.4	16.2	13.9
	state	13.9	13.9	13.7	13.9
Combined	county	11.5	12.1	11.9	12.6
	state	12.3	12.3	12.2	12.2

Table 87: Avg Age of First Attacked to Harm

Grade	Group	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
6	county	10.5	10.6	10.8	10.5
	state	10.6	10.6	10.6	10.6
8	county	11.4	11.6	11.9	11.7
	state	11.8	11.7	11.7	11.7
10	county	12.5	13.1	12.7	12.2
	state	12.7	12.8	12.7	12.6
12	county	12.5	14.3	13.2	13.1
	state	13.6	13.5	13.5	13.5
Combined	county	11.7	12.5	12.1	12.1
	state	12.2	12.2	12.1	12.2

Table 88: Avg Age of First Belonged to a Gang

Grade	Group	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
6	county	10.7	10.2	11.2	10.3
	state	10.9	10.9	10.9	10.8
8	county	12.1	12.1	11.5	11.9
	state	12.1	12.0	12.0	11.9
10	county	13.1	13.7	12.3	13.0
	state	12.9	12.9	12.7	12.7
12	county	14.0	14.8	12.8	14.1
	state	13.4	13.6	13.1	13.0
Combined	county	12.3	12.7	12.0	12.7
	state	12.3	12.4	12.2	12.1

No Child Left Behind Profile - Grade 6 Clark County




Figure 25: No Child Left Behind Profile - Grade 6

No Child Left Behind Profile - Grade 8 Clark County




Figure 26: No Child Left Behind Profile - Grade 8

No Child Left Behind Profile - Grade 10 Clark County




Figure 27: No Child Left Behind Profile - Grade 10

No Child Left Behind Profile - Grade 12 Clark County Avg Age of First ATOD Avg Age of First ASB 20-15-10 State 2012-13 County 2012-13 County 2011-12 Been Arrested Attacked to Harm Cigarettes Regular Alcohol Use School Suspension Carried a Gun Belonged to a Gang

Figure 28: No Child Left Behind Profile - Grade 12

Avg. Age of First Incidence

ATOD - Alcohol, Tobacco and Other Drug Use ASB - Antisocial Behavior

6 STUDENT TOBACCO USE, EXPERIENCES AND PREVENTION SERVICES

Tobacco use is the leading preventable cause of death in the United States. The 2009 survey added four new tobacco-related questions (Q49-Q52) to the already existing items (Q45-Q48) to explore this topic.

Arkansas youth typically have higher rates of tobacco use, including both cigarettes and smokeless tobacco, than the national average. Higher tobacco prevalence rates are common across the Southeast United States. This is due to a variety of cultural and economic factors that have traditionally supported greater tobacco use. The following table shows the results of the lifetime and past 30 day use of cigarettes and chewing tobacco.

Table 89: Cigarettes - Lifetime Use

Grade	Group	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
6	county	10.9	5.4	15.5	6.1
	state	10.3	8.8	7.9	7.9
8	county	17.4	21.9	16.0	16.8
	state	23.9	22.0	21.1	20.6
10	county	32.8	26.0	34.0	31.4
	state	38.0	35.8	33.0	32.9
12	county	50.0	48.1	43.5	36.0
	state	46.0	44.3	44.1	42.1
Combined	county	20.3	24.0	23.6	22.3
	state	27.9	25.5	24.6	24.2

Table 90: Chewing Tobacco - Lifetime Use

Grade	Group	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
6	county	5.5	1.8	10.1	5.5
	state	5.7	5.9	5.4	5.3
8	county	11.0	13.6	8.6	4.5
	state	12.3	12.8	12.4	12.1
10	county	15.5	13.3	16.0	18.7
	state	19.6	21.0	18.6	19.5
12	county	28.1	23.1	19.6	22.0
	state	22.4	23.8	23.8	23.6
Combined	county	10.9	12.3	12.2	12.5
	state	14.2	14.7	14.1	14.2

Table 91: Cigarettes - Past 30 Day Use

Grade	Group	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
6	county	1.0	1.2	2.1	0.0
	state	1.8	1.5	1.4	1.4
8	county	7.6	7.1	2.9	4.5
	state	6.8	5.9	5.7	5.2
10	county	12.1	6.6	13.1	13.0
	state	14.6	14.1	12.3	12.5
12	county	25.0	20.0	19.6	14.6
	state	21.3	20.0	20.2	18.9
Combined	county	7.1	8.1	7.1	7.9
	state	10.2	9.1	8.8	8.6

Table 92: Chewing Tobacco - Past 30 Day Use

Grade	Group	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
6	county	2.5	0.0	2.0	0.6
	state	1.5	1.6	1.5	1.4
8	county	3.5	5.2	2.9	2.6
	state	5.2	4.6	4.5	4.7
10	county	6.0	4.0	7.7	7.9
	state	9.4	9.4	8.1	9.2
12	county	15.6	10.0	10.9	9.1
	state	10.7	10.5	10.5	11.2
Combined	county	4.4	4.5	4.8	5.0
	state	6.3	5.9	5.6	6.1

The new tobacco-related items, Q49-Q50, explore rules regarding smoking at the student's home, and Q51-Q52 assess the availability of tobacco prevention programming within school settings. The following tables show the results for these four items.

Table 93: Which statement best describes rules about smoking inside your home?

		Smoking is not allowed anywhere inside your home	Smoking is allowed in some places and at some times	Smoking is allowed anywhere inside the home	There are no rules about smoking inside the home	l don't know
6	county	67.9	4.3	2.5	8.0	17.3
	state	69.2	7.4	2.6	4.3	16.4
8	county	66.5	6.5	5.2	5.8	16.1
	state	68.4	7.0	3.0	6.8	14.7
10	county	65.2	8.0	5.1	5.1	16.7
	state	70.9	6.4	3.9	7.7	11.1
12	county	72.6	7.3	3.7	10.4	6.1
	state	72.9	6.7	3.9	7.9	8.6
Combined	county	68.2	6.5	4.0	7.4	13.9
	state	70.1	6.9	3.3	6.5	13.1

Table 94: Which statement best describes rules about smoking in your family cars?

		Smoking is never allowed in any car	Smoking is allowed sometimes or in some cars	Smoking is allowed in any car anytime	There are no rules about smoking in the car	We do not have a family car	l don't know
6	county	60.2	8.1	2.5	6.2	3.7	19.3
	state	64.6	11.8	3.1	5.1	1.0	14.3
8	county	61.0	7.8	5.2	7.8	0.6	17.5
	state	60.4	12.2	4.5	8.2	0.9	13.7
10	county	59.4	15.2	8.7	4.3	1.4	10.9
	state	61.4	12.0	5.6	9.3	0.9	10.8
12	county	61.6	14.6	5.5	6.1	1.2	11.0
	state	62.3	13.1	5.4	8.9	1.7	8.5
Combined	county	60.6	11.3	5.3	6.2	1.8	14.7
	state	62.2	12.2	4.6	7.8	1.1	12.2

Table 95: During this school year, were you taught in any of your classes about the dangers of tobacco use?

		Strongly			Strongly	I don't
		agree	Agree	Disagree	disagree	know
6	county	50.0	26.3	2.6	3.2	17.9
	state	48.1	25.1	5.4	5.3	16.1
8	county	46.4	31.8	6.0	7.9	7.9
	state	32.9	32.8	9.2	8.3	16.8
10	county	25.9	31.7	14.4	10.1	18.0
	state	18.4	30.0	16.2	16.4	18.9
12	county	12.4	29.2	18.0	24.8	15.5
	state	13.4	25.3	17.8	25.0	18.6
Combined	county	33.6	29.7	10.2	11.7	14.8
	state	29.7	28.5	11.6	12.7	17.5

Table 96: During the past 12 months, have you participated in any community activities to discourage people your age from using cigarettes, chewing tobacco, snuff, dip or cigars?

		Strongly agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	I don't know
6	county	23.9	18.7	9.0	16.1	32.3
	state	23.4	16.3	13.1	17.5	29.7
8	county	17.2	23.4	17.2	22.8	19.3
	state	14.9	17.5	18.7	23.3	25.6
10	county	15.8	18.0	20.1	25.2	20.9
	state	10.4	14.9	22.1	30.3	22.3
12	county	11.2	21.1	17.4	36.6	13.7
	state	9.8	13.9	20.8	36.4	19.1
Combined	county	17.0	20.3	15.8	25.3	21.5
	state	15.1	15.9	18.4	26.0	24.6

7 DRUG-FREE COMMUNITIES SUPPORT PRO-GRAM CORE MEASURES

The Drug-Free Communities Support Program, administered by the Center for Substance Abuse Prevention, requests specific data which is typically referred to as the Core Measures. The drug categories measured are cigarettes/tobacco, alcohol, marijuana and prescription drugs and the table is broken down by grade level. For each drug, and at each grade level, the percentage of students who responded positively to the question and the number of students who responded to the question are reported.

- Past 30-Day Use The question *On how many occasions (if any) have you ... in the past 30 days?* is used to measure this statistic by reporting the percentage of students who report any use in the past 30 days.
- **Perception of Risk** The question *How much do you think people risk harming themselves (physically or in other ways) if they ...?* is used to measure this statistic by reporting the percentage of students who report that using the drug is a *Moderate Risk* or a *Great Risk* to their health.
- **Perception of Parental Disapproval** The question *How wrong do your parents feel it would be for you to ...?* is used to measure this statistic by reporting the percentage of students who report that parents would feel it is *Wrong* or *Very Wrong* to use tobacco, alcohol and marijuana.
- **Perception of Friends Disapproval** The question *How wrong do your friends feel it would be for you to ...?* is used to measure this statistic by reporting the percentage of students who report that friends would feel it is *Wrong* or *Very Wrong* to use tobacco, alcohol and marijuana.

Table 97: Core Measure by Grade for Past 30 Day Use

	Cigarettes		Alcohol		Marijuana		Presc Drugs	
Grade	pct	n	pct	n	pct	n	pct	n
Grade 6	0.0	164	0.6	159	0.6	158	0.0	157
Grade 8	4.5	156	6.6	152	1.3	151	1.3	150
Grade 10	13.0	138	21.6	134	13.5	133	6.9	131
Grade 12	14.6	164	26.5	162	11.8	161	1.2	162
Combined	7.9	622	13.7	607	6.6	603	2.2	600

Table 98: Core Measure by Grade for Perception of Risk

	Cigarettes		Alcohol		Marijuana		Presc Drugs	
Grade	pct	n	pct	n	pct	n	pct	n
Grade 6	82.7	162	75.2	161	79.9	159	83.0	159
Grade 8	83.7	153	76.6	154	78.8	151	85.8	155
Grade 10	87.3	142	67.4	141	63.6	140	82.1	140
Grade 12	92.0	163	64.0	161	62.5	160	87.1	163
Combined	86.5	620	70.8	617	71.3	610	84.6	617

Table 99: Core Measure by Grade for Parental Disapproval

	Tobacco		Alcohol		Marijuana		Presc Drugs	
Grade	pct	n	pct	n	pct	n	pct	n
Grade 6	96.9	131	98.5	132	99.2	131	99.2	132
Grade 8	96.6	149	94.6	149	98.0	149	96.6	149
Grade 10	94.6	112	92.0	112	91.1	112	96.4	112
Grade 12	86.7	158	86.7	158	90.4	156	96.8	157
Combined	93.5	550	92.7	551	94.7	548	97.3	550

Table 100: Core Measure by Grade for Friends Disapproval

	Tobacco		Alcohol		Marijuana		Presc Drugs	
Grade	pct	n	pct	n	pct	n	pct	n
Grade 6	98.7	150	97.3	150	99.3	150	98.7	150
Grade 8	92.7	151	88.1	151	94.7	150	95.4	151
Grade 10	79.7	123	63.4	123	71.5	123	84.6	123
Grade 12	58.4	161	58.4	161	68.3	161	82.0	161
Combined	82.1	585	77.1	585	83.7	584	90.3	585

Table 101: Core Measure by Sex for Past 30 Day Use

	Cigarettes		Alcohol		Marijuana		Presc Drugs	
Sex	pct	n	pct	n	pct	n	pct	n
Male	10.5	267	18.1	260	8.2	257	2.7	255
Female	5.9	354	10.4	346	5.5	345	1.7	344
Combined	7.9	621	13.7	606	6.6	602	2.2	599

Table 102: Core Measure by Sex for Perception of Risk

	Cigarettes		Alcohol		Marijuana		Presc Drugs	
Sex	pct	n	pct	n	pct	n	pct	n
Male	85.1	269	63.7	267	65.5	264	82.4	267
Female	87.7	350	76.5	349	75.9	345	86.5	349
Combined	86.6	619	70.9	616	71.4	609	84.7	616

Table 103: Core Measure by Sex for Parental Disapproval

	Tobacco		Alcohol		Marijuana		Presc Drugs	
Sex	pct	n	pct	n	pct	n	pct	n
Male	91.5	234	92.3	235	94.9	235	97.4	235
Female	94.9	315	93.0	315	94.6	312	97.1	314
Combined	93.4	549	92.7	550	94.7	547	97.3	549

Table 104: Core Measure by Sex for Friends Disapproval

	Tobacco		Alcohol		Marijuana		Presc Drugs	
Sex	pct	n	pct	n	pct	n	pct	n
Male	75.4	252	68.3	252	78.1	251	88.9	252
Female	87.0	332	83.7	332	88.0	332	91.3	332
Combined	82.0	584	77.1	584	83.7	583	90.2	584

8 PREVENTION RESOURCES

8.1 Regional Prevention Resource Centers

Region 1 PREVENTION RESOURCE CENTER

Operated by Alternative Opportunities, Inc. 614 East Emma Avenue, Suite M426 Springdale, AR 72764

Ms. Laurie Reh, PRC Coordinator (479) 927-2655

Fax: (479) 927-2752

E-MAIL: lreh@jtlshop.jonesnet.org

Counties: Benton, Carroll, Madison, Washington

Region 2 PREVENTION RESOURCE CENTER

Operated by North Arkansas Partnership for Health Education Area Health Education Center (AHEC-NW) at Harrison

1515 Pioneer Drive Harrison, AR 72601

Mrs. Elaine Fulton-Jones, PRC Coordinator (870) 391-3178 or (870) 391-3179

Fax: (870) 391-3507

E-MAIL: efultonjones@northark.edu

Counties: Baxter, Boone, Marion, Newton, Searcy

Region 3 PREVENTION RESOURCE CENTER

Operated by Health Resources of Arkansas, Inc.

P.O. Box 492

Mountain View, AR 72560

Website: http://www.healthresourcesofArkansas.com

Ms. Margaret Morrison, PRC Coordinator

(870) 269-6770 Fax: (870) 269-2196 E-MAIL: m2prc@mvtel.net

Counties: Cleburne, Fulton, Independence, Izard, Jackson,

Sharp, Stone, Van Buren, White, Woodruff

Region 4 PREVENTION RESOURCE CENTER

Operated by Crowley's Ridge Development Council

P.O. Box 16720 Jonesboro, AR 72403

Website: http://www.crdcnea.org

Ms. Dorothy Newsom, PRC Coordinator

(870) 933-0033 Fax: (870) 933-0048

E-MAIL: dnewsom@crdcnea.com

Counties: Clay, Craighead, Greene, Lawrence, Mississippi, Poinsett, Randolph

Region 5 PREVENTION RESOURCE CENTER

Operated by Harbor House, Inc.

P.O. Box 4207 Fort Smith, AR 72914

Ms. Beth Sallee, PRC Coordinator

(479) 783-1916 Fax: (479) 783-1914 E-MAIL: hhiprc@aol.com

Counties: Crawford, Franklin, Logan, Polk, Scott, Sebastian

Region 6 PREVENTION RESOURCE CENTER

Operated by Community Service, Inc.

P.O. Box 679 100 South Cherokee Street Morrilton, AR 72110

Ms. Janet Cook, PRC Coordinator

(501) 354-4589 Fax: (501) 354-5410

E-MAIL: jcook@communityserviceinc.com

Counties: Conway, Faulkner, Johnson, Perry, Pope, Yell

Region 7 PREVENTION RESOURCE CENTER

Operated by Crowley's Ridge Development Council

593 Hwy 243 Marianna Civic Ctr. Marianna, AR 72360

Mr. Kendon Gray, PRC Coordinator (870) 298-2250

Fax: (870) 298-2249

E-MAIL: kendon@crdcnea.com

Counties: Crittenden, Cross, Lee, Monroe, Phillips, St. Francis

Region 8 PREVENTION RESOURCE CENTER

Operated by Family Service Agency-Hot Springs

1401 Malvern Avenue, Suite 200C Hot Springs, AR 71901

 ${\sf Ms.\ Darla\ Kelsay,\ PRC\ Coordinator}$

(501) 318-2648 Fax: (501) 624-5636

E-MAIL: dkelsay@fsainc.org

Counties: Clark, Garland, Hot Spring, Montgomery, Pike

Region 9 PREVENTION RESOURCE CENTER

Operated by Family Service Agency-North Little Rock

628 West Broadway, Suite 300 North Little Rock, AR 72114

Mr. Hayse Miller, PRC Coordinator

(501) 372-4242 Fax: (501) 372-4758

E-MAIL: hmiller@fsainc.org

Counties: Lonoke, Prairie, Pulaski, Saline

Region 10 PREVENTION RESOURCE CENTER

Operated by Southwest Arkansas Counseling & Mental Health Center, Inc.

P.O. Box 1987 601 Hazel Street Texarkana, AR 71854

Ms. Trena Goings, PRC Coordinator

(870) 774-2435 Fax: (870) 774-4216

E-MAIL: tgoings@swacmhc.com

Counties: Hempstead, Howard, Lafayette, Little River, Miller, Sevier

Region 11 PREVENTION RESOURCE CENTER

Operated by UAMS/AHEC - South Arkansas

460 West Oak El Dorado, AR 71730

Ms. Susan Rumph, PRC Coordinator (870) 862-2489 ext. 151 & 152

Fax: (870) 863-9341

E-MAIL: srumph@ahecsa.uams.edu

Counties: Calhoun, Columbia, Dallas, Nevada, Ouachita, Union

Region 12 PREVENTION RESOURCE CENTER

Operated by St. James United Methodist Church

900 N. University Pine Bluff, AR 71601

Mr. Hank Wilkins, V, PRC Coordinator (870) 850-7216

Fax: (870) 536-6327

E-MAIL: hankwilkinsprc@yahoo.com

Counties: Arkansas, Cleveland, Grant, Jefferson, Lincoln

Region 13 PREVENTION RESOURCE CENTER

Operated by Phoenix Youth & Family Services

P.O. Box 654 310 N. Alabama Street Crossett, AR 71635

Ms. Christie Lindsey, PRC Coordinator

(870) 364-1676 Fax: (870) 364-1779

E-MAIL: clindsey@phoenixyouth.com

Counties: Ashley, Bradley, Chicot, Desha, Drew

8.2 State and National Contacts

Arkansas Department of Human Services Division of Behavioral Health Services

4800 W. 7th Street Little Rock, AR 72205 Telephone: (501) 686-9105 FAX: (501) 686-9396

Website: http://www.arkansas.gov/dhhs/dmhs

Ms. Ann Brown, LCSW

Director of Prevention, Treatment and Recovery

E-MAIL: Ann.Brown@arkansas.gov

Arkansas Department of Education Federal Programs Liaison Safe and Drug-Free Schools Program Officer Learning Services/School Improvement

#4 Capitol Mall Room 304B Little Rock, AR 72201 Telephone: (501) 683-5425 FAX: (501) 683-5409

Website: http://www.arkansased.org

Ms. Otistene Smith Federal Program Liaison

Safe and Drug-Free Schools Program Officer E-MAIL: otistene.smith@arkansas.gov

International Survey Associates dba Pride Surveys

160 Vanderbilt Court Bowling Green, KY 42103 Telephone: (800) 279-6361 FAX: (270) 746-9598

Website: http://www.pridesurveys.com

Safe and Drug Free Schools and Communities

U.S. Department of Education

Website: http://www.ed.gov/offices/OESE/SDFS

Southwest Center for the Application of Prevention Technology

Website: http://captus.samhsa.gov/southwest

Southwest Prevention Center/ The University of Oklahoma

Website: http://swpc.ou.edu/

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA)

Website: http://www.samhsa.gov

Electronic copies of reports can be found at http://www.state.ar.us/dhs/dmhs/adap_survey.htm.

Some reports require passwords.