
ARKANSAS Prevention Needs Assessment Survey 2002 Results for Grant Co.



PROVIDED BY:
Alcohol and Drug Abuse Prevention
Arkansas Department of Health

TABLE OF CONTENTS, CHARTS AND TABLES

Introduction	3
The Risk and Protective Factor Model of Prevention	
Table 1: Characteristics of Participants	
Tools for Assessment & Planning	4
How to Use This Information	ŗ
	•
How to Read the Charts and Tables in this Report	6
Substance Use and Antisocial Behavior Charts	
Risk and Protective Factor Charts	
Charts	7
ATOD Use and Antisocial Behavior, All Grades Combined	•
Risk Profile, All Grades Combined	
Protective Profile, All Grades Combined	
School Safety Profile, All Grades Combined	
Risk and Protective Factor Definitions9)
Table 2	
	_
Additional County and State Data1	1
Table 3: Number of Students who Completed the Survey Table 4: Percentage of Students who Used ATODs During Their Lifetime	
Table 5: Percentage of Students who Used ATODs During the Past 30 Days	
Table 6: Percentage of Students with Heavy Use of Alcohol and Cigarettes	
Table 7: Percentage of Students with Antisocial Behavior in the Past Year	
Table 8: Percentage of Students Reporting Risk	
Table 9: Percentage of Students Reporting Protection Table 10: Percentage of Students Reporting School Safety Issues	
Table 10. Fercentage of Students Reporting School Safety Issues	
Contacts for Prevention 1	4

INTRODUCTION

The 2002 Arkansas Prevention Needs Assessment Survey

This report summarizes findings from the Prevention Needs Assessment Survey, a survey of 6th, 8th, 10th and 12th grade school students, conducted in the Fall of 2002. This survey was available free of charge to all Arkansas public school districts who chose to participate. The survey was designed to assess adolescent substance use and related behaviors, and risk and protective factors

Table 1. Characteristics of Participants						
Student Totals						
	Sta	ate	County			
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent		
# of Students	25509	100	113	0.4		
# of Districts	95	100	1	1.1		
# of Schools	246	100	1	0.4		
Grade						
6	7332	28.7	23	20.4		
8	6758	26.5	27	23.9		
10	6080	23.8	25	22.1		
12	4886	19.2	38	33.6		
Gender						
Female	13163	51.6	58	51.3		
Male	12120	47.5	54	47.8		
Ethnicity						
White	17847	70.0	105	92.9		
African American	4096	16.1	0	0.0		
Native American	702	2.8	2	1.8		
Hispanic	978	3.8	2	1.8		
Asian/Pacific Isl.	261	1.0	0	0.0		

that predict these behaviors. In this report, the results are presented for each grade along with the overall results for the State. Table 1 contains characteristics of the students who completed the survey.

The Risk and Protective Factor Model of Prevention

Risk and protective factor-focused prevention is based on a simple premise: To prevent a problem from happening, we need to identify the factors that increase the risk of that problem developing and then find ways to reduce the risks. Just as medical researchers have found risk factors for heart attacks such as diets high in fats, lack of exercise, and smoking, a team of researchers, the

Social Development Research Group (SDRG), at the University of Washington have defined a set of risk factors for drug abuse. The research team also found that some children exposed to multiple risk factors manage to avoid behavior problems later even though they were exposed to the same risks as children who exhibited behavior problems. Based on research, they identified protective factors and processes that work together to buffer children from the effects of high risk exposure and lead to the development of healthy behaviors.

Risk factors include characteristics of school, community, and family environments, as well as characteristics of students and their peer groups that are known to predict increased likelihood of drug use, delinquency, and violent behaviors among youth (Hawkins, Catalano & Miller, 1992; Hawkins, Arthur & Catalano, 1995; Brewer, Hawkins, Catalano & Neckerman, 1995).

Protective factors exert a positive influence or buffer against the negative influence of risk, thus reducing the likelihood that adolescents will engage in problem behaviors. Protective factors identified through research reviewed by the Social Development Research Group include social bonding to family, school, community and peers; and healthy beliefs and clear standards for behavior.

TOOLS FOR ASSESSMENT AND PLANNING

Research on risk and protective factors has important implications for prevention efforts. The premise of this approach is that in order to promote positive youth development and prevent problem behaviors, it is necessary to address those factors that predict the problem. By measuring risk and protective factors in a population, specific risk factors that are elevated and widespread can be identified and targeted by preventive interventions that also promote related protective factors. For example, if academic failure is identified as an elevated risk factor in a community, then mentoring and tutoring interventions can be provided that will improve academic performance, and also increase opportunities and rewards for classroom participation.

Risk- and protective factor-focused drug abuse prevention is based on the work of J. David Hawkins, Ph.D., Richard F. Catalano, Ph.D.; and a team of researchers at the University of Washington in Seattle. Beginning in the early 1980's the group researched adolescent problem behaviors and identified risk factors for adolescent drug abuse and delinquency. Not surprisingly, they found that an interrelationship exists between adolescent drug abuse, delinquency, school dropout, teen pregnancy, and violence and were able to identify risk factors for these problems.

YOUTH AT RISK	SUBSTANCE ABUSE	DELINQUENCY	TEEN PREGNANCY	SCHOOL DROP-OUT	VIOLENCE
Community					
Availability of Drugs and Firearms Community Laws and Norms Favorable Toward Drug Use	✓				✓
Transitions and Mobility	✓	✓		√	
Low Neighborhood Attachment and Community Disorganization	✓	✓			✓
Extreme Economic and Social Deprivation	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Family					
Family History of High Risk Behavior	✓	✓	✓	✓	
Family Management Problems	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Family Conflict	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Parental Attitudes and Involvement	✓	✓			✓
School					
Early and Persistent Antisocial Behavior	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Academic Failure in Elementary School	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Lack of Commitment to School	✓	✓	✓	✓	
Individual/Peer					
Alienation and Rebelliousness	✓	✓		✓	
Friends Who Engage in a Problem Behavior	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Favorable Attitudes Toward the Problem Behavior	√	✓	✓	✓	
Early Initiation of the Problem Behavior	√	✓	√	✓	✓

SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT USING SURVEY DATA

Data from the Arkansas Prevention Needs Assessment Survey can be used to help school and community planners assess current conditions and prioritize areas of greatest need.

Each risk and protective factor can be linked to specific types of interventions that have been shown to be effective in either reducing the risk(s) and enhancing the protection(s). The steps outlined below will help your school and community make key decisions regarding allocation of resources, how and when to address specific needs, and which strategies are most effective and known to produce results.

What are the numbers telling you?

Review the charts and data tables presented in this report. Using the table below, note your findings as you discuss the following questions.

- Which 3 to 5 risk factors appear to be higher than you would want?
- Which 3 to 5 protective factors appear to be lower than you would want?
- Which levels of 30 day drug use are increasing and/or unacceptably high?
 - Which substances are your students using the most?
 - o At which grades do you see unacceptable usage levels?
- Which levels of antisocial behaviors are increasing and/or unacceptably high?
 - Which behaviors are your students exhibiting the most?
 - o At which grades do you see unacceptable behavior levels?

How to decide if a rate is "unacceptable."

- Look across the charts to determine which items stand out as either much higher or much lower than the others?
- Compare your data to statewide data and national data. Differences of 5% between the local and other data are probably significant.
- Determine the standards and values held in your area. For example: Is it acceptable in your community for 75% of high school students to drink alcohol regularly even when the statewide percentage is 90?

Use these data for planning:

- Substance use and antisocial behavior data raise awareness about the problems and promote dialogue.
- Risk and protective factor data identify exactly where the community needs to take action.
- Promising approaches talk with resources listed on the last page of this report for ideas about programs that have been proven effective in addressing the risk factors that are high in your area, and in improving the protective factors that are low.

	Unacceptable	Unacceptable	Unacceptable	Unacceptable
Measure	Rate #1	Rate #2	Rate #3	Rate #4
30 day drug use				
Antisocial behaviors				
Risk factors				
Protective factors				

SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT USING SURVEY DATA

How do I decide which intervention(s) to employ?


- Strategies should be selected based on the risk factors that are high in your community and the protective factors which are low.
- Strategies should be age appropriate and employed prior to the onset of the problem behavior.
- Strategies chosen should address more than a single risk and protective factor.
- No single strategy offers the solution.


How do I know whether or not the intervention was effective?


• Participation in the annual administration of the survey provides trend data necessary for determining the effectiveness of the implemented intervention(s) and also provides data for determining any new efforts that are needed.

HOW TO READ THE CHARTS

- 1. Student responses for risk and protective factors, substance use and antisocial behavior questions are displayed by grade on the following pages.
- 2. The factors are grouped into 4 domains: community, family, peer-individual, and school.
- 3. The bars represent the percent of students in the grade who reported elevated risk or protection, substance use or antisocial behaviors or school safety concerns.
- 4. Scanning across these charts, you can easily determine which factors are most (or least) prevalent, thus identifying which are the most important for your community to address.
- 5. Bars will be completed by a small dot. The dot shows the comparison from the state and provides additional information for you in determining the relative importance of each risk or protective factor.
- 6. A dashed line on each risk and protective factor chart represents the percentage of youth at risk or with protection for the seven state sample upon which the cut-points were developed. The seven states included in the norm group were Colorado, Illinois, Kansas, Maine, Oregon, Utah and Washington. This gives you a comparison to a national sample.
- 7. Brief definitions of the risk and protective factors can be found following the graphs.
- 8. Actual percentages are provided in the data tables following the charts.










Table 2. Risk and Protective Factor Definitions

	Community Domain Risk Factors			
Community and Personal Transitions & Mobility	Neighborhoods with high rates of residential mobility have been shown to have higher rates of juvenile crime and drug selling, while children who experience frequent residential moves and stressful life transitions have been shown to have higher risk for school failure, delinquency, and drug use.			
Community Disorganization	Research has shown that neighborhoods with high population density, lack of natural surveillance of public places, physical deterioration, and high rates of adult crime also have higher rates of juvenile crime and drug selling.			
Low Neighborhood Attachment	A low level of bonding to the neighborhood is related to higher levels of juvenile crime and drug selling.			
Laws and Norms Favorable Toward Drug Use	Research has shown that legal restrictions on alcohol and tobacco use, such as raising the legal drinking age, restricting smoking in public places, and increased taxation have been followed by decreases in consumption. Moreover, national surveys of high school seniors have shown that shifts in normative attitudes toward drug use have preceded changes in prevalence of use.			
Perceived Availability of Drugs and Handguns	The availability of cigarettes, alcohol, marijuana, and other illegal drugs has been related to the use of these substances by adolescents. The availability of handguns is also related to a higher risk of crime and substance use by adolescents.			
	Community Domain Protective Factors			
Opportunities for Positive Involvement	When opportunities are available in a community for positive participation, children are less likely to engage in substance use and other problem behaviors.			
Rewards for Positive Involvement	Rewards for positive participation in activities helps children bond to the community, thus lowering their risk for substance use.			
	Family Domain Risk Factors			
Family History of Antisocial Behavior	When children are raised in a family with a history of problem behaviors (e.g., violence or ATOD use), the children are more likely to engage in these behaviors.			
Family Conflict	Children raised in families high in conflict, whether or not the child is directly involved in the conflict, appear at risk for both delinquency and drug use.			
Parental Attitudes Favorable Toward Antisocial Behavior & Drugs	In families where parents use illegal drugs, are heavy users of alcohol, or are tolerant of children's use, children are more likely to become drug abusers during adolescence. The risk is further increased if parents involve children in their own drug (or alcohol) using behavior, for example, asking the child to light the parent's cigarette or get the parent a beer from the refrigerator.			
Poor Family Discipline	Parents' use of inconsistent and/or unusually harsh or severe punishment with their children places them at higher risk for substance use and other problem behaviors.			
Poor Family Supervision	Parents' failure to provide clear expectations and to monitor their children's behavior makes it more likely that they will engage in drug abuse whether or not there are family drug problems.			
Family Attachment	Young people who feel that they are a valued part of their family are less likely to engage in substance use and other problem behaviors.			
Family Domain Protective Factors				
Opportunities for Positive Involvement	Young people who are exposed to more opportunities to participate meaningfully in the responsibilities and activities of the family are less likely to engage in drug use and other problem behaviors.			
Rewards for Positive Involvement	When parents, siblings, and other family members praise, encourage, and attend to things done well by their child, children are less likely to engage in substance use and problem behaviors.			
	School Domain Risk Factors			
Academic Failure	Beginning in the late elementary grades (grades 4-6) academic failure increases the risk of both drug abuse and delinquency. It appears that the experience of failure itself, for whatever reasons, increases the risk of problem behaviors.			

School	Surveys of high school seniors have shown that the use of hallucinogens, cocaine, heroin, stimulants, and sedatives or non-medically prescribed tranquilizers is significantly lower among students who expect to attend college than among those who do not. Factors such as liking school, spending time on homework, and perceiving the coursework as relevant are also negatively related to drug use.
	School Domain Protective Factors
Opportunities for Positive Involvement	When young people are given more opportunities to participate meaningfully in important activities at school, they are less likely to engage in drug use and other problem behaviors.
Rewards for Positive Involvement	When young people are recognized and rewarded for their contributions at school, they are less likely to be involved in substance use and other problem behaviors
	Peer-Individual Risk Factors
Favorable Attitudes Toward Antisocial Behavior	Young people who accept or condone antisocial behavior are more likely to engage in a variety of problem behaviors, including drug use.
Early Initiation of Problem Behavior	Early onset of drug use predicts misuse of drugs. The earlier the onset of any drug use, the greater the involvement in other drug use and the greater frequency of use. Onset of drug use prior to the age of 15 is a consistent predictor of drug abuse, and a later age of onset of drug use has been shown to predict lower drug involvement and a greater probability of discontinuation of use.
Favorable Attitudes Toward Drug Use	Initiation of use of any substance is preceded by values favorable to its use. During the elementary school years, most children express anti-drug, anti-crime, and pro-social attitudes and have difficulty imagining why people use drugs. However, in middle school, as more youth are exposed to others who use drugs, their attitudes often shift toward greater acceptance of these behaviors. Youth who express positive attitudes toward drug use are at higher risk for subsequent drug use.
Friends' Use of Drugs	Young people who associate with peers who engage in alcohol or substance abuse are much more likely to engage in the same behavior. Peer drug use has consistently been found to be among the strongest predictors of substance use among youth. Even when young people come from well-managed families and do not experience other risk factors, spending time with friends who use drugs greatly increases the risk of that problem developing.
Interaction with Antisocial Peers	Young people who associate with peers who engage in problem behaviors are at higher risk for engaging in antisocial behavior themselves.
Low Perceived Risk of Drug Use	Young people who do not perceive drug use to be risky are far more likely to engage in drug use.
Rewards for Antisocial Involvement	Young people who receive rewards for their antisocial behavior are at higher risk for engaging further in antisocial behavior and substance use.
Rebelliousness	Young people who do not feel part of society, are not bound by rules, don't believe in trying to be successful or responsible, or who take an active rebellious stance toward society, are at higher risk of abusing drugs. In addition, high tolerance for deviance, a strong need for independence, and normlessness have all been linked with drug use.
Sensation Seeking	Young people who seek out opportunities for dangerous, risky behavior in general are at higher risk for participating in drug use and other problem behaviors.
	Peer-Individual Protective Factors
Religiosity	Young people who regularly attend religious services are less likely to engage in problem behaviors.
Social Skills	Young people who are socially competent and engage in positive interpersonal relations with their peers are less likely to use drugs and engage in other problem behaviors.
Belief in the Moral Order	Young people who have a belief in what is "right" or "wrong" are less likely to use drugs.

Table 3. Number of Students Who Completed the Survey			
	All Grades	Combined	
	State	County	
Number of Youth	25056	113	
Table 4. Percentage of Students Who Used ATODs	During Their	Lifetime	
	All Grades	Combined	
Drug Used	State	County	
Alcohol	49.9	51.3	
Cigarettes	41.2	39.8	
Chewing Tobacco	20.0	20.4	
Marijuana	21.9	26.8	
Inhalants	13.0	14.4	
Hallucinogens	3.9	2.7	
Cocaine	3.5	4.5	
Methamphetamines	3.6	4.5	
Ecstasy	3.7	6.3	
Any Drug	29.8	33.6	
Table 5. Percentage of Students Who Used ATODs	During the Pa	st 30 Days	
	All Grades	Combined	
Drug Used	State	County	
Alcohol	27.2	27.4	
Cigarettes	16.5	21.2	
Chewing Tobacco	7.9	7.1	
Marijuana	10.6	15.0	
Inhalants	4.5	2.7	
Hallucinogens	1.3	0.0	
Cocaine	1.0	0.0	
Methamphetamines	1.4	2.7	
Ecstasy	1.0	0.9	
Any Drug	14.8	18.2	
Table 6. Percentage of Students With Heavy Use of		-	
	All Grades		
Drug Used	State	County	
Alcohol	15.1	17.7	
Cigarettes	2.5	10.6	
Table 7. Percentage of Students With Antisocial Be			
	All Grades		
Behavior	State	County	
Suspended from School	10.6	15.0	
Drunk or High at School	11.6	18.6	
Sold Illegal Drugs	4.5	5.3	
Stolen a Vehicle	2.2	1.8	
Been Arrested	4.8	2.7	
Attacked to Harm	11.8	12.4	
Carried a Handgun	4.9	5.3	
Handgun to School	0.6	0.9	

Community Disorganization	Table 8. Percentage of Students Reporting Risk			
Community Domain	Risk Factor	All Grades	Combined	
Low Neighborhood Attachment		State	County	
Community Disorganization	Community Domain			
Transitions & Mobility 41.4 41.7 Laws & Norms Favor Drug Use 40.7 51.4 Perceived Availability of Drugs 38.8 49.1 Perceived Availability of Handguns 36.2 45.2 Family Domain	Low Neighborhood Attachment	43.2	35.8	
Laws & Norms Favor Drug Use 40.7 51.4 Perceived Availability of Drugs 38.8 49.1 Perceived Availability of Handguns 36.2 45.2 Family Domain	Community Disorganization	40.1	46.8	
Perceived Availability of Drugs 38.8 49.1	Transitions & Mobility	41.4	41.7	
Perceived Availability of Handguns 36.2 45.2 Family Domain Poor Family Management 39.6 40.7 Family Conflict 37.6 34.6 57.6 34.6 57.6 34.6 57.6 34.6 57.6 37.6 34.6 57.6 37.6 34.6 57.6 37.6 34.6 57.6 37.1 37.6 34.6 36.2 37.1 37.6 34.6 36.2 37.1 37.6 34.6 36.2 37.1 37.4 37	Laws & Norms Favor Drug Use	40.7	51.4	
Pamily Domain	Perceived Availability of Drugs	38.8	49.1	
Poor Family Management 39.6 40.7	Perceived Availability of Handguns	36.2	45.2	
Family Conflict 37.6 34.6 Fam History of Antisocial Behavior 40.9 36.5 Parent Attitudes Favorable to ASB 36.2 37.1 Parent Attitudes Favor Drug Use 29.1 24.8 School Domain	Family Domain			
Fam History of Antisocial Behavior 40.9 36.5 Parent Attitudes Favorable to ASB 36.2 37.1 Parent Attitudes Favor Drug Use 29.1 24.8 School Domain 46.7 37.4 Low Commitment to School 44.3 30.6 Peer-Individual Domain 7.2 40.0 33.6 Early Initiation of ASB 30.0 30.4 33.6 Early Initiation of ASB 30.0 30.4 33.6 Early Initiation of Drug Use 36.2 38.1 38.1 Attitudes Favorable to ASB 39.7 42.5 42.5 Attitudes Favorable to Drug Use 32.3 29.2 29.2 Perceived Risk of Drug Use 37.1 39.3 1nteraction with Antisocial Peers 43.2 46.4 Friend's Use of Drugs 34.4 38.7 38.7 39.3 42.5 Rewards for ASB 35.6 31.3 31.3 39.2 34.4 38.7 Sensation Seeking 40.0 42.5 46.8 40.5 40.5 40.5	Poor Family Management	39.6	40.7	
Parent Attitudes Favorable to ASB 36.2 37.1 Parent Attitudes Favor Drug Use 29.1 24.8 School Domain 46.7 37.4 Low Commitment to School 44.3 30.6 Peer-Individual Domain 8 Rebelliousness 40.0 33.6 Early Initiation of ASB 30.0 30.4 Early Initiation of Drug Use 36.2 38.1 Attitudes Favorable to ASB 39.7 42.5 Attitudes Favorable to Drug Use 37.1 39.3 Interaction with Antisocial Peers 43.2 46.4 Friend's Use of Drugs 34.4 38.7 Sensation Seeking 40.0 42.5 Rewards for ASB 35.6 31.3 Depression Scale 46.8 40.5 Gang Involvment 14.7 14.2 Table 9. Percentage of Students Reporting Protection Protective Factor All Grades Combined Comm Rewards for Prosocial Involvement 51.2 58.7 Family Domain 55.9 59.0 <	Family Conflict	37.6	34.6	
Parent Attitudes Favor Drug Use 29.1 24.8 School Domain Academic Failure 46.7 37.4 Low Commitment to School 44.3 30.6 Peer-Individual Domain Rebelliousness 40.0 33.6 Early Inititation of ASB 30.0 30.4 Early Inititation of Drug Use 36.2 38.1 Attitudes Favorable to ASB 39.7 42.5 Attitudes Favorable to Drug Use 32.3 29.2 Perceived Risk of Drug Use 37.1 39.3 Interaction with Antisocial Peers 43.2 46.4 Friend's Use of Drugs 34.4 38.7 Sensation Seeking 40.0 42.5 Rewards for ASB 35.6 31.3 Depression Scale 46.8 40.5 Gang Involvment 14.7 14.2 Table 9. Percentage of Students Reporting Protection Protective Factor All Grades Combined Community Domain 51.2 58.7 Family Domain 55.9 59.0 Family Domain <	Fam History of Antisocial Behavior	40.9	36.5	
School Domain 46.7 37.4 Low Commitment to School 44.3 30.6 Peer-Individual Domain 33.6 Rebelliousness 40.0 33.6 Early Initiation of ASB 30.0 30.4 Early Initiation of Drug Use 36.2 38.1 Attitudes Favorable to ASB 39.7 42.5 Attitudes Favorable to Drug Use 32.3 29.2 Perceived Risk of Drug Use 37.1 39.3 Interaction with Antisocial Peers 43.2 46.4 Friend's Use of Drugs 34.4 38.7 Sensation Seeking 40.0 42.5 Rewards for ASB 35.6 31.3 Depression Scale 46.8 40.5 Gang Involvment 14.7 14.2 Table 9. Percentage of Students Reporting Protection Protective Factor All Grades Combined Community Domain 51.2 58.7 Opportunities for Prosocial Involvement 51.2 58.7 Family Domain 55.9 59.0 Command	Parent Attitudes Favorable to ASB	36.2	37.1	
Academic Failure 46.7 37.4 Low Commitment to School 44.3 30.6 Peer-Individual Domain 8 Rebelliousness 40.0 33.6 Early Initiation of ASB 30.0 30.4 Early Initiation of Drug Use 36.2 38.1 Attitudes Favorable to ASB 39.7 42.5 Attitudes Favorable to Drug Use 32.3 29.2 Perceived Risk of Drug Use 37.1 39.3 Interaction with Antisocial Peers 43.2 46.4 Friend's Use of Drugs 34.4 38.7 Sensation Seeking 40.0 42.5 Rewards for ASB 35.6 31.3 Depression Scale 46.8 40.5 Gang Involvment 14.7 14.2 Table 9. Percentage of Students Reporting Protection Protective Factor All Grades Combined Community Domain 51.2 58.7 Opportunities for Prosocial Involvement 42.0 52.9 Comm Rewards for Prosocial Involvement 55.9 59.0	Parent Attitudes Favor Drug Use	29.1	24.8	
Low Commitment to School	School Domain			
Peer-Individual Domain 40.0 33.6 Early Initiation of ASB 30.0 30.4 Early Initiation of Drug Use 36.2 38.1 Attitudes Favorable to ASB 39.7 42.5 Attitudes Favorable to Drug Use 32.3 29.2 Perceived Risk of Drug Use 37.1 39.3 Interaction with Antisocial Peers 43.2 46.4 Friend's Use of Drugs 34.4 38.7 Sensation Seeking 40.0 42.5 Rewards for ASB 35.6 31.3 Depression Scale 46.8 40.5 Gang Involvment 14.7 14.2 Table 9. Percentage of Students Reporting Protection Protective Factor All Grades Combined Community Domain 51.2 52.9 Comm Rewards for Prosocial Involvement 42.0 52.9 Comm Rewards for Prosocial Involvement 51.2 58.7 Family Domain 55.9 59.0 Fam Rewards for Prosocial Involvement 58.8 63.2 School Domain 50.1 <t< td=""><td>Academic Failure</td><td>46.7</td><td>37.4</td></t<>	Academic Failure	46.7	37.4	
Rebelliousness 40.0 33.6 Early Initiation of ASB 30.0 30.4 Early Initiation of Drug Use 36.2 38.1 Attitudes Favorable to ASB 39.7 42.5 Attitudes Favorable to Drug Use 32.3 29.2 Perceived Risk of Drug Use 37.1 39.3 Interaction with Antisocial Peers 43.2 46.4 Friend's Use of Drugs 34.4 38.7 Sensation Seeking 40.0 42.5 Rewards for ASB 35.6 31.3 Depression Scale 46.8 40.5 Gang Involvment 14.7 14.2 Table 9. Percentage of Students Reporting Protection Protective Factor All Grades Combined Community Domain State County Comm Rewards for Prosocial Involvement 42.0 52.9 Family Domain 51.2 58.7 Fam Qpportunities for Prosocial Involvement 58.8 63.2 School Domain 58.8 63.2 Opportunities for Prosocial Involvement 50.1	Low Commitment to School	44.3	30.6	
Early Initiation of ASB 30.0 30.4 Early Initiation of Drug Use 36.2 38.1 Attitudes Favorable to ASB 39.7 42.5 Attitudes Favorable to Drug Use 32.3 29.2 Perceived Risk of Drug Use 37.1 39.3 Interaction with Antisocial Peers 43.2 46.4 Friend's Use of Drugs 34.4 38.7 Sensation Seeking 40.0 42.5 Rewards for ASB 35.6 31.3 Depression Scale 46.8 40.5 Gang Involvment 14.7 14.2 Table 9. Percentage of Students Reporting Protection Protective Factor All Grades Combined State County Community Domain County Opportunities for Prosocial Involvement 42.0 52.9 Family Domain 55.9 59.0 Fam Rewards for Prosocial Involvement 60.6 66.3 Fam Rewards for Prosocial Involvement 58.8 63.2 School Domain 50.1 56.6 Peer-Individua	Peer-Individual Domain			
Early Initiation of Drug Use 36.2 38.1 Attitudes Favorable to ASB 39.7 42.5 Attitudes Favorable to Drug Use 32.3 29.2 Perceived Risk of Drug Use 37.1 39.3 Interaction with Antisocial Peers 43.2 46.4 Friend's Use of Drugs 34.4 38.7 Sensation Seeking 40.0 42.5 Rewards for ASB 35.6 31.3 Depression Scale 46.8 40.5 Gang Involvment 14.7 14.2 Table 9. Percentage of Students Reporting Protection Protective Factor All Grades Combined Community Domain State County Community Domain 42.0 52.9 Comm Rewards for Prosocial Involvement 42.0 52.9 Family Domain 51.2 58.7 Family Domain 55.9 59.0 Fam Rewards for Prosocial Involvement 50.6 66.3 Fam Rewards for Prosocial Involvement 53.1 66.4 Rewards for Prosocial Involvement 50.1	Rebelliousness	40.0	33.6	
Attitudes Favorable to ASB 39.7 42.5 Attitudes Favorable to Drug Use 32.3 29.2 Perceived Risk of Drug Use 37.1 39.3 Interaction with Antisocial Peers 43.2 46.4 Friend's Use of Drugs 34.4 38.7 Sensation Seeking 40.0 42.5 Rewards for ASB 35.6 31.3 Depression Scale 46.8 40.5 Gang Involvment 14.7 14.2 Table 9. Percentage of Students Reporting Protection Protective Factor All Grades Combined Community Domain State County Comm Rewards for Prosocial Involvement 42.0 52.9 Comm Rewards for Prosocial Involvement 51.2 58.7 Family Domain 55.9 59.0 Fam Opportunities for Prosocial Involvement 50.6 66.3 Fam Rewards for Prosocial Involvement 53.1 66.4 Rewards for Prosocial Involvement 50.1 56.6 Peer-Individual Domain 71.9 82.7 Social Skills	Early Initiation of ASB	30.0	30.4	
Attitudes Favorable to ASB 39.7 42.5 Attitudes Favorable to Drug Use 32.3 29.2 Perceived Risk of Drug Use 37.1 39.3 Interaction with Antisocial Peers 43.2 46.4 Friend's Use of Drugs 34.4 38.7 Sensation Seeking 40.0 42.5 Rewards for ASB 35.6 31.3 Depression Scale 46.8 40.5 Gang Involvment 14.7 14.2 Table 9. Percentage of Students Reporting Protection Protective Factor All Grades Combined Community Domain State County Comm Rewards for Prosocial Involvement 42.0 52.9 Comm Rewards for Prosocial Involvement 51.2 58.7 Family Domain 55.9 59.0 Fam Opportunities for Prosocial Involvement 55.9 59.0 Fam Rewards for Prosocial Involvement 58.8 63.2 School Domain 0 53.1 66.4 Rewards for Prosocial Involvement 50.1 56.6 Peer-Individual Domain 71.9 82.7 Social Skills	Early Initiation of Drug Use	36.2	38.1	
Attitudes Favorable to Drug Use 32.3 29.2 Perceived Risk of Drug Use 37.1 39.3 Interaction with Antisocial Peers 43.2 46.4 Friend's Use of Drugs 34.4 38.7 Sensation Seeking 40.0 42.5 Rewards for ASB 35.6 31.3 Depression Scale 46.8 40.5 Gang Involvment 14.7 14.2 Table 9. Percentage of Students Reporting Protection Protective Factor All Grades Combined Community Domain State County Comm Rewards for Prosocial Involvement 42.0 52.9 Comm Rewards for Prosocial Involvement 51.2 58.7 Family Domain 55.9 59.0 Fam Opportunities for Prosocial Involvement 60.6 66.3 Fam Rewards for Prosocial Involvement 58.8 63.2 School Domain 0 50.1 56.6 Peer-Individual Domain 71.9 82.7 Social Skills 66.9 69.0		39.7	42.5	
Perceived Risk of Drug Use 37.1 39.3 Interaction with Antisocial Peers 43.2 46.4 Friend's Use of Drugs 34.4 38.7 Sensation Seeking 40.0 42.5 Rewards for ASB 35.6 31.3 Depression Scale 46.8 40.5 Gang Involvment 14.7 14.2 Table 9. Percentage of Students Reporting Protection Protective Factor All Grades Combined Community Domain State County Comm Rewards for Prosocial Involvement 42.0 52.9 Comm Rewards for Prosocial Involvement 51.2 58.7 Family Domain 55.9 59.0 Fam Opportunities for Prosocial Involvement 60.6 66.3 Fam Rewards for Prosocial Involvement 58.8 63.2 School Domain 50.1 56.6 Peer-Individual Domain 71.9 82.7 Social Skills 66.9 69.0		32.3	29.2	
Interaction with Antisocial Peers		37.1	39.3	
Sensation Seeking 40.0 42.5 Rewards for ASB 35.6 31.3 Depression Scale 46.8 40.5 Gang Involvment 14.7 14.2 Table 9. Percentage of Students Reporting Protection Protective Factor All Grades Combined Community Domain Opportunities for Prosocial Involvement 42.0 52.9 Comm Rewards for Prosocial Involvement 51.2 58.7 Family Domain Fam Opportunities for Prosocial Involvement 60.6 66.3 Fam Rewards for Prosocial Involvement 58.8 63.2 School Domain 53.1 66.4 Rewards for Prosocial Involvement 50.1 56.6 Peer-Individual Domain 71.9 82.7 Social Skills 66.9 69.0	•	43.2	46.4	
Sensation Seeking 40.0 42.5 Rewards for ASB 35.6 31.3 Depression Scale 46.8 40.5 Gang Involvment 14.7 14.2 Table 9. Percentage of Students Reporting Protection Protective Factor All Grades Combined Community Domain Opportunities for Prosocial Involvement 42.0 52.9 Comm Rewards for Prosocial Involvement 51.2 58.7 Family Domain 55.9 59.0 Fam Opportunities for Prosocial Involvement 60.6 66.3 Fam Rewards for Prosocial Involvement 58.8 63.2 School Domain 50.1 56.6 Rewards for Prosocial Involvement 50.1 56.6 Peer-Individual Domain 71.9 82.7 Social Skills 66.9 69.0	Friend's Use of Drugs	34.4	38.7	
Depression Scale 46.8 40.5 Gang Involvment 14.7 14.2 Table 9. Percentage of Students Reporting Protection Protective Factor All Grades Combined State County Community Domain Opportunities for Prosocial Involvement 42.0 52.9 Comm Rewards for Prosocial Involvement 51.2 58.7 Family Domain Fam Opportunities for Prosocial Involvement 60.6 66.3 Fam Rewards for Prosocial Involvement 58.8 63.2 School Domain 53.1 66.4 Rewards for Prosocial Involvement 50.1 56.6 Peer-Individual Domain 71.9 82.7 Social Skills 66.9 69.0		40.0	42.5	
Gang Involvment 14.7 14.2 Table 9. Percentage of Students Reporting Protection Protective Factor All Grades Combined State County Community Domain Opportunities for Prosocial Involvement 42.0 52.9 Comm Rewards for Prosocial Involvement 51.2 58.7 Family Domain Fam Attachment 55.9 59.0 Fam Opportunities for Prosocial Involvement 60.6 66.3 Fam Rewards for Prosocial Involvement 58.8 63.2 School Domain Opportunities for Prosocial Involvement 53.1 66.4 Rewards for Prosocial Involvement 50.1 56.6 Peer-Individual Domain Religiosity 71.9 82.7 Social Skills 66.9 69.0	Rewards for ASB	35.6	31.3	
Table 9. Percentage of Students Reporting Protection Protective Factor All Grades Combined State County Community Domain Opportunities for Prosocial Involvement 42.0 52.9 Comm Rewards for Prosocial Involvement 51.2 58.7 Family Domain Fam Attachment 55.9 59.0 Fam Opportunities for Prosocial Involvement 60.6 66.3 Fam Rewards for Prosocial Involvement 58.8 63.2 School Domain Opportunities for Prosocial Involvement 53.1 66.4 Rewards for Prosocial Involvement 50.1 56.6 Peer-Individual Domain Religiosity 71.9 82.7 Social Skills 66.9 69.0	Depression Scale	46.8	40.5	
Protective Factor All Grades Combined Community Domain State County Opportunities for Prosocial Involvement 42.0 52.9 Comm Rewards for Prosocial Involvement 51.2 58.7 Family Domain 55.9 59.0 Fam Attachment 55.9 59.0 Fam Opportunities for Prosocial Involvement 60.6 66.3 Fam Rewards for Prosocial Involvement 58.8 63.2 School Domain 53.1 66.4 Rewards for Prosocial Involvement 50.1 56.6 Peer-Individual Domain 71.9 82.7 Social Skills 66.9 69.0	Gang Involvment	14.7	14.2	
State County	Table 9. Percentage of Students Reporting P	rotection		
Community Domain Opportunities for Prosocial Involvement 42.0 52.9 Comm Rewards for Prosocial Involvement 51.2 58.7 Family Domain Fam Attachment 55.9 59.0 Fam Opportunities for Prosocial Involvement 60.6 66.3 Fam Rewards for Prosocial Involvement 58.8 63.2 School Domain Opportunities for Prosocial Involvement 53.1 66.4 Rewards for Prosocial Involvement 50.1 56.6 Peer-Individual Domain Religiosity 71.9 82.7 Social Skills 66.9 69.0	Protective Factor	All Grades	Combined	
Opportunities for Prosocial Involvement 42.0 52.9 Comm Rewards for Prosocial Involvement 51.2 58.7 Family Domain 55.9 59.0 Fam Attachment 55.9 59.0 Fam Opportunities for Prosocial Involvement 60.6 66.3 Fam Rewards for Prosocial Involvement 58.8 63.2 School Domain 53.1 66.4 Rewards for Prosocial Involvement 50.1 56.6 Peer-Individual Domain 71.9 82.7 Social Skills 66.9 69.0		State	County	
Comm Rewards for Prosocial Involvement 51.2 58.7 Family Domain 55.9 59.0 Fam Attachment 55.9 59.0 Fam Opportunities for Prosocial Involvement 60.6 66.3 Fam Rewards for Prosocial Involvement 58.8 63.2 School Domain 0pportunities for Prosocial Involvement 53.1 66.4 Rewards for Prosocial Involvement 50.1 56.6 Peer-Individual Domain 71.9 82.7 Social Skills 66.9 69.0	Community Domain			
Family Domain 55.9 59.0 Fam Attachment 55.9 59.0 Fam Opportunities for Prosocial Involvement 60.6 66.3 Fam Rewards for Prosocial Involvement 58.8 63.2 School Domain 0 66.4 Rewards for Prosocial Involvement 53.1 66.4 Rewards for Prosocial Involvement 50.1 56.6 Peer-Individual Domain 71.9 82.7 Social Skills 66.9 69.0	Opportunities for Prosocial Involvement	42.0	52.9	
Fam Attachment 55.9 59.0 Fam Opportunities for Prosocial Involvement 60.6 66.3 Fam Rewards for Prosocial Involvement 58.8 63.2 School Domain 0 66.4 Rewards for Prosocial Involvement 50.1 56.6 Peer-Individual Domain 71.9 82.7 Social Skills 66.9 69.0	Comm Rewards for Prosocial Involvement	51.2	58.7	
Fam Opportunities for Prosocial Involvement 60.6 66.3 Fam Rewards for Prosocial Involvement 58.8 63.2 School Domain Opportunities for Prosocial Involvement 53.1 66.4 Rewards for Prosocial Involvement 50.1 56.6 Peer-Individual Domain Religiosity 71.9 82.7 Social Skills 66.9 69.0	Family Domain			
Fam Rewards for Prosocial Involvement 58.8 63.2 School Domain 0pportunities for Prosocial Involvment 53.1 66.4 Rewards for Prosocial Involvement 50.1 56.6 Peer-Individual Domain 71.9 82.7 Social Skills 66.9 69.0	Fam Attachment	55.9	59.0	
Fam Rewards for Prosocial Involvement 58.8 63.2 School Domain 0pportunities for Prosocial Involvment 53.1 66.4 Rewards for Prosocial Involvement 50.1 56.6 Peer-Individual Domain 71.9 82.7 Social Skills 66.9 69.0	Fam Opportunities for Prosocial Involvement	60.6	66.3	
Opportunities for Prosocial Involvment 53.1 66.4 Rewards for Prosocial Involvement 50.1 56.6 Peer-Individual Domain Religiosity 71.9 82.7 Social Skills 66.9 69.0		58.8	63.2	
Rewards for Prosocial Involvement 50.1 56.6 Peer-Individual Domain 71.9 82.7 Social Skills 66.9 69.0	School Domain			
Rewards for Prosocial Involvement 50.1 56.6 Peer-Individual Domain 71.9 82.7 Social Skills 66.9 69.0	Opportunities for Prosocial Involvment	53.1	66.4	
Peer-Individual Domain 71.9 82.7 Religiosity 66.9 69.0	··			
Religiosity 71.9 82.7 Social Skills 66.9 69.0				
Social Skills 66.9 69.0		71.9	82.7	
	Belief in the Moral Order	59.2	55.8	

Table 10. Percentage of Students Reporting School Safety Issues					
		All Grades Combined			
Question	Response	State	County		
Behavior					
I feel safe at my school.	NO!	9.50	9.91		
(q20)	no	12.70	11.71		
	yes	46.36	36.94		
	YES!	31.44	41.44		
How many times in the past	Never	99.40	99.11		
year have you taken a	1-2 times	0.22	0.00		
handgun to school? (q40h)	3-5 times	0.06	0.00		
	6-9 times	0.07	0.00		
	10-19 times	0.05	0.00		
	20-29 times	0.03	0.00		
	30-39 times	0.02	0.00		
	40 + times	0.15	0.89		
How wrong do you think it	Very Wrong	90.48	90.27		
is for someone your age to	Wrong	7.12	4.42		
take a handgun to school? (q31a)	A Little Bit Wrong	1.56	4.42		
	Not wrong at All	0.84	0.88		
Have any of your brothers	No	94.11	92.31		
or sisters ever taken a	Yes	1.47	1.92		
handgun to school? (q107d)	I don't have any brothers or sisters	4.43	5.77		

CONTACTS FOR PREVENTION

Prevention Resource Centers

Region 1 PREVENTION RESOURCE CENTER

Operated by Decision Point

Springdale

JTL Shop Building 614 East Emma Street, Suite M428 Springdale, AR 72764

Mr. Jim Smith, PRC Coordinator (479) 927-2655

Fax: (479) 927-2752

E-MAIL: jsmith@jtlshop.jonesnet.org Counties: Benton, Carroll, Madison,

Washington

Region 2 PREVENTION RESOURCE CENTER

Operated by North Arkansas Drug Awareness and Prevention Council

Harrison

310 South Pine Street Harrison, AR 72601

Ms. Andrea Parton, PRC Coordinator (870) 741-9131

Fax: (870) 741-1523 E-MAIL: nadap@alltel.net

Counties: Boone, Baxter, Newton,

Marion, Searcy

Region 3 PREVENTION RESOURCE CENTER

Operated by North Arkansas Human Services System, Inc.

Searcy

3302 East Moore Avenue Searcy, AR 72143

Ms. Pat Huckeby, PRC Coordinator (501) 268-7419

Fax: (501) 268-5301

E-MAIL: patprc@steward-net.com

Counties: Fulton, Izard, Sharp, Stone, Jackson, Cleburne, Van Buren, White,

Woodruff, Independence

Region 4 PREVENTION RESOURCE CENTER

Operated by Crowley's Ridge Development Council

Jonesboro

P O Box 1497 (520 West Monroe Street) Jonesboro, AR 72403

Ms. Dorothy Newsom, PRC Coordinator (870) 933-0033

Fax: (870) 933-0048

E-MAIL: dnewsom@mynewroads.com Counties: Randolph, Clay, Lawrence, Greene, Craighead, Mississippi,

Poinsett

Region 5 PREVENTION RESOURCE CENTER

Operated by Harbor House, Inc.

Fort Smith

P O Box 4207 (615 North 19th Street) Fort Smith, AR 72914

Ms. Cindy Stokes, PRC Coordinator

(479) 783-1916 Fax: (479) 783-1914 E-MAIL: hhiprc@aol.com

Counties: Crawford, Franklin, Logan,

Scott, Sebastian, Polk

Region 6 PREVENTION RESOURCE CENTER

Operated by Community Service, Inc.

Morrilton

P O Box 679 (100 South Cherokee Street) Morrilton, AR 72110

Mr. Jim Rhodes, PRC Coordinator (501) 354-4589

Fax: (501) 354-5410

E-MAIL: <u>irhodes@communityserviceinc.com</u> Counties: Johnson, Pope, Conway, Yell,

Perry, Faulkner

Region 7 PREVENTION RESOURCE CENTER

Operated by Crowley's Ridge Development Council

Turrell

P.O. Box 252 92 Third Street Turrell, AR 72384

Mr. Dewayne Alcorn, PRC Coordinator

(870) 343-2887 Fax: (870) 343-2374

E-MAIL: <u>dalcorn@mynewroads.com</u>
Counties: Cross. Crittenden. St. Francis.

Phillips, Lee, Monroe

Region 8 PREVENTION RESOURCE CENTER

Operated by Family Service Agency

Hot Springs

1401 Malvern Avenue, Suite 100 Hot Springs, AR 71901

Ms. Michelle Moore, PRC Coordinator

(501) 318-2648 Fax: (501) 624-5636 E-MAIL: mmoore@fsainc.org

Counties: Clark, Garland, Hot Spring,

Montgomery, Pike

Region 9 PREVENTION RESOURCE CENTER

Operated by Family Service Agency

North Little Rock

628 West Broadway, Suite 300 North Little Rock, AR 72114

Mr. Hayse Miller, PRC Coordinator (501) 372-4242 Ext. 328 & 325

Fax: (501) 372-6565 E-MAIL: hmiller@fsainc.org

Counties: Pulaski, Saline, Lonoke,

Praire

Region 10 PREVENTION RESOURCE CENTER

Operated by Southwest Arkansas Counseling & Mental Health Center, Inc.

Texarkana

P O Box 1987 (2904 Arkansas Blvd) Texarkana, AR 71854

Ms.Trena Goings, PRC Coordinator (870) 773-4655

Fax: (870) 772-4650

E-MAIL: tgoings@swacmhc.com

Counties: Howard, Sevier, Hempstead,

Little River, Lafayette, Miller

Region 11 PREVENTION RESOURCE CENTER

Operated by South Arkansas Regional Health Center

El Dorado

710 West Grove El Dorado, AR 71730

Ms. Susan Rumph, PRC Coordinator (870) 864-2497

Fax: (870) 864-2476

E-MAIL: srumph@sarhc.org
Counties: Dallas Calhoun

Counties: Dallas, Calhoun, Union, Columbia, Ouachita, Nevada

Region 12 PREVENTION RESOURCE CENTER

Operated by Community Resource Agency

Pine Bluff

P.O. Box 2740 4218 W. 28th Street Pine Bluff, AR 71613

Ms. Linda Brown, PRC Coordinator (870) 879-4646 or (501) 413-3588

Fax: (870) 879-4250

E-MAIL: <u>librown@commresource.com</u> Counties: Grant, Jefferson, Lincoln,

Arkansas, Cleveland

Region 13 PREVENTION RESOURCE CENTER

Operated by *Phoenix Youth & Family Services*

Crossett

310 N. Alabama Street P O Box 654 Crossett, AR 71635

Ms. Christie Newton, PRC Coordinator

(870) 364-1676 Fax: (870) 364-1779

E-MAIL: cnewton@phoenixyouth.com

Counties: Desha, Drew, Bradley, Ashley

& Chicot

STATE AND NATIONAL CONTACTS:

Alcohol and Drug Abuse Prevention Arkansas Department of Health

Freeway Medical Center, 5800 West 10th Street, Suite 907

Little Rock, AR 72204 Telephone: (501) 280-4500

FAX: (501) 280-4519 or (501) 280-4532 Website: http://www.healthyarkansas.com/

Tommie Johnson Waters Prevention Services twaters@healthyarkansas.com

Gary Horton, Team Leader ghorton@healthyarkansas.com

Comprehensive Health Education Arkansas Department of Education

2020 West 3rd Street, Suite 320 Little Rock, AR 72205

Telephone: (501) 683-3600

FAX: (501) 683-3611

Website: http://www.edu.state.ar.us/

Safe and Drug Free Schools and Communities

U.S. Department of Education www.ed.gov/offices/OESE/SDFS

Southwest Center for the Application of Prevention Technology

www.swcapt.org

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMSHA)

www.samhsa.gov