# 207 ADNA ARAnsas Prevention Needs Assessment Survey

Statewide Report

> Arkansas Department of Human Services, Division of Aging Adults and Behavioral Health Services And University of Arkansas at Little Rock MidSOUTH Center for Prevention and Training

Conducted by International Survey Associates dba Pride Surveys

This page intentionally left blank.

Arkansas Prevention Needs Assessment (APNA) Student Survey

# Arkansas Prevention Needs Assessment (APNA) Student Survey

# State Report 2017

Sponsored by the University of Arkansas at Little Rock MidSOUTH Center for Prevention and Training Funded by Arkansas Department of Human Services Division of Aging, Adult, and Behavioral Health Services

Conducted by: International Survey Associates, LLC dba Pride Surveys

This page intentionally left blank.

Arkansas Prevention Needs Assessment (APNA) Student Survey

# Table of Contents

Acknowle	edgments		viii
Executive	Summar	у	ix
Section 1	: Summar	y of Survey Methodology	1
1.1	Overv	iew of the 2017 APNA Report	1
1.2	2 The A	APNA Survey Form	1
	1.2.1	Development of the APNA Survey Form	1
	1.2.2	Content and Focus of the APNA Survey Form	2
1.3	Admin	nistration Procedures	4
	1.3.1	Description of APNA Administration Procedures	4
	1.3.2	Description of Procedures to Protect Student and Parent Rights	4
	1.3.3	Description of Survey Scanning and Scoring Procedures	5
1.4	Creati	on of the 2017 APNA Survey Database	5
	1.4.1	Survey Distribution and Processing	5
	1.4.2	Assessment of the Validity of the Individual Survey Protocols	6
	1.4.3	Survey Participants by County and Region	6
1.5	5 Stude	nt Demographics	7
Section 2	: Risk and	Protective Factors	9
2.1	The F	lisk and Protective Factor Model	9
	2.1.1	Community Domain Risk and Protective Factors	.11
	2.1.2	Family Domain Risk and Protective Factors	.15
	2.1.3	School Domain Risk and Protective Factors	.18
	2.1.4	Peer/Individual Domain Risk and Protective Factors	.22
2.2	Risk a	nd Protective Factor Results for Arkansas Students	.28
	2.2.1	Summary of Findings from the 2017 APNA	.28

# Table of Contents

Section 3: S	Substance Use Outcomes	
3.1	Introduction to the Measurement of Substance Use Outcomes	
	3.1.1 Substances and Prevalence Periods Measured in the APNA Survey	
	3.1.2 Comparison Groups	
3.2	Age of Initiation	
3.3	Lifetime ATOD Use	
	3.3.1 Arkansas Results Compared with National Results	
	3.3.2 2017 Results Compared with Previous Years' Results	
	3.3.3 Substance Use by Gender	
3.4	Past 30-Day ATOD Use	
	3.4.1 Arkansas Students' Substance Use Compared with National Results	
	3.4.2 Arkansas Students' 30-Day Substance Use in 2017 Compared with Previous Years	
	3.4.3 Past 30-Day Use by Gender	42
3.5	Special Topics in Substance Use	46
	3.5.1 Heavy Alcohol, Cigarette, and Marijuana Use	46
	3.5.2 Simultaneous Use of Multiple Substances	49
	3.5.3 Sources of Alcohol and Location of Alcohol Use	
	3.5.4 Ease of Obtaining Substances	55
	3.5.5 Perceived Harmfulness	
	3.5.6 Academic Performance and Substance Use	60
	3.5.7 Parental Influence on Student ATOD Use	
	3.5.8 Depressive Symptoms and Substance Use	65
Section 4: I	Behavioral Outcomes Other Than Substance Use	67
4.1	Introduction to the Measurement of Antisocial Behavior	
4.2	Antisocial Behavior During the Past Year	71
	4.2.1 School Suspension	71
	4.2.2 Carrying a Handgun/Taking a Handgun to School	71
	4.2.3 Selling Illegal Drugs	71
	4.2.4 Vehicle Theft	72
	4.2.5 Arrest	72

# Table of Contents

	4.2.6 Attacking Someone with the Intention of Seriously Hurting Them	
	4.2.7 Gang Involvement	
4.3	Age of Initiation of Antisocial Behavior	
	4.3.1 School Suspension	
	4.3.2 Arrest	
	4.3.3 Carrying a Handgun	
	4.3.4 Age of Initiation for Gang Involvement	
Appendices		App:75
Appendix A	. Arkansas Prevention Needs Assessment 2017 Student Survey	App:76
Appendix B	Sample Profile Report	App:84
Appendix C	Lifetime and 30-Day ATOD Use for Participating Regions and Counties	App:152
Appendices	Available Online (https://arkansas.pridesurveys.com/regions.php?year=2017)	
Appendix D	. Item Dictionary for 2017 APNA Survey	
Appendix E.	Risk and Protective Factors and Associated Survey Scales	
Appendix F.	Arkansas Prevention Needs Assessment Survey Item-Level Results	
Appendix G	. Selected Charts for Males Compared with Females	

# List of Tables

<b>Executive Su</b>	ımmary	ix
Table ES-1	Total Number and Percentage of Survey Respondents by Grade and Demographic Characteristics	ix
Table ES-2	Percentage of APNA Respondents (Grades 6, 8, 10, 12 and Total) Who Engaged in Heavy Substance Use	xiv
Table ES-3	Percentage Using Multiple Drugs in the Past 30 Days (2017)	xv
Section 1:	Summary of Survey Methodology	1
Table 1-1	Number of Students Surveyed	5
Table 1-2	Total Number and Percentage of Survey Respondents by Grade and Participating Region	6
Table 1-3	Total Number and Percentage of Survey Respondents by Grade and Demographic Characteristics	7
Section 2: R	lisk and Protective Factors	9
Table 2-1	Community Domain Risk and Protective Factors	11
Table 2-2	Community Domain Risk Factor Scores	13
Table 2-3	Family Domain Risk and Protective Factors	15
Table 2-4	Family Domain Risk Factor Scores	16
Table 2-5	School Domain Risk and Protective Factors	
Table 2-6	School Domain Risk and Protective Factor Scores	19
Table 2-7	Peer/Individual Domain Risk and Protective Factors	22
Table 2-8	Peer/Individual Domain Risk and Protective Factor Scores	25
Section 3:	Substance Use Outcomes	29
Table 3-1	Substances and Prevalence Period Measured	
Table 3-2	Age of Initiation	
Table 3-3	Difference in Lifetime Prevalence Rates on Directly Comparable Measures between Arkansas Students and MTF 2017 Findings	32
Table 3-4	Percentage of Arkansas Respondents Who Used ATODs During their Lifetime, by Grade	
Table 3-5	Percentage of Males Who Used ATODs During their Lifetime, by Grade	
Table 3-6	Percentage of Females Who Used ATODs During their Lifetime, by Grade	
Table 3-7	Difference in Past 30-day Prevalence Rates: Arkansas Students vs. MTF 2017 Respondents	
Table 3-8	Percentage of Arkansas Respondents Who Used ATODs During the Past 30 Days, by Grade	40
Table 3-9	Percentage of Males Who Used ATODs During the Past 30 Days, by Grade	43
Table 3-10	Percentage of Females Who Used ATODs During the Past 30 Days, by Grade	

# List of Tables

Table 3-11	Percentage of APNA Respondents who Engaged in Heavy Substance Use, by Grade	47
Table 3-12	Percentage of Males Who Engaged in Heavy Substance Use, by Grade	47
Table 3-13	Percentage of Females Who Engaged in Heavy Substance Use, by Grade	47
Table 3-14	Percentage Using Multiple Drugs in the Past 30 Days (2017)	49
Table 3-15	Percentage of Students Indicating Usual Source of Obtaining Alcohol	51
Table 3-16	Percentage of Students Indicating Where They Usually Consumed Alcohol	51
Table 3-17	Percentage of Arkansas and Monitoring the Future Respondents Who Perceive Substances as "Sort of Easy" or "Very Easy" to Get	55
Table 3-18	Percentage of Arkansas and Monitoring the Future Respondents Who Perceive that Using the Six Categories	
	of Substances Places People at "Great Risk"	56
Table 3-19	Percentage Using ATODs by Academic Performance	60
Table 3-20	Use in Relation to Perceived Parental Acceptability of Marijuana Use (2017)	62
Table 3-21	Percentage Using ATODs by Parents' Education (2017)	62
Table 3-22	Percentage Using ATODs and Level of Depressive Symptoms (2017)	65
Section 4:	Behavioral Outcomes Other Than Substance Use	67
Table 4-1	Percentage of APNA Respondents (Grades 6, 8, 10, 12 and Combined) Who Engaged in Antisocial Behavior in the Past Year	67
Table 4-2	Percentage of Males Who Engaged in Antisocial Behavior in the Past Year	68
Table 4-3	Percentage of Females Who Engaged in Antisocial Behavior in the Past Year	68
Table 4-4	Age of Initiation of Antisocial Behavior	73

# List of Figures

<b>Executive Su</b>	ımmary	ix						
Figure ES-1	Number of Valid Surveys by Year	x						
Figure ES-2	Average Age of First Substance Use	xi						
Figure ES-3	Lifetime ATOD Use: Arkansas (2012 thru 2017)	xii						
Figure ES-4	Lifetime ATOD Use: Arkansas (2012 thru 2017) Compared with National (2017)							
Figure ES-5	30-Day ATOD Use: Arkansas (2012 thru 2017)							
Figure ES-6	Antisocial Behaviors	xvii						
Figure ES-7	Risk Factors - Percent of Students above the Cutoff - 2017	xix						
Figure ES-8	Protective Factors - Percent of Students above the Cutoff - 2017	xx						
Section 1: S	ummary of Survey Methodology	1						
Figure 1-1	Ethnicity	8						
Figure 1-2	Gender	8						
Figure 1-3	Family Structure	8						
Section 2: R	isk and Protective Factors	9						
Figure 2-1	Risk Factors: Community Domain (2017)	14						
Figure 2-2	Risk Factors: Family Domain (2017)	17						
Figure 2-3	Risk Factors: School Domain (2017)	20						
Figure 2-4	Protective Factors: School Domain (2017)	21						
Figure 2-5	Risk Factors: Peer/Individual Domain (2017)	26						
Figure 2-6	Protective Factors: Peer/Individual Domain (2017)	27						
Section 3: S	ubstance Use Outcomes	29						
Figure 3-1	Average Age of First Substance Use	31						
Figure 3-2	Lifetime ATOD Use: Arkansas (2012-2017) Compared with National (2017)	34						
Figure 3-3	Lifetime ATOD Use By Gender	36						
Figure 3-4	30-Day ATOD Use: Arkansas (2012-2017) Compared with National (2017)	41						
Figure 3-5	30-Day ATOD Use by Gender	45						
Figure 3-6	Heavy Substance Use: Male-Female	48						
Figure 3-7	Students' Sources of Obtaining Alcohol (2017)	52						

# List of Figures

Figure 3-8	Usual Place of Student Alcohol Use (2017)	53
Figure 3-9	Been Drunk or High at School by Grade	54
Figure 3-10	Perceived Harmfulness of Using Cigarettes	57
Figure 3-11	Perceived Harmfulness of Using Marijuana	58
Figure 3-12	Perceived Harmfulness of Using Alcohol	59
Figure 3-13	Percentage Using ATODs by Academic Performance (2017)	61
Figure 3-14	Marijuana Use in Relation to Perceived Parental Acceptability (2017)	63
Figure 3-15	Percentage Using ATODs by Parents' Education (2017)	64
Figure 3-16	Percentage Using ATODs and Level of Depressive Symptoms (2017)	66
Section 4: Be	havioral Outcomes Other Than Substance Use	67
Figure 4-1	Antisocial Behaviors: Male-Female	69
Figure 4-2	Antisocial Behaviors: Male-Female - continued	70
Figure 4-3	Average Age of First Incidence of Antisocial Behavior	74

# Acknowledgments

The 2017 Arkansas Prevention Needs Assessment (APNA) was coordinated by the University of Arkansas at Little Rock MidSOUTH Center for Prevention and Training through funding from Arkansas Department of Human Services Division of Aging, Adult, and Behavioral Health Services, working with International Survey Associates, LLC dba Pride Surveys. The APNA survey effort was developed with federal funds from the Substance Abuse Prevention and Treatment Block Grant, Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, and the United States Department of Health and Human Services.

We would like to extend our sincere appreciation to the 498 schools in the 189 Arkansas school districts that participated in administering this survey. A special "thank you" to the students who completed the survey and their parents who supported their endeavors.

It took many individuals working together to make this effort a success, but it would be remiss for us not to give special recognition to the staff of Department of Human Services - Division of Aging, Adult, and Behavioral Health Services (DHS-DAABHS) University of Arkansas at Little Rock Mid-SOUTH Center for Prevention and Training and the Regional Prevention Providers for the support and effort they contributed to the project. Appreciation is also extended to the community anti-drug coalitions who helped to increase school participation in the survey.

The 2017 survey results represent the 16th annual survey since 2002; however, due to space limitations, the graphic images and tables display only the six most recent years of data. We hope schools and communities find this year's data useful for their planning purposes. We invite ALL public schools in Arkansas to participate in the upcoming year's survey. If interested, please contact UA Little Rock MidSOUTH at (501) 569-8237.

# **Executive Summary**

This report provides findings for the 2017 Arkansas Prevention Needs Assessment (APNA) Survey. The APNA, conducted annually since 2002, is administered to Arkansas' youth in grades 6, 8, 10, and 12. In November 2017, 80,955 students were surveyed, which resulted in a total of 72,283 Arkansas students providing valid survey data from 189 school districts (Table ES-1). Since 2002, (Figure ES-1) the APNA has provided Arkansas policy makers and prevention workers with one of the primary tools for understanding Arkansas' prevention needs in the area of alcohol, tobacco, and other drugs, antisocial behavior and delinquency, school dropout and violence. The University of Arkansas at Little Rock MidSOUTH Center for Prevention and Training and the Arkansas Department of Human Services Division of Aging, Adult, and Behavioral Health Services, are grateful for the cooperation and support of Arkansas' students, school administrators, and teachers, in making this survey a success. The APNA survey measures the current student use of alcohol, tobacco, and other drugs (ATOD). The substances include: 1) alcohol; 2) cigarettes; 3) smokeless tobacco; 4) e-cigarettes; 5) marijuana; 6) inhalants; 7) hallucinogens; 8) cocaine; 9) methamphetamines; 10) synthetic marijuana; 11) bath salts; 12) ecstasy; 13) heroin; 14) prescription drugs; 15) over-the-counter drugs; and 16) alcopops. In 2012, to reflect emerging drugs and those in decline, APNA eliminated the drug categories of stimulants and sedatives but added synthetic marijuana and bath salts. In 2014, questions on e-cigarettes, e-cigars and e-hookahs were added; for 2017, no modifications were made. Students' use of these drugs are compared by grade with national data within this report, while county and regional comparisons can be found in Appendix C. The APNA measures the prevalence of risk and protective factors in four domains: community, family, school and individual/peer. Finally, the APNA

Table	ES-1
-------	------

Total Number and Percentage of Survey Respondents by Grade and Demographic Characteristics																				
	Gra	Grade 6		de 8	Grade 10		Grade 12		2017 Total		2016 Total		2015 Total		2014 Total		2013 Total		2012	Total
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Total Sample	20,235	28.0	20,262	28.0	18,084	25.0	13,702	19.0	72,283	100.0	75,027	100.0	82,832	100.0	84,018	100.0	87,246	100.0	86,424	100.0
Gender																				
Male	9,847	49.4	9,736	49.1	8,530	48.3	6,512	48.9	34,625	48.9	36,668	49.3	40,161	48.9	40,921	49.1	42,309	48.7	41,682	48.5
Female	10,077	50.6	10,088	50.9	9,132	51.7	6,814	51.1	36,111	51.1	37,758	50.7	41,997	51.1	42,490	50.9	44,538	51.3	44,322	51.5
Race/Ethnicity																				
White	12,290	48.2	13,412	52.7	12,448	55.6	9,593	58.2	47,743	53.8	49,385	53.9	55,685	55.2	57,268	56.5	58,805	57.4	57,957	57.5
Native American	1,620	6.3	1,360	5.3	932	4.2	531	3.2	4,443	5.0	4,689	5.1	4,869	4.8	5,125	5.1	5,270	5.1	5,379	5.3
Hispanic	3,156	12.4	3,053	12.0	2,902	13.0	1,988	12.1	11,099	12.5	10,648	11.6	11,883	11.8	10,607	10.5	11,141	10.9	10,006	9.9
African American	3,993	15.7	3,864	15.2	3,055	13.7	2,582	15.7	13,494	15.2	14,444	15.8	15,009	14.9	15,846	15.6	16,541	16.1	17,364	17.2
Asian or Pacific Islander	378.0	1.5	464.0	1.8	518.0	2.3	389.0	2.4	1,749	2.0	2,585	2.8	2,901	2.9	2,753	2.7	2,671	2.6	2,576	2.6
Other	3,798	14.9	3,007	11.8	2,249	10.1	1,206	7.3	10,260	11.6	9,810	10.7	10,511	10.4	9,821	9.7	8,061	7.9	7,559	7.5
Family Structure																				
Both Parents	10,720	53.0	10,415	51.4	8,935	49.4	6,395	46.7	36,465	50.4	37,418	49.9	41,818	50.5	41,345	49.2	42,662	48.9	41,613	48.1
Step-Families	3,716	18.4	4,130	20.4	3,735	20.7	2,487	18.2	14,068	19.5	14,630	19.5	16,366	19.8	16,661	19.8	17,109	19.6	16,904	19.6
Single Parent	4,965	24.5	4,824	23.8	4,446	24.6	3,667	26.8	17,902	24.8	18,659	24.9	20,384	24.6	21,605	25.7	22,693	26.0	23,056	26.7
*Numbers and percentages listed here reflect only	those stude	ents who a	answered e	ach of the	demograp	hic questic	ons. Theref	ore,the nu	mbers and	percenta	ges in the T	otal colum	n do not a	dd up to th	e final com	pletion rat	e indicateo	in the text	t of the repo	ort.

also measures student involvement in a broad range of antisocial behaviors including carrying a gun and gang involvement.

#### FIGURE ES-1



Arkansas Students' Age of Initiation

The APNA survey asks students when, or if ever, the student first used ATODs. As in past years, Arkansas youth begin using cigarettes earlier than any other substance (Figure ES-2). Of those youth who had used cigarettes, the average age of first use was 12.5 years. A period of more than a year separates the age of when the student reported first having more than a sip or two of alcohol and the first regular alcohol use. The first incidence of more than one sip occurs at 12.8 years, and the first regular use of alcohol at 14.3 years. On a positive note, the age of first regular alcohol use increased very slightly from 14.2 years in 2012 to 14.3 years in 2017.

Of the youth who had used marijuana, the average age of first use was 13.8 years, which was similar to 2016 survey results. Students' age of initiation for e-cigarettes was the only category in which students were younger in 2017 vs 2014 (13.9 years vs. 14.5 years, respectively). In all other cases, students are waiting longer to try these substances; this could be indicative of a positive effect of prevention programming.

### The Lifetime Prevalence of ATOD Use

Lifetime prevalence is the use of a substance at least once in the student's lifetime, and is the best measure of youth experimentation with alcohol, tobacco, and other drugs. In the 2017 APNA survey, the substances with the highest lifetime prevalence rates include: alcohol (27.8%), e-cigarettes (20.9%), cigarettes (17%), alcopops (16%), marijuana (13.6%), and smokeless tobacco (10.6%). (Figure ES-3). In 2017, students in grades 8, 10, and 12 reported decreased levels of alcohol use since 2012 (Grade 8 from 26.6% to 21.2%; Grade 10 from 47.9% to 39.2%; Grade 12 from 61.1% to 51.4%).

Compared with Monitoring the Future (MTF) survey results, which are the best measure of national trends for 8th, 10th and 12th grades, Arkansas youth have higher rates of lifetime use of cigarette and smokeless tobacco use than youth nationally. However, Arkansas students have lower rates than national youth in their use of alcohol, marijuana, hallucinogens, cocaine, inhalants, methamphetamines and ecstasy. (Figure ES-4)

Yet, in general, the lifetime prevalence of drug use by Arkansas youth has declined. This decline generally mirrors the national findings. (Figure ES-4)

FIGURE ES-2

# Average Age of First Substance Use (of Students Who Indicated That They Had Used)





FIGURE ES-3

Arkansas Prevention Needs Assessment (APNA) Student Survey

🗖 2012 📕 2013 📕 2014 📕 2015 🔲 2016 📕 2017 🔶 MTF 2017 100-90-Percentage of students who have used in their lifetime 80-70-60-50-40-30-20-10-0 10th 10th 8th 10th 12th 12th 8th 8th 6th 10th 6th 8th 10th 12th 6th 8th 6th 12th 6th 12th Alcohol Cigarettes E-cigarettes Alcopops Marijuana

Lifetime ATOD Use: Arkansas (2012 thru 2017) Compared with National (2017)

MTF=Monitoring the Future, a national survey of 8th, 10th and 12th graders.

**FIGURE ES-4** 

Arkansas Prevention Needs Assessment (APNA) Student Survey

### Current ATOD Use by Arkansas Students

Past 30-day use is recorded when youth report that they have used a substance at least once in the past 30 days; it is the best measure of the current use of alcohol, tobacco, and other drugs. Figure ES-5 shows that the most commonly used substances in the past 30 days were alcohol, alcopops, marijuana, cigarettes, and smokeless tobacco, in that order. With a reported rate of 3.0%, prescription drugs were the only other substances that showed past 30-day prevalence rates >2%. Arkansas students had lower past 30-day prevalence rates than MTF students for alcohol, marijuana, hallucinogens, and ecstasy. However, for tobacco products, 8th, 10th and 12th grade Arkansas students had higher prevalence rates for current tobacco use (both cigarettes and smokeless tobacco) than MTF reports. For most substances across the grades, the past 30-day substance use decreased or remained stable since the 2012 survey. While the declines are sometimes small, it is more important that the declines are consistent across time and occur across the full range of substances.

### Heavy ATOD Use Among Arkansas Students

The 2017 APNA survey measured heavy use for alcohol, cigarettes, and marijuana. Overall, binge drinking appears to be the largest heavy use problem among Arkansas youth. Table ES-2 shows that 6.2% of youth reported binge drinking (defined as having five or more drinks on a single occasion) at least once in the past two weeks. Notably, since 2012, binge drinking among Arkansas youth has declined by 2.7%. As is typical for most substances, binge drinking increases for Arkansas students as they progress through middle and high school.

Heavy cigarette use was defined as daily use of about a half-pack or more. Table ES-2 also shows that heavy cigarette use was relatively low, at .4% of all Arkansas students. Finally, heavy marijuana use was defined as the use of one or more marijuana cigarettes a day. Nearly four percent (3.8%) of Arkansas students reported heavy use of marijuana.

#### TABLE ES-2

	Percentage of APNA Respondents (Grades 6, 8, 10, and 12 combined) who Engaged in Heavy Substance Use																													
Drug Llood	Grade 6					Grade 8				Grade 10					Grade 12					Total										
Drug Osed	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
Binge drinking	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.6	0.6	0.7	5.0	4.1	4.4	3.7	3.3	3.3	13.2	11.6	12.0	10.9	9.6	9.0	20.4	18.8	19.5	17.6	16.6	15.1	8.9	7.8	8.1	7.2	6.6	6.2
Half Pack / day cigarettes	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	1.1	0.9	0.7	0.7	0.5	0.5	2.1	1.6	1.5	1.2	1.1	0.9	0.8	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.4	0.4
Heavy marijuana use	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.6	3.3	3.0	3.1	2.5	2.4	2.6	7.8	7.2	6.7	5.9	5.6	5.4	10.2	9.8	9.3	8.4	8.6	8.1	5.0	4.7	4.5	3.9	3.8	3.8

#### TABLE ES-3

Percentage Using Multiple Drugs in the Past 30 Days (2017)										
	Grade 6	Grade 8	Grade 10	Grade 12	Total					
Any Substance	6.0	13.2	24.5	35.7	18.2					
Two or More Substances	1.6	5.3	11.4	18.8	8.3					
Three or More Substances	0.6	2.5	5.6	9.1	4.0					
Alcohol	1.4	6.2	15.6	25.3	10.8					
Cigarettes	0.9	3.1	6.9	12.8	5.3					
Smokeless Tobacco	1.1	3.2	5.7	8.6	4.2					
Tobacco (cig. or smokeless)	1.6	5.0	9.9	16.4	7.4					
Marijuana	0.6	3.8	9.7	15.3	6.6					
Tobacco and Alcohol	0.4	2.2	5.4	10.3	4.1					
Tobacco and Marijuana	0.3	1.5	3.8	6.6	2.7					
Alcohol and Marijuana	0.3	2.0	6.0	10.2	4.1					
Marijuana and Tobacco and Alcohol (all three)	0.2	1.1	2.9	5.2	2.1					
Alcohol and Any Other Drug	0.6	2.8	7.1	11.3	4.9					
Alcohol and Any 1 Other Drug	0.3	1.6	4.2	8.0	3.1					
Alcohol and Any 2 Other Drugs	0.1	0.6	1.6	1.9	1.0					
Tobacco and Any Other Drug	0.5	2.1	4.4	7.4	3.2					
Tobacco and Any 1 Other Drug	0.3	1.1	2.5	5.0	1.9					
Tobacco and Any 2 Other Drugs	0.1	0.5	1.0	1.3	0.7					

The percentage of youth who used various ATOD substances, individually and in combination with other substances, is shown in Table ES-3. Overall, 8.3% of Arkansas youth reported using two or more substances within the past 30 days and 4% have used three or more substances. These 2017 rates have decreased since 2016 (8.3% vs. 8.6%; 4% vs. 4.2%, respectively). The most common combinations are that of alcohol and tobacco (4.1%) and alcohol and any other drug where 4.9% of Arkansas youth report using both in the past 30 days.

### Arkansas Students' Involvement in Antisocial Behavior

The APNA survey measures nine different antisocial behaviors or behavioral markers for antisocial behaviors, such as arrest or school suspension. Figure ES-6 summarizes the past-year prevalence of these behaviors. In 2017, the three highest prevalence rates were for school suspension (10.3%), attacking someone with the intent to harm them (7.1%), being drunk at school (6.2%), and carried a hand gun (5.3%). Of note, the largest decrease in antisocial behaviors since 2012 was seen in attacking someone with intent to harm, which decreased by 4.3% during the time period. Lower prevalence rates were also found for other antisocial behaviors. For example, 4.3% of Arkansas students reported that they belonged to a gang in 2017 compared with 5.4% in 2016. Fortunately, some behaviors were quite rare. For example, .5% of the youth surveyed reported taking a handgun to school in the past 12 months.



FIGURE ES-5

Arkansas Prevention Needs Assessment (APNA) Student Survey

FIGURE ES-6

### **Antisocial Behaviors**



### The Risk and Protective Factor Profile of Arkansas Students

The APNA survey is based upon the risk and protective factor model of substance abuse prevention. For this model, social scientists have identified a set of risk factors that increase rates of problem behaviors (including substance abuse, delinquency, violence, teen pregnancy, and school dropout) among adolescents. A set of protective factors, which decrease the likelihood of youth involvement in problem behaviors, has also been identified.

Risk and protective factors are organized into four domains: 1) community, 2) family, 3) school, and 4) peer/individual. Figures ES-7 and ES-8 show the prevalence of risk and protective factors for Arkansas students. Two features of these charts are key to understanding the information: 1) the cut points for the risk and protective factor scales; and 2) the dashed lines that indicate a "national" normative value. The cut point indicates the threshold level at which a population of students is considered to be elevated on the risk or protective factor. The dashed line on the chart is the national norm—i.e., the

average value for students nationally—based on the 200,000 students whose results were used to create the risk and protective factor measurement system. When risk factors are above the norm (45% as indicated by the dashed lines on the figures), communities should be concerned; in contrast, levels of protective factors falling below the norm (56% as indicated by the dashed lines on figures) are also reason for concern.

In comparison to the national norm, risk factor scores for Arkansas youth in all four domains are generally lower, which is a good thing (Figure ES-7). The only risk factor elevated for Arkansas students was Low Perceived Risk of Drug Use.

For two of the three protective factors assessed in the 2017 APNA, Arkansas students compare favorably to the national norm: Religiosity and School Opportunities for Prosocial Involvement.

**FIGURE ES-7** 

### **Risk Factors - Percent of Students Above the Cutoff - 2017**



FIGURE ES-8

# **Protective Factors - Percent of Students Above the Cutoff - 2017**



# Section 1. Summary of Survey Methodology

# 1.1 Overview of the 2017 APNA Report

This report is divided into four sections. The first section, **Summary of Survey Methodology**, describes how the survey was conducted, who participated, and procedures that were used to ensure that valid information was collected. It is written as a brief report accessible to all readers.

The second section, **Risk and Protective Factors for Substance Abuse and Other Youth Problem Behaviors**, begins with a discussion of the Risk and Protective Factor Model of substance abuse prevention, including the four domains of risk and protection (community, family, school, and peer/individual). This is followed by a detailed analysis of the risk and protective factor results for each of the four domains and an analysis of the role of aggregated risk and protective factors for Arkansas students.

The third section, **Substance Use Outcomes**, describes alcohol, tobacco and other drug (ATOD) use among Arkansas youth. This section begins with a discussion of what substances were measured in the APNA, and the particular prevalence periods employed. Then, in sequence, this section discusses the detailed APNA findings related to lifetime use, use in the past 30-days, and a series of special topics. The special topics include students' heavy use of ATOD, the simultaneous use of multiple substances, sources and location of ATOD use, and several other topics. When possible, these results are compared with the results of the national survey, Monitoring the Future (MTF).

The fourth section, **Behavioral Outcomes Other Than Substance Use**, provides information on student behaviors and attitudes regarding a number of topics. First, the prevalence of several antisocial behaviors in Arkansas students is discussed. Other behaviors reported include violence and the use of handguns, disciplinary problems in school, assault, and arrest. These behaviors have been measured consistently by the APNA for the past several years. Long-term trend data show the progress of Arkansas students for these behavioral outcomes.

#### How to Make the Best Use of This Report

This report is designed primarily as an electronic document and can be viewed with Adobe Reader. Viewing the report electronically will allow the reader to more effectively and efficiently digest the findings. Hyperlinks, clickable phrases or words, will take you to a new location of the report. You will know that you have encountered a hyperlink when the normal cursor image changes to the "hand" cursor. When this occurs, a mouse click will change your location in the report. This feature is useful when you want to compare findings from different sections of the report.

### 1.2 The APNA Survey Form

### 1.2.1 Development of the APNA Survey Form

The original survey questionnaire on which the APNA survey is based was developed by the Social Development Research Group at the University of Washington. The development process was funded by the Center for Substance Abuse Prevention (CSAP). The goal of the project was to develop a survey that provided scientifically sound information about: 1) the prevalence of youth ATOD use and antisocial behavior in the community; and 2) the prevalence of risk and protective factors in a community. The survey was further refined through a second project, the "Diffusion Consortium Project," which involved seven states and was funded by four federal agencies: the National Institute of Drug Abuse (NIDA), Safe and Drug Free Schools Program, Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention, and CSAP. Normative data for the survey were developed in these two studies based on testing with more than 200,000 students in the United States.

Several steps were taken during the development of the resulting survey instrument to maximize the validity of the collected survey data. These steps included: careful cognitive pretesting of the questionnaire to ensure that students understood the meaning of each question; creation of a well-developed and debugged administration protocol; and the development of uniform instructions read to all students who participated in the survey.

This original questionnaire was modified in 2002 to create the APNA survey. Modifications, including the addition of specific questions about substance use, tobacco availability, and tobacco use, allowed the APNA survey to better meet the needs of Arkansas. For 2014, questions on the prevalence and age of initiation of use of e-cigarettes were added. However, the measurement of risk and protective factors, along with the prevalence of ATOD use and antisocial behaviors, has always maintained core elements to allow for year-to-year comparisons. See Appendix A for a copy of the 2017 APNA survey questionnaire.

### 1.2.2 Content and Focus of the APNA Survey Form

**Prevalence of ATOD Use and Antisocial Behavior.** The APNA survey measures the current prevalence of a broad range of ATOD substances. For 2017, the substances include: alcohol, cigarettes, smokeless tobacco, e-cigarettes, marijuana, inhalants, hallucinogens, cocaine, methamphetamines, synthetic marijuana, bath salts, ecstasy, heroin, prescription drugs, over-the-counter drugs, and alcopops. The questions that ask about substance use are similar to those used in the Monitoring the Future Survey. Using comparable ATOD questions means that comparisons between the two surveys can be made.

**Risk and Protective Factors**. Arkansas uses the Risk and Protective Framework to guide prevention efforts aimed at reducing youth problem behaviors. This framework, developed by J. David Hawkins, PhD, Richard F. Catalano, PhD, and their colleagues at the University of Washington, Social Development Research Group, explains the relationship between risk and protective factors and youth problem behaviors. Risk factors predict increased likelihood of drug use, delinquency, school dropout, teen pregnancy, and violent behavior among youth. For example, Hawkins and Catalano found that children who live in families with high levels of conflict are more likely to become involved in problem behaviors such as delinquency and drug use than children who live in families with low levels of family conflict. Protective factors exert a positive influence or buffer against the negative influence of risk, thus reducing the likelihood that adolescents will engage in problem behaviors. Protective factors identified through research by Hawkins and Catalano include: bonding to family, school, community and peers; healthy beliefs and clear standards for behavior; and individual characteristics. For bonding to serve as a protective influence, it must occur through involvement with peers and adults who communicate healthy values and set clear standards for behavior.

Research on risk and protective factors has important implications for prevention efforts. The premise of the risk and protective factor model is that, in order to promote positive youth development and prevent problem behaviors, it is necessary to address those factors that predict the problem behaviors. By measuring risk and protective factors in a population, prevention programs can be implemented that will reduce the elevated risk factors and increase the protective factors. For example, if academic failure is identified as an elevated risk factor in a community, then mentoring, tutoring, and increased opportunities and rewards for classroom participation can be provided to improve academic performance. A total of 21 risk factors and 3 protective factors were measured in the 2017 APNA survey. To find a complete list of the risk and protective factors and the corresponding risk and protective factor scales within the Risk and Protective Factor Model, please go to https://arkansas.pridesurveys.com/regions. php?year=2017. A discussion explaining the scales and use of cut-points can be found in Section 2.1

In the 2017 APNA survey, students responded to a total of 127 items (Appendix A). The questions were printed in a test booklet that was scored by a machine. To find a complete item dictionary that lists the risk and protective factor scales and the items they contain, as well as the outcome variables and a document with tabulations for the number and percentages of collected responses for each item in the 2017 APNA survey, please go to https://arkansas.pridesurveys.com/regions.php?year=2017.

## 1.3 Administration Procedures

### 1.3.1 Description of APNA Administration Procedures

In August 2017, a recruiting packet was developed and emailed to each regional prevention provider (RPP) by the project director. The recruiting packet included a school agreement form, survey fact sheet, a copy of the survey instrument, administration instructions for the district coordinator as well as the school coordinator, teacher administration instructions, and a copy of the parent notification letter.

Regional Prevention Providers personnel were encouraged to personally visit each of their school sites to obtain school participation. A phone call to the previous year's participants was also initiated as needed. The RPPs followed up by phone, fax and email to obtain the school participation agreement form from superintendents. A concerted effort was made to contact every public school district in the state to participate in the survey.

Surveys were shipped to participating schools during October 2017. Administration of the surveys took place during November 2017. The school contacts were given specific instructions on how to maintain student confidentiality and how to collect and return the completed surveys. Teachers in surveyed classrooms were given a script to read. Completed surveys were to be returned to the sub-contractor, International Survey Associates, by December 1, 2017. Regional Prevention Providers followed up with phone calls directly to school contacts who had not returned surveys by December 13, 2017 to ensure that all completed and unused surveys were returned.

# 1.3.2 Description of Procedures to Protect Student and Parent Rights

A special emphasis was placed on appropriately notifying parents regarding the risks and benefits of their child's participation in the survey, and the process for passive consent. As appropriate, state- and local-level employees participating in the APNA administration process were instructed on the procedures to protect student and parent rights. In addition, school contacts were given detailed instructions on how to maintain student confidentiality, including how to package and seal the envelopes containing the surveys, and return them to the school coordinator. The school coordinator then repacked the surveys into their boxes to return them to the district coordinator who promptly returned them to International Survey Associates.

Finally, on the day of the survey, each teacher / proctor administering the survey read a developmentally, age-appropriate script to students in the class-room. The script described students' rights to participate or not participate in the whole survey and also let students know they could skip questions they did not want to answer. Students were assured multiple times that the survey was voluntary, anonymous, and confidential. They were told that no one would see their answers and that a survey could not be traced back to an individual student.

### 1.3.3 Description of Survey Scanning and Scoring Procedures

Once returned to International Survey Associates, the survey forms were checked to eliminate blank or damaged and unusable forms. The surveys were then processed by ISA staff and the data moved to servers where it was further analyzed. As part of the database development process, International Survey Associates' scoring system automatically suppresses the calculation of results when the specific subgroup that is being analyzed (e.g., a school, or school grade level) contains less than 10 students. This step provides an additional layer of confidentiality protection to participating students. While student data are not included in any report including groups smaller than 10 students, these data still contribute to the reports for larger geographic areas, such as the district-, regional- and state-level reports.

# 1.4 Creation of the 2017 APNA Survey Database

### 1.4.1 Survey Distribution and Processing

Districts participating in the APNA Survey were contacted and shipped the necessary number of surveys along with a small number of extra surveys; having an excess of surveys at the school site allows for a more efficient and speedier survey administration, minimizing the burden of APNA participation at the school level.

Once returned to International Survey Associates, blank, defaced or otherwise unreadable surveys were excluded from the database. Surveys that failed to pass the validity checks, as well as surveys from students from grades 7, 9 and 11 were also excluded from the survey database. As seen in Table 1-1, a total of 8,672 surveys were removed for these and other validity reasons prior to further analysis. After all checks were completed (see 1.4.2), a total of 72,283 students contributed their data to the final database for analysis.

TABLE 1-1 N	UMBER OF	<b>STUDENTS</b>	SURVEYED
-------------	----------	-----------------	----------

Total Students Surveyed	80,955
Total Students Surveyed Providing Invalid Surveys	8,672
Number Valid Surveys in Grade 6	20,235
Number Valid Surveys in Grade 8	20,262
Number Valid Surveys in Grade 10	18,084
Number Valid Surveys in Grade 12	13,702
Total Number of Valid Surveys	72,283

# 1.4.2 Assessment of the Validity of the Individual Survey Protocols

Because the survey was anonymous, most of the reasons for students to exaggerate or deny behaviors were eliminated. However, several checks were built into the data screening process to minimize the inclusion of students who were not truthful in their responses. All surveys that were deemed to be not truthful were eliminated from the final analysis. Invalid individual student surveys were identified using five specific criteria: 1) the student indicated that he or she was "Not Honest at All" in completing the survey; 2) the student indicated that he or she had used the non-existent drug Pegaramide; 3) the student reported an impossibly high frequency of multiple drug use; 4) there was a large age differential between grade level and the student's age as reported by the students; and 5) the student report contained logical inconsistencies between past 30-day use and lifetime use rates. For these reasons and those cited in 1.4.1, a total of 8,672 surveys were removed from the final dataset and later analyses (Table 1-1).

#### TABLE 1-2

Total Number and Percentage of Survey Respondents by Grade and Participating Region												
	Gra	de 6	Gra	de 8	Grad	de 10	Grad	le 12	2017 Total			
	#	%	#	# %		# %		%	#	%		
Region 1	3,675	18.2	4,298	21.2	4,561	25.2	2,928	21.4	15,462	21.4		
Region 2	895	4.4	716	3.5	809	4.5	471	3.4	2,891	4.0		
Region 3	1,739	8.6	1,891	9.3	1,730	9.6	1,226	8.9	6,586	9.1		
Region 4	2,526	12.5	2,483	12.3	2,052	11.3	1,585	11.6	8,646	12.0		
Region 5	1,898	9.4	1,830	9.0	1,713	9.5	1,549	11.3	6,990	9.7		
Region 6	1,653	8.2	1,741	8.6	1,546	8.5	1,161	8.5	6,101	8.4		
Region 7	487	2.4	468	2.3	355	2.0	389	2.8	1,699	2.4		
Region 8	810	4.0	910	4.5	804	4.4	484	3.5	3,008	4.2		
Region 9	3,354	16.6	2,941	14.5	1,915	10.6	1,739	12.7	9,949	13.8		
Region 10	720	3.6	652	3.2	524	2.9	441	3.2	2,337	3.2		
Region 11	700	3.5	723	3.6	665	3.7	568	4.1	2,656	3.7		
Region 12	1,187	5.9	1,043	5.1	1,053	5.8	821	6.0	4,104	5.7		
Region 13	591	2.9	566	2.8	357	2.0	340	2.5	1,854	2.6		
Total	20,235	100.0	20,262	100.0	18,084	100.0	13,702	100.0	72,283	100.0		

### 1.4.3 Survey Participants by County and Region

The State of Arkansas has 75 counties, divided into 13 Regional Prevention Providers. Several tables have been prepared that supply regional- and county-level results for the 16 types of substances. Results for the substance use rates for the past 30 days and lifetime for each of the 13 participating regions and 72 participating counties can be found at: http://www.arkansas. gov/dhs/dmhs/adap\_survey.htm and a Sample Profile Report can be found in Appendix C.

Grade level participation by region for 2017 can be found in Table 1-2.

# 1.5 Student Demographics

The characteristics of the youth who participated in the 2017 APNA survey are presented in Table 1-3 and Figures 1-1,1-2, and 1-3. The 2017 student demographics are shown separately for grades 6, 8, 10, and 12. A nearly equal number of males and females took the survey in all grades (female – 51.1% and males – 48.9%). The majority of respondents were White (53.2%), followed by African American (15.0%), Hispanic (12.4%), Native American (5.0%), Asian or Pacific Islander (1.9%) or Other (11.4%).

Regarding family structure, 50.4% lived with both of their biological parents, 19.5% lived in a step-family structure, and 24.8% lived with a single parent.

#### TABLE 1-3

Total Number and Percentage of Survey Respondents by Grade and Demographic Characteristics																				
	Grade 6		Grade 8		Grade 10		Grade 12		2017 Total		2016 Total		2015 Total		2014 Total		2013 Total		2012 Total	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Total Sample	20,235	28.0	20,262	28.0	18,084	25.0	13,702	19.0	72,283	100.0	75,027	100.0	82,832	100.0	84,018	100.0	87,246	100.0	86,424	100.0
Gender																				
Male	9,847	49.4	9,736	49.1	8,530	48.3	6,512	48.9	34,625	48.9	36,668	49.3	40,161	48.9	40,921	49.1	42,309	48.7	41,682	48.5
Female	10,077	50.6	10,088	50.9	9,132	51.7	6,814	51.1	36,111	51.1	37,758	50.7	41,997	51.1	42,490	50.9	44,538	51.3	44,322	51.5
Race/Ethnicity																				
White	12,290	48.2	13,412	52.7	12,448	55.6	9,593	58.2	47,743	53.8	49,385	53.9	55,685	55.2	57,268	56.5	58,805	57.4	57,957	57.5
Native American	1,620	6.3	1,360	5.3	932	4.2	531	3.2	4,443	5.0	4,689	5.1	4,869	4.8	5,125	5.1	5,270	5.1	5,379	5.3
Hispanic	3,156	12.4	3,053	12.0	2,902	13.0	1,988	12.1	11,099	12.5	10,648	11.6	11,883	11.8	10,607	10.5	11,141	10.9	10,006	9.9
African American	3,993	15.7	3,864	15.2	3,055	13.7	2,582	15.7	13,494	15.2	14,444	15.8	15,009	14.9	15,846	15.6	16,541	16.1	17,364	17.2
Asian or Pacific Islander	378.0	1.5	464.0	1.8	518.0	2.3	389.0	2.4	1,749	2.0	2,585	2.8	2,901	2.9	2,753	2.7	2,671	2.6	2,576	2.6
Other	3,798	14.9	3,007	11.8	2,249	10.1	1,206	7.3	10,260	11.6	9,810	10.7	10,511	10.4	9,821	9.7	8,061	7.9	7,559	7.5
Family Structure			<u> </u>										<u> </u>				<u> </u>			
Both Parents	10,720	53.0	10,415	51.4	8,935	49.4	6,395	46.7	36,465	50.4	37,418	49.9	41,818	50.5	41,345	49.2	42,662	48.9	41,613	48.1
Step-Families	3,716	18.4	4,130	20.4	3,735	20.7	2,487	18.2	14,068	19.5	14,630	19.5	16,366	19.8	16,661	19.8	17,109	19.6	16,904	19.6
Single Parent	4,965	24.5	4,824	23.8	4,446	24.6	3,667	26.8	17,902	24.8	18,659	24.9	20,384	24.6	21,605	25.7	22,693	26.0	23,056	26.7
*Numbers and percentages listed here reflect only those students who answered each of the demographic guestions. Therefore, the numbers and percentages in the Total column do not add up to the final completion rate indicated in the text of the report.													ort.							



# Section 2. Risk and Protective Factors

# 2.1 The Risk and Protective Factor Model

The Arkansas Prevention Needs Assessment (APNA) Survey is grounded in the risk and protective factor model of substance abuse prevention. In medical research, both risk and protective factors have been found for heart disease and other health problems. For example, risk factors for heart disease include excessive consumption of high-fat foods, smoking, chronic stress, and being overweight. Protective factors, on the other hand, decrease the likelihood of a negative event occurring. For example, adequate exercise and proper nutrition reduce the risk of heart disease. Just as medical research discovered the risk and protective factors for heart disease, social scientists have discovered a set of risk and protective factors that place young people at risk for the problem behaviors of substance abuse, delinquency, violence, teen pregnancy, and school dropout.

J. David Hawkins, PhD, Richard F. Catalano, PhD, and their colleagues at the University of Washington reviewed more than 30 years of existing work on risk factors from various fields and completed extensive work of their own to identify risk factors for youth problem behaviors. They identified risk and protective factors in four domains: 1) the community; 2) the family; 3) the school; and 4) peer/individual. Many of the problem behaviors faced by youth – delinquency, substance abuse, violence, school dropout, and teen pregnancy – share many common risk and protective factors. Programs designed to reduce those common risk factors, or increase protective factors, will also work toward reducing several problem behaviors.

Using the risk and protective factor model, Hawkins, Catalano and their colleagues developed an approach that communities can use to reduce youth problem behaviors. An overview of the risk factors and protective factors that have been shown to be related to youth problem behaviors and their link to the APNA survey can be found at https://arkansas.pridesurveys.com/ regions.php?year=2017.

This section of the report is organized according to these four domains: community, family, school, and peer/individual. For each domain, the definition of each risk or protective factor is presented, followed by risk and protective factor results for Arkansas students by grade. Risk and protective factor charts are also provided to illustrate Arkansas' student risk and protection compared with students from a seven state sample in the United States.

# How to Read the Risk and Protective Factor Charts in this Section

Two components of the risk and protective factor charts are key to understanding the information that the charts contain: 1) the cut points for the risk and protective factor scales; and 2) the dashed lines that indicate a "national" value.

#### **Cut Points**

For risk factors, having an elevated risk factor increases the adolescent's probability of engaging in a problem behavior. Conversely, for a protective factor, having an elevated protective factor reduces the adolescent's probability of engaging in a problem behavior. Before the percentage of youth who are elevated on either risk or protective factors can be calculated, a scale value (traditionally called a cut point) was needed to define the point at which the risk or protective factor could meaningfully affect the probability of the negative behavior occurring. The APNA survey instrument was designed to assess adolescent substance use, antisocial behavior and the risk and protective factors that predict these adolescent problem behaviors. During the instrument development process, risk and protective factor-based surveys were given to more than 200,000 youth nationwide. Because of this, it was possible to identify two groups of youth, one that was more at risk for problem behaviors and another group that was less at risk, on the basis of their risk and protective factor scores. For each risk and protective factor, a

cut-point value was then determined that best discriminated between youth involved in problem behaviors and those who were not. Various outcomes were used for determining the cut-point values, including ATOD use, a variety of antisocial behaviors, and the students' self-report of academic grades (the more at-risk group received "D" and "F" grades, the less at-risk group received "A" and "B" grades).

The cut points that were determined have remained stable over more than a decade and are used to produce the profiles for future surveys. Since the cut points are stable, the percentage of youth above the cut point on a scale (at-risk) can be consistently measured and used to evaluate the progress of prevention programs over time. For example, if the percentage of youth at-risk for family conflict prior to implementing a community-wide family/ parenting program was 60% and then decreased to 50% one year after the program was implemented, the program would be viewed as helping to reduce family conflict.

#### **Dashed Line**

Levels of risk and protection in your community also can be compared to a national sample. The dashed line on each risk and protective factor chart represents the percentage of youth at-risk or with protection for the sevenstate sample of 200,000 students upon which the cut points were established. The seven states included in the norm group were: Colorado, Illinois, Kansas, Maine, Oregon, Utah, and Washington. All the states have a mix of urban and rural students.

### 2.1.1 Community Domain Risk and Protective Factors

TABLE 2-1

	Problem Behaviors									
Youth at Risk	Substance Abuse	Delinquency	Teen Pregnancy	School Dropout	Violence					
Community										
Availability of drugs	~				✓					
Availability of firearms		$\checkmark$			$\checkmark$					
Community laws and norms favorable toward drug use, firearms and crime	~	~			~					
Media portrayals of violence					$\checkmark$					
Transitions and mobility	~	$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$						
Low neighborhood attachment and community disorganization	~	~			~					
Extreme economic and social deprivation	✓	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	✓					

Community domain risk and protective factors focus on the public environment in which the adolescent is living. When looking at the community domain, it is important to consider other factors beyond simply how members of a community interact with the youth of the community. Youth benefit from living in an area where neighbors and community members show concern for them, offer them support, and give encouragement and praise. Youth benefit from living in a community that functions in a socially healthy manner. What is the community like? Are drugs and guns readily available? Is there an active presence of law enforcement officers in the community? Is the community lacking in economic resources? Do community members, businesses, or police turn a blind eye toward drug use and antisocial behaviors, or condone such behaviors? Is there a sense of community disorganization or do members of the community work together toward common goals?

All of these community issues, and more, play significant roles in shaping the behaviors of the youth who live within a particular community. By understanding how youth perceive their neighborhood, Arkansas communities can get a better sense of how they need to change in order to reduce the risk that youth will participate in problem behaviors.

Table 2-1 shows the links between the community risk factors and five problem behaviors: substance abuse, deliquency, teen pregnancy, school dropout, and violence. The check marks indicate where at least two well-designed, peer-reviewed research studies have shown a link between the risk factor and the problem behavior. Definitions of all community domain risk factors, as well as scale scores for the community domain are provided on the next pages and Table 2-2 and Figure 2-1.

### COMMUNITY DOMAIN RISK FACTORS

**Availability of Drugs.** As drugs become more available in a community, there is a higher risk that young people will abuse drugs in that community. Perceived availability of drugs is also associated with increased risk of ATOD use. For example, in schools where youth just think drugs are more available, a higher rate of drug use occurs.

**Availability of Firearms.** Firearm availability is directly linked to the probability of serious assault, suicide, and homicide. If a gun is present in the home, it is much more likely to be used against a relative or friend than an intruder or stranger. Also, when a firearm is used in a crime or assault instead of another weapon or no weapon, the outcome is much more likely to be fatal. Most studies show a positive relationship between the presence of firearms and the probability of violent crime. Given the lethality of firearms, and the increased likelihood of conflict escalating into homicide when guns are present, firearm availability is included as a risk factor.

### Community Laws and Norms Favorable to Drug Use, Firearms,

**and Crime.** Community norms—the attitudes and policies a community holds about drug use and crime—are communicated in a variety of ways: through laws and written policies, through informal social practices, and through the expectations parents and other community members have of young people. When laws and community standards are favorable toward drug use or crime, or even if they are just unclear, youth are at higher risk.

**Transitions and Mobility.** Even normal school transitions predict increases in problem behaviors. When children move from elementary school to middle school, or from middle school to high school, increases in the rates of drug use, school misbehavior, and delinquency are measurable.

Communities with high rates of mobility appear to be linked to an increased risk of drug use and crime problems. The more often people in a community move, the greater the risk of both criminal behavior and drug-related problems in families. While some people find buffers against the negative effects of mobility by quickly making connections in new communities, others are less likely to have the resources to deal with the effects of frequent moves and are more likely to have problems.

### Low Neighborhood Attachment and Community

**Disorganization.** Higher rates of drug problems, juvenile delinquency and violence occur in communities or neighborhoods where people have little attachment to the community, where the rates of vandalism are high, and where there is low surveillance of public places. These conditions are not limited to low-income neighborhoods; they can also be found in wealthier neighborhoods. The less homogeneous a community (in terms of race, class, religion, and even the mix of industrial to residential neighborhoods), and the less connected its residents may feel to the overall community, the more difficult it is to establish clear community goals and identity. The challenge of creating neighborhood attachment and organization is greater in these neighborhoods.

Perhaps the most significant issue affecting community attachment is whether residents feel they can make a difference in their lives. If the key players in the neighborhood – merchants, teachers, police, and human services personnel – live outside the neighborhood, residents' sense of commitment will be less. Lower rates of voter participation and parental involvement in schools also indicate lower attachment to the community.

**Extreme Economic Deprivation.** Children who live in neighborhoods characterized by extreme poverty are more likely to develop problems with delinquency, violence, teen pregnancy, and school dropout. Children who live in these areas are also more likely to have problems with drugs later on. Please note that a scale has not been developed for this risk factor, and the APNA survey does not gather results for this risk factor.
Media Portrayals of Violence. The role of media violence on the behavior of viewers, especially young viewers, has been debated for more than three decades. Research over that time period has shown a clear correlation between media portrayal of violence and the development of aggressive and violent behavior. It must be noted that a correlation or link does not necessarily imply causation. Exposure to violence in the media appears to have an impact on children in several ways: 1) children learn violent behavior from watching actors model that behavior; 2) they learn violent problem-solving strategies; and 3) media portrayals of violence appear to alter children's attitudes and sensitivity to violence. Please note that a scale has not been developed for this risk factor, and the APNA survey does not gather results for this risk factor.

### COMMUNITY DOMAIN PROTECTIVE FACTORS

**Community Opportunities for Prosocial Involvement and Community Rewards for Prosocial Involvement.** Community Opportunities for Prosocial Involvement measures student perceptions on the ways that they can become positively involved in their community. For example, youth sports teams, 4-H clubs, police Explorer organizations, and community service clubs are all examples of avenues through which youth could engage in prosocial community activity. Community Rewards for Prosocial Involvement measures the likelihood that youth feel that community members (e.g., neighbors, family friends) recognize, support, and encourage youth to be positively involved in the community. Both of these protective factors generally increase the likelihood that youth will not engage in antisocial behavior. Please note that the 2017 APNA survey did not gather data for these protective factors.

TABLE 2-2

							Comm	nunity	Domai	in Risk	Facto	r Scor	es											
			Gra	de 6					Gra	de 8					Grad	de 10					Grad	de 12		
	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
RISK FACTORS														0			0	0	6			0		
Community Disorganization	35.7	34.4	32.8	33.0	31.9	23.0	31.8	29.7	29.3	28.7	28.9	21.8	43.8	43.1	41.9	41.8	42.4	31.9	42.6	41.8	41.2	41.4	42.4	31.4
Transitions and Mobility	46.9	46.9	46.9	48.0	47.4	37.3	52.7	52.2	51.3	51.9	50.5	43.0	58.7	57.1	57.6	56.4	55.0	45.8	49.4	50.2	48.9	48.2	47.6	39.7
Laws & Norms Favor Drug Use	34.2	33.2	35.4	34.2	35.4	30.2	29.4	27.7	28.9	27.1	28.1	25.4	37.3	36.4	36.7	34.5	35.0	30.6	29.5	29.0	29.1	27.6	28.5	23.2
Perceived Availability of Drugs	17.9	17.2	16.9	17.0	17.1	12.8	23.0	20.5	20.4	19.2	18.7	16.2	32.6	30.4	29.1	27.7	26.1	21.5	37.7	36.5	34.2	34.0	32.6	26.3
Perceived Availability of Handguns	24.0	23.4	23.8	23.1	24.0	18.0	35.3	35.6	35.6	34.4	35.4	30.2	30.7	30.1	29.7	28.3	28.0	22.8	35.6	35.3	34.2	32.7	32.9	28.0

FIGURE 2-1

## **Risk Factors: Community Domain (2017)**



## 2.1.2 Family Domain Risk and Protective Factors

TABLE 2-3

-					
		Proble	m Beh	aviors	
Youth at Risk	Substance Abuse	Delinquency	Teen Pregnancy	School Dropout	Violence
Family					
Family History of the Problem Behavior	$\checkmark$	✓	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	~
Family Management Problems	~	~	~	~	~
Family Conflict	~	~	~	~	~
Favorable Parental Attitudes and Involvements in the Problem Behavior	~	~			~

For the family domain, one must consider more than parents' personal interaction with their children. Youth benefit from being bonded with their family and from belonging to a family in which their parents offer support, encouragement, and praise. Other important factors that can contribute to youth problem behaviors are whether or not the youth's parents or siblings have used substances, approve of the use of substances, or have participated in antisocial behaviors. If a youth's living situation is full of conflict (fights and arguments) and disorganization (lack of family communication or parents' not knowing the whereabouts or doings of their children), youth will be at-risk for problem behaviors. Table 2-3 shows the links between the family risk factors and the five problem behaviors. The check marks indicate where at least two well-designed, peer-reviewed research studies have shown an association between the risk factor and the problem behavior. Definitions of all family domain risk factors, as well as scores for the family domain (Table 2-4, Figure 2-2), are provided on the following pages.

### FAMILY DOMAIN RISK FACTORS

**Family History of Antisocial Behavior.** If children are raised in a family with a history of addiction to alcohol or other drugs, the risk of the child having alcohol and other drug problems increases. If children are born or raised in a family with a history of criminal activity, their risk of juvenile delinquency increases. Similarly, children who are raised by a teenage mother are more likely to become teen parents, and children of dropouts are more likely to drop out of school themselves.

**Poor Family Management.** Poor family management practices include lack of clear expectations for behavior, failure of parents to monitor their children (knowing where they are and who they are with), and excessively severe or inconsistent punishment.

**Family Conflict.** Persistent, serious conflict between primary caregivers or between caregivers and children appears to enhance risk for children raised in these families. Conflict between family members appears to be more important than family structure. Whether the family is headed by two biological parents, a single parent, or some other primary caregiver, children raised in families high in conflict appear to be at risk for all of the problem behaviors. The 2017 APNA did not gather data for this risk factor.

Parental Attitudes Favorable to ATOD Use and Parental Attitudes Favorable to Antisocial Behavior. Parental attitudes and behavior toward drugs, crime, and violence influence the attitudes and behavior of their children. Parental approval of young people's moderate drinking, even under parental supervision, increases the risk of the young person using marijuana. Similarly, children of parents who excuse their children for breaking the law are more likely to develop problems with juvenile delinquency. In families where parents display violent behavior toward those outside or inside the family, there is an increased risk of that child becoming violent. Further, in families where parents involve children in their own drug or alcohol behavior, for example, asking the child to light the parent's cigarette or to get the parent a beer, there is an increased likelihood that their children will become drug abusers in adolescence.

### FAMILY DOMAIN PROTECTIVE FACTORS

**Family Attachment.** When children feel a strong, emotional attachment to their family, this serves as a powerful positive influence in their lives. Strong, positive family attachment can ameliorate the negative influences of numerous risk factors, including community and peer influences that otherwise would lead a child to involvement in problem behaviors. The 2017 APNA survey did not gather data for this protective factor.

#### TABLE 2-4

							Family	y Dom	ain Ri	sk Fac	tor Sc	ores												
	Grade 6								Gra	de 8					Grad	le 10					Grac	le 12		
	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
RISK FACTORS																								
Poor Family Management	33.5	32.3	33.6	33.0	34.5	31.0	33.0	32.1	25.9	24.2	24.8	22.8	32.5	32.7	24.5	22.4	22.4	20.1	34.2	34.2	22.9	22.7	22.6	19.3
Family History of Antisocial Behavior	30.2	28.9	29.2	29.2	29.2	28.1	33.5	31.2	31.2	29.8	30.2	29.0	37.5	36.9	35.8	33.5	33.3	31.5	35.9	34.1	33.7	31.9	32.6	29.6
Parent Attitudes Favor Antisocial Behavior	28.0	27.0	26.7	27.7	29.3	22.4	40.1	38.2	38.0	38.5	38.5	32.2	43.4	43.0	42.9	41.3	41.3	33.9	41.0	40.7	40.3	38.1	38.7	30.8
Parent Attitudes Favor Drug Use	9.0	8.6	8.9	9.0	9.9	8.5	18.1	17.6	18.5	17.1	18.3	15.5	29.8	29.7	29.6	27.3	27.6	23.8	30.2	30.3	30.2	27.6	30.1	24.3

FIGURE 2-2

## **Risk Factors: Family Domain (2017)**



Family Opportunities for Prosocial Involvement and Family Rewards for Prosocial Involvement. Family opportunities for prosocial involvement refer to the opportunities for positive, rewarding interactions between children and their families. The specifics of the opportunities can vary enormously, making measurement of this protective factor difficult, but examples include family outings that the children find rewarding, positive family rituals around holidays, and positive behavioral interaction between the adult caregivers and the children. Rewards for prosocial involvement are different, in that they are the contingencies the child experiences in the family for acting in a prosocial manner. For example, rewarding the child for behavior such as helping siblings with a task, completing assigned chores on time, or following family rules will reinforce that behavior, which in turn leads to numerous positive benefits. The 2017 APNA survey did not gather data for these protective factors.

## 2.1.3 School Domain Risk and Protective Factors

### TABLE 2-5

		Proble	m Beh	aviors	\$
Youth at Risk	Substance Abuse	Delinquency	Teen Pregnancy	School Dropout	Violence
School					
Poor Academic Achievement	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	✓	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Low School Commitment	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$

In the school domain, the early years are important for creating or decreasing the level of risk for children. Academic failure in elementary school puts children at risk for substance use, delinquency, teen pregnancy, school drop out, and violence later in life. It appears that the experience of failure, not necessarily the student's ability, increases the risk of problem behaviors. Further, a child with early and persistent antisocial behavior is at risk for substance use and other problems later in life.

These two risk factors (academic failure and early engagement in antisocial behavior) indicate that prevention programs should begin early in a student's schooling. Programs that can effectively target the needs of the school population will help to decrease the level of risk, thereby decreasing problem behaviors later in school. The Arkansas data will help schools target the problem behaviors and student populations that are at the greatest need for services.

As with the community and family domains, school domain protective factors buffer against the effects of risk factors and increase protection. When youth have healthy relationships with their teachers, when they feel as if they are able to play an active role in their classes and in their school, and when they receive encouragement and support, they are more bonded to their school and their commitment to school is less likely to falter.

Table 2-5 shows the links between the school risk factors and the five problem behaviors. The check marks indicate where at least two well-designed, peer-reviewed research studies have shown an association between the risk factor and the problem behavior.

Definitions for all school domain risk and protective factors, as well as scores for the school domain (Table 2-6 and Figure 2-3) are provided on the following pages.

School Domain Risk Factors

Low School Commitment. Lack of commitment to school means the young person has ceased to see the role of student as a viable one. Young people who have lost this commitment to school are at higher risk for all five problem behaviors.

**Poor Academic Achievement.** The measurement of poor academic achievement is based on students' self-reports of their school grades. Poor achievement in school operates in numerous ways to limit students' future opportunities.

## School Domain Protective Factors

School Opportunities for Prosocial Involvement and School Rewards for Prosocial Involvement. Comparable to family opportunities and rewards, school opportunities for prosocial involvement refers to the students' perception that there are numerous rewarding prosocial activities that they can participate in within the school environment. The ability of the student to engage in prosocial opportunities at school is important to keeping the student engaged and involved with school. That, of course, leads to a cascade of other positive consequences in the student's life. Rewards for prosocial involvement are also analogous to family rewards for prosocial involvement. In this domain, the issue is whether the school environment actively reinforces the student's prosocial behavior (appropriate conduct, dress, interaction with others). School environments that positively reinforce appropriate behavior can significantly increase the success of their school as well as help the individual student succeed.

TABLE	2-6
-------	-----

				So	chool	Doma	in Ris	k and	Prote	ctive	Factor	Scor	es											
			Gra	de 6					Gra	de 8					Grac	le 10					Grad	le 12		
	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
RISK FACTORS																								
Academic Failure	39.7	38.9	39.0	39.1	39.2	37.1	40.8	39.9	40.4	38.9	39.9	38.3	42.3	43.5	43.9	42.6	42.8	40.5	36.7	36.7	37.1	36.7	37.9	37.0
Low Commitment to School	38.9	39.7	36.8	36.8	37.3	39.1	34.2	34.5	36.7	37.0	37.8	38.9	38.5	41.9	43.1	43.3	43.9	44.2	42.1	45.7	41.9	44.4	44.0	42.2
PROTECTIVE FACTORS																								
Opportunities for Prosocial Involvement	50.6	52.7	54.0	54.5	56.1	48.1	65.5	67.4	67.4	70.5	70.7	65.3	65.0	65.2	64.3	66.9	67.8	63.7	66.3	65.7	65.4	65.8	65.4	62.0
Rewards for Prosocial Involvement	57.5	56.4	54.6	54.7	53.8	46.5	55.5	55.3	53.7	53.6	53.1	47.0	62.9	62.5	60.9	61.5	60.4	55.9	49.6	48.4	47.5	46.2	46.0	41.8

FIGURE 2-3

## **Risk Factors: School Domain (2017)**



FIGURE 2-4

## **Protective Factors: School Domain (2017)**



# 2.1.4 Peer/Individual Domain Risk and Protective Factors

The fourth domain, peer/individual, addresses peer influence as well as factors that spring from the individual. Youth are at-risk for problem behaviors when they have friends who engage in unfavorable behaviors or when they have friends who have favorable attitudes toward these behaviors (i.e., it is seen as "cool"). In addition, youth are at-risk for problem behaviors when they are depressed, rebellious, or feel alienation. Biological factors also play a part in whether or not a student is at-risk for ATOD use or antisocial behaviors.

TABLE 2-7

		Proble	m Beh	aviors	;
Youth at Risk	Substance Abuse	Delinquency	Teen Pregnancy	School Dropout	Violence
Peer/Individual					
Early and Persistent Antisocial Behavior	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Rebelliousness	$\checkmark$	✓		$\checkmark$	
Friends Who Engage in a Problem Behavior	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Gang Involvement	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$			$\checkmark$
Favorable Attitudes Toward the Problem Behavior	~	~	✓	✓	
Early Initiation of the Problem Behavior	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Depressive Symptoms	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$			
Intention to Use ATODs	$\checkmark$				
Biological Factors	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$			$\checkmark$

Definitions of all peer/individual domain risk and protective factors, as well as a description of individual characteristics, bonding, and healthy beliefs and clear standards, are presented in this section. Table 2-7 shows the links between the peer/individual risk factors and the five problem behaviors. The check marks indicate where at least two well-designed, peer-reviewed research studies have shown an association between the risk factor and the problem behavior. Scores for the peer/individual domain can be found in Table 2-8; Figures 2-5 and 2-6 show how Arkansas' students compare with the national norm.

## PEER/INDIVIDUAL DOMAIN RISK FACTORS

**Early Initiation of Drug Use.** The earlier young people begin using drugs, committing crimes, engaging in violent activity, becoming sexually active, and dropping out of school, the greater the likelihood that they will have problems with these behaviors later on. For example, research shows that young people who initiate drug use before 15 years of age are at twice the risk of having drug problems as those whose initial use is after 19 years of age.

**Early Initiation of Antisocial Behavior.** Boys who are aggressive in grades K-3 are at higher risk for substance abuse and delinquency. When a boy's aggressive behavior in the early grades is combined with isolation or withdrawal, there is an even greater risk of problems in adolescence. This increased risk also applies to aggressive behavior combined with hyperactivity or attention deficit disorder.

This risk factor also includes persistent antisocial behavior in early adolescence, like misbehaving in school, skipping school, and getting into fights with other children. Young people, both girls and boys, who engage in these behaviors during early adolescence are at increased risk for drug abuse, delinquency, teen pregnancy, school dropout, and violence. **Favorable Attitudes Toward Antisocial Behavior.** Favorable attitudes toward antisocial behavior can take the form of approval of the behavior, a desire to participate, or approval of others who engage in the behavior. Any of these specific attitudes are known to directly lead to greater involvement in antisocial behavior.

**Favorable Attitudes Toward Drug Use.** Favorable attitudes toward drug use can take the form of approval of the use of substances in general, or in the use of a specific substance, a desire to participate in drug use, or approval of others who engage in the behavior. Any of these specific attitudes are known to directly lead to greater involvement in drug use.

**Perceived Risks of Drug Use.** When students perceive that drug use carries significant personal risk, they are less likely to engage in use. Perceived risk has been recognized for decades as a significant predictor of drug use, and student beliefs about drug-related risk have been well-measured since the 1970s. The perceived risks are influenced by a number of cultural- and peer-related factors, which can either increase or decrease the perceived risk.

Please note that the item on "Perceived risk of drug use" was re-worded for the 2012 APNA survey to reflect requirements of federal funding agencies. As a result, the data can not be compared to previous years' data.

**Interaction with Antisocial Peers.** Research has demonstrated that youth who associate with peers who engage in problem behaviors are much more likely to engage in the same problem behaviors. Even when young people come from well-managed families and do not experience other risk factors, just hanging out with those who engage in problem behaviors greatly increases their risks. However, young people who experience a low number of risk factors are less likely to associate with those who are involved in problem behaviors.

**Friends' Use of Drugs.** Modeling of peer behavior is part of the adolescent experience. When a significant proportion of the student's friends are using drugs, especially without any apparent negative consequences, this leads to an increased likelihood of drug involvement.

**Rewards for Antisocial Involvement.** Adolescents will have opportunities to become involved with various student subgroups, some of whom will support and promote antisocial behavior. If the student is involved with peers who positively reinforce the student for their antisocial behavior, this increases the likelihood of further involvement in problem behavior.

**Gang Involvement.** Youth who belong to gangs are more at-risk for antisocial behavior and drug use. Gang membership has been linked to violence, shootings, destruction of public property, and involvement in other illegal behaviors including distribution of drugs.

**Depressive Symptoms.** Young people who are depressed are more frequently involved in the criminal justice system and are more likely to use drugs. When depressed, youth have difficulty in identifying and engaging in pro-social activities. They consequently do not gain recognition for demonstrating positive behaviors or do not develop attachments to their schools or communities. In the 2017 APNA survey, youth who scored highest on the items measuring depressive symptoms also scored significantly higher on all of the drug use questions.

PEER/INDIVIDUAL DOMAIN PROTECTIVE FACTORS

**Religiosity.** Involvement with a faith community can protect the adolescent from involvement in problem behaviors.

Peer/Individual Domain Risk and Protective Factors not Measured on 2017 APNA Survey

Data on several factors were not collected in 2017. However, these peer/individual risk and protective factors influence youth behavior and are important to keep in mind.

## **ADDITIONAL RISK FACTORS**

**Rebelliousness.** Young people who feel they are not part of society, are not bound by rules, don't believe in trying to be successful or responsible, or who take an active rebellious stance toward society, are at higher risk of drug abuse, delinquency, and school dropout.

**Intentions to Use.** Many prevention programs focus on reducing the intention of participants to use ATODs later in life. Reduction of intention to use ATODs often follows successful prevention interventions.

**Sensation Seeking.** Constitutional factors have a biological or physiological basis. These factors are often seen in young people with behaviors such as sensation-seeking, low harm-avoidance, and lack of impulse control. These factors appear to increase the risk of young people abusing drugs, engaging in delinquent behavior, and/or committing violent acts.

### **ADDITIONAL PROTECTIVE FACTORS**

**Involvement with Prosocial Peers.** As might be expected, when adolescents are involved with prosocial peers, numerous positive effects are seen. They are more likely to engage in prosocial activities, be rewarded for those activities, and have a greater personal commitment to not engaging in problem behaviors. **Social Skills.** Social skills—the ability to successfully and positively interact with others—are known to facilitate life success in a number of ways. Students are frequently faced with social situations in which they can either become involved with or avoid problem behaviors. Having good social skills, which allows youth to navigate these situations without negative social consequences, is known to predict healthy development.

**Belief in the Moral Order.** This protective factor measures the student's commitment to a common body of ethical and moral precepts generally accepted by all members of a society. For example, questions ask about the student's commitment to not stealing, cheating, and to being honest with others. Commitment to a shared ethical system binds the youth to the culture, promotes prosocial involvement, and reduces the likelihood that the student will become involved in antisocial behavior.

**Prosocial Involvement.** There are a number of ways that adolescents can be involved with their peers in prosocial activities. The list of potential activities is virtually limitless (which makes this protective factor difficult to measure), but not all adolescents avail themselves of the opportunities. When they do, involvement in prosocial activities is known to increase the likelihood that they will remain drug-free.

**Rewards for Prosocial Involvement.** Peer relationships can reward the adolescent for prosocial involvement. Those that do are known to increase the extent of the adolescent's prosocial involvement, and consequently have a beneficial effect in helping the adolescent avoid problem behaviors.

### TABLE 2-8

					Peer/	Indivic	lual De	omain	Risk a	nd Pro	otectiv	ve Fac	tor Sc	ores										
			Gra	de 6					Gra	de 8					Grad	le 10					Grac	le 12		
	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
RISK FACTORS																								
Early Initiation of Antisocial Behavior	22.8	22.0	16.7	16.2	16.4	16.6	32.0	30.2	24.9	23.1	23.6	22.5	35.6	34.2	27.5	26.1	27.2	23.9	35.7	33.7	27.9	26.2	27.4	24.3
Early Initiation of Drug Use	19.6	17.7	17.0	16.2	16.4	15.3	21.4	18.7	18.7	16.7	15.7	14.1	25.5	24.0	23.1	20.2	18.8	16.4	26.3	24.7	23.8	21.7	21.2	17.8
Attitudes Favorable to Antisocial Behavior	34.9	33.9	22.8	23.3	25.7	25.5	30.1	27.8	26.8	25.4	26.5	25.3	38.4	36.6	36.6	34.2	33.9	32.1	35.5	34.8	35.7	34.6	34.5	30.5
Attitudes Favorable to Drug Use	15.3	14.5	13.1	12.6	13.5	12.9	21.5	19.8	20.6	18.8	19.7	18.3	33.1	32.5	32.6	30.3	31.2	27.4	32.4	32.4	33.1	30.4	31.2	26.5
Perceived Risk of Drug Use	36.9	36.7	36.9	35.5	38.3	38.1	44.0	43.8	46.5	44.6	48.4	47.2	47.0	47.5	50.3	48.1	51.7	49.3	52.8	54.7	56.5	57.3	59.6	55.0
Interaction with Antisocial Peers	38.1	36.0	33.2	32.4	32.2	30.5	47.3	44.7	42.8	40.4	40.5	37.9	48.1	46.5	44.1	41.4	41.6	37.7	45.6	45.0	43.4	41.0	40.2	34.8
Friends' Use of Drugs	17.1	16.1	14.9	14.6	13.7	13.0	26.2	22.5	23.0	20.7	19.8	18.6	29.7	28.0	26.8	23.6	22.3	19.4	27.7	26.0	26.2	23.0	22.2	18.9
Rewards for Antisocial Behavior	24.1	22.9	24.4	24.5	26.1	25.2	37.0	33.5	36.2	34.1	35.3	33.7	42.9	41.7	42.4	39.8	40.3	38.0	56.0	55.4	56.9	53.8	53.9	49.0
Depression Scale	35.3	34.5	35.5	34.6	35.3	32.7	42.1	41.1	42.5	42.1	42.9	40.9	43.3	46.0	48.1	47.1	48.6	46.7	37.7	40.1	42.6	44.5	46.6	43.0
Gang Involvement	16.4	16.0	15.1	14.8	15.7	19.7	15.3	13.3	13.0	11.7	12.1	11.8	23.6	21.9	20.1	19.6	20.4	22.5	23.5	23.0	21.6	21.6	22.1	24.8
PROTECTIVE FACTORS																								
Religiosity	62.3	61.5	61.9	63.4	60.0	54.1	67.1	66.9	67.1	66.9	65.0	61.3	65.2	63.9	64.1	64.1	62.3	58.0	85.2	84.4	83.7	82.0	81.0	75.5

FIGURE 2-5

## **Risk Factors: Peer/Individual Domain (2017)**



FIGURE 2-6

## **Protective Factors: Peer/Individual Domain (2017)**



## 2.2 Risk and Protective Factor Results for Arkansas Students

## 2.2.1 Summary of Findings from the 2017 APNA

## RISK FACTORS

In comparison with the national norm, risk factor scores for Arkansas youth in all four domains are generally lower, which is a good thing. Those risk factors that were elevated for Arkansas students were: Transitions and Mobility (grade 10), Perceived Risk of Drug Use among 8th, 10th and 12th graders, Rewards for Antisocial Behaviors among 12th graders, and Depression among 10th graders. On all other risk factors, Arkansas students had notably lower risk scores.

In general, the grade level changes were as expected. For many risk factor scales, the levels of risk most often increase with increasing age and peak in the 10th or 12th grades. For example, in the Rewards for Antisocial Behavior risk scale, 25.2% of 6th graders, 33.7% of 8th graders, 38% of 10th graders, and 49% of 12th graders were at risk. Another example is Perceived Availability of Drugs. In the 6th grade only 12.8% of students report this risk factor, but this increases to 16.2%, 21.5% and 26.3% in the 8th, 10th and 12th grades, respectively. It is likely that students will increase in their number of elevated risk factors as they progress through adolescence.

However, for many other risk factors, there is only limited progression with age, if any. For example, Poor Family Management risk factor declines from 6th to 12th grade among Arkansas students.

Of note, results from the 2017 APNA have shown a few areas where risk factors have declined since the 2016 survey effort. Within the community domain, fewer students in all grades in 2017 were at risk compared with 2016 data for: community disorganization; transitions and mobility; laws and norms that favor drug use; perceived availability of drugs; and perceived availability of handguns. (Table 2-2). Likewise, within the family domain fewer students in all grades in 2017 were at risk compared with 2016 data for poor family management; family history of antisocial behavior; parent attitudes favor drug use. (Table 2-4).

## PROTECTIVE FACTORS

In general, Arkansas students show a high number of protective factors, and they compare favorably with the national norm. Arkansas students are most elevated on Religiosity (up to 75.5% for grade 12), and School Opportunities for Prosocial Involvement (>60% for grades 8, 10 and 12) and Rewards for Prosocial Involvement (grade 10). Although students' protective factors are elevated from national norms, it should be noted that Religiosity protective factor declined across all grades between 2016 and 2017 (Table 2.8); and, the Prosocial Involvement protective factors (both school opportunities and rewards) declined between 2016 and 2017 (Table 2-6).

## Section 3. Substance Use Outcomes

This section reports the use of alcohol, tobacco, and other drugs (ATOD) by Arkansas youth. A number of important topics are investigated by the APNA, including experimentation, current use, heavy use, and a variety of contextual factors such as the location of use and student and parent attitudes toward ATOD.

## 3.1 Introduction to the Measurement of Substance Use Outcomes

3.1.1 Substances and Prevalence Periods Measured in the APNA Survey

The APNA measures the prevalence of use of 16 substances among Arkansas youth (Table 3-1). Because most substances in the APNA have been routinely measured since the inception of APNA, the survey effort provides long-term trend data for policy and planning purposes. A few substances have been added throughout the years to reflect current usage trends and include: prescription drugs and over-the-counter drugs (2009); synthetic marijuana and bath salts (2012); and e-cigarettes (2014).

Lifetime use is recorded when a student reports that they have used a substance at least once in their lifetime. Lifetime use is typically viewed as the best measure of youth experimentation with ATOD. Past 30-day use is recorded when youth report that they have used a substance at least once in the past 30 days. Past 30-day use is typically viewed as the best measure of the ongoing use of ATOD. For alcohol use only, binge drinking is measured using a two-week prevalence period.

## 3.1.2 Comparison Groups

In this report there are six major comparisons on which the presentations of the results are based. First, 2017 findings are compared with the most recent findings of the Monitoring the Future Survey (MTF), which is considered the "gold standard" regarding national assessment of adolescent substance use. For APNA's purposes, one limitation of the MTF survey is that data are collected only on 8th, 10th, and 12th grade students.

### TABLE 3-1 - SUBSTANCES AND PREVALENCE PERIOD MEASURED IN APNA 2017

DRUG	PREVALANCE PERIOD
Alcohol	Lifetime, Past 30 Days, Binge in Past Two Weeks
Cigarettes	Lifetime, Past 30 Days
Smokeless Tobacco	Lifetime, Past 30 Days
E-Cigarettes	Lifetime
Marijuana	Lifetime, Past 30 Days
Inhalants	Lifetime, Past 30 Days
Hallucinogens	Lifetime, Past 30 Days
Cocaine	Lifetime, Past 30 Days
Methamphetamines	Lifetime, Past 30 Days
Synthetic Marijuana	Lifetime, Past 30 Days
Bath Salts	Lifetime, Past 30 Days
Ecstasy	Lifetime, Past 30 Days
Heroin	Lifetime, Past 30 Days
Prescription Drugs	Lifetime, Past 30 Days
Over-The-Counter Drugs	Lifetime, Past 30 Days
Alcopops	Lifetime, Past 30 Days
Any Drug	Lifetime, Past 30 Days

The 2017 APNA findings are also compared against five previous APNA findings from 2012-2016. Long-term trend data are one of the most valuable resources for policy makers and prevention planners in regard to ATOD prevention efforts. Annual collection of APNA data gives Arkansas prevention providers tools that are not always available in other states.

## 3.2 Age of Initiation

Arkansas youth were asked to report when, if ever, they first used ATODs. In calculating the average age of initiation, only data from those youth who had indicated they had used the substance were taken into account. As a result, the number of students included in these analyses is a fraction of those included in the other analyses.

Table 3.2 and Figure 3-1 show that youth begin using cigarettes before any other substance. Of those youth who had used cigarettes, the average age of first use was 12.5 years. As with the previous year, more than a year continues to separate the age of when the student reported first having more than a sip or two of alcohol and the first regular alcohol use. The first incidence of more than one sip occurs at 12.8 years, and the first regular use of alcohol at 14.3 years. Of the youth who had used marijuana, the average age of first use was 13.8 years, which is similar to 2016 reports. In 2014, a question on age of initiation of e-cigarette use was added. In this third year reporting on e-cigarette age of initiation, students reported the same age (13.9) as in 2016.

Comparing 2012 results to this year's results, there has been little change in age of initiation for any of the five substances. In all categories, except for "first alcohol more than sip," students are waiting slightly longer (1 month) to try these substances than reported in 2012. For "first alcohol more than sip," students in 2017 continued to report age of initiation as 12.8 years.

TABLE 3-2

		Age of Init	iation			
Dwig Hood		(Of Student	Average Age s Who Indica	e of First Use ted That They	/ Had Used)	
Drug Osed	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
First Cigarette Use	12.4	12.4	12.5	12.5	12.5	12.5
First Marijuana Use	13.7	13.7	13.7	13.7	13.8	13.8
First Alcohol More Than Sip	12.8	12.8	12.9	12.9	12.9	12.8
First Regular Alcohol Use	14.2	14.2	14.3	14.4	14.4	14.3
First E-cigarette Use			14.5	14.2	13.9	13.9
NOTE: Cells containing the symb that year's survey.	ol indicate an a	area where data	a are not availa	ble due to the c	question not be	ing asked in

FIGURE 3-1

# Average Age of First Substance Use (of Students Who Indicated That They Had Used)



## 3.3 Lifetime ATOD Use

## 3.3.1 Arkansas Results Compared with National Results

Lifetime use is recorded when a student reports that they have used a substance at least once in their lifetime. Lifetime use is typically viewed as the best measure of youth experimentation. In the 2017 APNA survey, the substances with the highest lifetime prevalence rates include: alcohol (27.8%), ecigarettes (20.9%), cigarettes (17%), alcopops (16.0%), marijuana (13.6%), and smokeless tobacco (10.6%). Since the 2016 APNA, reported rates have declined for all of these substances, with the exception of e-cigarettes, which increased from 16.9% in 2016 to 20.9% in 2017.

Overall, youth in Arkansas report rates of decline in ATOD use over the last several years that generally mirror the national sample. Tables 3-3, 3-4 and Figure 3-2 show the lifetime ATOD use by Arkansas 8th, 10th, and 12th grade participants and compares with MTF participants. Alcohol is by far the most frequently reported substance by Arkansas students. Lifetime prevalence of alcohol ranged from 8.6% for 6th graders to 51.4% for 12th grade students. (Table 3-4)

Compared with the national sample, Arkansas youth also reported substantially less lifetime use of alcohol, marijuana, LSD/Hallucinogens, cocaine, inhalants, methamphetamines, and ecstasy. (Table 3-3) However, Arkansas' 8th, 10th and 12th graders reported higher lifetime experience with cigarettes and smokeless tobacco; its 10th and 12th graders reported slightly elevated use of heroin / opiates compared with MTF results. (Tables 3-3).

TABLE 3-3 - DIFI	EKENCE			EVALENC	E NATES		UMPARA	AJUKEJ
BETWEEN ARKAN	NSAS ST	UDENTS .	and MT	F 2017 F		5		

TABLE 2-2 - DIFFEDENCE IN LIFETIME DEVIALENCE DATES ON DIRECTLY COMPADABLE MEASURES

Grade Level	Alcohol	Cigarettes	Smokeless Tobacco	Marijuana	LSD/Hallucinogens	Cocaine	Inhalants	Methamphetamines	Heroin/Opiates	MDMA(Ecstasy
8th	-1.9%	4.3%	2.5%	-5.3%	-0.7%	-0.6%	-3.2%	-0.2%	-0.3%	-1.1%
10th	-3.0%	6.6%	4.9%	-10.3%	-0.8%	-0.8%	-1.3%	0.0%	0.6%	-1.3%
12th	-10.1%	4.9%	7.8%	-14.0%	-1.3%	-1.9%	-1.1%	0.0%	0.6%	-2.7%

Values above 0 (pink background) indicate Arkansas use above MTF value. Values below 0 (green background) indicate Arkansas use below MTF findings.

# 3.3.2 2017 Results Compared with Previous Years' Results

Since the 2012 APNA survey, lifetime use of most substances by Arkansas youth has decreased, sometimes dramatically. Table 3-4 and Figure 3-2 show the long-term trend for lifetime prevalence for ATOD substances for Arkansas students. The parallel trend for MTF is also shown.

Table 3-4 shows that the long-term trend has been positive since 2012, and this downward trend continues for several categories between 2016 and 2017 data across all grade levels.

### TABLE 3-4

							Per	centa	age o	f Ark	ansa	s Res	ponde	ents \	Nho l	Jsed	ATO	Ds Di	uring	Their	Lifeti	me b	y Gra	de									
Drug Used			Arka Gra	nsas de 6		•		4	Arka Gra	nsas de 8			MTF Grade 8			Arka Grad	insas de 10		4	MTF Grade 10			Arka Grad	insas de 12			MTF Grade 12			То	tal		
	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
Alcohol	9.7	8.5	8.5	8.2	7.9	8.6	26.6	23.4	23.2	22.3	21.2	21.2	23.1	47.9	45.5	45.2	42.5	39.5	39.2	42.2	61.1	58.8	58.7	55.8	53.8	51.4	61.5	33.9	31.5	31.2	29.7	28.2	27.8
Cigarettes	7.9	7.0	6.3	5.7	5.8	5.7	20.6	18.0	18.0	15.5	14.5	13.7	9.4	32.9	30.6	29.5	26.3	24.4	22.5	15.9	42.1	39.6	39.4	35.3	34.2	31.5	26.6	24.2	22.2	21.5	19.1	18.2	17.0
Smokeless Tobacco	5.3	4.7	4.7	4.1	4.0	4.2	12.1	11.1	11.3	9.9	9.1	8.7	6.2	19.5	18.8	18.4	16.9	15.2	14.0	9.1	23.6	22.2	22.4	19.9	19.5	18.8	11.0	14.2	13.3	13.2	11.9	11.1	10.6
E-cigarettes			3.4	3.6	3.5	4.9			13.1	14.3	12.4	16.1				28.4	28.6	24.6	30.5				37.3	37.1	33.8	39.3				18.7	19.1	16.9	20.9
Marijuana	1.4	1.3	1.4	1.3	1.3	1.4	9.4	8.9	9.1	8.2	8.3	8.2	13.5	24.5	23.9	23.3	21.7	20.8	20.4	30.7	35.6	34.3	35.5	33.1	33.1	31.0	45.0	16.0	15.3	15.4	14.3	14.1	13.6
Inhalants	4.0	3.5	3.5	3.1	3.1	3.4	8.5	7.1	6.9	5.7	5.7	5.7	8.9	8.8	7.7	6.8	5.9	5.2	4.8	6.1	7.4	6.1	5.6	5.0	3.9	3.8	4.9	7.1	6.1	5.7	4.9	4.5	4.5
Hallucinogens	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.6	0.6	0.6	1.3	2.3	1.9	2.1	2.2	1.8	2.2	3.0	3.6	3.6	3.8	4.2	4.0	3.7	5.0	1.5	1.4	1.5	1.6	1.4	1.5
Cocaine	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.7	0.7	0.7	1.3	1.8	1.5	1.6	1.5	1.3	1.3	2.1	2.8	2.6	2.6	2.8	2.5	2.3	4.2	1.3	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.1	1.0
Methamphetamines	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.8	0.7	0.7	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.7	1.8	1.4	1.3	1.2	0.9	0.9	0.9	2.2	2.1	2.0	1.6	1.3	1.1	1.1	1.2	1.0	0.9	0.8	0.7	0.6
Synthetic Marijuana	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	3.0	2.4	2.1	1.5	1.4	1.4		8.8	6.1	4.4	3.5	2.6	2.2		13.2	10.1	7.6	5.3	3.6	2.7		5.7	4.2	3.2	2.4	1.8	1.6
Bath Salts	1.2	1.2	1.5	1.8	2.1	2.5	1.2	0.9	1.1	1.4	1.6	1.8		1.0	0.8	0.7	0.7	0.9	0.8		0.8	0.7	0.7	0.6	0.6	0.5		1.1	1.0	1.0	1.2	1.4	1.5
Ecstasy	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.8	0.7	0.6	0.5	0.4	0.4	1.5	2.5	2.1	1.9	1.5	1.2	1.5	2.8	4.0	3.5	2.7	2.8	2.4	2.2	4.9	1.7	1.4	1.2	1.1	0.9	0.9
Heroin	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.3	0.5	0.4	0.7	1.2	1.0	0.9	0.8	0.7	1.0	0.4	2.0	1.7	1.5	1.6	1.3	1.3	0.7	0.9	0.8	0.7	0.6	0.6	0.7
Prescription Drugs	1.9	1.8	1.9	2.2	2.5	3.1	5.0	4.4	5.1	5.0	5.1	5.9		11.7	10.3	11.0	10.3	9.2	9.9		15.7	14.3	15.5	14.1	13.2	11.7	16.5	7.9	7.0	7.6	7.2	6.9	7.2
OTC Drugs	1.0	0.9	0.9	1.0	1.0	1.2	2.6	2.5	2.4	2.5	2.4	2.2		5.3	5.3	4.6	4.3	3.7	4.3		6.7	5.9	5.5	5.2	4.6	3.9		3.7	3.4	3.1	3.0	2.8	2.8
Alcopops	4.6	3.8	3.7	3.3	3.2	3.2	16.5	14.3	13.9	12.4	11.5	11.2	16.0	32.6	30.1	28.9	26.9	24.1	23.2	34.8	43.1	40.5	39.9	37.2	34.8	32.4	51.2	22.4	20.3	19.7	18.1	16.8	16.0
Any Drug	7.5	6.8	7.4	7.2	7.7	8.7	17.6	16.0	16.3	15.3	15.3	15.9		31.0	29.4	28.9	27.2	26.3	25.9		40.1	38.3	39.7	36.9	36.3	34.5		22.5	21.0	21.3	20.1	19.9	19.9

NOTE: Cells containing the -- symbol indicate an area where data are not available either due to the question not being asked in that year's survey, or the MTF data are not comparable to the Arkansas data.

NOTE: The Any Drug category should not be compared across the years because the types of drugs assessed changed over the years in order to add emerging drugs being used (or drop those that had become unpopular). See full explanation in Section 3.3.2.

FIGURE 3-2



Lifetime ATOD Use: Arkansas (2012 thru 2017) Compared with National (2017)

MTF=Monitoring the Future, a national survey of 8th, 10th and 12th graders.

Arkansas Prevention Needs Assessment (APNA) Student Survey

### **Special Note**

On frequency tables providing the percentage of Arkansas students who used ATODs, please note that the Any Drug category includes all drugs that were included in the APNA that year. Therefore, each year is slightly different and cannot be compared. For example: Relevant to data reported herein, in 2012 the drug categories of stimulants and sedatives were dropped and the categories of synthetic marijuana and bath salts were added. In 2014, the category of e-cigarettes was added.

## 3.3.3 Substance Use by Gender

Being male is generally considered a risk factor for substance use; males often show higher levels of use. However, for Arkansas students in 2017, overall female substance use in eight categories was higher than that reported by males: alcohol, marijuana, inhalants, synthetic marijuana, bath salts, prescription drugs, over-the-counter drugs, and alcopops. (Figure 3-3, Tables 3-5 and 3-6)

As is typically found, one of the largest percentage differences between genders was for smokeless tobacco use by 12th grade boys who use smokeless tobacco at about 3.5 times the rate of girls (29.8% vs. 8.2%). Other differences are less dramatic.

Student reports of e-cigarettes use revealed a high percentage of 12th grade males and females reporting lifetime use of e-cigarettes (42.7% and 36.1%, respectively). Tenth grade males and females also reported fairly high levels of e-cigarette use (31.8% and 29.1%, respectively). In both cases and across grades, e-cigarette use has increased significantly.

Since 2016, total lifetime use for most substances decreased slightly or remained stable for females, with the exception of e-cigarettes, bath salts, heroin, and prescription drugs. Similar to the overall lifetime use reported by all students, e-cigarettes increased in popularity among females from 14.9% in 2016 to 19.5% in 2017.

Males also exhibited a similar pattern with general stability or decline in use, with the exception of e-cigarettes, hallucinogens, ecstasy, heroin, prescription drugs, and over-the-counter drugs.

Although these mostly small increases were found between 2016 and 2017, rates of use, for the most part, are lower than 2012 reports. Future data collection may indicate a shift in substance use behavior; reporting should be watchful of these slight increases found in the most recent years.

FIGURE 3-3

## Lifetime ATOD Use by Gender



### TABLE 3-5

								Perce	entage	of Ma	ales b	y Gra	de W	no Us	ed AT	ODs [	During	g Thei	r Lifet	ime										
Deve Hand			Arka Gra	nsas de 6					Arka Gra	nsas de 8					Arka Grad	nsas de 10					Arka Grad	nsas de 12					То	tal		
Drug Used	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
Alcohol	11.2	9.8	10.0	9.0	9.1	9.6	25.8	21.9	22.5	21.3	20.1	19.8	46.2	44.0	42.7	39.8	37.0	35.6	60.6	58.4	56.7	53.7	51.2	49.2	33.1	30.5	30.0	28.2	26.8	26.2
Cigarettes	9.1	7.9	7.3	6.4	6.6	6.4	20.5	17.9	17.9	15.4	14.1	13.5	33.8	31.9	30.0	26.7	25.4	22.0	46.2	44.0	42.6	38.1	36.7	34.0	25.2	23.2	22.2	19.7	18.8	17.4
Smokeless Tobacco	8.3	7.1	7.0	6.0	5.9	5.8	18.5	16.3	16.2	14.5	12.9	12.3	31.0	29.9	29.4	26.2	23.5	20.8	38.7	37.3	36.9	33.0	31.9	29.8	22.3	20.8	20.5	18.2	16.9	15.8
E-cigarettes			4.3	4.2	4.2	5.9			14.4	15.3	13.5	17.1			31.5	31.1	27.9	31.8			42.7	42.2	39.0	42.7			20.8	20.8	19.0	22.2
Marijuana	1.8	1.5	1.9	1.4	1.6	1.6	10.1	9.0	9.4	8.1	8.4	8.0	26.3	25.0	23.0	21.5	20.2	19.0	39.3	36.8	36.8	33.6	32.8	31.0	17.1	15.8	15.5	14.1	13.8	13.1
Inhalants	4.0	3.4	3.7	2.9	3.0	3.2	6.5	5.5	5.2	4.5	4.3	4.5	7.5	6.2	5.6	4.8	4.4	3.7	7.3	6.2	5.4	4.5	3.8	3.9	6.2	5.2	4.9	4.1	3.9	3.8
Hallucinogens	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.8	0.7	0.8	0.7	0.6	0.6	2.5	2.3	2.6	2.7	2.2	2.5	4.8	5.1	5.3	5.5	5.2	4.9	1.8	1.7	1.9	1.9	1.7	1.8
Cocaine	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.9	0.8	0.8	0.5	0.6	0.6	2.1	1.7	2.0	1.6	1.5	1.4	3.5	3.3	3.7	4.0	3.2	2.9	1.5	1.4	1.5	1.4	1.2	1.1
Methamphetamines	0.4	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.7	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.5	1.9	1.4	1.3	1.1	0.9	0.9	2.7	2.5	2.3	1.8	1.3	1.2	1.3	1.1	1.0	0.8	0.7	0.7
Synthetic Marijuana	0.7	0.5	0.6	0.3	0.4	0.5	3.1	2.4	2.1	1.4	1.3	1.3	9.7	6.8	4.6	3.5	2.6	1.9	16.2	11.9	8.9	6.2	3.8	2.8	6.5	4.7	3.5	2.5	1.8	1.5
Bath Salts	0.9	0.9	1.0	1.3	1.6	2.0	1.0	0.5	0.7	0.8	1.0	1.1	0.9	0.6	0.6	0.4	0.6	0.5	0.9	0.8	0.8	0.7	0.6	0.5	0.9	0.7	0.8	0.8	1.0	1.1
Ecstasy	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.9	0.7	0.6	0.4	0.4	0.4	2.8	2.4	2.1	1.7	1.2	1.6	4.7	4.3	3.6	3.7	2.9	2.7	1.9	1.6	1.4	1.2	1.0	1.0
Heroin	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.4	1.4	1.1	1.0	0.9	0.8	1.2	2.6	2.3	1.9	2.1	1.8	1.7	1.0	0.9	0.8	0.7	0.7	0.8
Prescription Drugs	2.1	1.7	1.7	2.0	2.3	2.9	3.8	3.2	3.6	3.3	3.4	4.4	10.3	8.8	8.9	8.0	7.3	7.8	16.4	14.7	15.4	13.7	11.9	10.5	7.3	6.2	6.5	6.0	5.6	6.0
OTC Drugs	0.9	0.7	0.8	0.8	0.9	1.1	1.7	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.4	1.6	4.1	4.1	3.3	3.3	2.6	3.2	6.5	5.8	4.8	4.8	3.6	3.3	3.0	2.7	2.3	2.3	2.0	2.2
Alcopops	4.9	3.9	3.9	3.3	3.2	3.0	14.4	11.9	11.8	9.9	9.1	9.4	28.7	26.4	24.1	22.4	20.2	18.5	39.6	36.4	34.8	32.3	29.8	28.1	19.9	17.7	16.7	15.1	14.0	13.3
Any Drug	7.9	6.6	7.5	6.8	7.4	8.3	16.3	14.2	14.6	13.6	13.2	13.9	31.1	28.8	27.3	25.3	24.1	23.1	43.2	39.9	40.2	36.7	35.4	34.0	22.5	20.3	20.3	18.7	18.3	18.3

NOTE: Cells containing the -- symbol indicate an area where data are not available due to the question not being asked in that year's survey. NOTE: The Any Drug category should not be compared across the years because the types of drugs assessed changed over the years in order to add emerging drugs being used (or drop those that had become unpopular). See full explanation in Section 3.3.2.

### TABLE 3-6

							P	ercen	tage	of Fer	nales	by Gr	ade V	Vho U	sed A	TODs	Durin	ng The	eir Lif	etime										
			Arka Gra	nsas de 6					Arka Gra	nsas de 8					Arka Grad	nsas de 10					Arka Grad	insas de 12					То	tal		
Drug Used	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
Alcohol	8.4	7.1	7.1	7.3	6.7	7.7	27.2	24.8	23.9	23.2	22.2	22.5	49.6	46.8	47.4	45.0	41.7	42.5	61.6	59.0	60.5	57.6	56.0	53.5	34.6	32.3	32.4	31.1	29.4	29.3
Cigarettes	6.6	6.2	5.3	5.0	5.0	5.0	20.6	18.1	18.1	15.6	14.7	13.9	32.1	29.4	29.1	25.9	23.5	22.7	38.6	35.8	36.6	32.8	32.0	28.9	23.3	21.2	20.9	18.6	17.5	16.4
Smokeless Tobacco	2.4	2.4	2.5	2.2	2.2	2.5	6.1	6.1	6.4	5.5	5.2	5.1	9.1	9.0	8.5	8.5	7.7	7.6	10.6	9.1	9.7	8.4	8.4	8.2	6.8	6.5	6.5	6.0	5.6	5.6
E-cigarettes			2.5	2.9	2.7	3.8			11.9	13.3	11.2	15.1			25.5	26.3	21.5	29.1			32.7	32.6	29.2	36.1			16.8	17.5	14.9	19.5
Marijuana	1.0	1.1	1.0	1.2	1.0	1.1	8.7	8.9	8.8	8.2	8.0	8.5	22.9	22.9	23.5	21.9	21.3	21.6	32.4	32.2	34.4	32.5	33.3	31.2	14.9	14.9	15.3	14.5	14.3	14.0
Inhalants	3.9	3.5	3.4	3.4	3.3	3.6	10.4	8.6	8.6	6.8	6.9	6.8	9.9	9.0	7.9	6.8	6.0	5.8	7.4	6.0	5.8	5.3	4.0	3.7	7.9	6.8	6.5	5.6	5.1	5.1
Hallucinogens	0.0	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.7	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.6	2.0	1.5	1.6	1.8	1.6	2.0	2.6	2.4	2.5	3.0	2.9	2.6	1.2	1.1	1.1	1.2	1.2	1.2
Cocaine	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.9	1.1	0.9	0.9	0.8	0.8	1.5	1.4	1.2	1.5	1.2	1.2	2.2	1.9	1.7	1.8	2.0	1.8	1.1	1.1	0.9	1.0	1.0	0.9
Methamphetamines	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.8	0.9	0.7	0.7	0.6	0.6	1.7	1.5	1.3	1.3	0.9	0.9	1.9	1.7	1.8	1.3	1.3	1.0	1.1	1.0	0.9	0.8	0.7	0.6
Synthetic Marijuana	0.4	0.3	0.2	0.5	0.3	0.4	2.8	2.3	2.1	1.6	1.4	1.6	8.1	5.5	4.3	3.4	2.7	2.5	10.7	8.6	6.5	4.5	3.4	2.5	5.1	3.8	3.0	2.3	1.8	1.6
Bath Salts	1.5	1.6	1.9	2.2	2.6	3.0	1.5	1.3	1.5	2.0	2.1	2.5	1.0	1.1	0.9	1.0	1.1	1.0	0.7	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.6	1.2	1.2	1.3	1.5	1.7	1.9
Ecstasy	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.7	0.8	0.5	0.6	0.4	0.4	2.3	1.7	1.7	1.3	1.2	1.4	3.4	2.9	1.9	2.0	1.9	1.7	1.5	1.2	1.0	0.9	0.8	0.8
Heroin	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.7	0.6	0.5	0.4	0.5	0.5	1.0	1.0	0.7	0.8	0.6	0.9	1.4	1.2	1.2	1.1	1.0	0.8	0.7	0.7	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.6
Prescription Drugs	1.7	1.9	2.0	2.3	2.8	3.2	6.0	5.6	6.6	6.5	6.6	7.2	12.9	11.6	12.8	12.3	10.9	11.8	15.1	14.0	15.5	14.4	14.3	12.7	8.4	7.8	8.7	8.4	8.1	8.3
OTC Drugs	1.2	1.1	1.0	1.1	1.1	1.3	3.5	3.5	3.2	3.4	3.3	2.8	6.4	6.3	5.8	5.2	4.7	5.2	6.8	5.9	6.1	5.4	5.4	4.5	4.3	4.0	3.8	3.7	3.5	3.3
Alcopops	4.3	3.7	3.6	3.3	3.2	3.3	18.5	16.7	15.9	14.9	13.8	13.0	36.2	33.3	33.2	31.0	27.6	27.6	46.0	43.9	44.3	41.3	39.2	36.6	24.7	22.8	22.5	21.0	19.4	18.5
Any Drug	7.1	6.9	7.2	7.6	8.1	9.2	18.7	17.6	17.8	16.8	17.3	17.9	30.8	29.8	30.3	28.9	28.1	28.4	37.5	36.8	39.3	37.0	37.1	35.1	22.4	21.6	22.3	21.3	21.3	21.4

NOTE: Cells containing the -- symbol indicate an area where data are not available due to the question not being asked in that year's survey. NOTE: The Any Drug category should not be compared across the years because the types of drugs assessed changed over the years in order to add emerging drugs being used (or drop those that had become unpopular). See full explanation in Section 3.3.2.

## 3.4 Past 30-Day ATOD Use

Students were asked to report if they had used a substance at least once in the past 30 days. Past 30-day use is typically viewed as the best measure of the ongoing use of ATOD. As found in 2016, the most commonly used substances for 2017 were alcohol, alcopops, marijuana, cigarettes, and smokeless tobacco, in that order. In most categories, past 30-day prevalence rates in the 2017 survey remained stable or decreased since the 2016 survey. (Tables 3-8)

# 3.4.1 Arkansas Students' Substance Use Compared with National Results

Table 3-7 summarizes the statewide Arkansas findings as they compare with Monitoring the Future results and shows that Arkansas youth, compared with MTF respondents, have slightly higher rates of use of tobacco products. Arkansas students, compared with MTF respondents, also reported slightly higher use of inhalants among its 10th graders; its 10th and 12th graders had slightly increased rates of methamphetamine and heroin use. On the positive side, Arkansas youth showed lower levels of use on other substances, including alcohol, marijuana, LSD/hallucinogens, cocaine, and ecstasy. (Table 3-7)

Table 3-8 shows more details on the past 30-day results for all substances by grade level, with the results compared with MTF data. Figure 3-4 shows the past 30-day prevalence rates for alcohol, cigarettes, marijuana, smokeless tobacco and alcopops as compared with MTF findings.

Grade Level	Alcohol	Cigarettes	Smokeless Tobacco	Marijuana	LSD/Hallucinogens	Cocaine	Inhalants	Methamphetamines	Heroin/Opiates	MDMA(Ecstasy
8th	-1.8%	1.2%	1.5%	-1.7%	-0.1%	-0.1%	-0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	-0.2%
10th	-4.1%	1.9%	1.9%	-6.0%	-0.1%	-0.2%	0.3%	0.1%	0.3%	-0.1%
12th	-7.9%	3.1%	3.7%	-7.6%	-0.1%	-0.6%	0.0%	0.1%	0.2%	-0.4%
Values above 0 (pin Arkansas use below	ik backgrou v MTF findi	und) indica ings.	te Arkansa	as use abo	ve MTF va	lue. Values	s below 0 (	green bad	kground) ir	ndicate

# TABLE 3-7 - DIFFERENCE IN PAST 30-DAY PREVALENCE RATES: ARKANSAS STUDENTS VS. MTF 2017 RESPONDENTS

### TABLE 3-8

							Perc	entag	ge of	Arka	nsas	Resp	onder	nts W	ho Us	sed A	TOD	s Dur	ing T	he Pa	st 30	Days	by G	irade									
Drug Used			Arka Gra	nsas de 6					Arka Gra	insas de 8			MTF Grade 8			Arka Grae	insas de 10			MTF Grade 10			Arka Grae	insas de 12			MTF Grade 12			То	tal		
	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
Alcohol	1.8	1.6	1.5	1.2	1.2	1.4	8.7	7.0	7.6	6.8	6.4	6.2	8.0	20.5	19.0	19.5	18.1	16.1	15.6	19.7	31.1	29.0	30.2	27.8	26.2	25.3	33.2	14.0	12.6	13.0	12.0	11.1	10.8
Cigarettes	1.4	1.3	1.1	0.8	0.9	0.9	5.2	4.5	4.6	3.6	3.2	3.1	1.9	12.5	10.9	10.3	8.7	7.6	6.9	5.0	18.9	17.5	16.7	14.2	13.7	12.8	9.7	8.6	7.6	7.3	6.0	5.6	5.3
Smokeless Tobacco	1.4	1.3	1.2	1.1	1.0	1.1	4.7	4.3	4.2	3.4	3.2	3.2	1.7	9.2	8.4	8.5	7.2	6.2	5.7	3.8	11.2	10.4	10.4	9.1	8.7	8.6	4.9	6.1	5.6	5.6	4.8	4.3	4.2
Marijuana	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.5	0.4	0.6	4.1	3.9	4.3	3.5	3.5	3.8	5.5	11.8	11.2	11.4	10.2	10.0	9.7	15.7	17.0	16.3	16.6	16.2	16.2	15.3	22.9	7.5	7.1	7.3	6.7	6.7	6.6
Inhalants	1.7	1.5	1.5	1.3	1.4	1.5	3.3	2.6	2.6	2.2	2.0	2.0	2.1	2.5	2.1	1.8	1.5	1.4	1.4	1.1	1.4	1.1	1.1	1.0	0.7	0.8	0.8	2.3	1.9	1.8	1.6	1.4	1.5
Hallucinogens	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.8	0.6	0.7	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.8	1.0	1.0	0.9	1.2	1.2	1.1	1.2	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.5
Cocaine	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.6	0.4	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.6	1.2	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.3
Methamphetamines	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.6	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.7	0.5	0.6	0.5	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2
Synthetic Marijuana	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.2	1.2	0.9	0.8	0.6	0.6	0.6		2.3	1.6	1.1	0.9	0.9	0.6		2.6	1.4	1.1	0.8	0.6	0.6		1.5	1.0	0.7	0.6	0.5	0.5
Bath Salts	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.9	1.1	0.5	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.8		0.5	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.4		0.3	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.2		0.5	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.7
Ecstasy	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.8	0.6	0.6	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.5	1.0	0.7	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.5	0.9	0.5	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3
Heroin	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.1	0.7	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.3
Prescription Drugs	0.8	0.9	0.9	1.1	1.1	1.4	2.2	2.2	2.5	2.3	2.4	2.7		5.4	4.7	5.1	4.8	4.0	4.1		7.0	5.7	6.4	5.8	5.2	4.3	4.9	3.5	3.1	3.4	3.2	3.0	3.0
OTC Drugs	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.7	1.3	1.3	1.2	1.3	1.2	1.2		2.4	2.3	2.0	2.0	1.5	1.7		2.5	2.1	2.0	1.9	1.5	1.5		1.6	1.5	1.4	1.4	1.1	1.2
Alcopops	1.4	1.3	1.1	0.9	1.0	0.9	6.2	5.2	5.2	4.5	4.1	4.0	4.4	13.7	12.2	12.4	11.3	9.5	9.9	12.9	18.4	17.3	18.4	17.1	15.9	15.0	20.2	9.1	8.2	8.3	7.6	6.8	6.7
Any Drug	3.5	3.4	3.4	3.6	3.7	4.5	8.6	7.9	8.3	7.5	7.3	8.0		16.2	15.3	15.1	14.0	13.2	13.0		21.0	19.8	20.3	19.5	18.9	17.9		11.5	10.8	10.9	10.3	9.9	10.1

NOTE: Cells containing the -- symbol indicate an area where data are not available either due to the question not being asked in that year's survey, or the MTF data are not comparable to the Arkansas data. NOTE: The Any Drug category should not be compared across the years because the types of drugs assessed changed over the years in order to add emerging drugs being used (or drop those that had become unpopular). See full explanation in Section 3.3.2.

FIGURE 3-4



**30-Day ATOD Use:** Arkansas (2012 thru 2017) Compared with National (2017)

 $\mathsf{MTF}\texttt{=}\mathsf{Monitoring}$  the Future, a national survey of 8th, 10th and 12th graders.

Alcohol

Marijuana

Alcopops

Cigarettes

**Smokeless Tobacco** 

# 3.4.2 Arkansas Students' 30-Day Substance Use in 2017 Compared with Previous Years

Comparison of the 2017 APNA findings with the 2012-2016 surveys are presented in Table 3-8. Past 30-day use of all substances has decreased or remained relatively stable since the 2016 survey, as well as from 2012.

## 3.4.3 Past 30-Day Use by Gender

Tables 3-9 and 3-10 show the percentage of ATOD use in the past 30 days by males and females in the four grades and the total for all males and all females. Figure 3-5 graphically portrays the same data for selected substances: alcohol, cigarettes, and marijuana. As with male and female lifetime usage rates, past-month use followed similar trends for males and females. For example, the past 30-day prevalence rate of smokeless tobacco was notably higher for males than females at the 12th grade level (15.0% vs. 2.5%), and the 10th, 8th grade students also showed the same pattern. Comparing males to females in the 12th grade, alcohol prevalence rate was somewhat comparable (25.9% vs 24.7%, respectively); however, there was a 4.7% higher cigarette rate, and a 1.3% higher marijuana rate among 12th grade males vs. 12th grade females. Drug categories where female substance use was higher than male substance use were: alcohol, marijuana, synthetic marijuana, inhalants, bath salts, prescription drugs, and alcopops.

### TABLE 3-9

							Ρ	ercen	tage c	of Mal	es by	Grade	e Who	o Used	I ATO	Ds Du	Iring 1	The Pa	ast 30	Days										
Drug Llood			Arka Gra	nsas de 6					Arka Gra	nsas de 8					Arka Grad	nsas de 10					Arka Grac	nsas le 12					То	tal		
Drug Osed	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
Alcohol	2.1	1.7	1.7	1.2	1.2	1.5	8.2	6.0	7.2	5.7	5.6	5.4	20.6	19.2	18.7	17.3	15.3	14.6	33.9	30.9	31.0	28.4	26.3	25.9	14.2	12.5	12.7	11.4	10.6	10.4
Cigarettes	1.5	1.4	1.4	0.9	1.0	1.0	5.2	4.1	4.6	3.3	3.0	3.0	13.4	12.0	11.1	8.8	8.0	6.9	21.6	20.7	19.1	16.7	15.6	15.1	9.2	8.3	7.9	6.3	5.9	5.6
Smokeless Tobacco	2.2	1.9	1.9	1.6	1.5	1.4	7.7	6.7	6.4	5.3	4.8	4.4	16.4	14.8	14.8	12.3	10.6	9.2	20.7	19.6	18.9	16.7	15.6	15.0	10.7	9.6	9.4	8.0	7.2	6.7
Marijuana	0.6	0.6	0.8	0.5	0.5	0.6	4.3	3.8	4.3	3.3	3.7	3.4	13.2	12.0	11.6	10.7	10.2	9.4	20.4	18.0	18.4	17.8	16.7	16.0	8.4	7.5	7.6	6.9	6.8	6.4
Inhalants	1.5	1.4	1.5	1.1	1.1	1.3	2.2	1.8	1.9	1.5	1.4	1.5	2.1	1.7	1.3	1.1	1.2	1.1	1.4	1.0	0.9	0.9	0.7	0.8	1.8	1.5	1.5	1.2	1.1	1.2
Hallucinogens	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.9	0.7	0.9	0.7	0.6	0.9	1.4	1.3	1.3	1.5	1.7	1.6	0.6	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6
Cocaine	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.6	0.5	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.7	0.8	0.9	1.0	0.8	0.8	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.4
Methamphetamines	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.6	0.5	0.4	0.5	0.3	0.3	0.9	0.6	0.7	0.5	0.3	0.5	0.5	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2
Synthetic Marijuana	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	1.2	0.9	0.8	0.6	0.5	0.5	2.7	1.7	1.1	0.9	0.8	0.4	3.2	1.7	1.4	1.0	0.6	0.6	1.7	1.1	0.8	0.6	0.5	0.4
Bath Salts	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.8	0.9	0.4	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.5
Ecstasy	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.8	0.8	0.7	0.5	0.4	0.4	1.3	0.9	0.7	1.0	0.9	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.3
Heroin	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.5	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.5	0.9	0.8	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3
Prescription Drugs	0.9	0.8	0.9	1.0	1.0	1.2	1.6	1.4	1.7	1.4	1.7	2.0	4.8	3.9	4.1	3.9	3.2	3.3	7.2	6.0	6.6	5.9	5.2	4.0	3.3	2.7	2.9	2.7	2.5	2.5
OTC Drugs	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.9	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.6	0.9	1.9	1.6	1.4	1.5	1.1	1.2	2.3	1.9	1.5	1.8	1.2	1.4	1.3	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.8	1.0
Alcopops	1.5	1.2	1.2	0.9	0.9	0.8	5.5	4.1	4.6	3.5	3.4	3.3	12.1	10.9	10.5	9.6	8.2	8.3	16.8	15.2	15.9	14.7	13.6	13.7	8.1	7.0	7.1	6.3	5.8	5.8
Any Drug	3.7	3.4	3.6	3.2	3.3	4.0	7.6	6.6	7.2	6.2	6.3	6.6	16.7	15.1	14.3	13.4	12.4	11.9	24.0	21.4	21.5	20.7	19.4	18.0	11.7	10.4	10.5	9.7	9.3	9.3

NOTE: Cells containing the -- symbol indicate an area where data are not available due to the question not being asked in that year's survey.

NOTE: The Any Drug category should not be compared across the years because the types of drugs assessed changed over the years in order to add emerging drugs being used (or drop those that had become unpopular). See full explanation in Section 3.3.2.

### TABLE 3-10

							Pe	rcenta	ige of	Fema	ales b	y Gra	de Wh	io Use	ed AT	ODs D	ouring	The	Past 3	0 Day	/S									
Duralland			Arka Gra	nsas de 6					Arka Gra	nsas de 8					Arka Grad	nsas de 10					Arka Grad	nsas de 12					То	tal		
Drug Used	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
Alcohol	1.5	1.6	1.3	1.2	1.1	1.4	9.2	7.9	7.9	7.6	6.9	6.9	20.4	18.7	20.1	18.8	16.7	16.6	28.7	27.4	29.5	27.2	26.0	24.7	13.9	12.7	13.4	12.5	11.5	11.1
Cigarettes	1.3	1.2	0.8	0.8	0.7	0.8	5.2	4.8	4.6	3.9	3.2	3.3	11.6	9.9	9.6	8.4	7.2	6.9	16.4	14.7	14.5	11.9	12.0	10.4	8.0	7.0	6.7	5.7	5.2	4.8
Smokeless Tobacco	0.7	0.8	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.7	1.8	1.9	2.0	1.6	1.6	1.8	2.7	2.7	2.7	2.6	2.1	2.4	2.9	2.6	3.0	2.5	2.6	2.5	1.9	1.9	2.0	1.8	1.6	1.8
Marijuana	0.3	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.5	3.9	4.0	4.3	3.7	3.3	4.1	10.5	10.4	11.1	9.9	9.9	9.9	14.1	14.8	15.2	14.7	15.6	14.7	6.6	6.8	7.1	6.5	6.5	6.6
Inhalants	1.8	1.7	1.4	1.6	1.6	1.6	4.3	3.4	3.3	2.7	2.6	2.4	2.8	2.4	2.2	1.9	1.4	1.6	1.4	1.3	1.3	1.0	0.7	0.8	2.6	2.3	2.1	1.9	1.7	1.7
Hallucinogens	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.7	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.6	0.8	0.9	0.6	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.3
Cocaine	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3
Methamphetamines	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.6	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.6	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2
Synthetic Marijuana	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	1.1	0.9	0.8	0.6	0.7	0.6	2.0	1.5	1.1	0.9	0.9	0.8	2.0	1.2	0.8	0.7	0.5	0.5	1.2	0.9	0.7	0.6	0.5	0.5
Bath Salts	0.5	0.5	0.7	0.9	1.1	1.4	0.7	0.6	0.6	0.8	1.0	1.1	0.5	0.3	0.3	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.5	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.8
Ecstasy	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.7	0.4	0.5	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.7	0.6	0.4	0.4	0.6	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2
Heroin	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2
Prescription Drugs	0.7	1.0	0.9	1.1	1.1	1.6	2.7	2.8	3.2	3.1	3.0	3.3	5.8	5.4	5.9	5.5	4.7	4.7	6.8	5.5	6.2	5.7	5.2	4.5	3.8	3.5	3.9	3.7	3.3	3.4
OTC Drugs	0.7	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.5	0.9	1.7	1.9	1.7	1.7	1.7	1.5	2.9	3.0	2.5	2.5	1.9	2.2	2.6	2.2	2.3	1.9	1.8	1.6	1.9	1.9	1.7	1.7	1.4	1.5
Alcopops	1.3	1.3	0.9	0.9	1.0	1.1	6.8	6.2	5.8	5.3	4.7	4.6	15.1	13.4	14.1	12.8	10.7	11.2	19.6	19.2	20.5	19.1	18.0	16.2	10.0	9.3	9.5	8.7	7.8	7.5
Any Drug	3.4	3.5	3.3	4.0	4.0	4.9	9.6	9.2	9.4	8.6	8.2	9.3	15.8	15.5	15.8	14.5	13.8	13.9	18.3	18.5	19.3	18.2	18.5	17.7	11.2	11.1	11.3	10.7	10.5	10.8
NOTE: Cells containing t NOTE: The Any Drug ca	he syr tegory s	nbol ina hould na	licate an ot be coi	area w npared	here da across	ta are no the year	ot availa s becau	ble due se the ty	to the q /pes of (	uestion drugs as	not bein ssessed	g askeo change	in that g d over ti	, ear's since years	urvey. s in orde	r to add	emergii	ng drug:	s being l	used (or	drop th	ose that	t had be	come ur	npopulai	). See fi	ull expla	nation ir	n Section	n 3.3.2.

FIGURE 3-5

## 30-Day ATOD Use by Gender



## 3.5 Special Topics in Substance Use

A number of special topics are important to student ATOD use. Heavy ATOD use (3.5.1) and the simultaneous use of multiple substances (3.5.2) can assist prevention planners and policy makers in assessing the current prevalence of serious use and also help in predicting future treatment needs. Several topics are predictive of general use in adolescence. These include: age of initiation (3.2), perceived harmfulness (3.5.5), parental influence on substance use (3.5.7) and depressive symptoms and substance use (3.5.8). Specific prevention strategies can be applied at the community level if these issues are found to be of local concern. Information related to the sources and locations of alcohol use (3.5.3) will be of particular interest to prevention practitioners involved with environmental strategies to prevent ATOD use. Finally, the relationship between ATOD use and academic performance (3.5.6) will allow educators to assess how ATOD use affects student learning.

## 3.5.1 Heavy Alcohol, Cigarette, and Marijuana Use

The 2017 APNA survey measured heavy use for alcohol, cigarettes, and marijuana. These are the substances that all students, in Arkansas and across the nation, are most likely to use heavily.

Overall, binge drinking appears to be the largest heavy use problem among Arkansas youth. Binge drinking is unique in that the measured prevalence period is the past two weeks. The students are asked, "Think back over the last two weeks. How many times have you had five or more alcoholic drinks in a row?" Table 3-11 shows that 6.2% of youth reported binge drinking at least once in the past two weeks. Compared with 2012 findings, binge drinking in Arkansas youth has declined by 2.7%. As is typical for most substances, binge drinking increases predictably for Arkansas students as they progress through middle and high school.

Heavy use of tobacco was measured by the question, "How frequently have you smoked cigarettes during the past 30 days?" Response options ranged from "Not at All" to "Two packs or more per day." Heavy cigarette use was defined as about one-half pack per day or more. Table 3-11 shows that heavy tobacco use was relatively low at .4% of all Arkansas students.

Heavy marijuana use was measured by the question: "During the last month, about how many marijuana cigarettes, or the equivalent, did you smoke a day, on the average?" Response options ranged from "None" to "11 or more a day." Heavy use was defined as reporting use of one or more marijuana cigarettes a day. The findings (Table 3-11) show a prevalence rate of 3.8% for all Arkansas students, with 8.1% of 12th graders reporting heavy marijuana use.

Male-female differences were also observed with the heavy use of ATOD substances. Figure 3-6 and Tables 3-12 and 3-13 show that, overall males report heavier use for cigarettes and marijuana; however, in 2017, females' heavy use of alcohol surpassed that of males (6.8% vs. 5.8%, respectively) overall. Females in grades 8 and 10 reported higher rates of binge drinking compared with their male counterparts. For heavy marijuana use, males, in general, reported slightly higher usage rates (3.8% vs. 3.7% for females). However, both 10th and 8th grade girls reported higher rates of heavy marijuana use than boys: 5.7% vs. 5% for 10th grade girls and boys, respectively and 2.6% vs. 2.4% for 8th grade girls and boys, respectively.

## TABLE 3-11

			Pe	ercen	tage o	of API	NA Re	espon	dents	s (Gra	des 6	, 8, 10	), and	12 c	ombir	ned) v	vho E	ngage	ed in I	Heavy	Sub	stanc	e Use							
Drug Llood			Gra	de 6					Gra	de 8					Gra	de 10					Grad	de 12					Тс	otal		
Drug Used	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
Binge drinking	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.6	0.6	0.7	5.0	4.1	4.4	3.7	3.3	3.3	13.2	11.6	12.0	10.9	9.6	9.0	20.4	18.8	19.5	17.6	16.6	15.1	8.9	7.8	8.1	7.2	6.6	6.2
Half Pack / day cigarettes	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	1.1	0.9	0.7	0.7	0.5	0.5	2.1	1.6	1.5	1.2	1.1	0.9	0.8	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.4	0.4
Heavy marijuana use	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.6	3.3	3.0	3.1	2.5	2.4	2.6	7.8	7.2	6.7	5.9	5.6	5.4	10.2	9.8	9.3	8.4	8.6	8.1	5.0	4.7	4.5	3.9	3.8	3.8

## TABLE 3-12

								Perc	entag	ge of I	Males	who	Enga	iged i	n Hea	vy Su	ıbstar	nce U	se											
Drug Llood			Gra	de 6					Gra	de 8					Grad	de 10					Grad	le 12					То	tal		
Drug Osed	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
Binge drinking	0.9	0.7	1.0	0.6	0.5	0.6	4.6	3.3	4.0	3.0	2.6	2.8	13.6	12.1	11.4	10.1	9.2	7.7	23.0	20.3	20.4	18.2	16.4	15.6	9.2	7.8	7.9	6.8	6.2	5.8
Half Pack / day cigarettes	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	1.5	1.1	0.8	0.8	0.7	0.7	2.4	2.1	2.0	1.7	1.3	1.3	1.0	0.8	0.7	0.6	0.5	0.5
Heavy marijuana use	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.4	0.5	0.7	3.4	3.1	3.1	2.5	2.5	2.4	9.0	7.7	7.3	6.2	6.0	5.0	12.3	11.6	10.7	10.0	9.6	9.1	5.7	5.1	4.8	4.2	4.1	3.8

### TABLE 3-13

								Perce	ntage	e of Fe	emale	s wh	o Eng	aged	in He	avy S	Substa	nce l	Jse											
Drug Lload			Gra	de 6					Gra	de 8					Grad	le 10					Grad	le 12					То	tal		
Drug Osed	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
Binge drinking	0.7	0.8	0.6	0.5	0.7	0.7	5.3	4.8	4.7	4.4	3.8	3.7	12.9	11.2	12.5	11.7	9.9	10.0	18.3	17.5	18.8	16.9	16.7	14.7	8.6	7.8	8.3	7.6	7.0	6.6
Half Pack / day cigarettes	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.8	0.7	0.7	0.5	0.4	0.4	1.7	1.3	1.1	0.9	0.9	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.3	0.3
Heavy marijuana use	0.5	0.6	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.5	3.2	3.0	3.1	2.5	2.2	2.6	6.8	6.8	6.2	5.6	5.2	5.7	8.4	8.3	8.1	7.0	7.6	7.2	4.4	4.4	4.1	3.6	3.5	3.7

Heavy Substance Use Male - Female FIGURE 3-6 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 100-90 Percentage of students who have used substances heavily 80-70-60-50-40 30-20-10-0 Male Female Male Female Male Female **Binge Drinking** Half Pack a Day Cigarettes Heavy Marijuana Use

Arkansas Prevention Needs Assessment (APNA) Student Survey
### 3.5.2 Simultaneous Use of Multiple Substances

The percentage of youth who used various substances individually and in combination with other substances is shown in Table 3-14. "Any Substance" is defined as using one or more of the 16 substances measured by the survey. The data shown are all based on past 30-day use. As is typical, the prevalence rates increase with grade level. The combined grade prevalence rate is shown in the far right column. For easier reference, the overall percentage of students using alcohol, cigarettes, tobacco, smokeless tobacco, and marijuana are also shown.

A substantial number of students report using two or more and three or more substances. Across all grades, 8.3% of Arkansas youth have used two or more substances in the past 30 days, and 4.0% have used three or more substances. These 2017 rates have decreased slightly since 2016 (8.3% vs. 8.6%; 4% vs. 4.2%, respectively). The most common combinations are that of alcohol and tobacco (4.1%), alcohol and marijuana (4.1%) and alcohol and any other drug, where 4.9% of Arkansas youth overall report using both in the past 30 days. Use of all three substances - alcohol, tobacco, and marijuana, within the past 30 days was reported by 2.1% of all students.

Percentage Using Multiple	Drugs in t	he Past 3	0 Days (2	017)	
	Grade 6	Grade 8	Grade 10	Grade 12	Total
Any Substance	6.0	13.2	24.5	35.7	18.2
Two or More Substances	1.6	5.3	11.4	18.8	8.3
Three or More Substances	0.6	2.5	5.6	9.1	4.0
Alcohol	1.4	6.2	15.6	25.3	10.8
Cigarettes	0.9	3.1	6.9	12.8	5.3
Smokeless Tobacco	1.1	3.2	5.7	8.6	4.2
Tobacco (cig. or smokeless)	1.6	5.0	9.9	16.4	7.4
Marijuana	0.6	3.8	9.7	15.3	6.6
Tobacco and Alcohol	0.4	2.2	5.4	10.3	4.1
Tobacco and Marijuana	0.3	1.5	3.8	6.6	2.7
Alcohol and Marijuana	0.3	2.0	6.0	10.2	4.1
Marijuana and Tobacco and Alcohol (all three)	0.2	1.1	2.9	5.2	2.1
Alcohol and Any Other Drug	0.6	2.8	7.1	11.3	4.9
Alcohol and Any 1 Other Drug	0.3	1.6	4.2	8.0	3.1
Alcohol and Any 2 Other Drugs	0.1	0.6	1.6	1.9	1.0
Tobacco and Any Other Drug	0.5	2.1	4.4	7.4	3.2
Tobacco and Any 1 Other Drug	0.3	1.1	2.5	5.0	1.9
Tobacco and Any 2 Other Drugs	0.1	0.5	1.0	1.3	0.7

### 3.5.3 Sources of Alcohol and Location of Alcohol Use

Tables 3-15 and 3-16 and Figures 3-7 and 3-8 provide data related to sources and places of alcohol use for Arkansas youth, if they used at all. While youth using alcohol may have obtained alcohol in various ways and used alcohol in various locations, they were asked to select the one best answer that described their typical method for obtaining alcohol and the place where they usually drank alcohol.

Across all grades, the most prevalent source of alcohol was from someone aged 21 years or older. This source becomes increasingly used as youth progress from the 6th grade (.7%) to the 12th grade (17.1%) The next most prevalent sources were getting alcohol from someone under age 21 (2.9%), getting it from home with parent's permission (3.5%), and "other" (3.7%). As might be expected, the percentage of students reporting each of these sources increases with grade level.

Encouragingly, buying alcohol—with or without a fake ID—was rare. Only .1% of 6th graders, .1% of 8th graders, .3% of 10th graders, and .5% of 12th graders indicated that they obtained alcohol by buying it with a fake ID and 1.1% of 12th graders said they bought alcohol without a fake ID. (Table 3-15)

When consuming alcohol, students indicated that they most often drank alcohol at someone else's house (10.9%). Students became more likely to drink at someone else's house as they advance thru grades 6, 8, 10 and 12 (1.5%, 6.0%, 16.1%, and 25.4%, respectively). The second most popular place where youth in these grades drank was at their home (2.8%, 6.9%, 11.9%, and 13.7%, respectively). The likelihood of drinking in an open area, a sporting event or concert, a restaurant, bar, or club, a hotel or motel, in a car, and at school were much less common locations for consuming alcohol, yet all increased with grade level. This pattern of use is essentially the same as last year (Table 3-16).

A separate question on the survey asked students about whether they had been drunk or high at school in the past year. This is a hybrid question in the sense that it is asking about location (i.e., school setting) and the level of use (being drunk or high). Because of the format of the specific question, the reported percentages for this behavior are based on a past year prevalence period, which makes them more difficult to directly compare with other ATOD questions. Nevertheless, the prevalence for being drunk or high at school in the past year is smaller than the past 30-day prevalence rate for alcohol use, or the past two-week prevalence period for binge drinking. Figure 3-9 illustrates trends per grade since 2012 in student reports of being drunk or high at school. Percentage rates have remained relatively the same over this six-year period.

### TABLE 3-15

Percentage of Students Indicatin	g Usual S	Source of	Obtaining	g Alcohol									
	Itage of Students Indicating Usual Source of Utaining Alcohol           Grade 6         Grade 8         Grade 10         Grade 12         Total           2017         2017         2017         2017         2017         2017         2017           2010         95.2         85.6         69.7         57.7         78.9           D         0.1         0.1         0.3         0.5         0.2           et ID         0.1         0.1         0.3         1.0         0.3           over 21         0.7         2.3         7.8         17.1         6.1           under 21         0.2         1.5         4.8         6.1         2.9           or sister         0.2         0.8         1.2         1.4         0.9           a parent's permission         1.1         2.8         5.0         5.9         3.5           stative         0.4         1.3         2.0         1.9         1.3												
	2017	2017	2017	2017	2017								
Did not drink	95.2	85.6	69.7	57.7	78.9								
Bought it with a fake ID	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.5	0.2								
Bought it without a fake ID	0.1	0.1	0.3	1.0	0.3								
I got it from someone over 21	0.7	2.3	7.8	17.1	6.1								
I got it from someone under 21	0.2	1.5	4.8	6.1	2.9								
I got it from a brother or sister	0.2	0.8	1.2	1.4	0.9								
I got it from home with a parent's permission	1.1	2.8	5.0	5.9	3.5								
I got it from home without a parent's permission	0.5	2.4	3.2	1.4	1.9								
I got it from another relative	0.4	1.3	2.0	1.9	1.3								
A stranger bought it for me	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.7	0.3								
I took it from a store	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1								
Other	1.6	2.9	5.3	6.0	3.7								

Percentage of Students Indicating	Where Th	ey Usuall	y Consun	ned Alcoh	ol
	Grade 6	Grade 8	Grade 10	Grade 12	Total
	2017	2017	2017	2017	2017
Did not drink	94.5	84.8	68.0	55.0	77.7
At home	2.8	6.9	11.9	13.7	8.3
At someone else's home	1.5	6.0	16.1	25.4	10.9
At an open area	0.5	1.1	1.9	3.0	1.5
At a sporting event or concert	0.1	0.2	0.4	0.5	0.3
At a restaurant, bar, or club	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.7	0.4
At an empty building or construction site	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.1
At a hotel or motel	0.1	0.2	0.4	0.8	0.3
In a car	0.1	0.2	0.5	0.6	0.3
At school	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.2

### **Students' Sources of Obtaining Alcohol (2017)**



Arkansas Prevention Needs Assessment (APNA) Student Survey

### **Usual Place of Student Alcohol Use (2017)**



Been Drunk or High at School by Grade Level FIGURE 3-9 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 100-Percentage of students who reported being drunk or high at school 90-80-70-60-50-40-30-20-10-0-Т Т Т 8th 6th 10th 12th

### 3.5.4 Ease of Obtaining Substances

Arkansas students reported on how easy they thought it was to get cigarettes, alcohol, marijuana, cocaine, e-cigarettes, a handgun, prescription drugs, synthetic marijuana, or steroids. Table 3-17 provides percentage of students who reported certain substances to be "sort of easy" or "very easy." Of note, approximately two-thirds of 12th graders thought cigarettes, alcoholic beverages and marijuana (62.8%, 61.1% and 56.9%, respectively) were easily

obtained while only one in five (20.2%) thought cocaine was easy to get and a little more than half (55.4%) thought e-cigarettes were easy to get. In contrast, fewer 6th graders thought the substances were easy to get: 11% for cigarettes; 12.7% for alcoholic beverages; 4.6% for marijuana; 2.8% for cocaine; and 7.2% for e-cigarettes. Compared with Monitoring the Future respondents, fewer Arkansas students reported substances as "sort of easy" or "very easy" to get across all grades (8,10, 12) and substances.

		Perc	entag	ge of	Arka	nsas	and I	Moni	oring	y the	Futur	e Re	spond	ents	Who	Perc	eive	the Fo	our S	ubsta	nces	as "S	ort o	f Eas	y" or	"Ver	y Easy	" to	Get				
Question			Arka Gra	nsas de 6					Arka Gra	nsas de 8			MTF Grade 8			Arka Grad	insas de 10			MTF Grade 10			Arka Grac	nsas le 12			MTF Grade 12			То	tal		
	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
Cigarettes	13.9	13.0	12.4	12.6	12.6	11.0	31.6	29.0	28.6	27.2	25.5	25.0	46.2	54.8	52.2	50.6	47.4	44.3	42.5	62.5	74.4	72.3	71.3	67.7	65.5	62.8	77.9	41.2	39.1	38.1	36.1	34.5	32.8
Alcoholic Beverage	13.4	12.8	13.2	13.4	13.0	12.7	34.9	32.5	32.6	31.5	30.9	31.2	53.2	58.9	56.6	56.0	54.3	50.7	50.9	71.5	70.3	68.8	67.8	65.3	62.7	61.1	87.1	42.3	40.5	40.2	38.9	37.2	36.9
Marijuana	5.4	5.0	4.6	4.6	4.7	4.6	21.7	20.0	19.9	18.9	18.6	18.7	35.2	48.6	47.1	47.1	44.5	43.4	42.7	64.6	62.8	61.3	61.3	59.4	58.4	56.6	79.8	32.4	31.0	30.8	29.3	29.0	28.2
Cocaine, LSD, or Amphetamines	3.2	2.8	2.8	2.6	2.6	2.8	7.7	7.1	6.7	6.3	6.0	6.1		15.9	15.4	14.2	14.7	13.1	13.4		21.6	21.6	19.5	20.8	20.7	20.2		11.3	10.9	10.0	10.2	9.8	9.7
E-cigarettes			7.1	6.9	6.6	7.2			19.7	19.8	17.3	20.5				43.1	42.1	36.0	41.3				60.0	57.5	52.7	55.4				30.2	29.2	26.1	28.8
NOTE: Cells containi	ng the	symi	bol indi	icate ai	n area	where	data a	re not a	availab	le due	to the d	questio	n not be	ing ask	ked in t	hat ye	ar's su	rvey.															

### 3.5.5 Perceived Harmfulness

. . .

When youth perceive that a substance is harmful, they are less likely to use it. The APNA survey asked youth, "How much do you think people risk harming themselves (physically or in other ways) if they": smoked cigarettes heavily, tried marijuana, smoked marijuana regularly, drank alcohol regularly, engaged in binge drinking regularly, or used e-cigarettes, e-cigars or hookahs. Students could respond that these substances placed them at "no risk," "slight risk," "moderate risk," or "great risk." The results for "great risk" are presented in Table 3-18 and Figures 3-10, 3-11 and 3-12. In reviewing responses from the combined grade levels, rates of perception of substances placing people at "great risk" have declined since 2016. In other words, fewer students are reporting that substance use is harmful. For example, in 2016, 36.4% of students thought using e-cigarettes placed people at great risk; in 2017 only 33.8% reported the same. Likewise, drinking one or two alcoholic beverages nearly every day was perceived as placing people at great risk by 41.3% in 2016 vs only 38.7% in 2017.

Compared with the national MTF data, students in grades 8, 10, and 12 reported perceived risk of these six categories at varying rates. For some substances and specific grade levels, fewer students perceived risk than the national group. In other cases, more Arkansas' students perceived risk than national. For example, in each grade, fewer Arkansas' students compared with the MTF

2017

62.6

25.5

38.6

38.7

49.7

33.8

Р	ercer	ntage	IA to	kans	as ar	nd Mo	onitor	ring t	he Fu	iture	Resp	onde	nts W	ho Pe	erceiv	e tha	t Usi	ng th	e Six	Cate	jories	s of S	ubsta	ances	s Plac	ces P	eople	at "G	reat I	'ISK		
Question			Arka Gra	nsas de 6					Arka Gra	nsas de 8			MTF Grade 8			Arka Grac	nsas le 10			MTF Grade 10			Arka Grad	nsas le 12			MTF Grade 12			То	tal	
	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
Smoke one or more backs of cigarettes ber day	62.5	62.1	64.3	65.2	63.0	59.8	65.1	64.9	65.3	66.6	64.8	63.1	62.1	65.1	65.4	65.4	66.9	65.1	63.9	69.8	66.4	66.3	66.8	67.3	65.9	64.3	74.9	64.6	64.5	65.3	66.4	64.6
ry marijuana once r twice	42.2	41.5	41.2	42.2	39.3	36.7	34.7	34.7	31.6	33.4	30.2	27.6	34.0	23.6	23.3	20.1	22.0	19.3	18.0	21.9	20.1	19.9	17.8	18.1	15.9	15.5	14.1	31.2	30.9	28.9	30.1	27.3
Smoke marijuana egularly	58.2	58.1	57.5	58.9	56.5	52.7	51.8	52.3	48.4	49.9	46.0	43.6	54.8	37.6	36.7	32.8	35.1	30.8	28.8	40.6	31.5	30.3	28.2	27.2	24.0	23.2	29.0	46.1	45.8	43.3	44.4	41.0
Drink one or two Ilcoholic beverages Iearly every day	48.4	48.5	47.8	48.8	47.2	43.9	43.7	44.6	43.0	44.3	43.3	40.4	30.0	37.3	37.9	36.7	39.0	37.4	35.2	30.9	37.0	36.1	34.8	36.0	34.8	33.2	21.6	42.1	42.4	41.2	42.7	41.3
or more drinks once or twice a veekend	56.9	56.6	56.8	58.1	56.1	54.0	55.9	56.8	55.2	56.3	55.0	53.0	53.7	49.2	49.4	48.4	49.9	48.2	46.4	52.0	45.4	45.2	44.1	45.0	43.2	42.6	45.7	52.5	52.7	51.9	53.1	51.4
Jse e-cigarettes, e-cigars, or e-hookahs			48.3	51.1	50.9	47.3			37.8	39.4	38.9	35.7				26.4	28.2	26.8	25.0				22.7	24.3	24.1	22.7				35.1	37.0	36.4
NOTE: Cells containir	ng the -	- symb	ol indic	ate an	area w	here da	ata are	not av	ailable	either o	due to t	he que	stion not	being	asked	in that	/ear's s	survey	or the I	ATF dat	a are no	ot com	oarable	to the	Arkans	sas dat	a.					

# Perceived Harmfulness of Using Cigarettes Arkansas (2012 thru 2017) Compared with National (2017)



# Perceived Harmfulness of Using Marijuana Arkansas (2012 thru 2017) Compared with National (2017)



Arkansas Prevention Needs Assessment (APNA) Student Survey

### Perceived Harmfulness of Using Alcohol

Arkansas (2012 thru 2017) Compared with National (2017)



Arkansas Prevention Needs Assessment (APNA) Student Survey

students, thought smoking marijuana regularly placed people at "great risk" (grade 8, 43.6% vs. 54.8%; grade 10, 28.8% vs. 40.6%; grade 12, 23.2% vs. 29%, respectively). For "drinking one or two alcoholic beverages nearly every day," more Arkansas students in each grade level reported "great risk" than the national sample. However, the reverse was true for "5 or more drinks once or twice a weekend" where fewer Arkansas students at each grade level reported "great risk." (Table 3-18)

### 3.5.6 Academic Performance and Substance Use

A strong correlation between substance use and academic performance was found in the 2017 APNA survey (Table 3-19 and Figure 3-13). Of the youth who reported getting better grades, fewer have tried ATODs and fewer are currently using ATODs than those who report poorer grades compared with students earning grades of A, failing youth (earning grades of D or F) are more than twice as likely to have used alcohol in the past 30 days, nearly seven times more likely to have used cigarettes in the past 30 days, almost five times more likely to have used marijuana in the past 30 days, and almost three times more likely to have used any drug in the past 30 days.

It is likely that the youth earning As are more invested in the education process and more bonded to school than their peers receiving poorer grades. One of the challenges for prevention programs is to develop methods of keeping all youth interested in learning and feeling attached to school.

Perc	entage Using ATC	DDs by Academic	Performance (20	17)
		Academic P	Performance	
Drugs Used	Mostly A's	Mostly B's	Mostly C's	Mostly D's or F's
Alcohol Lifetime	23.5	30.2	35.5	37.3
Alcohol 30 Days	8.3	12.1	15.1	17.2
Marijuana Lifetime	8.7	15.4	22.6	28.0
Marijuana 30 Days	3.7	7.2	12.1	15.9
Cigarettes Lifetime	10.8	19.2	27.2	34.0
Cigarettes 30 Days	2.6	5.9	10.0	14.1
Any Drug Lifetime	15.3	21.5	28.3	33.9
Any Drug 30 Days	7.0	11.0	16.0	20.1

# Percentage Using ATODs by Academic Performance (2017)



### 3.5.7 Parental Influence on Student ATOD Use

To determine how parents may influence a student's behavior, students were asked to report on "how wrong do your parents feel it would be for you to smoke marijuana?" Students also provided parents' education level. For both items, data analysis was conducted to associate a student's ATOD use with perception of parental acceptability and level of parental education.

Of students who said that their parents felt it would be very wrong if the student smoked marijuana, only 3.2% reported marijuana use in past 30 days and 8.2% reported lifetime use. In contrast, of students who perceived that their parents felt it was "not wrong at all" to smoke marijuana, 46.6% reported marijuana use in past 30 days and 63% reported lifetime use (Table 3-20, Figure 3-14).

Students of parents with the highest level of education (completed college or graduate school) were less likely than students of parents with less education to report lifetime or 30-day use for all categories: alcohol, marijuana, cigarettes and "any drug." (Table 3-21, Figure 3-15).

#### TABLE 3-20

Use in Relation to Perce	ived Parental Acceptability of	f Marijuana Use (2017)
How wrong do your parents	Has Used	Marijuana
feel it would be for you to smoke marijuana?	At Least Once in Lifetime	At Least Once in Past 30 Days
Very Wrong	8.2	3.2
Wrong	35.5	16.3
A Little Bit Wrong	55.7	31.2
Not Wrong At All	63.0	46.6

Percen	tage Using ATO	Os by Parents' E	ducation (2017)	
		Parents' I	Education	
Question	Not Graduated High School	Graduated High School	Some College	Completed College or Graduate School
Alcohol Lifetime	39.1	34.6	34.4	26.5
Alcohol 30 Days	16.9	13.4	13.3	10.4
Marijuana Lifetime	23.2	18.4	17.5	11.4
Marijuana 30 Days	12.3	8.5	8.0	5.4
Cigarettes Lifetime	26.0	22.2	20.8	14.0
Cigarettes 30 Days	9.1	7.1	6.6	4.2
Any Drug Lifetime	29.3	24.6	24.0	17.9
Any Drug 30 Days	16.1	12.2	11.6	8.9

### Marijuana Use in Relation to Perceived Parental Acceptability (2017)

How wrong do your parents feel it would be for you to smoke marijuana?



# Percentage Using ATODs by Parents' Education (2017)



### 3.5.8 Depressive Symptoms and Substance Use

Youth who reported depressive symptoms were more likely to report substance use than those who had a more positive outlook on life. The four items to assess depressive symptoms on the survey questionnaire were: 1) Sometimes I think that life is not worth it; 2) At times I think I am no good at all; 3) All in all, I am inclined to think that I am a failure; and 4) In the past year, have you felt depressed or sad MOST days, even if you felt okay sometimes? The questions were scored on a scale of 1 to 4 (NO!, no, yes, YES!). The survey respondents were divided into three groups. Those who scored a mean of greater than 3.75 were categorized as depressed. These youth marked "YES!" to all four items or marked "yes" to one item and "YES!" to three. Those who marked "NO!" to all four items were categorized as optimistic; a middle category was assigned to all remaining respondents. According to this methodology, the APNA survey categorized 4,650 (6.4%) youth as depressed, 14,405 (20%) youth as optimistic and 49,430 (68.4%) youth in the middle category. (Table 3-22)

A strong link exists between youth who reported depressive symptoms and ATOD use. When compared with the optimistic group, the depressed youth were about four times as likely to use alcohol in the past 30 days (23.8% vs. 6.7%), almost five times as likely to use cigarettes in the past 30 days (14.9% vs. 3%), more than five times as likely to use marijuana in the past 30 days (17.2% vs. 3.2%), and six times as likely to have used any drug in the past 30 days (27.5% vs. 4.5%).

The ATOD use rates of the youth in the middle group, comprising most youth, were closer to the rates of the optimistic group than they were to the depressed. For example, for past 30-day alcohol use, the prevalence rates were 6.7%, 10.8% and 23.8% for the optimistic, middle, and depressed groups, respectively. In short, students with a positive outlook on life (even with some depressive symptoms) used fewer substances than students with a high level of depressive symptoms. (Table 3-22, Figure 3-16)

Percentage Usin	ig ATODs and Level of	of Depressive Sympto	oms (2017)
	Le	vel of Depressive Sympton	ns
	Optimistic	Middle	Depressed
Number of Youth	14,405	49,430	4,650
Alcohol Lifetime	16.5	28.8	53.3
Alcohol 30 Days	6.7	10.8	23.8
Marijuana Lifetime	7.0	13.8	32.1
Marijuana 30 Days	3.2	6.5	17.2
Cigarettes Lifetime	9.5	17.1	39.1
Cigarettes 30 Days	3.0	5.0	14.9
Any Drug Lifetime	9.6	20.5	45.5
Any Drug 30 Days	4.5	10.1	27.5

### Percentage Using ATODs and Level of Depressive Symptoms (2017)



# Section 4. Behavioral Outcomes Other Than Substance Use

# 4.1 Introduction to the Measurement of Antisocial Behavior

In the APNA survey, antisocial behavior is measured through two different sets of questions. First, a series of questions asks students whether they engaged in six specific behaviors in the past year (carrying a handgun, taking a handgun to school, selling illegal drugs, vehicle theft, attacking someone with the intention of seriously hurting them, or having been drunk or high at school); and, also for the past year, whether they were suspended from school, arrested, or belonged to a gang. Second, in another series of questions, students were asked the age at which the following events or behaviors first happened: school suspension, arrest, carrying a handgun, attacking someone with the intent of seriously hurting them, and gang involvement. The age of initiation questions allow for lifetime prevalence to be determined for these specific behaviors.

Table 4-1 summarizes the prevalence of the antisocial behavior variables measured for the past year. Tables 4-2 and 4-3 and Figures 4-1 and 4-2 provide a breakdown of male/ female responses to these questions.

In the following subsections, specific antisocial behaviors are discussed in greater detail, and age of initiation questions are presented in Section 4.3.

#### TABLE 4-1

Grade of APNA Respondents (Grades 6, 8, 10, and 12 combined) who Engaged in AntiSocial Behavior in the Past Year         Grade 6       Grade 8, 10, and 12 combined) who Engaged in AntiSocial Behavior in the Past Year         Antisocial Behavior       Grade 6       Grade 8, 8, 10, and 12 combined) who Engaged in AntiSocial Behavior in the Past Year         Antisocial Behavior       Grade 6       Grade 8       Grade 10       Total         Operation of the Past Year         2012       2013       2014       2015       2016       2017       2012       2013       2014       2015       2016       2017       2012       2013       2014       2015       2016       2017       2012       2013       2014       2015       2016       2017       2012       2013       2014       2015       2016       2017       2012       2013       2014       2015       2016       2017       2012       2013       2014       2015       2016       2017       2012       2013       2014       2015       2016       2017       2012       2013       2014       2015       2016       2017       2012       2013       2014       2015       2016       2017       20																														
Antional Debovier			Gra	de 6					Gra	de 8					Grac	le 10					Grad	de 12					То	otal		
Antisocial Benavior	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
Taken a handgun to school	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.6	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.7	0.7	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.6	0.9	0.8	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5
Carried a handgun	4.2	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.3	4.7	4.7	4.7	5.1	4.9	5.6	5.3	4.8	5.2	5.3	5.2	5.6	5.5	4.8	4.8	5.3	5.2	6.2	5.9	4.6	4.7	5.0	4.8	5.3	5.3
Sold illegal drugs	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.2	0.3	0.3	1.9	1.8	1.8	1.7	1.6	1.4	5.7	5.3	5.0	4.7	4.3	4.2	7.5	6.8	7.1	6.4	6.4	5.3	3.5	3.2	3.2	2.9	2.8	2.5
Stolen a vehicle	0.9	0.8	0.9	0.8	0.7	0.9	1.5	1.3	1.2	1.3	1.3	1.4	2.1	1.7	1.6	1.6	1.7	1.8	1.6	1.3	1.3	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.5	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.3
Attacked someone to harm	9.4	8.2	7.0	6.3	6.8	6.3	13.2	11.5	9.2	8.9	8.5	8.1	13.4	11.7	9.9	9.2	8.7	7.4	10.9	9.6	8.3	7.4	7.2	6.2	11.7	10.3	8.6	8.0	7.8	7.1
Drunk or high at school	1.2	1.3	1.1	0.9	0.9	0.8	6.0	5.2	5.3	4.6	4.7	4.4	13.9	12.3	11.5	10.6	10.3	9.8	16.9	15.8	15.1	14.1	13.6	11.9	8.8	7.9	7.5	6.8	6.7	6.2
Suspended from school	11.2	10.1	10.0	9.5	9.9	9.9	14.3	13.5	13.4	12.5	12.7	12.3	12.6	11.4	11.4	10.5	11.3	10.5	9.4	8.8	8.5	8.1	7.9	7.9	12.1	11.2	11.0	10.4	10.7	10.3
Been arrested	1.4	1.4	1.2	1.1	1.1	1.2	4.0	3.3	3.1	2.5	2.6	2.7	5.8	4.9	4.5	4.0	3.6	3.5	5.4	5.0	4.3	4.0	3.6	3.2	4.0	3.5	3.1	2.8	2.6	2.5
Belonged to a gang	4.4	3.9	4.1	3.7	3.9	4.2	5.9	5.2	5.2	4.5	4.8	4.8	6.1	5.5	5.1	4.8	4.4	4.1	5.5	4.9	4.8	4.3	4.5	4.0	5.4	4.9	4.8	4.3	4.4	4.3

### TABLE 4-2

					Perc	enta	ge of	Male	s wh	o Eng	jageo	l in A	ntiSo	cial E	Behav	vior i	n the	Past	Year											
Antionaid Debouier			Gra	de 6					Gra	de 8					Grac	de 10					Grad	de 12					То	tal		
Antisocial Benavior	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
Taken a handgun to school	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.3	0.4	0.4	1.0	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.6	1.3	1.1	1.0	1.1	1.2	0.8	1.7	1.5	1.7	1.5	1.7	1.6	1.0	0.9	0.9	0.8	0.9	0.8
Carried a handgun	6.9	6.6	7.0	6.8	6.7	7.3	7.7	7.4	8.0	7.8	8.6	8.2	8.4	9.1	9.1	8.6	9.4	9.1	8.9	8.7	9.3	9.1	10.9	9.8	7.9	7.8	8.2	8.0	8.7	8.5
Sold illegal drugs	0.4	0.6	0.6	0.4	0.4	0.4	2.8	2.3	2.4	2.1	2.2	1.8	8.2	7.3	6.7	6.0	5.9	5.3	11.1	10.2	10.3	9.1	8.6	7.1	5.0	4.4	4.3	3.8	3.8	3.3
Stolen a vehicle	1.2	1.0	1.4	0.9	0.8	1.1	1.9	1.4	1.6	1.5	1.5	1.4	2.8	2.1	2.2	2.0	2.1	2.0	2.3	1.8	1.8	1.6	1.8	1.6	2.0	1.5	1.7	1.5	1.5	1.5
Attacked someone to harm	12.3	10.7	9.4	7.9	8.6	8.0	14.4	12.7	10.6	10.3	9.6	9.2	15.2	13.6	11.8	10.9	10.4	8.9	13.4	11.6	10.5	9.4	8.6	7.6	13.8	12.2	10.5	9.6	9.4	8.5
Drunk or high at school	1.4	1.4	1.3	1.0	0.9	0.8	5.6	4.8	5.0	4.2	4.4	4.0	15.3	13.0	12.0	11.1	10.4	9.3	21.0	19.1	18.0	16.2	14.9	13.2	9.6	8.4	8.0	7.1	6.8	6.1
Suspended from school	15.8	14.0	14.0	13.3	13.4	13.9	18.1	16.8	16.9	16.0	16.5	15.3	15.5	13.8	14.2	12.9	14.0	12.8	11.7	11.2	11.2	10.3	9.9	10.2	15.6	14.3	14.4	13.5	13.8	13.3
Been arrested	1.9	2.1	1.8	1.6	1.5	1.7	5.0	4.0	3.8	3.3	2.9	3.1	7.2	6.1	5.7	5.1	4.5	4.4	7.5	6.6	5.7	5.5	4.9	4.0	5.1	4.5	4.0	3.6	3.2	3.2
Belonged to a gang	5.6	4.9	5.4	4.5	4.7	5.1	7.7	6.4	6.5	5.7	6.0	5.8	8.8	7.9	7.3	6.8	6.2	5.6	8.4	7.6	7.5	7.1	6.9	5.9	7.5	6.6	6.5	5.9	5.8	5.6

### TABLE 4-3

				F	Perce	ntage	e of F	emal	es wl	no Er	igage	d in	AntiS	ocial	Beha	avior	in th	e Pas	t Yea	r										
Antice siel Debouier			Gra	de 6					Gra	de 8					Grac	de 10					Grad	de 12					То	tal		
Antisocial Benavior	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
Taken a handgun to school	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2
Carried a handgun	1.5	1.8	1.7	1.7	1.9	2.2	1.7	2.0	2.3	2.0	2.5	2.6	1.5	1.7	1.8	2.0	2.2	2.0	1.3	1.3	1.7	1.6	2.0	2.1	1.5	1.8	1.9	1.8	2.2	2.2
Sold illegal drugs	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2	1.1	1.3	1.1	1.3	0.9	1.0	3.4	3.4	3.3	3.4	2.9	3.1	4.3	3.8	4.2	4.1	4.4	3.7	2.1	2.1	2.0	2.0	1.9	1.8
Stolen a vehicle	0.7	0.6	0.4	0.6	0.6	0.6	1.1	1.1	0.9	1.1	1.1	1.3	1.5	1.4	1.1	1.2	1.3	1.6	1.0	0.8	0.8	0.7	0.7	0.8	1.1	1.0	0.8	0.9	0.9	1.1
Attacked someone to harm	6.6	5.7	4.5	4.6	4.9	4.6	11.9	10.3	7.8	7.6	7.2	6.9	11.7	9.9	8.0	7.6	7.1	5.9	8.7	7.8	6.3	5.5	5.8	4.9	9.8	8.5	6.7	6.4	6.3	5.7
Drunk or high at school	1.1	1.2	0.9	0.9	1.0	0.7	6.4	5.6	5.4	5.0	5.0	4.8	12.7	11.6	11.1	10.2	10.2	10.2	13.4	13.0	12.5	12.2	12.4	10.8	7.9	7.4	7.0	6.6	6.6	6.1
Suspended from school	6.6	6.2	5.9	5.5	6.3	5.9	10.6	10.2	9.8	9.2	8.8	9.3	9.8	9.3	8.8	8.3	8.8	8.3	7.4	6.8	6.0	6.1	6.1	5.8	8.7	8.3	7.8	7.4	7.6	7.4
Been arrested	0.8	0.7	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.7	3.1	2.6	2.4	1.8	2.2	2.2	4.5	3.8	3.3	3.0	2.8	2.6	3.6	3.7	3.1	2.8	2.3	2.4	2.9	2.6	2.3	2.0	2.0	1.9
Belonged to a gang	3.0	2.9	2.7	2.9	3.1	3.3	4.3	4.0	3.9	3.3	3.6	3.8	3.6	3.3	3.0	3.0	2.7	2.6	3.0	2.6	2.5	1.9	2.3	2.0	3.5	3.3	3.1	2.9	3.0	3.0



FIGURE 4-1

Arkansas Prevention Needs Assessment (APNA) Student Survey



Antisocial Behaviors, continued

FIGURE 4-2

Arkansas Prevention Needs Assessment (APNA) Student Survey

### 4.2 Antisocial Behavior During the Past Year (Tables 4-1, 4-2, 4-3 and Figures 4-1, 4-2)

Fluctuations of prevalence rates between 2012 and 2017 are worth noting. All variables but one have seen significant or modest reduction in prevalence between 2012 and 2017. Most significant were: attacked someone to harm (11.7% vs. 7.1%); drunk or high at school (8.8% vs. 6.2%); suspended from school (12.1% vs. 10.3%); been arrested (4% vs. 2.5%); belonged to a gang (5.4% vs. 4.3%). Of note, the only elevated prevalence was for "carried a handgun" where 5.3% of students in 2017 reported this behavior compared with 4.6% in 2012.

### 4.2.1 School Suspension

Overall, 10.3% of students reported that they had been suspended from school. Students in 8th and 10th grades were most likely to report suspension, with 8th graders reporting highest rate of suspension at 12.3%.

### 4.2.2 Carrying a Handgun/Taking a Handgun to School

The issue of youth carrying handguns is a serious concern for communities, schools, and families. The APNA survey has two questions about behaviors related to handguns as shown in Table 4-1. Most of the responses show a low percentage of students who carry handguns or take them to school. For example, .5% of the youth surveyed reported taking a handgun to school in the past 12 months, and 5.3% of youth surveyed reported carrying a handgun in the past 12 months. Taking a handgun to school is, under any circumstances,

an extremely deviant event. The extremely low percentage of youth reporting this behavior is encouraging. In fact, with the overall prevalence measurement this low, this is well below the range of the survey to reliably detect the true prevalence.

Both of these survey questions also show grade-related effects. When looking at the results by grade, 10th and 12th graders reported the highest rate of taking a handgun to school in the past year (.6% and .9%, respectively) and carrying a handgun in the past year (5.5% and 5.9%, respectively). Eighth graders reported taking a gun to school and carrying a hand gun in the past year at the rates of .4% and 5.3%, respectively.

### 4.2.3 Selling Illegal Drugs

Students were asked about whether they had sold illegal drugs, by answering the question "How many times in the past year (12 months) have you sold illegal drugs?" Overall, 2.5% of Arkansas students reported that they had sold illegal drugs in the past year. As is typical, the percentage reporting that they had sold drugs increased with grade level, from .3% in the 6th grade to 5.3% in the 12th grade. These results are similar to 2017, except for a 1.1% decrease in selling drugs among 12th graders.

### 4.2.4 Vehicle Theft

Students were asked about whether they had stolen a vehicle, by answering the question "How many times in the past year (12 months) have you stolen or tried to steal a motor vehicle such as a car or motorcycle?" Overall, very few students, 1.3%, reported that they had stolen a vehicle in the past year. There is only a slight rise in the prevalence of this behavior with age. These results are mostly unchanged since 2016, but have decreased from 1.5% in 2012 to 1.3% in 2017.

### 4.2.5 Arrest

Arrest is not actually a behavior, but a consequence of problem behavior. Its prevalence can be measured like all other antisocial events. The students were asked whether they had been arrested in the past 12 months. Across all the surveyed grade levels, a total of 2.5% of Arkansas students reported that they were arrested in the past year. Arrest prevalence was at the highest rate for 10th and 12th graders (3.5%, 3.2%, respectively), followed by 8th graders (2.7%), and 6th graders (1.2%).

# 4.2.6 Attacking Someone with the Intention of Seriously Hurting Them

The 2017 data reveals that 7.1% of the youth in Arkansas have attacked someone with the idea of seriously hurting them in the past 12 months. This prevalence rate is significantly lower than in 2012 (11.7%).

When looking at the results by grade, it appears that 8th and 10th graders have the most problems with violent behavior and attitudes. Eighth graders reported the highest rates of attacking someone in the past 12 months (8.1%), followed by 10th graders (7.4%).

### 4.2.7 Gang Involvement

Overall, 4.3% of Arkansas students reported that they belonged to a gang sometime in their lifetime. Students' understanding of this question varies depending on their definition of a gang, but it is the ongoing trend data that make this question useful. The 4.3% prevalence rate compares to a 4.4% prevalence in 2016, and a 5.4% prevalence in 2012.

By grade level, the rates for 6th, 8th, 10th, and 12th grade students were 4.2%, 4.8%, 4.1%, 4%, respectively.

# 4.3 Age of Initiation of Antisocial Behavior

Age of initiation questions ask students about their age when they first engaged in a specific behavior or about their age when a specific event (e.g., school suspension) first occurred. Table 4-4 and Figure 4-3 show results from the age of initiation questions. These data are based only on students who reported the events had happened.

### 4.3.1 School Suspension

The average age for first being suspended from school was 11.8 and is almost identical to 2012 thru 2017 results.

### 4.3.2 Arrest

The average age for arrest for Arkansas students was 13.2, which is similar to results for 2016, and slightly lower than results from 2012 - 2015.

### 4.3.3 Carrying a Handgun

The average age that Arkansas students started carrying a handgun was 12.1 years. This value is similar to previous years.

### 4.3.4 Age of Initiation for Gang Involvement

These results were similar to previous years for gang involvement, at a rate of 12.2 or 12.1 for the 2012 to 2017 time period.

TABLE 4-4

A	ge of Initia	tion of An	tiSocial Be	havior		
Antisocial Behavior		Average (Of Stude)	e Age of First Ints Who Rep	AntiSocial B orted Such B	ehavior ehaviors)	
	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
Carried a handgun	12.2	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.2	12.1
Suspended from school	11.9	11.8	11.8	11.8	11.8	11.8
Been arrested	13.4	13.4	13.3	13.3	13.2	13.2
Gang involvement	12.1	12.2	12.1	12.2	12.2	12.2

FIGURE 4-3

## Average Age of First Incidence of Antisocial Behavior

(of Students Who Indicated That They Had Engaged in Behavior)



# Appendices

### Appendices

Appendix A. Arkansas Prevention Needs Assessment 2017 Student Survey	
Appendix B. Sample Profile Report	App:84
Appendix C. Lifetime and 30-Day ATOD Use for Participating Regions and Counties	App:152
Appendices Available Online (https://arkansas.pridesurveys.com/regions.php?year=2017)	
Appendix D. Item Dictionary for 2017 APNA Survey	
Appendix E. Risk and Protective Factors and Associated Survey Scales	
Appendix F. Arkansas Prevention Needs Assessment Survey Item-Level Results	
Appendix G. Select Charts for Males Compared with Females	

# **Assessment Student Survey Prevention Needs** Arkansas

ools	
sch	
our	
. <u>L</u>	
ents	
stud	
NO S	
n hc	
earl	iors
tol	hav
y is	å
IVe	ealtl
s sı	ıth
f thi	bol
e o	s S S
Sod.	asl
Ind	alsc
The	/e/
ey.	Sun
Surv	P_
lis 9	<u>-</u>
in th	5 Po
ate	ğ
icip	an
part	sers
to	ă.
einc	Ē
Igre	v. fa
ora	Tilt
ou f	nm
ر مر لا	loo
<b>Thar</b>	heir
÷	-

eel about

- **ഗ** ന 4
- The survey is completely voluntary and anonymous. Do NOT put your name on the questionnaire. The survey is completely voluntary and anonymous. Do NOT put your name on the questionnaire. This is not a test, so there are no right or wrong answers. We would like you to work quickly so you can finish. All of the questions should be answered by completely filling in one of the answer spaces. If you do not find an answer that fits exactly, use the one that comes closest. If any question does not apply to you, or you are not sure what it means, just leave it blank. You can skip any question that you do not wish to answer. For questions that have the following answers: NOI no yes YES! Mark (the Ittle) yes if you think the statement is **DEFINITELY TRUE** for you. Mark (the little) no if you think the statement is **DEFINITELY NOT TRUE** for you. Mark (the BIG) NOI if you think the statement is **DEFINITELY NOT TRUE** for you.
  - 5.

Example: Chocolate is the best ice cream flavor.

C

In the example above, that student marked "yes" because he or she thinks the statement is mostly true

Which of Please mark only one answer for each question, unless otherwise directed, by completely filling in the oval with a #2 pencil. Think of where you live most of the time. 7 Ŧ

1. Are you:	the following people live there	with )	/on5 (	Choo	se al	_
O Male	that apply.)					
2. How old are you?	O Mother O Stepmother	Granc	father			
010 or younger 015		Brothe	Adults er(s) rother	(s)		
012 013 014 019 or older	C Father O Stepfather O Foster Father	Sister Steps Other	(s) ister(s Childr	en		
3. What grade are you in?	The next section asks about yo	ur exp	eriene	ces a	t sch	ool.
0 6th						
00100 8th 101010		_	ö	2	yes	YES!
1 Are vou Hisnanic or Latino?	a. In my school, students have lot chances to help decide things li class activities and rules.	s or ike	0	0	0	0
	<ol> <li>Teachers ask me to work on special classroom projects.</li> </ol>		0	0	0	0
5. What is your race? Select one or more.	<ol> <li>My teacher(s) notices when I ar doing a good job and lets me know about it.</li> </ol>	۶	0	0	0	0
Objack or African American American Indian American Indian Alaska Native	<ol> <li>There are lots of chances for students in my school to get involved in sports, clubs, and of school activities outside of class</li> </ol>	ther s.	0	0	0	0
Other	<ol> <li>There are lots of chances for students in my school to talk wi a teacher one-on-one.</li> </ol>	÷	0	0	0	0
your mother or father?	13. I feel safe at my school.		0	0	0	0
Completed grade school or less	14. The school lets my parents kno when I have done something w	ell.	0	0	0	0
Completed nign school Completed college	15. My teachers praise me when I work hard in school.		0	0	0	0
O Don't know O Don't know O Does not apply	<ol> <li>Are your school grades better than the grades of most studen in your class?</li> </ol>	ts	0	0	0	0
	17. I have lots of chances to be par of class discussions or activities	Ŧώ	0	0	0	0

176001 

[SERIAL]

0 0

PLEASE DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

000000

Produced by the Arkansas Department of Human Services - Phone: (501) 686-9030.

# Appendix A: Arkansas Prevention Needs Assessment 2017 Student Survey

18. Now thinking back over the nast year in school			Almo	st alw	ays	24. Think of your four best friends (the friends you feel closest to). In the	0	NC 14	ien p	nds er	
how often did you:	Ba	ometin rely	les	2		past year (12 months), how many of your best friends have:	0	-	2	ო	4
a. enjoy being in school?		0	0	0	0	<ul> <li>a. participated in clubs, organizations or activities at school?</li> </ul>	0	Õ	0	0	0
b. hate being in school?	0	0	0	0	0	b. smoked cigarettes?	0	Õ	Õ	Ŏ	$\bigcirc$
c. try to do your best work in school?	0	0	0	0	0	<ul> <li>c. tried beer, wine or hard liquor (for example, vodka, whiskey, or gin) when their nerrotic citcher, there are short if?</li> </ul>	0	Õ	0	0	0
19. How often do you feel that the school work you are	(	(	(	(	(	d. used e-cigarettes, e-cigars or e-hookahs?	0	Ŏ	ĬŎ	10	
assigned is meaningful and important?	0	0	0	0	0	e. used marijuana?	0	Ŏ	Ŏ	Ŏ	$\left  \right $
20 Dutting them all together w	hat we		22		e i	<ol> <li>used prescription drugs or non-prescription drugs for the purpose of getting high?</li> </ol>	0	Õ	<del>0</del>	0	
zu. Futung mem an togemer, w last year?		ere yo	ur gra	sap	A	g. used synthetic marijuana (K2, spice) or bath salts?	0	Ŏ	Ő	0	
O Mostly F's O Mostly D's O Mostly C's	Most	y B's y A's				<ul> <li>used LSD, cocaine, amphetamines, or other illegal drugs?</li> </ul>	0	Ŏ	Ŏ	0	
21 How important do vou think	H odt	hinde		ç		i. been bullied?	0	Ō	Ŏ	Ō	$\left  \right $
<ol> <li>How IIIIportant do you UIIIIN learning in school are going</li> </ol>	to be	for ye	you a our lai	ter lif	e?	j. been suspended from school?	0	Õ	Ŏ	Ō	$\left  \right $
O Very important	Slight	tly impo	ortant	+		k. carried a handgun?	0	Õ	Ŏ	Ŏ	$\bigcirc$
O Fairly important	INULA	l d	portar	_		<ol> <li>sold illegal drugs?</li> </ol>	0	Õ	Õ	Õ	$\bigcirc$
22 During the LAST FOLIR WEF	KS hr	em Mo	dw vu			m. regularly attended religious services?	0	Õ	Ŏ	0	0
days of school have you mis skipped or 'cut'?	sed b	ecaus	e you	2		<ul> <li>n. stolen or tried to steal a motor vehicle such as a car or motorcycle?</li> </ul>	0	Ŏ	0	0	0
ONone	4-5					o. been arrested?	0	Ō	Ŏ	Ō	$\left  \right\rangle$
00	11 or	more				p. dropped out of school?	0	0	Ŏ	0	$\cap$
2)						q. been members of a gang?	0	0	Ŏ	0	$\bigcirc$
The next questions ask al experiences in other	oout y parts	our fe of you	elings ır life.	and		25. How old were you do					
23. What are the chances you would be seen as		V Pretty	ery go good	od chan	ance	Nicii you III			01 10	olde	
cool if you:		Little	me chi chanc	ance		a. smoked marijuana?	$\bigcirc$	Ŏ	<b>7</b>	Ŏ	$\sqrt{0}$
a. smoked cigarettes?	very l	ittle ch		0	0	b. smoked a cigarette, even	0	Ŏ	Ŏ	0	0
b. worked hard at school?			0	0	0	c. had more than a sip or two of					
c. began drinking alcoholic bever that is, at least once or twice a	rage r a mont	egulari th?	) č	0	0	example, vodka, whiskey, or gin)?	0	Ŏ	Ŏ	Ŏ	0
d. defended someone who was t	oeing l	bullied'	0	0	0	<ul> <li>d. began drinking alcoholic</li> <li>beverages regularly, that is, at hear once arous on the provide month?</li> </ul>	С	Č	Č	C	(
e. smoked marijuana?			0	0	0		) (	) Č			) [ C ]
f. carried a handgun?			0	0	0	f and elicinaria from echanic		T C			
g. used e-cigarettes, e-cigars or e-hookahs (vaping)?			0	0	0	g. got arrested?	) ()	0	$\overrightarrow{0}$	$\overrightarrow{0}$	
h. bullied someone or cyber bulli	ed soi	meone	( 			h. carried a handgun?	0	Ŏ	Ŏ	Ŏ	$\left  \right $
			)	) )	)	i. used e-cigarettes, e-cigars, or e-hookahs (vaping)?	0	Ő	0	0	0
						j. belonged to a gang?	0	Ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	$\left  \right $
						k. used prescription drugs not OOO	0	Ō	Ŏ	$\overline{0}$	$\bigcirc$
									-÷	160(	8



<ul> <li>50. How frequently have you used e-cigarettes, e-cigars, or e-hookahs (vaping)?</li> <li>50. How frequently have you used e-cigarettes, e-cigars, or e-cigars, e-cigars,</li></ul>	51. During this school year, were you taught in any of your classes about the dangers of tobacco use?	52. During the past 12 months, have you participated in any community activities to discourage people your age from using cigarettes, chewing tobacco, snuff, dip, cigars, e-cigarettes, e-cigars, or e-hookahs?	<ul> <li>53. Think back over the last two weeks. How many times have you had five or more alcoholic drinks in a row?</li> <li>None</li> <li>Once</li> <li>On</li></ul>	55. During the past 30 days, how many times did you drive a car or other vehicle when you had been drive a car or other vehicle when you had been drive a car or other vehicle when you had been drinking alcohol or using drugs to get high?	<ul> <li>60 find on drive a car in the past 30 days</li> <li>60 fitnes</li> <li>61 time</li> <li>66. If you drank alcohol (not just a sip or taste) in the past year, where did you usually drink it? Select the one best answer.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>I did not drink alcohol in the past year</li> <li>at my home</li> <li>at an open else's home</li> <li>at an open area like a park, beach, field, back road, woods, or a street corner</li> <li>at a sporting event or concert</li> <li>at a notel/motel</li> <li>at a hotel/motel</li> <li>at a car</li> </ul>	57. How do you teel about someone your age having one or two drinks of an alcoholic beverage nearly every day? O Neither approve Strongly disapprove O Don't know or can't say	
<ul> <li>43. How much do you think perpendition of the second state of the second stat</li></ul>	<ul> <li>c. smoke marijuana once or twice a week?</li> <li>d. take one or two drinks of an alcoholic beverage (beer, wine, liquor) nearly every day?</li> <li>e. have five or more drinks of an alcoholic beverage once or twice a weekend?</li> <li>f. use prescription drugs that are not prescribed to them?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>9. use non-prescription drugs to get high?</li> <li>0.000</li> <li>h. use e-cigarettes, e-cigars, or e-hookahs?</li> <li>44. Have you ever used smokeless tobacco (chew, snuff, plug, dipping tobacco, or chewing tobacco)?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Never</li> <li>Once or twice</li> <li>Once in a while but not regularly</li> <li>Regularly in the past</li> <li>Regularly now</li> <li>45. How often have you used smokeless tobacco during the past 30 days?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Onot at all</li> <li>Once or twice</li> <li>Once or twice per week</li> <li>Three to five times per week</li> <li>About once a day</li> <li>More than once a day</li> <li>46. Have you ever smoked cigarettes?</li> </ul>	Onever Once or twice Conce in a while but not regularly Regularly now	<ul> <li>47. How frequently have you smoked cigarettes during the past 30 days?</li> <li>Onot at all</li> <li>One to five cigarette per day</li> <li>Chobut one-half pack per day</li> <li>About one and one-half packs per day</li> <li>Two packs or more per day</li> <li>Two packs or more per day</li> <li>Which statement best describes rules about smoking</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Inside your home or your family cars?</li> <li>Smoking is not allowed anywhere inside your home or cars some cars</li> <li>Smoking is allowed anywhere inside the home or cars</li> <li>There are or rules about smoking inside the home or cars</li> <li>I don't know</li> <li>49. Have you ever used e-cigarettes, e-cigars</li> </ul>	One or twice Once or twice Regularly in the past Regularly now

Arkansas Prevention Needs Assessment (APNA) Student Survey - Appendix A

On how many occasions (if any) have you:		000	ASIO	SN	
58 had alcoholic heverages (heer wine or hard liquior) to drink in vour <b>lifetime</b> – more	•	<u>1</u> -2	3-5	6-9	‡0
than just a few sips?	0	0	0	0	0
59. drunk one or more drinks of an alcoholic beverage during the <b>past 30 days</b> ?	0	0	0	0	0
60. used marijuana (grass, pot) or hashish (hash, hash oil) in your <b>lifetime?</b>	0	0	0	0	0
61. used marijuana (grass, pot) or hashish (hash, hash oil) during the <b>past 30 days</b> ?	0	0	0	0	0
62. used LSD or other psychedelics in your lifetime?	0	0	0	0	0
63. used LSD or other psychedelics during the <b>past 30 days</b> ?	0	0	0	0	0
64. used cocaine or crack in your <b>lifetime?</b>	0	0	0	0	0
65. used cocaine or crack during the past 30 days?	0	0	0	0	0
66. sniffed glue, breathed the contents of an aerosol spray can, or inhaled other gases or sprays, in order to get high in your <b>lifetime</b> ?	0	0	0	0	0
67. sniffed glue, breathed the contents of an aerosol spray can, or inhaled other gases or sprays, in order to get high during the <b>past 30 days?</b>	0	0	0	0	0
68. used Pegaramide (peg, peggy, etc.) in your <b>lifetime</b> ?	0	0	0	0	0
69. used Pegaramide (peg, peggy, etc.) during the <b>past 30 days</b> ?	0	0	0	0	0
70. used synthetic marijuana (K2, spice) in your <b>lifetime</b> ?	0	0	0	0	0
71. used synthetic marijuana (K2, spice) during the <b>past 30 days</b> ?	0	0	0	0	0
72. used methamphetamines (meth, speed, crank, crystal meth) in your <b>lifetime</b> ?	0	0	0	0	0
73. used methamphetamines (meth, speed, crank, crystal meth) during the <b>past 30 days</b> ?	0	0	0	0	0
74. used other chemical products (bath salts, plant food, etc.) in your lifetime?	0	0	0	0	0
75. used other chemical products (bath salts, plant food, etc.) during the past 30 days?	0	0	0	0	0
76. used heroin or other opiates in your <b>lifetime?</b>	0	0	0	0	0
77. used heroin or other opiates during the <b>past 30 days</b> ?	0	0	0	0	0
78. used MDMA ('X', 'E', or ecstasy) in your <b>lifetime?</b>	0	0	0	0	0
79. used MDMA ('X', 'E', or ecstasy) during the <b>past 30 days?</b>	0	0	0	0	0
80. taken prescription drugs (such as Valium, Xanax, Ritalin, Adderall, OxyContin, Tramadol, sleeping pills, etc.) not prescribed to you in your <b>lifetime</b> ?	0	0	0	0	0
<ol> <li>taken prescription drugs (such as Valium, Xanax, Ritalin, Adderall, OxyContin, Tramadol, sleeping pills, etc.) not prescribed to you during the past 30 days?</li> </ol>	0	0	0	0	0
82. taken non-prescription medicines such as diet pills (for example, Dietac, Dexatrim or Prolamine), stay-awake pills (for example No-Doz, Vivarin, or Wake), or cough or cold medicines (robos, DXM, etc.) to get high in your lifetime?	0	0	0	0	0
83. taken non-prescription medicines such as diet pills (for example, Dietac, Dexatrim or Prolamine), stay-awake pills (for example No-Doz, Vivarin, or Wake), or cough or cold medicines (robos, DXM, etc.) to get high during the past 30 days?	0	0	0	0	0
84. been drunk or very high from drinking alcoholic beverages during the <b>past 30 days</b> ?	0	0	0	0	0
85. drunk flavored alcoholic beverages, sometimes called 'alcopops' (like Mike's Hard Lemonade, Smirnoff Ice, Bacardi Breezers, etc.) in your <b>lifetime</b> ?	0	0	0	0	0
86. drunk flavored alcoholic beverages, sometimes called 'alcopops' (like Mike's Hard Lemonade, Smirnoff Ice, Bacardi Breezers, etc.) during the <b>past 30 days</b> ?	0	0	0	0	0

176005

[SERIAL]

App:80



The next few questions ask about your family. When	people you consider to be your family, for example, parents, stepparents, grandparents, aunts, uncles, et	110. How wrong do your parents feel it would be for YOU to: Very wrong	a. nave one or two onnks of an alcoholic beverage nearly every day?	c. smoke marijuana?	d. use prescription drugs not prescribed	e. steal something?	on buildings or other property (without the oco oco oco owner's permission)?	111. During the past 12 months, have you talked with a	present one of your parents about the duringers of underage drinking and/or drinking and driving? By parents, we mean either your biological parents, adomine parents etamorants or adult durardiane.	whether or not they live with you.		112. The rules in my family are clear.	113. People in my family have serious arguments about the same things, and often insult or O	114. When I am not at home, one of 000 multiply parents knows where I am 0000 multiply and who I am with.	115. My family has clear rules about 0 0 0 alcohol and drug use.	116. If you skipped school would you       O	117. My parents ask if I've gotten my OOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOO	118. Would your parents know if you	119. Do you know how to properly dispose of leftover	O No		SAREA 00000000 [SERIAL]
YES!	0	0	0	N	<u>.</u>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		any			). J	D		
ves	0	0	0	lerv ea	f easy ard	0	0	0	0	0	0					hol hol		enting	nd mple c or 4-H	drinkin oaper		WRITE 0 0 0
2	0	0	0		Sort o rt of ha		p_	ú		0	 					rticipa y alco		i preve	ed on king a or tel Club	erage ( news		NOT
iON	0	0	0		Sol	woh	or har or gin) ome?	ne, LS be for	easy	how	ls for y would	ana h as d it be	r to tasy	ss, uld it		ou par	5	sed or and dr	focuse or drin church d Girls	g unde ample, ).		SE DO
	17. If a kid smoked marijuana in your neighborhood would he or she be caught by the police?	38. If a kid drank some beer, wine or hard liquor (for example, vodka, whiskey, or gin) in your neighborhood would he or she be caught by the police?	<ol> <li>If a kid carried a handgun in your neighborhood would he or she be caught by the police?</li> </ol>		4	100. If you wanted to get some cigarettes, easy would it be for you to get some?	101. If you wanted to get some beer, wine liquor (for example, vodka, whiskey, c how easy would it be for you to get s;	102. If you wanted to get a drug like cocain or amphetamines, how easy would it you to get some?	103. If you wanted to get a handgun, how would it be for you to get one?	104. If you wanted to get some marijuana, easy would it be for you to get some?	105. If you wanted to get prescription drug the purpose of getting high, how eas, it be for you to get some?	106. If you wanted to get synthetic marijua such as K2 or chemical products suc bath salts to get high, how easy would	for you to get some? 107. If you wanted to get steroids to use o enhance athletic performance, how e would it has for you to get some?	108. If you wanted to get some e-cigarette c-cigarette -cookans, how easy wo		09. During the past 12 months, have y alcohol prevention programs or se	Please check all that apply)	<ul> <li>Yes, a school-based program focu underage drinking and/or drinking</li> </ul>	○ Yes, a community-based program preventing underage drinking and/ driving (for example, through your through youth groups like Boys and	○ Yes, a media campaign addressin, and/or drinking and driving (for exe ads, posters, pamphlets, radio, TV	O No	PLEA 000000000000000000000000000000000000

YES!

176007

App:82

120. Have any of your brothers or sisters ever:

S		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
iste	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
s ro	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	ast
I don't have any brothers		a. drunk beer, wine or hard liquor (for example, vodka, whiskey or gin)?	b. smoked marijuana?	c. smoked cigarettes?	d. taken a handgun to school?	e. been suspended or expelled from school?	<li>f. used e-cigarettes, e-cigars, or e-hookahs (vaping)?</li>	g. used prescription drugs not prescribed for him/her?	21. Have you changed homes in the past year (the l 12 months)?

O Yes

0 0 0

How many times have you changed homes since kindergarten? 122.



- 5 or 6 times7 or more times
- Have you changed schools (including changing from elementary to middle and middle to high school) in the past year? 123.

OYes 0 0

How many times have you changed schools since kindergarten (including changing from elementary to middle and middle to high school)? 124.



5 or 6 times7 or more times

Has anyone in your family ever had a severe alcohol or drug problem? 125.

Number of Adults OYes About how many adults (over 21) have you known personally who in 0 0 126.

the past year have:	0	-	2	3-4	цо
a. used marijuana, crack, cocaine, or other drugs?	0	0	0	0	0
b. sold or dealt drugs?	0	0	0	0	0
<ul> <li>c. done other things that could get them in trouble with the police, like stealing, selling stolen goods, mugging or assaulting others, etc.?</li> </ul>	0	0	0	0	0

0

0

0

0

d. gotten drunk or high?

127. How honest were you in filling out this survey?

OI was verv honest	OI was honest pretty much of the time	OI was honest some of the time	O I was honest once in a while	O I was not honest at all
--------------------	---------------------------------------	--------------------------------	--------------------------------	---------------------------

176008

Appendix B: Sample Profile Report
## Contents

1	INTRODUCTION     1.1   The Risk and Protective Factor Model of Prevention	<b>6</b> 9
2	TOOLS FOR ASSESSMENT AND PLANNING	9
3	SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT USING SURVEY DATA3.1What are the numbers telling you?3.2How to decide if a rate is "unacceptable."3.3Use these data for planning:	<b>10</b> 10 10 10
4	HOW TO READ THE CHARTS AND TABLES	12
5	AGE OF FIRST USE	54
6	STUDENT TOBACCO USE, EXPERIENCES AND PREVENTION SERVICES	60
7	DRUG-FREE COMMUNITIES SUPPORT PROGRAM CORE MEASURES	64
8	PREVENTION RESOURCES   8.1 Regional Prevention Providers (RPP)   8.2 State and National Contacts	<b>66</b> 66 69

## List of Tables

1	Student Totals	7
2	Grade	7
3	Sex	8
4	Ethnic Origin	8
5	Risk and Protective Factor Scale Definition	37
6	Alcohol - Lifetime Use	39
7	Cigarettes - Lifetime Use	39
8	Chewing Tobacco - Lifetime Use	39
9	Marijuana - Lifetime Use	39
10	Hallucinogens - Lifetime Use	39
11	Cocaine - Lifetime Use	39
12	Inhalants - Lifetime Use	40
13	Synthetic Marijuana - Lifetime Use	40
14	Meth - Lifetime Use	40
15	Bath Salts - Lifetime Use	40
16	Heroin - Lifetime Use	40
17	Ecstasy - Lifetime Use	40
18	Prescription Drugs - Lifetime Use	40
19	Over-The-Counter Drugs - Lifetime Use	40
20	Alcopops - Lifetime Use	41
21	Any Drug - Lifetime Use	41
22	Alcohol - Past 30 Day Use	41
23	Cigarettes - Past 30 Day Use	41
24	Chewing Tobacco - Past 30 Day Use	41
25	Marijuana - Past 30 Day Use	41
26	Hallucinogens - Past 30 Day Use	42
27	Cocaine - Past 30 Day Use	42
28	Inhalants - Past 30 Day Use	42
29	Synthetic Marijuana - Past 30 Day Use	42
30	Meth - Past 30 Day Use	42
31	Bath Salts - Past 30 Day Use	42
32	Heroin - Past 30 Day Use	42
33	Ecstasy - Past 30 Day Use	43
34	Prescription Drugs - Past 30 Day Use	43
35	Over-The-Counter Drugs - Past 30 Day Use	43
36	Alcopops - Past 30 Day Use	43
37	Any Drug - Past 30 Day Use	43
38	Binge Drinking	43
39	Pack of Cigarettes	43
40	Suspended from School	43
41	Drunk or High at School	43
42	Sold Illegal Drugs	44
43	Stolen a Vehicle	44

44	Been Arrested	44
45	Attacked to Harm	44
46	Carried a Handgun	44
47	Handgun to School	44
48	Community Risk - High Community Disorganization	44
49	Community Risk - Transitions and Mobility	44
50	Community Risk - Laws and Norms Favorable to Drug Use	44
51	Community Risk - Perceived Availability of Drugs	44
52	Community Risk - Perceived Availability of Handguns	45
53	Family Risk - Poor Family Management	45
54	Family Risk - Family History of Antisocial Behavior	45
55	Family Risk - Parental Attitudes Favorable to ATOD	45
56	Family Risk - Parental Attitudes Favorable to ASB	45
57	School Risk - Academic Failure	45
58	School Risk - Low Commitment to School	45
59	Peer Risk - Early Initiation of Drug Use	45
60	Peer Risk - Early Initiation of ASB	45
61	Peer Risk - Peer Favorable Attitudes to ASB	45
62	Peer Risk - Peer Favorable Attitudes to Drug Use	46
63	Peer Risk - Low Perceived Risk of Drug Use	46
64	Peer Risk - Interaction with Antisocial Peers	46
65	Peer Risk - Friends' Use of Drugs	46
66	Peer Risk - Peer Rewards for Antisocial Involvement	46
67	Peer Risk - Depressive Symptoms	46
68	Peer Risk - Gang Involvement	46
69	School Protective - School Opportunities for PSI	46
70	School Protective - School Rewards for PSI	46
/1	Peer Protective - Religiosity	46
72		47
73		47
74	Sources of Cigarettes	48
15	I did not use e-cigarettes, e-cigars, or e-nookans in the past year	49
10	I bought them in a store such as a convenience store, supermarket,	40
77	discount store, or gas station	49
70	I got them at a store that calls electronic cigarettee, such as a "years	49
10	a store that sens electronic cigarettes, such as a vape	40
70	I got them from a family member	49
80	I got them from a friend	49
00 Q1	$\Delta$ stranger got them for me	49 ∕\0
82	I took them from a store or shop	50
83	I got them some other way	50
84	I did not use marijuana (grass not) in the nast year	50
85	I hought it myself	50
86	I got it from someone at school	50
00		50

87	I got it from someone with a medical marijuana card	51
88	I got it from my brother or sister	51
89	I got it from another relative	51
90	Other	51
91	I feel safe at my school.	52
92	How often have you taken a handgun to school?	52
93	How wrong do you think it is for someone your age to take a handgun	
	to school?	53
94	Have any of your brothers/sisters ever taken a handgun to school? .	53
95	Avg Age of First Marijuana	54
96	Avg Age of First Cigarettes	54
97	Avg Age of First Alcohol	54
98	Avg Age of First Regular Alcohol Use	54
99	Avg Age of First E-Cigarettes, E-Cigars or E-Hookahs	54
100	Avg Age of First Prescription Drugs	54
101	Avg Age of First School Suspension	54
102	Avg Age of First Been Arrested	55
103	Avg Age of First Carried a Handgun	55
104	Avg Age of First Belonged to a Gang	55
105	Cigarettes - Lifetime Use	60
106	Chewing Tobacco - Lifetime Use	60
107	Cigarettes - Past 30 Day Use	60
108	Chewing Tobacco - Past 30 Day Use	60
109	Which statement best describes rules about smoking inside your	
	home or your family cars?	61
110	Have you ever used e-cigarettes, e-cigars, or e-hookahs?	61
111	How frequently have you used e-cigarettes, e-cigars, or e-hookahs? .	62
112	During this school year, were you taught in any of your classes about	
	the dangers of tobacco use?	62
113	During the past 12 months, have you participated in any community	
	activities to discourage people your age from using cigarettes, chew-	
	ing tobacco, snuff, dip or cigars, e-cigarettes, e-cigars, or e-hookahs?	63
114	Core Measure by Grade for Past 30 Day Use	64
115	Core Measure by Grade for Perception of Risk	64
116	Core Measure by Grade for Parental Disapproval	64
117	Core Measure by Grade for Friends Disapproval	64
118	Core Measure by Sex for Past 30 Day Use	65
119	Core Measure by Sex for Perception of Risk	65
120	Core Measure by Sex for Parental Disapproval	65
121	Core Measure by Sex for Friends Disapproval	65

# List of Figures

1	Alcohol, Tobacco and Other Drug Use - Grade 6	.3
2	Alcohol, Tobacco and Other Drug Use - Grade 8	.4
3	Alcohol, Tobacco and Other Drug Use - Grade 10	.5
4	Alcohol, Tobacco and Other Drug Use - Grade 12	.6
5	Heavy Use and Antisocial Behavior - Grade 6	.7
6	Heavy Use and Antisocial Behavior - Grade 8	.8
7	Heavy Use and Antisocial Behavior - Grade 10	9
8	Heavy Use and Antisocial Behavior - Grade 12	20
9	Risk Factors - Grade 6	21
10	Risk Factors - Grade 8	22
11	Risk Factors - Grade 10	23
12	Risk Factors - Grade 12	24
13	Protective Factors - Grade 6	25
14	Protective Factors - Grade 8	26
15	Protective Factors - Grade 10	27
16	Protective Factors - Grade 12	28
17	School Safety Profile - Grade 6	29
18	School Safety Profile - Grade 8	60
19	School Safety Profile - Grade 10	$^{31}$
20	School Safety Profile - Grade 12	32
21	Sources and Locations of Alcohol Use - Grade 6	3
22	Sources and Locations of Alcohol Use - Grade 8	54
23	Sources and Locations of Alcohol Use - Grade 10	5
24	Sources and Locations of Alcohol Use - Grade 12	6
25	Avg. Age of First Use - Grade 6	6
26	Avg. Age of First Use - Grade 8	7
27	Avg. Age of First Use - Grade 10	8
28	Avg. Age of First Use - Grade 12	9

## **1** INTRODUCTION

This report summarizes findings from the Arkansas Prevention Needs Assessment Survey (APNA), a survey of 6th, 8th, 10th and 12th grade school students, conducted in the fall of 2017. This survey was available free of charge to all Arkansas public school districts that chose to participate. The survey was designed to assess adolescent substance use and related behaviors, and risk and protective factors that predict these behaviors. In this report, the results are presented for each grade along with the overall results for the State. Table 1 provides information on the number and percent of students at each grade. Table 2 provides information on the number and percent of students by sex. Table 4 provides information on the number and percent of students by ethnic origin.

The APNA Survey was first administered in the fall of 2002 and has been administered in the fall of each school year since then. Because trends over time are very important to prevention planning, readers are encouraged to review the results from the previous surveys. By comparing the results of the previous surveys, changes in ATOD (alcohol, tobacco and other drugs) use, rates of ASB (antisocial behavior), and levels of risk and protective factors can be determined for a specific grade. It is important to note that the results in this report are for students who were not sampled in the even grades (6, 8, 10, and 12) during the previous year's survey. Those students are now in grades 7, 9, 11 or are out of school. Together, the results of the current and past APNA surveys provide a complete picture of ATOD use, antisocial behavior, risk, and protection for students in Arkansas.

Table	1:	Student	Totals

Response	Group	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
Total Students	state	84,018	82,832	75,027	72,283

### Table 2: Grade

		20	2014-15		2015-16		16-17	2017-18	
Response	Group	pct	n	pct	n	pct	n	pct	n
6	state	28.3	23,745	27.6	22,836	28.4	21,320	28.0	20,235
8	state	28.4	23,866	28.8	23,884	27.5	20,604	28.0	20,262
10	state	24.6	20,634	25.1	20,773	25.6	19,187	25.0	18,084
12	state	18.8	15,773	18.5	15,339	18.5	13,916	19.0	13,702

Ta	ble	: 3:	Sex	

		2014-15		2015-16		20	16-17	2017-18	
Response	Group	pct	n	pct	n	pct	n	pct	n
Male	state	49.1	40,921	48.9	40,161	49.3	36,668	48.9	34,625
Female	state	50.9	42,490	51.1	41,997	50.7	37,758	51.1	36,111

### Table 4: Ethnic Origin

		20	14-15	20	15-16	20	16-17	20	17-18
Response	Group	pct	n	pct	n	pct	n	pct	n
Hispanic	state	10.5	10,607	11.8	11,883	11.6	10,648	12.4	11,099
Black or African American	state	15.6	15,846	14.9	15,009	15.8	14,444	15.0	13,494
Asian	state	1.8	1,857	1.9	1,963	1.8	1,672	1.9	1,721
American Indian	state	4.8	4,916	4.7	4,720	5.0	4,550	4.8	4,280
Alaska Native	state	0.2	209	0.1	149	0.2	139	0.2	163
White	state	56.5	57,268	55.2	55,685	53.9	49,385	53.2	47,743
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	state	0.9	896	0.9	938	1.0	913	1.2	1,047
Other	state	9.7	9,821	10.4	10,511	10.7	9,810	11.4	10,260

#### 1.1 The Risk and Protective Factor Model of Prevention

Risk and protective factor-focused prevention is based on a simple premise: To prevent a problem from happening, we need to identify the factors that increase the risk of that problem developing and then find ways to reduce the risks. Just as medical researchers have found risk factors for heart attacks such as diets high in fats, lack of exercise, and smoking, a team of researchers, the Social Development Research Group (SDRG), at the University of Washington, have defined a set of risk factors for drug abuse. The research team also found that some children exposed to multiple risk factors manage to avoid behavior problems later even though they were exposed to the same risks as children who exhibited behavior problems. Based on research, they identified protective factors and processes that work together to buffer children from the effects of high risk exposure and lead to the development of healthy behaviors.

Risk factors include characteristics of school, community, and family environments, as well as characteristics of students and their peer groups that are known to predict increased likelihood of drug use, delinquency, and violent behaviors among youth (Hawkins, Catalano & Miller, 1992; Hawkins, Arthur & Catalano, 1995; Brewer, Hawkins, Catalano & Neckerman, 1995).

### 2 TOOLS FOR ASSESSMENT AND PLANNING

Protective factors exert a positive influence or buffer against the negative influence of risk, thus reducing the likelihood that adolescents will engage in problem behaviors. Protective factors, identified through research reviewed by the Social Development Research Group, include social bonding to family, school, community and peers; and healthy beliefs and clear standards for behavior.

Research on risk and protective factors has important implications for prevention efforts. The premise of this approach is that in order to promote positive youth development and prevent problem behaviors, it is necessary to address those factors that predict the problem. By measuring risk and protective factors in a population, specific risk factors that are elevated and widespread can be identified and targeted by preventive interventions that also promote related protective factors. For example, if academic failure is identified as an elevated risk factor in a community, then mentoring and tutoring interventions can be provided that will improve academic performance, and also increase opportunities and rewards for classroom participation.

Risk and protective factor-focused drug abuse prevention is based on the work of J. David Hawkins, Ph.D., Richard F. Catalano, Ph.D.; and a team of researchers at

the University of Washington in Seattle. Beginning in the early 1980's, the group researched adolescent problem behaviors and identified risk factors for adolescent drug abuse and delinquency. The chart below shows the links between the 16 risk factors and the five problem behaviors. The check marks have been placed in the chart to indicate where at least two well designed, published research studies have shown a link between the risk factor and the problem behavior.

	PF	ROBLI	EM BEH	AVIOR	S
YOUTH AT RISK	Substance Abuse	Delinquency	Teen Pregnancy	School Drop-Out	Violence
Community					
Availability of Drugs and Firearms	1				1
Community Laws and Norms Favorable Toward Drug Use	1				
Transitions and Mobility	1	1		1	
Low Neighborhood Attachment and Community Disorganization	1	1			1
Extreme Economic and Social Deprivation	1	1	1	1	1
Family		1	1	1	
Family History of High Risk Behavior	1	1	1	1	
Family Management Problems	1	1	1	1	$\checkmark$
Family Conflict	1	1	1	1	1
Favorable Parental Attitudes and Involvement in the Problem Behavior	1	1			1
School					
Early and Persistent Antisocial Behavior	1	1	1	1	$\checkmark$
Academic Failure in Elementary School	1	1	1	1	1
Lack of Commitment to School	1	1	1	1	
Individual/Peer					
Alienation and Rebelliousness	<b>√</b>	1		1	
Friends Who Engage in a Problem Behavior	1	1	1	1	$\checkmark$
Favorable Attitudes Toward the Problem Behavior	1	1	1	1	
Early Initiation of the Problem Behavior	1	1	1	1	1

## 3 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT USING SURVEY DATA

Data from the Arkansas Prevention Needs Assessment Survey can be used to help school and community planners assess current conditions and prioritize areas of greatest need.

Each risk and protective factor can be linked to specific types of interventions that have been shown to be effective in either reducing the risk(s) and enhancing the protection(s). The steps outlined below will help your school and community make key decisions regarding allocation of resources, how and when to address specific needs, and which strategies are most effective and known to produce results.

#### 3.1 What are the numbers telling you?

Review the charts and data tables presented in this report. Using the table in section 3.3, note your findings as you discuss the following questions

- Which 3 to 5 risk factors appear to be higher than you would want?
- Which 3 to 5 protective factors appear to be lower than you would want?
- Which levels of 30 day drug use are increasing and/or unacceptably high?
  - Which substances are your students using the most?
  - At which grades do you see unacceptable usage levels?
- Which levels of antisocial behaviors are increasing and/or unacceptably high?
  - Which behaviors are your students exhibiting the most?
  - At which grades do you see unacceptable behavior levels?

#### 3.2 How to decide if a rate is "unacceptable."

- Look across the charts to determine which items stand out as either much higher or much lower than the others.
- Compare your data to statewide data and national data. Differences of 5% or more between the local and other data should be carefully reviewed.
- Determine the standards and values held in your area. For example: Is it acceptable in your community for 75% of high school students to drink alcohol regularly even when the statewide percentage is 90?

#### 3.3 Use these data for planning:

- Substance use and antisocial behavior data raise awareness about the problems and promote dialogue.
- Risk and protective factor data identify exactly where the community needs to take action.
- Promising approaches talk with resources listed on the last page of this report for ideas about programs that have been proven effective in addressing the risk factors that are high in your area, and in improving the protective factors that are low.

	Unacceptable	Unacceptable	Unacceptable	Unacceptable
Measure	Rate #1	Rate #2	Rate #3	Rate #4
30 Day				
Drug Use				
Antisocial				
Behavior				
Risk				
Factors				
Protective				
Factors				

#### How do I decide which intervention(s) to employ?

- Strategies should be selected based on the risk factors that are high in your community and the protective factors that are low.
- Strategies should be age appropriate and employed prior to the onset of the problem behavior.
- Strategies chosen should address more than a single risk and protective factor.
- No single strategy offers the solution.

#### How do I know whether or not the intervention was effective?

• Participation in the annual administration of the survey provides trend data necessary for determining the effectiveness of the implemented intervention(s) and also provides data for determining any new efforts that are needed.

### 4 HOW TO READ THE CHARTS AND TABLES

- 1. Student responses for risk and protective factors, substance use and antisocial behavior questions are displayed by grade on the following pages.
- 2. The factors are grouped into 4 domains: community, family, school, and peerindividual.
- 3. The bars represent the percent of students in the grade who reported elevated risk or protection, substance use, antisocial behaviors or school safety concerns.
- Scanning across these charts, you can easily determine which factors are most (or least) prevalent, thus identifying which are the most important for your community to address.
- 5. Bars will be complemented by a small dash. The dash shows the comparison from the state and provides additional information for you in determining the relative importance of each risk or protective factor.
- 6. A dashed line on each risk and protective factor chart represents the percentage of youth at risk or with protection for the seven state sample upon which the cut-points were developed. The seven states included in the norm group were Colorado, Illinois, Kansas, Maine, Oregon, Utah and Washington. This gives you a comparison to a national sample.
- 7. Brief definitions of the risk and protective factors can be found following the graphs.
- 8. The tables provide more detailed information and are broken down by grade level. The combined category consists of all the grade levels represented in this report combined together (ie. if the report is based on 10th and 12th graders then the combined category will be all the 10th and 12th graders combined). For the tables on substance use, some substances also have a comparison to the Monitoring the Future (MTF) data. Monitoring the Future is an annual federally funded national survey of substance use across the country for students in grade 8, 10 and 12. For some substances and for some years or some grades, there is no corresponding MTF data.
- 9. The following abbreviations are sometimes used in the tables and charts due to space constraints:

ATOD stands for Alcohol, Tobacco and Other Drug Use. ASB stands for Antisocial Behaviors. PSI stands for Prosocial Involvement. MTF stands for Monitoring the Future.



Alcohol, Tobacco and Other Drug Use - Grade 6 State Profile Report

Figure 1: Alcohol, Tobacco and Other Drug Use - Grade  ${\bf 6}$ 



Alcohol, Tobacco and Other Drug Use - Grade 8 State Profile Report

Figure 2: Alcohol, Tobacco and Other Drug Use - Grade 8



Alcohol, Tobacco and Other Drug Use - Grade 10 State Profile Report

Figure 3: Alcohol, Tobacco and Other Drug Use - Grade 10



Alcohol, Tobacco and Other Drug Use - Grade 12 State Profile Report

Figure 4: Alcohol, Tobacco and Other Drug Use - Grade 12





Figure 5: Heavy Use and Antisocial Behavior - Grade 6



Heavy Use and Antisocial Behavior - Grade 8 State Profile Report

Figure 6: Heavy Use and Antisocial Behavior - Grade 8



Heavy Use and Antisocial Behavior - Grade 10 State Profile Report

Figure 7: Heavy Use and Antisocial Behavior - Grade 10



### Heavy Use and Antisocial Behavior - Grade 12 State Profile Report

Figure 8: Heavy Use and Antisocial Behavior - Grade 12

Risk Factors - Grade 6 State Profile Report



Figure 9: Risk Factors - Grade 6

Risk Factors - Grade 8 State Profile Report



Figure 10: Risk Factors - Grade 8

Risk Factors - Grade 10 State Profile Report



Figure 11: Risk Factors - Grade 10



Risk Factors - Grade 12 State Profile Report

Figure 12: Risk Factors - Grade 12



Protective Factors - Grade 6 State Profile Report





Protective Factors - Grade 8 State Profile Report

Figure 14: Protective Factors - Grade 8



Protective Factors - Grade 10 State Profile Report

Figure 15: Protective Factors - Grade 10



Protective Factors - Grade 12 State Profile Report

Figure 16: Protective Factors - Grade 12

School Safety Profile - Grade 6 State Profile Report



Figure 17: School Safety Profile - Grade 6

School Safety Profile - Grade 8 State Profile Report



Figure 18: School Safety Profile - Grade 8





Figure 19: School Safety Profile - Grade 10

School Safety Profile - Grade 12 State Profile Report



Figure 20: School Safety Profile - Grade 12



Sources and Locations of Alcohol Use - Grade 6 State Profile Report

Figure 21: Sources and Locations of Alcohol Use - Grade 6



### Sources and Locations of Alcohol Use - Grade 8 State Profile Report

Figure 22: Sources and Locations of Alcohol Use - Grade 8



Sources and Locations of Alcohol Use - Grade 10 State Profile Report

Figure 23: Sources and Locations of Alcohol Use - Grade 10



Sources and Locations of Alcohol Use - Grade 12 State Profile Report

Figure 24: Sources and Locations of Alcohol Use - Grade 12

#### Table 5: Risk and Protective Factor Scale Definition

Community Domain Risk Factors			
Community	Research has shown that neighborhoods with high population		
Disorganization	density, lack of natural surveillance of public places, physical		
	deterioration, and high rates of adult crime also have higher		
	rates of juvenile crime and drug selling.		
Transitions	Reseach has shown that transitions from school to school may		
and Mobility	be accompanied by significant increases in rates of drug use,		
	school dropout and antisocial behavior.		
Laws and Norms	Research has shown that legal restrictions on alcohol and to-		
Favorable Toward	bacco use, such as raising the legal drinking age, restricting		
Drug Use	smoking in public places, and increased taxation have been fol-		
	lowed by decreases in consumption. Moreover, national surveys		
	of high school seniors have shown that shifts in normative atti-		
	tudes toward drug use have preceded changes in prevalence of		
	use.		
Perceived Availability	The availability of cigarettes, alcohol, marijuana, and other il-		
of Drugs	legal drugs has been related to the use of these substances by		
	adolescents.		
Perceived Availability	The availability of handguns has also been related to the use of		
of Handguns	these substances by adolescents.		
	Family Domain Risk Factors		
Poor Family	Parents' use of inconsistent and/or unusually harsh or severe		
Management	punishment with their children places them at higher risk for		
	substance use and other problem behaviors. Also, parents' fail-		
	ure to provide clear expectations and to monitor their children's		
	behavior makes it more likely that they will engage in drug abuse		
	whether or not there are family drug problems.		
Family History of	When children are raised in a family with a history of problem		
Antisocial Behavior	behaviors (e.g., violence or ATOD use), the children are more		
	likely to engage in these behaviors.		
Parental Attitudes	In families where parents use illegal drugs, are heavy users of		
Favorable Toward	alcohol, or are tolerant of children's use, children are more likely		
Drug Use	to become drug abusers during adolescence. The risk is further		
	increased if parents involve children in their own drug (or alco-		
	hol) using behavior, for example, asking the child to light the		
	parent's cigarette or get the parent a beer from the refrigerator.		

continued on the next column

#### Parental Attitudes In families where parents are tolerant of their child's antisocial Favorable Toward behavior (i.e. fighting, stealing, defacing property, etc.), chil-Antisocial Behavior dren are more likely to become drug abusers during adolescence. School Domain Risk Factors Academic Failure Beginning in the late elementary grades (grades 4-6) academic failure increases the risk of both drug abuse and delinquency. It appears that the experience of failure itself, for whatever reasons, increases the risk of problem behaviors. Low Commitment Surveys of high school seniors have shown that the use of halto School lucinogens, cocaine, heroin, stimulants, and sedatives or nonmedically prescribed tranquilizers is significantly lower among students who expect to attend college than among those who do not. Factors such as liking school, spending time on homework, and perceiving the coursework as relevant are also negatively related to drug use. School Domain Protective Factors Opportunities for When young people are given more opportunities to participate Prosocial meaningfully in important activities at school, they are less likely Involvement to engage in drug use and other problem behaviors. Rewards for When young people are recognized and rewarded for their contri-Prosocial butions at school, they are less likely to be involved in substance Involvement use and other problem behaviors. Individual/Peer Risk Factors Early Initiation Early onset of drug use predicts misuse of drugs. The earlier the of Drug Use onset of any drug use, the greater the involvement in other drug use and the greater frequency of use. Onset of drug use prior to the age of 15 is a consistent predictor of drug abuse, and a later age of onset of drug use has been shown to predict lower drug involvement and a greater probability of discontinuation of use. Early Initiation Early onset of antisocial behaviors such as being suspended from of Antisocial Behavior school, arrests, carrying handguns, fighting, etc. makes young people more likely to be involved in substance abuse. Attitudes Favorable During the elementary school years, most children express anti-Toward Drug Use drug, anti-crime, and pro-social attitudes and have difficulty imagining why people use drugs. However, in middle school, as more youth are exposed to others who use drugs, their attitudes often shift toward greater acceptance of these behaviors. Youth who express positive attitudes toward drug use are more likely to engage in a variety of problem behaviors, including drug use.

continued on the next column

Attitudes Favorable	During the elementary school years, most children express anti-		
Toward	drug, anti-crime, and pro-social attitudes and have difficulty		
Antisocial Behavior	imagining why people engage in antisocial behaviors. How-		
	ever, in middle school, as more youth are exposed to others		
	who engage in antisocial behavior, their attitudes often shift to-		
	ward greater acceptance of these behaviors. Youth who express		
	positive attitudes toward antisocial behavior are more likely to		
	engage in a variety of problem behaviors, including antisocial		
	behavior.		
Low Perceived Risk	Young people who do not perceive drug use to be risky are far		
of Drug Use	more likely to engage in drug use.		
Interaction with	Young people who associate with peers who engage in problem		
Antisocial Peers	behaviors are at higher risk for engaging in antisocial behavior		
	themselves.		
Friends' Use of Drugs	Young people who associate with peers who engage in alcohol or		
	substance abuse are much more likely to engage in the same be-		
	havior. Peer drug use has consistently been found to be among		
	the strongest predictors of substance use among youth. Even		
	when young people come from well-managed families and do not		
	experience other risk factors, spending time with friends who use		
	drugs greatly increases the risk of that problem developing.		
Rewards for	Young people who receive rewards for their antisocial behavior		
Antisocial	are at higher risk for engaging further in antisocial behavior and		
Involvement	substance use.		
Depressive	Young people who express feelings of sadness for long periods		
Symptoms	over the past year and who have negative attitudes about them-		
	selves and life in general are more likely to use drugs.		
Gang	Gang involvement by young people is strongly related to many		
Involvement	problem behaviors includeing drug use.		
Individual/Peer Protective Factors			
Religiosity	Young people who regularly attend religious services are less		
	likely to engage in problem behaviors.		

### Risk and Protective Factor Scale Definition (continued)
### Table 6: Alcohol - Lifetime Use

Grade	Group	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
6	state	8.5	8.2	7.9	8.6
8	state	23.2	22.3	21.2	21.2
	MTF	26.8	26.1	22.8	23.1
10	state	45.2	42.5	39.5	39.2
	MTF	49.3	47.1	43.4	42.2
12	state	58.7	55.8	53.8	51.4
	MTF	66.0	64.0	61.2	61.5
Combined	state	31.2	29.7	28.2	27.8

# Table 7: Cigarettes - Lifetime Use

Grade	Group	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
6	state	6.3	5.7	5.8	5.7
8	state	18.0	15.5	14.5	13.7
	MTF	13.5	13.3	9.8	9.4
10	state	29.5	26.3	24.4	22.5
	MTF	22.6	19.9	17.5	15.9
12	state	39.4	35.3	34.2	31.5
	MTF	34.4	31.1	28.3	26.6
Combined	state	21.5	19.1	18.2	17.0

Table 8: Chewing Tobacco - Lifetime Use

Grade	Group	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
6	state	4.7	4.1	4.0	4.2
8	state	11.3	9.9	9.1	8.7
	MTF	8.0	8.6	6.9	6.2
10	state	18.4	16.9	15.2	14.0
	MTF	13.6	12.3	10.2	9.1
12	state	22.4	19.9	19.5	18.8
	MTF	15.1	13.2	14.2	11.0
Combined	state	13.2	11.9	11.1	10.6

### Table 9: Marijuana - Lifetime Use

Grade	Group	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
6	state	1.4	1.3	1.3	1.4
8	state	9.1	8.2	8.3	8.2
	MTF	15.6	15.5	12.8	13.5
10	state	23.3	21.7	20.8	20.4
	MTF	33.7	31.1	29.7	30.7
12	state	35.5	33.1	33.1	31.0
	MTF	44.4	44.7	44.5	45.0
Combined	state	15.4	14.3	14.1	13.6

### Table 10: Hallucinogens - Lifetime Use

Grade	Group	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
6	state	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.3
8	state	0.7	0.6	0.6	0.6
	MTF	1.1	1.3	1.2	1.3
10	state	2.1	2.2	1.8	2.2
	MTF	2.6	3.0	3.2	3.0
12	state	3.8	4.2	4.0	3.7
	MTF	3.7	4.3	4.9	5.0
Combined	state	1.5	1.6	1.4	1.5

#### Table 11: Cocaine - Lifetime Use

Grade	Group	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
6	state	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3
8	state	0.9	0.7	0.7	0.7
	MTF	1.8	1.6	1.4	1.3
10	state	1.6	1.5	1.3	1.3
	MTF	2.6	2.7	2.1	2.1
12	state	2.6	2.8	2.5	2.3
	MTF	4.6	4.0	3.7	4.2
Combined	state	1.2	1.2	1.1	1.0

### Table 12: Inhalants - Lifetime Use

Grade	Group	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
6	state	3.5	3.1	3.1	3.4
8	state	6.9	5.7	5.7	5.7
	MTF	10.8	9.4	7.7	8.9
10	state	6.8	5.9	5.2	4.8
	MTF	8.7	7.2	6.6	6.1
12	state	5.6	5.0	3.9	3.8
	MTF	6.5	5.7	5.0	4.9
Combined	state	5.7	4.9	4.5	4.5

### Table 13: Synthetic Marijuana - Lifetime Use

Grade	Group	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
6	state	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4
8	state	2.1	1.5	1.4	1.4
10	state	4.4	3.5	2.6	2.2
12	state	7.6	5.3	3.6	2.7
Combined	state	3.2	2.4	1.8	1.6

### Table 14: Meth - Lifetime Use

Grade	Group	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
6	state	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2
8	state	0.7	0.6	0.5	0.5
	MTF	1.0	0.8	0.6	0.7
10	state	1.3	1.2	0.9	0.9
	MTF	1.4	1.3	0.7	0.9
12	state	2.0	1.6	1.3	1.1
	MTF	1.9	1.0	1.2	1.1
Combined	state	0.9	0.8	0.7	0.6

### Table 15: Bath Salts - Lifetime Use

Grade	Group	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
6	state	1.5	1.8	2.1	2.5
8	state	1.1	1.4	1.6	1.8
10	state	0.7	0.7	0.9	0.8
12	state	0.7	0.6	0.6	0.5
Combined	state	1.0	1.2	1.4	1.5

#### Table 16: Heroin - Lifetime Use

Grade	Group	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
6	state	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1
8	state	0.5	0.3	0.5	0.4
	MTF	0.9	0.5	0.5	0.7
10	state	0.9	0.8	0.7	1.0
	MTF	0.9	0.7	0.6	0.4
12	state	1.5	1.6	1.3	1.3
	MTF	1.0	0.8	0.7	0.7
Combined	state	0.7	0.6	0.6	0.7

### Table 17: Ecstasy - Lifetime Use

Grade	Group	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
6	state	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
8	state	0.6	0.5	0.4	0.4
	MTF	1.4	2.3	1.7	1.5
10	state	1.9	1.5	1.2	1.5
	MTF	3.7	3.8	2.8	2.8
12	state	2.7	2.8	2.4	2.2
	MTF	5.6	5.9	4.9	4.9
Combined	state	1.2	1.1	0.9	0.9

### Table 18: Prescription Drugs - Lifetime Use

Grade	Group	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
6	state	1.9	2.2	2.5	3.1
8	state	5.1	5.0	5.1	5.9
10	state	11.0	10.3	9.2	9.9
12	state	15.5	14.1	13.2	11.7
	MTF	19.9	18.3	-	16.5
Combined	state	7.6	7.2	6.9	7.2

### Table 19: Over-The-Counter Drugs - Lifetime Use

Grade	Group	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
6	state	0.9	1.0	1.0	1.2
8	state	2.4	2.5	2.4	2.2
10	state	4.6	4.3	3.7	4.3
12	state	5.5	5.2	4.6	3.9
Combined	state	3.1	3.0	2.8	2.8

### Table 20: Alcopops - Lifetime Use

Grade	Group	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
6	state	3.7	3.3	3.2	3.2
8	state	13.9	12.4	11.5	11.2
	MTF	19.2	19.3	16.3	16.0
10	state	28.9	26.9	24.1	23.2
	MTF	42.3	38.7	33.3	34.8
12	state	39.9	37.2	34.8	32.4
	MTF	57.5	55.6	53.6	51.2
Combined	state	19.7	18.1	16.8	16.0

### Table 21: Any Drug - Lifetime Use

Grade	Group	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
6	state	7.4	7.2	7.7	8.7
8	state	16.3	15.3	15.3	15.9
10	state	28.9	27.2	26.3	25.9
12	state	39.7	36.9	36.3	34.5
Combined	state	21.3	20.1	19.9	19.9

### Table 22: Alcohol - Past 30 Day Use

Grade	Group	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
6	state	1.5	1.2	1.2	1.4
8	state	7.6	6.8	6.4	6.2
	MTF	9.0	9.7	7.3	8.0
10	state	19.5	18.1	16.1	15.6
	MTF	23.5	21.5	19.9	19.7
12	state	30.2	27.8	26.2	25.3
	MTF	37.4	35.3	33.2	33.2
Combined	state	13.0	12.0	11.1	10.8

### Table 23: Cigarettes - Past 30 Day Use

Grade	Group	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
6	state	1.1	0.8	0.9	0.9
8	state	4.6	3.6	3.2	3.1
	MTF	4.0	3.6	2.6	1.9
10	state	10.3	8.7	7.6	6.9
	MTF	7.2	6.3	4.9	5.0
12	state	16.7	14.2	13.7	12.8
	MTF	13.6	11.4	10.5	9.7
Combined	state	7.3	6.0	5.6	5.3

# Table 24: Chewing Tobacco - Past 30 Day Use

Grade	Group	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
6	state	1.2	1.1	1.0	1.1
8	state	4.2	3.4	3.2	3.2
	MTF	3.0	3.2	2.5	1.7
10	state	8.5	7.2	6.2	5.7
	MTF	5.3	4.9	3.5	3.8
12	state	10.4	9.1	8.7	8.6
	MTF	8.4	6.1	6.6	4.9
Combined	state	5.6	4.8	4.3	4.2

### Table 25: Marijuana - Past 30 Day Use

Grade	Group	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
6	state	0.6	0.5	0.4	0.6
8	state	4.3	3.5	3.5	3.8
	MTF	6.5	6.5	5.4	5.5
10	state	11.4	10.2	10.0	9.7
	MTF	16.6	14.8	14.0	15.7
12	state	16.6	16.2	16.2	15.3
	MTF	21.2	21.3	22.5	22.9
Combined	state	7.3	6.7	6.7	6.6

### Table 26: Hallucinogens - Past 30 Day Use

Grade	Group	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
6	state	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
8	state	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2
	MTF	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.3
10	state	0.7	0.6	0.6	0.7
	MTF	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.8
12	state	0.9	1.2	1.2	1.1
	MTF	1.0	1.1	1.0	1.2
Combined	state	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.5

# Table 27: Cocaine - Past 30 Day Use

Grade	Group	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
6	state	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.2
8	state	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.3
	MTF	0.5	0.5	0.3	0.4
10	state	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.3
	MTF	0.6	0.8	0.4	0.5
12	state	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.6
	MTF	1.0	1.1	0.9	1.2
Combined	state	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.3

### Table 28: Inhalants - Past 30 Day Use

Grade	Group	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
6	state	1.5	1.3	1.4	1.5
8	state	2.6	2.2	2.0	2.0
	MTF	2.2	2.0	1.8	2.1
10	state	1.8	1.5	1.4	1.4
	MTF	1.1	1.2	1.0	1.1
12	state	1.1	1.0	0.7	0.8
	MTF	0.7	0.7	0.8	0.8
Combined	state	1.8	1.6	1.4	1.5

### Table 29: Synthetic Marijuana - Past 30 Day Use

Grade	Group	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
6	state	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.2
8	state	0.8	0.6	0.6	0.6
10	state	1.1	0.9	0.9	0.6
12	state	1.1	0.8	0.6	0.6
Combined	state	0.7	0.6	0.5	0.5

### Table 30: Meth - Past 30 Day Use

Grade	Group	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
6	state	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
8	state	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2
	MTF	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.2
10	state	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.2
	MTF	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.1
12	state	0.6	0.5	0.3	0.4
	MTF	0.5	0.4	0.3	0.3
Combined	state	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2

### Table 31: Bath Salts - Past 30 Day Use

Grade	Group	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
6	state	0.6	0.7	0.9	1.1
8	state	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.8
10	state	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.4
12	state	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.2
Combined	state	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.7

### Table 32: Heroin - Past 30 Day Use

Grade	Group	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
6	state	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
8	state	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.2
	MTF	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.2
10	state	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.4
	MTF	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.1
12	state	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5
	MTF	0.4	0.3	0.2	0.3
Combined	state	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.3

### Table 33: Ecstasy - Past 30 Day Use

Grade	Group	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
6	state	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
8	state	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2
	MTF	0.4	0.5	0.3	0.4
10	state	0.6	0.4	0.3	0.4
	MTF	0.8	0.9	0.5	0.5
12	state	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.5
	MTF	1.4	1.1	0.9	0.9
Combined	state	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3

Table 34: Prescription Drugs - Past 30 Day Use

Grade	Group	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
6	state	0.9	1.1	1.1	1.4
8	state	2.5	2.3	2.4	2.7
10	state	5.1	4.8	4.0	4.1
12	state	6.4	5.8	5.2	4.3
	MTF	6.4	5.9	-	4.9
Combined	state	3.4	3.2	3.0	3.0

Table 35: Over-The-Counter Drugs - Past 30 Day Use

Grade	Group	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
6	state	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.7
8	state	1.2	1.3	1.2	1.2
10	state	2.0	2.0	1.5	1.7
12	state	2.0	1.9	1.5	1.5
Combined	state	1.4	1.4	1.1	1.2

### Table 36: Alcopops - Past 30 Day Use

Grade	Group	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
6	state	1.1	0.9	1.0	0.9
8	state	5.2	4.5	4.1	4.0
	MTF	5.7	5.5	4.0	4.4
10	state	12.4	11.3	9.5	9.9
	MTF	14.0	12.8	11.0	12.9
12	state	18.4	17.1	15.9	15.0
	MTF	19.9	20.8	18.3	20.2
Combined	state	8.3	7.6	6.8	6.7

### Table 37: Any Drug - Past 30 Day Use

Grade	Group	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
6	state	3.4	3.6	3.7	4.5
8	state	8.3	7.5	7.3	8.0
10	state	15.1	14.0	13.2	13.0
12	state	20.3	19.5	18.9	17.9
Combined	state	10.9	10.3	9.9	10.1

### Table 38: Binge Drinking

Grade	Group	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
6	state	0.8	0.6	0.6	0.7
8	state	4.4	3.7	3.3	3.3
10	state	12.0	10.9	9.6	9.0
12	state	19.5	17.6	16.6	15.1
Combined	state	8.1	7.2	6.6	6.2

### Table 39: Pack of Cigarettes

Grade	Group	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
6	state	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1
8	state	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2
10	state	0.7	0.7	0.5	0.5
12	state	1.5	1.2	1.1	0.9
Combined	state	0.6	0.5	0.4	0.4

### Table 40: Suspended from School

Grade	Group	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
6	state	10.0	9.5	9.9	9.9
8	state	13.4	12.5	12.7	12.3
10	state	11.4	10.5	11.3	10.5
12	state	8.5	8.1	7.9	7.9
Combined	state	11.0	10.4	10.7	10.3

### Table 41: Drunk or High at School

Grade	Group	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
6	state	1.1	0.9	0.9	0.8
8	state	5.3	4.6	4.7	4.4
10	state	11.5	10.6	10.3	9.8
12	state	15.1	14.1	13.6	11.9
Combined	state	7.5	6.8	6.7	6.2

### Table 42: Sold Illegal Drugs

Grade	Group	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
6	state	0.4	0.2	0.3	0.3
8	state	1.8	1.7	1.6	1.4
10	state	5.0	4.7	4.3	4.2
12	state	7.1	6.4	6.4	5.3
Combined	state	3.2	2.9	2.8	2.5

### Table 43: Stolen a Vehicle

Grade	Group	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
6	state	0.9	0.8	0.7	0.9
8	state	1.2	1.3	1.3	1.4
10	state	1.6	1.6	1.7	1.8
12	state	1.3	1.2	1.2	1.2
Combined	state	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.3

### Table 44: Been Arrested

Grade	Group	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
6	state	1.2	1.1	1.1	1.2
8	state	3.1	2.5	2.6	2.7
10	state	4.5	4.0	3.6	3.5
12	state	4.3	4.0	3.6	3.2
Combined	state	3.1	2.8	2.6	2.5

#### Table 45: Attacked to Harm

Grade	Group	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
6	state	7.0	6.3	6.8	6.3
8	state	9.2	8.9	8.5	8.1
10	state	9.9	9.2	8.7	7.4
12	state	8.3	7.4	7.2	6.2
Combined	state	8.6	8.0	7.8	7.1

### Table 46: Carried a Handgun

Grade	Group	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
6	state	4.3	4.2	4.3	4.7
8	state	5.1	4.9	5.6	5.3
10	state	5.3	5.2	5.6	5.5
12	state	5.3	5.2	6.2	5.9
Combined	state	5.0	4.8	5.3	5.3

### Table 47: Handgun to School

Grade	Group	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
6	state	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.2
8	state	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.4
10	state	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.6
12	state	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9
Combined	state	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5

Table 48: Community Risk - High Community Disorganization

Grade	Group	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
6	state	32.8	33.0	31.9	23.0
8	state	29.3	28.7	28.9	21.8
10	state	41.9	41.8	42.4	31.9
12	state	41.2	41.4	42.4	31.4
Combined	state	35.7	35.5	35.7	26.5

Table 49: Community Risk - Transitions and Mobility

Grade	Group	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
6	state	46.9	48.0	47.4	37.3
8	state	51.3	51.9	50.5	43.0
10	state	57.6	56.4	55.0	45.8
12	state	48.9	48.2	47.6	39.7
Combined	state	51.3	51.3	50.3	41.5

Table 50: Community Risk - Laws and Norms Favorable to Drug Use

Grade	Group	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
6	state	35.4	34.2	35.4	30.2
8	state	28.9	27.1	28.1	25.4
10	state	36.7	34.5	35.0	30.6
12	state	29.1	27.6	28.5	23.2
Combined	state	32.7	31.0	32.0	27.6

Table 51: Community Risk - Perceived Availability of Drugs

Grade	Group	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
6	state	16.9	17.0	17.1	12.8
8	state	20.4	19.2	18.7	16.2
10	state	29.1	27.7	26.1	21.5
12	state	34.2	34.0	32.6	26.3
Combined	state	24.3	23.6	22.8	18.5

44

Table 52: Community Risk - Perceived Availability of Handguns

Grade	Group	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
6	state	23.8	23.1	24.0	18.0
8	state	35.6	34.4	35.4	30.2
10	state	29.7	28.3	28.0	22.8
12	state	34.2	32.7	32.9	28.0
Combined	state	30.7	29.6	29.9	24.5

Table 53: Family Risk - Poor Family Management

Grade	Group	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
6	state	33.6	33.0	34.5	31.0
8	state	25.9	24.2	24.8	22.8
10	state	24.5	22.4	22.4	20.1
12	state	22.9	22.7	22.6	19.3
Combined	state	27.0	25.7	26.4	23.7

Table 54: Family Risk - Family History of Antisocial Behavior

Grade	Group	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
6	state	29.2	29.2	29.2	28.1
8	state	31.2	29.8	30.2	29.0
10	state	35.8	33.5	33.3	31.5
12	state	33.7	31.9	32.6	29.6
Combined	state	32.3	31.0	31.2	29.5

Table 55: Family Risk - Parental Attitudes Favorable to ATOD

Grade	Group	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
6	state	8.9	9.0	9.9	8.5
8	state	18.5	17.1	18.3	15.5
10	state	29.6	27.3	27.6	23.8
12	state	30.2	27.6	30.1	24.3
Combined	state	20.9	19.5	20.6	17.3

Table 56: Family Risk - Parental Attitudes Favorable to ASB

Grade	Group	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
6	state	26.7	27.7	29.3	22.4
8	state	38.0	38.5	38.5	32.2
10	state	42.9	41.3	41.3	33.9
12	state	40.3	38.1	38.7	30.8
Combined	state	36.6	36.3	36.7	29.6

### Table 57: School Risk - Academic Failure

Grade	Group	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
6	state	39.0	39.1	39.2	37.1
8	state	40.4	38.9	39.9	38.3
10	state	43.9	42.6	42.8	40.5
12	state	37.1	36.7	37.9	37.0
Combined	state	40.2	39.5	40.1	38.3

Table 58: School Risk - Low Commitment to School

Grade	Group	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
6	state	36.8	36.8	37.3	39.1
8	state	36.7	37.0	37.8	38.9
10	state	43.1	43.3	43.9	44.2
12	state	41.9	44.4	44.0	42.2
Combined	state	39.3	39.9	40.4	40.9

Table 59: Peer Risk - Early Initiation of Drug Use

Grade	Group	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
6	state	17.0	16.2	16.4	15.3
8	state	18.7	16.7	15.7	14.1
10	state	23.1	20.2	18.8	16.4
12	state	23.8	21.7	21.2	17.8
Combined	state	20.3	18.4	17.7	15.7

### Table 60: Peer Risk - Early Initiation of ASB

Grade	Group	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
6	state	16.7	16.2	16.4	16.6
8	state	24.9	23.1	23.6	22.5
10	state	27.5	26.1	27.2	23.9
12	state	27.9	26.2	27.4	24.3
Combined	state	23.8	22.5	23.2	21.6

Table 61: Peer Risk - Peer Favorable Attitudes to ASB

Grade	Group	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
6	state	22.8	23.3	25.7	25.5
8	state	26.8	25.4	26.5	25.3
10	state	36.6	34.2	33.9	32.1
12	state	35.7	34.6	34.5	30.5
Combined	state	29.8	28.7	29.7	28.1

Table 62: Peer Risk - Peer Favorable Attitudes to Drug Use

Grade	Group	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
6	state	13.1	12.6	13.5	12.9
8	state	20.6	18.8	19.7	18.3
10	state	32.6	30.3	31.2	27.4
12	state	33.1	30.4	31.2	26.5
Combined	state	23.8	22.1	23.0	20.6

Table 63: Peer Risk - Low Perceived Risk of Drug Use

Grade	Group	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
6	state	36.9	35.5	38.3	38.1
8	state	46.5	44.6	48.4	47.2
10	state	50.3	48.1	51.7	49.3
12	state	56.5	57.3	59.6	55.0
Combined	state	46.6	45.3	48.5	46.7

Table 64: Peer Risk - Interaction with Antisocial Peers

Grade	Group	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
6	state	33.2	32.4	32.2	30.5
8	state	42.8	40.4	40.5	37.9
10	state	44.1	41.4	41.6	37.7
12	state	43.4	41.0	40.2	34.8
Combined	state	40.5	38.6	38.4	35.2

#### Table 65: Peer Risk - Friends' Use of Drugs

Grade	Group	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
6	state	14.9	14.6	13.7	13.0
8	state	23.0	20.7	19.8	18.6
10	state	26.8	23.6	22.3	19.4
12	state	26.2	23.0	22.2	18.9
Combined	state	22.2	20.2	19.2	17.3

Table 66: Peer Risk - Peer Rewards for Antisocial Involvement

Grade	Group	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
6	state	24.4	24.5	26.1	25.2
8	state	36.2	34.1	35.3	33.7
10	state	42.4	39.8	40.3	38.0
12	state	56.9	53.8	53.9	49.0
Combined	state	38.3	36.6	37.5	35.3

### Table 67: Peer Risk - Depressive Symptoms

Grade	Group	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
6	state	35.5	34.6	35.3	32.7
8	state	42.5	42.1	42.9	40.9
10	state	48.1	47.1	48.6	46.7
12	state	42.6	44.5	46.6	43.0
Combined	state	41.9	41.7	42.9	40.4

### Table 68: Peer Risk - Gang Involvement

Grade	Group	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
6	state	15.1	14.8	15.7	19.7
8	state	13.0	11.7	12.1	11.8
10	state	20.1	19.6	20.4	22.5
12	state	21.6	21.6	22.1	24.8
Combined	state	16.9	16.4	17.1	19.1

Table 69: School Protective - School Opportunities for PSI

Grade	Group	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
6	state	54.0	54.5	56.1	48.1
8	state	67.4	70.5	70.7	65.3
10	state	64.3	66.9	67.8	63.7
12	state	65.4	65.8	65.4	62.0
Combined	state	62.5	64.3	64.9	59.5

### Table 70: School Protective - School Rewards for PSI

Grade	Group	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
6	state	54.6	54.7	53.8	46.5
8	state	53.7	53.6	53.1	47.0
10	state	60.9	61.5	60.4	55.9
12	state	47.5	46.2	46.0	41.8
Combined	state	54.6	54.5	53.8	48.1

### Table 71: Peer Protective - Religiosity

Grade	Group	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
6	state	61.9	63.4	60.0	54.1
8	state	67.1	66.9	65.0	61.3
10	state	64.1	64.1	62.3	58.0
12	state	83.7	82.0	81.0	75.5
Combined	state	68.1	68.0	65.9	61.2

Table 12. Jources of Alcon	Table	72:	Sources	of	Alcoho
----------------------------	-------	-----	---------	----	--------

			Bought It					Home			Took It	
		Bought It	Myself	Someone I	Someone I		Home WITH	WITHOUT		A Stranger	From a	
		Myself WITH	WITHOUT a	Know Age 21	Know Under	My Brother	Parents'	Parents'	Another	Bought It	Store or	
		a Fake ID	Fake ID	or Older	Age 21	or Sister	Permission	Permission	Relative	For Me	Shop	Other
6	state	1.2	1.2	13.6	4.2	4.6	22.5	9.7	8.1	1.2	0.8	32.8
8	state	1.0	0.7	16.2	10.6	5.4	19.4	16.4	8.7	0.7	0.6	20.2
10	state	0.8	1.1	25.9	15.7	4.1	16.4	10.6	6.6	1.1	0.3	17.4
12	state	1.2	2.3	40.5	14.5	3.2	14.0	3.4	4.5	1.8	0.4	14.2
Combined	state	1.0	1.5	28.8	13.5	4.0	16.5	8.9	6.3	1.3	0.4	17.7

Table 73:	Location	of	Alcohol	Use	
-----------	----------	----	---------	-----	--

				Open Area	Sporting	Restaurant,	Empty			
			Someone	Like a	Event or	Bar, or a	Building or			
		My Home	Else's Home	Park, etc.	Concert	Nightclub	Site	Hotel/Motel	In a Car	At School
6	state	51.8	27.5	8.3	2.0	3.8	1.9	1.4	2.3	0.9
8	state	45.4	39.3	7.3	1.3	1.9	1.1	1.3	1.1	1.3
10	state	37.1	50.3	6.0	1.4	1.3	0.3	1.2	1.5	0.9
12	state	30.4	56.4	6.6	1.0	1.6	0.4	1.8	1.3	0.5
Combined	state	37.2	48.9	6.6	1.3	1.7	0.6	1.5	1.4	0.8

		Bought Them Myself WITH	Bought Them Myself WITHOUT a	Someone I Know Age 18	Someone I know UNDER	My Brother	Home WITH Parent's	Home WITHOUT Parent's	Got Them From Another	A Stranger Bought Them	Took Them From a Store ior	
		a Fake ID	Fake ID	or OLDER	Age 18	or Sister	Permission	Permission	Relative	For Me	Shop	Other
6	state	2.3	0.7	10.7	9.5	3.2	2.8	13.4	5.2	1.5	1.7	49.0
8	state	2.0	0.9	21.9	14.7	5.0	3.1	17.0	5.5	1.5	0.7	27.8
10	state	1.4	3.0	36.2	16.4	3.2	3.4	10.2	4.0	2.3	0.5	19.5
12	state	1.1	18.9	42.4	8.1	1.6	2.6	2.5	2.1	1.0	0.6	19.0
Combined	state	1.5	8.3	33.3	12.3	3.0	3.0	9.0	3.7	1.6	0.7	23.7

# Table 74: Sources of Cigarettes

### Sources of e-cigarettes, e-cigars, or e-hookahs

If you used e-cigarettes, e-cigars, or e-hookahs (not just a puff or drag) in the past year, how did you usually get them?

Table 75: I did not use e-cigarettes, e-cigars, or e-hookahs in the past year

Grade	Group	2017-18
6	state	97.6
8	state	90.6
10	state	80.1
12	state	73.9
Combined	state	86.7

Table 76: I bought them in a store such as a convenience store, supermarket, discount store, or gas station

Grade	Group	2017-18
6	state	0.2
8	state	0.4
10	state	0.8
12	state	2.7
Combined	state	0.9

Table 77: I got them on the Internet

Grade	Group	2017-18
6	state	0.2
8	state	0.5
10	state	1.2
12	state	1.4
Combined	state	0.7

### Table 78: I got them at a store that sells electronic cigarettes, such as a "vape shop"

Grade	Group	2017-18
6	state	0.2
8	state	0.5
10	state	1.5
12	state	5.5
Combined	state	1.6

Table 79: I got them from a family member

Grade	Group	2017-18
6	state	0.8
8	state	2.6
10	state	3.6
12	state	2.3
Combined	state	2.3

Table 80: I got them from a friend

Grade	Group	2017-18
6	state	0.8
8	state	4.8
10	state	12.6
12	state	14.0
Combined	state	7.4

Table 81: A stranger got them for me

Grade	Group	2017-18
6	state	0.1
8	state	0.2
10	state	0.3
12	state	0.3
Combined	state	0.2

# Table 82: I took them from a store or shop

Grade	Group	2017-18
6	state	0.1
8	state	0.1
10	state	0.1
12	state	0.2
Combined	state	0.1

Table 83: I got them some other way

Grade	Group	2017-18
6	state	0.7
8	state	1.7
10	state	2.5
12	state	2.3
Combined	state	1.7

# Sources of marijuana

If you used marijuana (grass, pot) (not just a puff or drag) in the past year, how did you usually get it?

Table 84:	I did not use marijuana
(grass,	pot) in the past year

Grade	Group	2017-18
6	state	97.8
8	state	91.7
10	state	81.3
12	state	73.1
Combined	state	87.2

Table 85: I bought it myself

Grade	Group	2017-18
6	state	0.4
8	state	1.8
10	state	6.8
12	state	11.9
Combined	state	4.6

Table 86: I got it from someone at school

Grade	Group	2017-18
6	state	0.2
8	state	1.4
10	state	3.6
12	state	4.2
Combined	state	2.1

# Table 87: I got it from someone with a medical marijuana card

Grade	Group	2017-18
6	state	0.1
8	state	0.3
10	state	0.5
12	state	0.5
Combined	state	0.3

Table 88: I got it from my brother or sister

Grade	Group	2017-18
6	state	0.2
8	state	1.0
10	state	1.7
12	state	1.4
Combined	state	1.0

Table 89: I got it from another relative

Grade	Group	2017-18
6	state	0.3
8	state	1.4
10	state	2.1
12	state	2.0
Combined	state	1.4

#### Table 90: Other

Grade	Group	2017-18
6	state	1.5
8	state	4.0
10	state	7.2
12	state	10.0
Combined	state	5.3

Table 91: I feel safe at my sc	chool.
--------------------------------	--------

		NO!	no	yes	YES!
6	state	5.6	10.3	40.8	43.2
8	state	7.1	14.9	52.4	25.6
10	state	7.5	17.3	56.7	18.5
12	state	7.3	15.2	57.7	19.8
Combined	state	6.8	14.3	51.3	27.6

Table 92: How often have you taken a handgun to school?

		Never	1-2 times	3-5 times	6-9 times	10+ times
6	state	99.8	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
8	state	99.6	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.1
10	state	99.4	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.1
12	state	99.1	0.4	0.1	0.1	0.2
Combined	state	99.5	0.3	0.1	0.0	0.1

Table 93: How wrong do you think it is for someone your age to take a handgun to school?

				A Little	Not Wrong
		Very Wrong	Wrong	Bit Wrong	at All
6	state	91.3	6.3	1.7	0.8
8	state	86.7	10.1	2.4	0.9
10	state	86.6	9.5	2.7	1.2
12	state	88.2	7.6	2.9	1.4
Combined	state	88.2	8.4	2.4	1.0

Table 94: Have any of your brothers/sisters ever taken a handgun to school?

				l don't
				have any
				brothers or
		No	Yes	sisters
6	state	95.3	0.8	3.9
8	state	94.4	1.4	4.1
10	state	93.8	1.6	4.6
12	state	93.2	1.8	5.0
Combined	state	94.3	1.4	4.4

# 5 AGE OF FIRST USE

The Age of First Use Profile looks specifically at student responses to the questions "How old were you when you first ...". The questions cover both first incidences of drug use (marijuana, cigarettes, alcohol, and regular use of alcohol) and first incidences of antisocial behaviors (suspension, arrest, carrying a gun, attacking someone and belonging to a gang). Possible responses to these questions range from age 10 to age 17 or the student can respond to the question with "Never". The average age figures are based only on those students who responded to the question with an answer other than "Never".

Table 95: Avg Age of First Marijuana

Grade	Group	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
6	state	11.0	11.0	10.9	11.0
8	state	12.2	12.2	12.1	12.2
10	state	13.5	13.5	13.5	13.6
12	state	14.7	14.7	14.7	14.7
Combined	state	13.7	13.7	13.8	13.8

Table 96: Avg Age of First Cigarettes

Grade	Group	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
6	state	10.4	10.5	10.4	10.4
8	state	11.4	11.4	11.4	11.3
10	state	12.5	12.6	12.6	12.6
12	state	13.7	13.7	13.8	13.8
Combined	state	12.5	12.5	12.5	12.5

#### Table 97: Avg Age of First Alcohol

Grade	Group	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
6	state	10.5	10.4	10.4	10.5
8	state	11.7	11.7	11.6	11.6
10	state	13.1	13.1	13.2	13.1
12	state	14.3	14.4	14.4	14.3
Combined	state	12.9	12.9	12.9	12.8

### Table 98: Avg Age of First Regular Alcohol Use

Grade	Group	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
6	state	10.8	11.0	11.1	11.0
8	state	12.3	12.3	12.2	12.2
10	state	14.0	14.1	14.2	14.1
12	state	15.4	15.4	15.5	15.5
Combined	state	14.3	14.4	14.4	14.3

Table 99: Avg Age of First E-Cigarettes, E-Cigars or E-Hookahs

Grade	Group	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
6	state	10.8	10.8	10.7	10.8
8	state	12.6	12.4	12.2	12.2
10	state	14.4	14.1	13.8	13.9
12	state	16.1	15.6	15.2	15.3
Combined	state	14.5	14.2	13.9	13.9

Table 100: Avg Age of First Prescription Drugs

Grade	Group	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
6	state	10.6	10.5	10.6	10.5
8	state	11.9	11.9	11.8	11.8
10	state	13.5	13.6	13.4	13.3
12	state	14.8	14.7	14.6	14.5
Combined	state	13.6	13.5	13.4	13.2

Table 101: Avg Age of First School Suspension

Grade	Group	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
6	state	10.4	10.4	10.5	10.5
8	state	11.4	11.4	11.4	11.4
10	state	12.2	12.2	12.2	12.2
12	state	13.0	12.9	12.8	12.9
Combined	state	11.8	11.8	11.8	11.8

# Table 102: Avg Age of First Been Arrested

Grade	Group	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
6	state	10.7	10.7	10.8	10.8
8	state	12.2	12.2	12.1	12.0
10	state	13.5	13.5	13.5	13.5
12	state	14.6	14.7	14.6	14.5
Combined	state	13.3	13.3	13.2	13.2

### Table 103: Avg Age of First Carried a Handgun

Grade	Group	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
6	state	10.7	10.7	10.7	10.7
8	state	11.6	11.6	11.7	11.7
10	state	12.6	12.6	12.7	12.5
12	state	13.6	13.6	13.7	13.6
Combined	state	12.1	12.1	12.2	12.1

Table 104: Avg Age of First Belonged to a Gang

Grade	Group	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
6	state	10.8	10.8	10.9	10.9
8	state	12.0	12.0	12.1	12.0
10	state	12.5	12.8	12.9	12.9
12	state	13.2	13.2	13.0	13.4
Combined	state	12.1	12.2	12.2	12.2



Avg. Age of First Use - Grade 6 State Profile Report

Figure 25: Avg. Age of First Use - Grade 6



Avg. Age of First Use - Grade 8 State Profile Report

Figure 26: Avg. Age of First Use - Grade 8



Avg. Age of First Use - Grade 10 State Profile Report

Figure 27: Avg. Age of First Use - Grade 10



Avg. Age of First Use - Grade 12 State Profile Report

Figure 28: Avg. Age of First Use - Grade 12

# **6** STUDENT TOBACCO USE, EXPERIENCES AND PREVENTION SERVICES

Tobacco use is the leading preventable cause of death in the United States.

Arkansas youth typically have higher rates of tobacco use, including both cigarettes and smokeless tobacco, than the national average. Higher tobacco prevalence rates are common across the Southeast United States. This is due to a variety of cultural and economic factors that have traditionally supported greater tobacco use. The following table shows the results of the lifetime and past 30 day use of cigarettes and chewing tobacco.

Table 105: Cigarettes - Lifetime Use

Grade	Group	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
6	state	6.3	5.7	5.8	5.7
8	state	18.0	15.5	14.5	13.7
10	state	29.5	26.3	24.4	22.5
12	state	39.4	35.3	34.2	31.5
Combined	state	21.5	19.1	18.2	17.0

Table 106: Chewing Tobacco - Lifetime Use

Grade	Group	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
6	state	4.7	4.1	4.0	4.2
8	state	11.3	9.9	9.1	8.7
10	state	18.4	16.9	15.2	14.0
12	state	22.4	19.9	19.5	18.8
Combined	state	13.2	11.9	11.1	10.6

Table 107: Cigarettes - Past 30 Day Use

Grade	Group	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
6	state	1.1	0.8	0.9	0.9
8	state	4.6	3.6	3.2	3.1
10	state	10.3	8.7	7.6	6.9
12	state	16.7	14.2	13.7	12.8
Combined	state	7.3	6.0	5.6	5.3

Table 108: Chewing Tobacco - Past 30 Day Use

Grade	Group	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
6	state	1.2	1.1	1.0	1.1
8	state	4.2	3.4	3.2	3.2
10	state	8.5	7.2	6.2	5.7
12	state	10.4	9.1	8.7	8.6
Combined	state	5.6	4.8	4.3	4.2

# Table 109: Which statement best describes rules about smoking inside your home or your family cars?

		Smoking is not allowed anywhere inside your home or	Smoking is allowed in some places and at some times or in	Smoking is allowed anywhere inside the home or	There are no rules about smoking inside the home or	l don't
		cars	some cars	cars	cars	know
6	state	65.0	0.4	2.1	20	10.7
	State	05.0	9.4	5.1	5.0	10.7
8	state	66.1	10.2	3.2	4.4	16.1
8 10	state state	66.1 68.2	9.4 10.2 10.2	3.2 4.0	4.4	16.1 12.9
8 10 12	state state state	66.1 68.2 70.2	9.4 10.2 10.2 10.4	3.1 3.2 4.0 4.1	3.0           4.4           4.7           5.1	16.1 12.9 10.2

Table 110: Have you ever used e-cigarettes, e-cigars, or e-hookahs?

				Once in a while but		
			Once or	not	Regularly	Regularly
		Never	Twice	regularly	in the past	now
6	state	95.1	3.5	0.8	0.4	0.2
8	state	83.9	8.7	4.1	1.7	1.5
10	state	69.5	13.0	9.1	3.7	4.6
12	state	60.7	14.8	11.8	5.2	7.5
Combined	state	79.1	9.5	5.9	2.5	3.0

Table 111: How frequently have you used e-cigarettes, e-cigars, or e-hookahs?

							About one	
					About one-		and one-	Two
			Less than	10 to 50	half	About one	half	cartomisers
			10 puffs	puffs per	cartomiser	cartomiser	cartomisers	or more per
		Not at all	per day	day	per day	per day	per day	day
6	state	98.3	1.2	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
8	state	92.8	4.7	1.5	0.4	0.2	0.1	0.3
10	state	83.4	9.8	4.0	1.0	0.6	0.4	0.7
12	state	76.6	12.3	6.0	1.7	1.4	0.8	1.2
Combined	state	88.9	6.4	2.6	0.7	0.5	0.3	0.5

Table 112: During this school year, were you taught in any of your classes about the dangers of tobacco use?

						Almost
		Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Often	always
6	state	22.6	13.7	21.8	21.7	20.1
8	state	24.9	17.2	23.8	20.1	13.9
10	state	37.1	20.4	21.7	13.4	7.4
12	state	45.8	19.6	19.1	9.8	5.6
Combined	state	31.2	17.5	21.9	16.9	12.5

Table 113: During the past 12 months, have you participated in any community activities to discourage people your age from using cigarettes, chewing tobacco, snuff, dip or cigars, e-cigarettes, e-cigars, or e-hookahs?

						Almost
		Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Often	always
6	state	63.2	12.9	11.6	6.5	5.8
8	state	64.7	15.3	10.8	5.6	3.7
10	state	71.0	13.7	8.7	3.9	2.6
12	state	75.5	11.6	7.4	3.1	2.3
Combined	state	67.9	13.5	9.9	4.9	3.7

# 7 DRUG-FREE COMMUNITIES SUPPORT PRO-GRAM CORE MEASURES

The Drug-Free Communities Support Program, administered by the Center for Substance Abuse Prevention, requests specific data which is typically referred to as the Core Measures. The drug categories measured are cigarettes/tobacco, alcohol, marijuana and prescription drugs and the table is broken down by grade level. For each drug, and at each grade level, the percentage of students who responded positively to the question and the number of students who responded to the question are reported.

- **Past 30-Day Use** The question "On how many occasions (if any) have you ... in the past 30 days?" is used to measure this statistic by reporting the percentage of students who report any use in the past 30 days.
- **Perception of Risk** The question "*How much do you think people risk harming themselves (physically or in other ways) if they ...?*" is used to measure this statistic by reporting the percentage of students who report that using the drug is a "*Moderate Risk*" or a "*Great Risk*" to their health.
- **Perception of Parental Disapproval** The question "*How wrong do your parents feel it would be for you to* ...?" is used to measure this statistic by reporting the percentage of students who report that parents would feel it is "*Wrong*" or "*Very Wrong*" to use tobacco, alcohol and marijuana.
- **Perception of Friends Disapproval** The question "*How wrong do your friends feel it would be for you to* ...?" is used to measure this statistic by reporting the percentage of students who report that friends would feel it is "*Wrong*" or "*Very Wrong*" to use tobacco, alcohol and marijuana.

#### Table 114: Core Measure by Grade for Past 30 Day Use

	Cigarettes		Alcohol		Marijuana		Pres	c Drugs
Grade	pct	n	pct	n	pct	n	pct	n
Grade 6	0.9	18651	1.4	18141	0.6	18076	1.4	17807
Grade 8	3.1	19009	6.2	18675	3.8	18647	2.7	18573
Grade 10	6.9	16657	15.6	16286	9.7	16281	4.1	16219
Grade 12	12.8	12516	25.3	12294	15.3	12273	4.3	12217
Combined	5.3	66833	10.8	65396	6.6	65277	3.0	64816

Table 115: Core Measure by Grade for Perception of Risk

	Cigarettes		Alcohol		Marijuana		Presc Drugs	
Grade	pct	n	pct	n	pct	n	pct	n
Grade 6	76.8	18769	66.7	18649	60.6	18606	78.9	18611
Grade 8	83.1	19152	68.1	19080	49.9	19039	84.5	19073
Grade 10	83.6	16826	65.3	16772	34.3	16729	84.1	16751
Grade 12	83.7	12596	61.7	12567	28.9	12547	83.7	12558
Combined	81.6	67343	65.8	67068	45.0	66921	82.7	66993

Table 116: Core Measure by Grade for Parental Disapproval

	Tot	Tobacco		Alcohol		Marijuana		Drugs
Grade	pct	n	pct	n	pct	n	pct	n
Grade 6	98.4	16683	97.3	16737	98.6	16558	98.4	16620
Grade 8	97.4	17728	95.4	17758	95.2	17654	97.6	17695
Grade 10	95.1	15388	92.7	15410	90.4	15337	96.1	15375
Grade 12	90.7	11693	89.9	11707	86.0	11680	95.7	11679
Combined	95.8	61492	94.2	61612	93.2	61229	97.1	61369

Table 117: Core Measure by Grade for Friends Disapproval

	Tobacco		Alcohol		Marijuana		Presc Drugs	
Grade	pct	n	pct	n	pct	n	pct	n
Grade 6	96.1	17248	94.3	17393	96.1	17180	96.6	17212
Grade 8	89.5	18061	85.0	18133	84.1	18049	92.6	18058
Grade 10	80.8	15773	73.4	15825	67.0	15754	87.3	15746
Grade 12	72.0	11954	67.3	11987	57.7	11940	86.3	11945
Combined	85.8	63036	81.3	63338	78.1	62923	91.2	62961

Table 118: Core Measure by Sex for Past 30 Day Use

	Cigarettes		Alcohol		Mai	rijuana	Presc Drugs	
Sex	pct	n	pct	n	pct	n	pct	n
Male	5.6	31621	10.4	30791	6.4	30739	2.5	30537
Female	4.8	33831	11.1	33242	6.6	33192	3.4	32926
Combined	5.2	65452	10.8	64033	6.5	63931	3.0	63463

Table 119: Core Measure by Sex for Perception of Risk

	Cigarettes		Alcohol		Marijuana		Presc Drugs	
Sex	pct	n	pct	n	pct	n	pct	n
Male	80.7	31899	62.6	31758	44.0	31719	81.5	31717
Female	82.6	34035	69.2	33912	46.2	33813	84.0	33877
Combined	81.6	65934	66.0	65670	45.2	65532	82.8	65594

Table 120: Core Measure by Sex for Parental Disapproval

	Tobacco		Alcohol		Marijuana		Presc Drugs	
Sex	pct	n	pct	n	pct	n	pct	n
Male	95.5	28778	93.8	28835	93.1	28640	97.5	28728
Female	96.1	31423	94.6	31484	93.4	31301	96.8	31351
Combined	95.8	60201	94.2	60319	93.2	59941	97.1	60079

Table 121: Core Measure by Sex for Friends Disapproval

	Tot	oacco	Alcohol		Marijuana		Presc Drugs	
Sex	pct	n	pct	n	pct	n	pct	n
Male	84.3	29474	79.6	29659	77.5	29404	91.2	29414
Female	87.3	32227	83.0	32340	78.8	32190	91.3	32216
Combined	85.9	61701	81.4	61999	78.2	61594	91.2	61630

# 8 PREVENTION RESOURCES

# 8.1 Regional Prevention Provider Contact List



# Region 1 – Benton, Carroll, Madison, Washington Counties

Decision Point – (479) 927-2655 Address: 614 E. Emma Avenue Suite M426 Sprindale, AR 72764 Laurie Reh - Ireh@decision-point.org Codi McCuistion - cmccuistion@decision-point.org

# Region 2 – Baxter, Boone, Marion, Newton, Searcy

North Arkansas Partnership for Health Education Address: 303 N. Main Harrison, AR 72601 Cell: 870-365-6518 Cindy DeWitt – cindy.dewitt@northark.edu

# Region 3 – Cleburne, Fulton, Independence, Izard, Jackson, Sharp, Stone, Van Buren, White and Woodruff

Health Resources of Arkansas – (866) 533-1759 Address: 106 Mountain Place Drive Mountain View, AR 72560 Margaret Morrison - mmorrison@pfh.org Jimmy Crisman – james.crisman@pfh.org

Address: 1800 Myers Ave. Batesville, AR 72501 Office: (870) 793-8925 Stacy Taylor - staylor@phf.org – (866) 793-8925

# Region 4 – Clay, Craighead, Greene, Lawrence, Mississippi, Poinsett, Randolph

Crowley's Ridge – (870) 933-0033 Address: 2401 Fox Meadows Lane Jonesboro, AR 72404 Dr. Lisa Perry – lperry@crdcnea.com Jasmine Jacobs - jjacobs@crdcnea.com

# Region 5- Crawford, Franklin, Logan, Polk, Scott, Sebastian

Harbor House - (479) 785-4083 ext 212 or 204 Address: 3900 Armour Avenue Fort Smith, AR 72904 Tabitha Fondren - tfondren@recoveryhhi.org Katie Priest - kpriest@recoveryhhi.org

# Region 6 – Conway, Faulkner, Johnson, Perry, Pope, Yell

Community Service Inc. (501) 354-4589 Address: 100 South Cherokee Morrilton, AR 72110 Shannon Cook - scook@cisyouth.com

Address: 1505 South Oswego Avenue Russellville, AR 72802 Office: (479) 967-3370 Amy Mellick - amellick@cisyouth.com

# Region 7 – Crittenden, Cross, Lee, Monroe, Phillips, St. Francis

Crowley's Ridge – (870) 298-2249 Office Address: 593 Highway 243 Marianna, AR 72360 Kendon Gray - kendon@crdcnea.com Rodney Blumingburg - rblumingburg@crdcnea.com Cell: (870) 819-7756 (Kendon) (870) 819-6792 (Rodney)

# Region 8 – Clark, Garland, Hot Spring, Montgomery, Pike

CHI St. Vincent Address: 1 Mercy Lane Suite 507B Hot Springs, AR 71913 Lindsay Mulkey – lindsaymulkey@catholichealth.net Katie Yamauchi – katelynyamauchi@catholichealth.net Office: (501) 622-4112 (Lindsay) (501) 622-4116 (Katie) FAX: (501) 622.1278

# Region 9 – Saline, Pulaski, Lonoke, Prarie

Family Service Agency – (501) 372-4242 ext 752 or 753 Address: 628 West Broadway Street Suite 300 North Little Rock, AR 72114 Hayse Miller - hmiller@fsainc.org Genine Perez - gperez@fsainc.org

# Region 10 – Hempstead, Howard, Lafayette, Little River, Miller, Sevier

Harbor House – (870) 773-0997 Address: 4425 Jefferson Avenue Suite 115 Texarkana, AR 71854 Trena Goings - tgoings@recoveryhhi.org

# Region 11 – Calhoun, Columbia, Dallas, Ouachita, Nevada, Union

Harbor House – (870) 901-3551 Address: 124 S. Jackson Street Suite 411 Magnolia, AR 71754 Annette Sharp - asharp@recoveryhhi.org

# Region 12 – Arkansas, Cleveland, Grant, Jefferson, Lincoln

Community Empowerment Council Inc. – (870) 738-8549 or (870) 536-2722 Address: 4701 Dollarway Road Pine Bluff, AR 71602 Jermaine Anderson – jermaineanderson@cecemp.org Tanisha Lewis – tanishialewis@cecemp.org

# Region 13 – Ashley, Bradley, Chicot, Desha, Drew

Phoenix Youth & Family Services – (870) 364-1676 ext. 3 Address: 310 North Alabama Street Crossett, AR 71635 Christie Lindsey - clindsey@phoenixyouth.com

# UA Little Rock/MidSOUTH Center for Prevention & Training

MidSOUTH Substance Abuse Prevention Coordinator Office – 501-859-0363 Darla Kelsay – djkelsay@midsouth.ualr.edu Stephen McElroy – swmcelroy@midsouth.ualr.edu



### 8.2 State and National Contacts

# Arkansas Department of Human Services Division of Aging, Adults and Behavioral Health Services Mailing Address Physical Address 305 South Palm St 4800 W. 7th Street Little Rock, AR 72205 Little Rock, AR 72205 Telephone: (501) 686-9982 FAX: (501) 686-9396

Website: http://www.arkansas.gov/dhhs/dmhs

Tenesha Barnes Early Intervention and Prevention Manager Arkansas Department of Human Services EMAIL: tenesha.barnes@dhs.arkansas.gov

University of Arkansas at Little Rock School of Social Work MidSOUTH Center for Prevention and Training

2801 South University Avenue Dickinson Hall 622 Little Rock, AR 72204 Telephone: (501) 569-8237 Fax: (501) 569-3364 Website: http://www.midsouth.ualr.edu/

Chuks Odor Prevention Program Manager MidSOUTH Center for Prevention and Training EMAIL: ccodor@midsouth.ualr.edu International Survey Associates dba Pride Surveys Jay Gleaton 2140 Newmarket Parkway Suite 116 Marietta, GA 30067 Telephone: (800) 279-6361 Fax: (770) 726-9327 Website: http://www.pridesurveys.com EMAIL: info@pridesurveys.com

Electronic copies of reports can be found at https://arkansas.pridesurveys.com. Some reports require passwords.

		Perc	entage	e of Yo	outh W	/ho Us	sed Al	cohol,	Ciga	rettes,	Smol	celess	Toba	cco or	Marij	uana l	n The	ir Life	time b	y Reg	ion			
Pagion			Alco	bhol					Ciga	rettes				Smo	keles	s Toba	acco				Marij	uana		
Region	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
1	32.1	29.8	28.1	27.8	26.4	28.0	20.3	17.7	17.0	15.1	14.6	13.7	11.7	10.4	9.8	8.5	8.5	8.0	16.3	15.2	14.5	13.6	13.8	14.6
2	34.8	30.7	33.8	32.5	30.3	28.4	28.2	24.8	25.6	23.8	22.3	20.4	17.6	16.6	17.1	16.6	14.2	12.9	16.1	14.1	16.5	14.9	15.2	14.2
3	35.7	33.8	33.9	31.6	30.8	30.5	27.8	26.5	25.4	23.3	22.6	22.3	19.8	20.1	19.7	17.0	16.6	15.8	15.3	14.2	15.2	13.1	13.6	13.7
4       32.3       27.7       28.5       27.4       25.8       25.9       25.8       22.3       22.4       20.4       18.8       18.3       15.7       13.8       13.5       12.5       11.3       11.7       13.7       12.5       12.4       12.1       1														11.0	11.2									
5	35.2	31.7	29.7	32.1	31.4	32.9	24.3	22.7	20.5	21.0	19.8	20.3	15.6	14.1	12.1	13.9	12.6	13.7	16.5	15.3	15.7	16.0	16.1	16.7
6	33.6	31.2	31.0	29.4	27.2	27.7	22.7	20.5	20.7	18.7	16.6	16.1	14.5	13.8	13.9	12.3	10.7	10.6	15.2	14.8	14.7	14.1	13.6	11.8
7	32.0	30.6	28.8	29.1	27.6	24.0	24.0	21.6	21.4	17.8	18.1	15.5	11.8	9.5	10.6	11.0	11.8	10.8	13.7	14.2	15.9	15.1	15.7	11.4
8	33.9	33.9	33.0	31.6	29.6	26.7	25.2	24.2	22.5	20.9	19.0	18.1	15.4	15.8	14.0	13.8	11.7	12.8	15.9	15.9	15.8	15.9	14.5	13.0
9	32.5	30.1	30.7	27.8	26.7	22.2	21.9	19.9	18.9	15.5	15.1	11.7	10.0	9.1	9.6	7.2	7.4	5.3	18.9	18.1	17.7	16.1	15.8	12.4
10	38.7	40.0	36.5	32.5	31.6	31.7	28.5	28.4	24.8	22.2	21.0	17.9	16.7	16.2	15.8	14.7	13.1	10.8	15.9	16.5	16.0	13.4	14.3	14.0
11	35.6	34.1	35.9	32.5	33.2	31.0	28.0	26.0	27.9	23.6	24.8	19.9	15.5	14.6	15.9	13.7	14.1	11.7	16.1	16.1	16.7	14.9	16.7	15.3
12	34.8	34.7	35.6	32.8	26.7	28.2	24.2	26.2	25.7	22.9	18.5	18.7	13.3	14.3	17.2	15.8	10.9	11.8	15.1	16.7	16.1	14.0	13.1	15.4
13	37.7	33.3	33.9	31.5	29.2	29.4	28.4	26.3	25.7	23.2	21.4	20.9	14.6	14.3	15.4	13.7	12.8	13.2	14.6	15.9	14.8	14.4	12.2	12.8
** Cells containing th	ne symb	ol indicate	e an area	where da	ata is not a	available	due to the	region n	ot particip	ating for a	that year.													

	F	Percer	ntage (	of You	th Wh	o Use	d Inha	alants,	Hallu	cinog	ens, C	ocain	e or M	letham	npheta	mines	s In Th	neir Li	fetime	by Re	egion			
Decien			Inha	lants				H	alluci	noger	IS				Coc	aine				Met	hampl	hetam	ines	
Region	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
1	7.0	5.5	5.2	4.4	3.8	3.7	1.9	1.7	1.9	2.2	2.1	2.1	1.5	1.3	1.3	1.2	1.4	1.1	1.2	1.1	0.9	0.8	0.9	0.7
2	7.1	6.2	6.6	5.2	5.1	3.6	2.1	1.4	1.7	1.6	1.9	2.1	1.4	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.8	1.4	1.1	0.8	0.6	0.7
3	7.3	7.4	6.7	5.8	5.4	5.2	1.5	1.3	1.2	1.4	1.5	1.5	1.4	1.2	1.4	1.3	1.0	1.5	1.3	1.3	1.1	1.0	0.8	0.9
4	6.6	5.8	5.0	4.6	4.1	4.5	1.3	1.2	1.1	1.5	1.1	1.1	1.0	1.2	0.7	1.1	1.0	1.0	1.1	0.8	0.7	0.8	0.6	0.5
5	7.6	6.2	5.9	5.3	4.9	5.2	1.8	1.4	1.8	1.7	1.1	2.2	1.6	1.3	1.4	1.4	1.0	1.1	1.8	1.3	1.5	1.1	0.7	0.8
6	6.7	5.9	5.9	4.9	4.2	4.8	1.7	1.5	1.7	1.5	1.6	1.3	1.6	1.2	1.2	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.2	1.0	1.0	0.6	0.7	0.8
7	6.8	5.3	5.0	4.7	5.5	3.4	0.7	1.0	1.2	0.8	0.4	0.9	1.0	1.0	0.7	1.0	1.0	0.8	0.8	0.6	0.2	1.1	0.6	0.7
8	7.5	6.3	6.1	5.7	5.4	4.6	1.4	1.3	1.2	1.4	1.3	1.1	1.3	1.2	1.3	1.4	0.8	1.0	0.9	1.0	0.9	0.9	0.7	0.7
9	7.3	5.8	5.6	4.8	4.5	4.5	1.7	1.6	1.6	1.5	1.3	1.2	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.1	1.0	0.6	1.1	0.9	0.8	0.7	0.5	0.4
10	7.7	7.1	5.5	5.0	4.1	5.0	1.0	1.2	0.9	1.2	0.9	0.9	1.0	1.5	1.3	1.4	1.1	1.2	0.7	1.3	1.0	1.0	0.8	0.7
11	7.7	6.3	7.0	4.7	5.6	5.2	0.7	0.9	0.8	0.8	1.0	0.8	0.8	1.2	1.2	1.1	1.2	1.0	1.0	0.9	1.2	1.0	0.7	0.5
12	6.7	6.1	4.8	5.2	4.1	4.1	1.0	1.2	1.2	1.0	1.2	1.1	1.2	1.2	1.1	1.6	1.0	1.1	0.7	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.6	0.4
13	6.5	6.6	5.8	4.9	4.2	6.6	0.7	0.8	1.1	0.8	0.8	1.1	1.2	0.9	1.2	0.9	0.6	1.1	0.9	0.7	1.1	0.5	0.5	0.8
** Cells containing the	he svmb	ol indicat	e an area	where da	ata is not i	available	due to the	region n	ot particin	ating for	that vear.													

		Per	centa	ge of	Youth	Who	Used S	Synthe	etic Ma	arijuar	na, Ba	th Sal	ts, Ecs	stasy	or Her	oin In	Their	Lifeti	me by	Regio	n			
Pagion		Syn	thetic	Mariju	Jana				Bath	Salts					Ecs	tasy					Her	oin		
negion	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
1	6.7	4.4	3.0	2.1	1.8	1.7	1.3	1.1	1.1	1.3	1.6	1.6	1.7	1.6	1.1	1.3	1.0	0.9	0.9	0.8	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.7
2	7.1	4.4	3.8	2.5	1.7	1.1	1.1	1.3	1.1	1.2	1.6	1.3	1.7	1.5	1.7	1.3	0.8	1.3	1.5	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.8	0.7
3	6.3	4.8	4.3	3.2	2.4	2.2	0.8	0.9	0.9	1.0	1.4	1.4	1.7	1.3	1.1	1.1	0.9	1.3	0.9	0.9	0.8	0.7	0.8	0.9
4	4.8	3.6	2.6	2.2	1.7	1.6	0.9	0.9	0.8	1.1	0.9	1.5	1.3	1.2	0.8	1.0	0.9	0.7	0.7	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.4
5	7.0	5.3	3.7	2.6	1.9	2.0	1.1	0.9	1.3	1.0	1.0	1.3	2.0	1.6	1.6	1.2	0.7	1.2	1.1	1.0	0.9	0.9	0.5	0.8
6	6.8	4.9	3.6	2.3	1.7	1.3	1.0	0.8	1.1	1.3	1.4	1.6	1.8	1.5	1.3	0.8	1.0	0.8	1.0	0.7	0.7	0.4	0.5	0.8
7	2.7	1.6	1.5	2.0	1.3	0.8	1.0	0.6	1.5	1.6	1.4	1.7	1.1	1.6	0.9	0.8	0.3	0.8	0.7	0.8	0.3	0.8	0.3	0.6
8	5.8	4.0	3.2	3.4	2.8	2.0	1.2	0.8	1.0	1.3	1.3	1.4	2.2	1.4	1.3	1.1	0.6	1.0	0.7	0.8	0.7	0.7	0.5	1.0
9	5.0	3.3	2.5	1.7	1.3	1.0	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.4	1.8	1.6	1.6	1.4	1.3	0.9	0.8	0.6	0.8	0.8	0.7	0.5	0.6	0.5
10	4.1	5.2	4.2	3.3	2.4	1.6	0.8	1.0	0.9	0.9	1.3	1.8	1.5	1.1	0.8	0.7	1.1	1.0	0.8	0.5	0.8	0.6	0.5	0.6
11	4.0	3.1	3.2	2.5	2.8	1.4	1.1	1.1	1.2	0.8	1.3	1.6	1.3	1.5	1.0	1.4	1.6	1.1	0.6	0.8	0.7	0.8	0.5	0.7
12	5.6	4.9	4.0	2.8	1.6	1.5	1.1	0.8	0.8	1.0	0.8	1.0	1.7	1.5	1.2	1.1	0.7	1.1	0.9	0.6	0.5	0.7	0.6	0.6
13	3.4	4.1	3.6	2.1	1.5	2.0	0.8	0.7	0.9	0.9	1.3	2.0	0.9	1.0	0.9	0.5	0.5	1.0	0.5	0.4	0.6	0.2	0.5	0.8
** Cells containing th	ne symb	ol indicat	e an area	where da	ata is not	available	due to the	e region n	ot particip	ating for	that year.													

	Percer	ntage (	of You	th Wh	o Use	d Pres	scripti	on Dr	ugs, C	ver-T	he-Co	unter	Drugs	, Alco	pops	or Any	/ Drug	ln Th	eir Lif	etime	by Re	gion		
Pagion		Pre	scripti	ion Dr	ugs		(	Over-T	he-Co	ounter	Drug	S			Alco	pops					Any	Drug		
Region	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
1	7.9	7.1	7.0	6.9	6.5	7.1	3.6	3.3	2.9	2.7	2.6	2.9	21.2	19.3	17.7	16.6	15.5	15.9	22.8	20.6	20.1	19.2	19.0	20.1
2	8.7	7.1	8.2	7.1	6.9	6.5	4.2	3.1	3.4	2.8	3.1	2.2	24.2	20.2	22.5	21.1	19.1	16.4	22.4	19.4	22.4	19.8	20.0	19.2
3	8.5	7.3	8.3	7.5	7.8	7.8	4.0	4.0	3.8	3.3	3.0	3.1	24.1	22.9	22.1	20.4	19.1	18.2	21.9	20.5	21.3	19.2	19.8	19.9
4	8.0	6.5	6.8	7.4	7.5	7.6	3.8	3.2	2.7	3.2	2.9	2.9	21.7	17.6	18.5	17.2	15.5	15.3	20.0	18.2	18.0	18.0	16.8	18.4
5	7.8	6.7	7.2	7.8	7.2	8.3	3.6	3.4	2.9	3.5	2.5	2.9	23.0	20.1	17.9	19.7	18.3	19.5	22.7	20.5	21.4	21.2	22.0	22.8
6	8.0	7.5	7.9	7.3	6.4	7.3	3.4	3.8	3.1	2.7	2.5	2.8	22.4	20.6	19.9	18.0	15.9	16.1	21.1	20.2	20.5	19.9	19.0	18.5
7	6.4	5.7	6.4	7.0	7.1	6.3	3.1	1.9	2.6	3.1	2.8	2.0	21.8	19.0	17.4	18.4	15.8	13.4	20.8	19.5	22.2	21.4	22.7	17.3
8	8.4	7.8	8.6	9.4	8.0	7.8	3.8	3.4	3.2	3.5	3.4	2.8	21.9	21.8	20.6	20.6	17.5	14.7	22.7	21.4	22.1	22.5	21.0	18.6
9	8.3	7.2	8.2	6.7	6.5	6.1	3.6	3.4	3.3	3.0	2.8	2.4	21.1	18.7	19.1	15.5	15.8	11.6	25.4	23.7	23.7	21.9	22.1	18.9
10	7.4	7.3	6.6	6.5	6.6	7.1	3.7	3.5	2.9	3.4	3.2	2.9	25.1	25.2	21.9	19.3	18.8	18.6	23.3	23.5	21.5	19.4	19.9	21.3
11	7.6	7.1	8.7	6.6	8.5	8.2	3.8	3.4	3.3	2.9	2.9	3.2	23.8	23.0	22.6	19.7	20.7	17.7	24.1	22.7	24.1	20.4	23.7	22.4
12	6.5	6.7	7.9	7.0	5.5	6.3	3.2	3.4	3.1	3.5	2.0	2.3	23.3	23.1	22.4	21.5	14.7	16.8	21.9	22.6	21.8	19.9	18.1	21.1
13	6.2	5.7	6.4	6.2	5.6	6.8	3.6	3.0	2.9	2.6	2.2	2.8	22.9	20.7	20.9	18.4	17.4	15.9	20.9	21.5	20.9	20.2	17.2	20.9
** Cells containing th	e symb	ol indicate	e an area	where da	ta is not a	available o	due to the	region no	ot particip	ating for ti	hat vear.													

	Pe	rcenta	ge of	Youth	Who	Used	Alcoh	ol, Cig	arette	s, Sm	okele	ss Tob	acco	or Ma	rijuana	a Duri	ng the	Past	30 Da	ys by	Regio	n		
Pagion			Alco	ohol					Ciga	ettes				Smo	keles	s Toba	acco				Marij	uana		
negion	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
1	13.1	11.8	11.7	10.9	10.8	11.1	6.1	5.6	5.4	4.4	4.3	4.0	4.8	4.2	3.9	3.3	3.6	3.0	7.9	7.7	7.3	6.9	6.8	7.2
2	13.9	12.1	13.2	12.7	11.7	10.8	11.3	9.8	9.6	7.7	8.4	6.2	7.4	6.7	7.0	6.4	4.9	5.1	6.7	5.8	6.7	5.9	7.3	6.5
3	14.4	13.1	14.7	12.7	12.2	12.0	11.2	9.4	9.7	7.9	7.9	8.1	8.8	8.7	8.4	7.0	6.7	6.7	6.4	5.9	6.7	5.3	5.7	6.0
4	13.2	10.9	11.9	10.8	9.7	9.7	9.6	8.2	7.7	6.9	6.2	6.1	6.5	6.0	5.8	5.3	4.4	4.5	6.3	5.6	5.2	5.4	4.6	4.7
5	14.5	12.5	11.7	12.9	12.1	13.6	8.2	8.1	6.3	6.6	5.5	5.9	6.3	5.9	5.1	5.0	4.5	4.8	7.7	7.5	7.4	8.0	7.3	8.1
6	14.4	12.4	12.5	11.6	10.4	10.3	8.5	7.1	7.2	5.4	4.9	4.9	6.2	5.6	6.1	4.8	4.1	4.1	7.0	6.2	7.2	6.1	6.4	5.4
7	13.6	12.4	11.4	12.7	12.2	9.7	6.8	6.9	6.4	5.8	5.0	4.2	4.1	4.1	3.6	4.8	4.7	4.8	6.5	7.4	7.4	6.8	7.9	5.5
8	13.6	13.9	13.5	13.2	11.1	10.3	8.9	7.5	7.4	6.6	5.3	5.6	6.4	7.2	5.6	5.5	4.4	5.2	7.4	6.6	7.3	7.2	6.6	6.5
9	13.6	12.0	12.8	11.0	10.4	7.8	7.6	6.3	5.7	4.4	4.1	2.7	4.4	3.7	3.9	3.0	2.8	2.1	9.4	9.0	9.2	8.1	8.2	6.6
10	15.8	17.5	15.6	14.0	12.6	11.7	10.7	10.0	9.5	7.3	6.8	5.2	7.8	7.2	7.5	6.4	6.1	4.3	7.4	6.8	7.5	6.8	7.4	7.1
11	15.4	14.8	15.9	13.7	13.9	13.5	9.9	9.4	8.8	7.6	7.9	6.5	7.6	5.9	6.0	5.8	5.9	5.3	7.3	7.3	7.0	6.8	8.2	8.2
12	15.1	14.1	15.9	14.6	10.9	10.8	8.5	9.6	9.5	8.1	6.0	6.6	6.6	6.5	8.1	6.4	4.6	5.5	7.5	8.2	7.4	6.4	6.9	6.9
13	16.2	14.3	15.5	12.7	11.3	12.0	10.1	9.2	9.5	8.3	5.9	7.1	5.9	6.6	6.7	5.6	4.3	5.5	6.5	6.5	7.2	6.5	5.2	6.0
** Cells containing to	ne symb	ol indicate	e an area	where da	ata is not	available	due to the	region n	ot particip	ating for	that year.													

	Perc	entag	e of Yo	outh V	Vho U	sed In	halan	ts, Hal	lucino	gens,	Coca	ine or	Metha	amphe	etamin	ies Du	ring t	he Pas	st 30 E	)ays b	y Reg	ion		
Decien			Inha	lants				Н	lalluci	noger	IS				Coc	aine				Met	hampl	hetam	ines	
педіоп	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
1	2.1	1.8	1.5	1.2	0.9	1.0	0.7	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3
2	2.3	1.7	1.6	1.7	1.7	1.3	0.6	0.4	0.5	0.2	0.7	0.5	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.2
3         2.5         2.5         1.8         1.7         1.6         1.6         0.3         0.3         0.3         0.4         0.           4         1.9         1.8         1.7         1.5         1.3         1.5         0.5         0.3         0.3         0.4         0.           5         2.3         1.9         1.9         1.4         1.4         0.5         0.6         0.6         0.5         0.3													0.5	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.1	0.2
4	1.9	1.8	1.7	1.5	1.3	1.5	0.5	0.3	0.3	0.5	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2
5	2.3	1.9	1.9	1.9	1.4	1.4	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.4	0.7	0.5	0.3	0.5	0.4	0.2	0.4	0.5	0.4	0.5	0.3	0.2	0.2
6	2.1	1.5	1.9	1.6	1.4	1.4	0.5	0.3	0.5	0.3	0.5	0.5	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.3
7	2.7	2.0	2.2	2.5	2.4	1.5	0.4	0.3	0.7	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.5	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.4
8	2.2	2.2	1.9	1.9	2.1	2.1	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.5	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.2
9	2.4	1.9	1.7	1.5	1.5	1.5	0.5	0.6	0.4	0.6	0.5	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2
10	2.5	2.3	1.9	1.7	1.3	1.9	0.4	0.4	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.2	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.1
11	2.7	2.0	2.4	1.6	1.8	1.6	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.5	0.6	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2
12	2.3	2.0	1.9	1.5	1.3	1.6	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.4	0.6	0.6	0.4	0.6	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.5	0.3	0.2	0.1
13	2.1	2.0	2.6	1.6	1.8	2.6	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.5	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.1
** Cells containing the	he svmb	ol indicat	e an area	where da	ata is not	available	due to the	e reaion n	ot particir	ating for	that vear.													

	P	ercen	tage o	f You	th Wh	o Used	d Synt	hetic	Mariju	ana, E	Bath S	alts, E	cstas	y or H	eroin	During	g the I	Past 3	0 Days	s by R	egion			
Pagion		Syn	thetic	Mariju	Jana				Bath	Salts					Ecs	tasy					Her	oin		
Region	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
1	1.4	0.9	0.7	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.6	0.4	0.4	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.6	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.3
2	1.3	0.8	0.5	0.3	0.5	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.5	0.2	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.3
3	1.4	1.1	1.2	0.7	0.5	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.2	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.4	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.3
4	1.5	0.9	0.6	0.6	0.4	0.5	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.5	0.4	0.7	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.2
5	2.3	1.2	0.9	0.6	0.5	0.7	0.5	0.4	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.2	0.3
6	1.8	0.8	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.6	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.4	0.2	0.3	0.0	0.2	0.3
7	0.9	0.6	0.2	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.8	0.3	0.7	0.9	0.7	1.1	0.4	0.4	0.2	0.5	0.1	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.1	0.4	0.1	0.3
8	1.3	0.9	0.6	1.0	0.9	0.6	0.5	0.2	0.4	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.6	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.5
9	1.1	0.7	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.5	0.4	0.6	0.6	0.8	0.6	0.5	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.2
10	1.3	1.8	1.2	0.6	0.5	0.6	0.5	0.3	0.3	0.5	0.3	0.9	0.6	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.5	0.3	0.4	0.1	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.3
11	1.2	1.1	1.0	0.9	0.9	0.3	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.7	0.9	0.5	0.6	0.4	0.4	0.8	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.3
12	1.6	1.6	1.5	0.6	0.5	0.4	0.5	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.1
13	0.7	1.3	1.3	0.5	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.5	0.6	0.4	0.5	1.2	0.3	0.5	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.3	0.4
** Cells containing th	ne svmb	ol indicat	e an area	where da	ata is not	available	due to the	e reaion n	ot particin	ating for	that vear.													

Perc	entag	e of Y	outh V	Vho U	sed P	rescri	otion I	Drugs,	Over	-The-C	Counte	er Dru	gs, Al	сорор	s or A	ny Dr	ug Du	ring th	ne Pas	t 30 D	ays b	y Regi	on	
Pagion		Pre	script	ion Dr	ugs		(	Over-T	he-Co	ounter	Drug	S			Alco	pops					Any	Drug		
Region	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
1	3.5	3.3	3.1	3.2	2.8	2.8	1.5	1.5	1.2	1.1	1.0	1.3	8.2	7.6	7.0	6.8	6.3	6.5	11.6	11.0	10.4	10.0	9.4	10.2
2	4.2	3.0	3.2	3.2	2.4	2.3	1.7	1.2	1.5	1.2	1.3	1.2	9.7	7.5	8.7	8.5	7.7	7.1	10.6	8.8	10.1	9.3	10.3	9.2
3	3.6	2.8	3.6	3.1	3.5	3.3	1.9	1.5	1.5	1.6	1.3	1.3	9.2	9.2	9.5	8.2	7.7	8.0	10.6	9.7	10.2	9.1	9.3	9.5
4	3.6	3.0	3.3	3.3	3.2	3.2	1.6	1.5	1.2	1.4	1.2	1.5	8.1	6.8	8.0	6.7	6.3	5.8	10.1	9.1	8.8	9.0	7.9	9.1
5	3.0	3.0	3.2	3.8	2.7	3.4	1.5	1.6	1.3	1.6	1.1	1.1	8.7	8.2	7.2	8.2	7.1	8.9	11.6	11.0	11.5	11.5	10.2	11.2
6	3.8	3.2	3.3	3.1	2.8	2.9	1.5	1.4	1.3	1.2	1.1	1.1	9.5	7.8	7.7	7.2	6.4	6.4	10.6	9.9	10.5	9.7	9.4	9.1
7	3.1	2.8	3.8	3.4	3.3	2.6	1.5	1.1	1.3	1.7	1.4	0.8	11.0	8.8	7.8	7.6	7.4	6.1	11.5	11.0	12.0	11.9	12.5	9.4
8	3.8	3.4	4.1	4.3	3.0	3.1	1.9	1.3	1.6	1.6	1.3	1.0	9.0	8.6	8.8	8.7	6.8	6.6	11.2	10.2	11.0	11.4	10.4	10.4
9	3.7	3.3	3.5	3.0	2.9	2.7	1.4	1.4	1.2	1.4	1.2	1.2	8.8	7.4	8.1	7.0	6.5	4.4	13.5	12.8	12.8	11.8	11.7	10.1
10	3.3	3.1	3.5	3.3	2.8	3.4	1.7	1.7	1.4	1.9	1.2	1.5	11.3	11.1	9.6	8.8	7.4	7.2	12.0	11.5	11.2	10.7	10.9	11.5
11	4.1	2.9	3.7	2.4	3.8	4.0	2.0	1.8	1.7	1.5	1.5	1.6	10.1	10.3	10.8	8.9	8.7	8.6	12.8	11.5	11.6	10.0	12.3	13.2
12	3.1	3.4	4.1	2.8	2.9	2.6	1.6	1.7	1.7	1.3	0.9	0.9	10.2	9.5	10.8	10.1	7.4	7.0	11.5	12.0	11.7	9.5	10.3	10.4
13	3.0	3.3	3.0	2.7	2.6	2.7	1.7	1.5	1.5	1.3	0.9	1.3	10.7	9.6	10.7	7.0	7.2	7.0	11.0	11.1	11.0	10.1	8.8	11.3
** Cells containing th	ne symb	ol indicat	e an area	where da	ata is not a	available	due to the	e region n	ot particip	ating for	that vear.													

		Perce	entage o	of Youth	Who U	sed Alco	ohol, Cig	garettes	or Smo	keless <sup>-</sup>	Tobacco	) In Thei	r Lifetin	ne by Co	ounty			
County			Alco	ohol					Ciga	rettes				Sr	nokeles	s Tobac	CO	
County	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
Arkansas	45.4	38.8	45.6	38.0	35.7	35.6	32.7	28.5	33.2	20.1	21.8	24.1	15.1	13.5	22.1	12.1	12.9	12.9
Ashley	40.5	35.8	37.5	47.8	33.9	26.8	30.6	26.7	27.2	35.0	24.4	19.2	20.0	16.0	17.8	24.2	16.2	12.4
Baxter	32.7	31.5	34.3	35.1	28.0	27.2	22.8	25.0	25.9	23.6	19.4	18.3	12.9	15.9	16.3	15.4	12.6	9.7
Benton	32.3	30.2	28.0	27.9	28.7	29.3	19.6	17.5	17.1	15.2	16.0	14.1	10.4	9.6	9.7	8.2	9.0	7.9
Boone	31.4	28.7	32.4	30.4	31.3	30.6	25.9	22.3	23.9	22.3	22.0	21.5	16.2	15.9	15.7	15.1	13.0	15.0
Bradley	33.5	30.6	34.0	27.8	20.4	29.8	28.6	24.4	20.4	20.1	12.2	19.4	16.3	16.5	20.4	9.6	7.6	9.9
Calhoun	49.5	49.0	39.3	27.5	40.7		37.3	41.1	33.3	22.5	34.8		27.5	31.8	24.1	26.8	31.1	
Carroll	35.7	38.0	37.1	30.7	33.2	39.9	26.3	25.1	24.3	20.1	22.8	22.0	15.9	18.1	16.4	15.1	14.7	16.0
Chicot	35.9	36.4	20.2	19.3	19.7	20.0	27.1	23.8	16.1	12.0	14.6	7.8	6.4	6.8	4.2	6.6	6.5	4.7
Clark	33.6	30.1	30.7	40.6	30.5	24.2	22.3	19.3	17.3	23.7	18.7	14.4	12.5	11.8	9.2	16.0	10.4	11.5
Clay	40.3	33.5	37.4	34.9	32.7	30.2	34.3	30.6	31.8	26.3	27.6	22.8	25.9	22.2	21.7	20.8	17.5	16.1
Cleburne	36.7	31.1	36.5	30.0	31.9	35.0	26.7	22.8	27.5	22.5	22.8	26.5	18.4	21.9	21.2	17.5	18.0	15.4
Cleveland	40.5	34.2	33.1	27.9	27.1	30.6	21.9	21.4	21.1	22.5	17.1	21.7	16.6	14.5	16.1	18.3	17.1	14.1
Columbia	44.1	39.4	29.9	34.0	32.0	21.4	34.7	33.2	24.1	24.3	22.4	13.0	20.3	19.1	20.7	13.5	23.6	11.3
Conway	37.9	33.3	30.9	31.5	31.4	31.0	26.6	25.3	22.9	22.4	21.4	18.5	16.2	16.7	14.3	16.3	14.4	15.0
Craighead	30.1	25.0	26.3	25.4	25.3	24.7	21.2	19.2	19.1	17.6	17.3	16.3	12.7	11.1	10.3	9.4	8.8	9.4
Crawford	33.9	28.4	26.8	31.2	36.1	33.0	24.1	21.9	18.9	26.3	25.7	21.4	18.5	13.7	12.6	19.5	22.8	16.3
Crittenden			26.6	22.5					14.1	7.8					1.5	4.9		
Cross	38.0	34.1	32.1	34.0	31.4	31.9	28.6	27.8	24.8	22.5	21.0	20.8	16.8	14.6	14.4	16.1	16.5	14.9
Dallas	39.5	37.4	34.0				30.8	31.2	28.7				16.9	21.3	20.7			
Desha	41.9	41.4	34.5	34.2	34.2	33.5	27.0	34.6	27.5	28.7	28.4	26.7	11.6	17.6	14.3	13.9	10.2	17.9
Drew	33.6	29.0	31.1	25.8	30.0	30.8	27.5	25.5	25.2	19.8	23.0	22.0	13.8	12.8	14.6	11.9	15.8	14.6
Faulkner	31.7	32.5	30.7	29.1	26.2	28.2	21.3	20.6	19.6	16.8	15.0	16.8	13.8	13.1	13.2	11.0	10.0	12.1
Franklin	35.3	34.2	36.1	31.7	33.3	31.8	24.5	25.3	28.5	20.5	22.8	22.0	19.3	20.8	24.8	18.1	16.9	18.9
Fulton	38.4	33.6	33.7	19.8	26.1	30.8	31.7	26.3	26.4	17.3	28.9	24.4	24.1	23.2	22.5	11.3	18.0	13.3
** Cells containing the	symbol indi	cate an area	where data	is not availa	ble due to th	e county not	participating	or not havin	g enough da	ta for that ye	ear.							
		Percent	age of Y	outh Wl	no Used	Alcoho	l, Cigar	ettes or	Smokel	ess Tob	acco In	Their Li	ifetime k	oy Coun	ity, Cont			
-------------------------	--	---	--------------	---------------	---------------	---------------	---------------	----------------	--------------	----------------	---------	----------	-----------	---------	-----------	---------	------	------
County			Alco	ohol					Ciga	rettes				Sr	nokeles	s Tobac	CO	
County	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
Garland	34.6	33.9	32.6	30.9	28.7	29.1	26.3	23.6	22.4	19.1	17.3	19.4	15.3	13.7	13.6	12.2	10.2	11.9
Grant	36.2	34.9	35.7	30.2	27.8	27.1	25.8	26.6	24.6	22.8	19.0	20.4	18.8	19.0	18.9	16.0	13.7	13.8
Greene	31.0	24.4	27.7	25.8	24.5	28.0	26.4	20.4	22.5	20.8	16.9	20.2	15.8	13.9	14.6	13.7	11.4	12.7
Hempstead	40.2	38.7	43.2	31.4	36.3	30.4	27.9	26.1	28.5	21.4	23.8	16.6	14.3	11.1	14.9	8.2	9.2	5.0
Hot Spring	30.8	34.1	32.5	30.7	29.7	22.0	23.0	25.8	22.5	22.0	20.2	16.9	15.3	18.8	15.4	15.0	12.7	14.5
Howard	37.6	38.9	31.1	24.9	34.7	30.9	31.5	30.4	25.1	18.4	29.5	16.0	21.1	20.7	16.7	12.6	23.5	9.9
Independence	32.9	35.6	33.3	32.1	25.3	28.2	25.5	26.8	25.2	23.8	21.4	21.4	19.0	20.4	18.9	15.6	15.2	15.8
Izard	37.1 34.0 37.1 35.8 44.5 35.4 31.8 28.9 29.2 25.9 34.6 28.8 26.7 24.8 25.9 22.2 26.6 26.7   37.9 32.8 34.0 29.2 27.0 23.6 32.1 29.1 27.1 23.6 18.1 20.6 18.1 20.7 18.4 11.8 11.8   21.4 20.5 28.5 24.8 25.7 10.5 26.6 20.6 18.1 20.6 10.0 12.4 16.5 25.5															25.6		
Jackson	37.9	37.9 32.8 34.0 29.2 27.0 23.6 32.1 29.1 27.1 23.6 18.1 20.6 20.6 18.1 20.7 18.4 11.8 1   31.4 30.5 28.5 35.7 19.5 26.0 22.5 23.8 21.9 24.8 16.0 9.9 10.0 12.4 16.5 3.5 22.5															14.4	
Jefferson	31.4	37.9 32.8 34.0 29.2 27.0 23.6 32.1 29.1 27.1 23.6 18.1 20.6 18.1 20.7 18.4 11.8 14   31.4 30.5 28.5 35.7 19.5 26.0 22.5 23.8 21.9 24.8 16.2 16.0 9.9 10.0 12.4 16.5 3.5 9   32.7 30.6 41.5 28.8 26.4 26.3 23.9 19.9 30.9 20.2 14.7 15.0 13.7 13.8 19.7 12.8 8.8 8															9.3	
Johnson	32.7	31.4 30.5 28.5 35.7 19.5 26.0 22.5 23.8 21.9 24.8 16.2 16.0 9.9 10.0 12.4 16.5 3.5 9.3   32.7 30.6 41.5 28.8 26.4 26.3 23.9 19.9 30.9 20.2 14.7 15.0 13.7 13.8 19.7 12.8 8.8 8.4														8.4		
Lafayette	34.7		24.6	40.8		33.3	31.2		18.2	34.5		21.2	16.5		13.1	20.0		9.6
Lawrence	38.0	33.6	32.4	24.8	27.5	25.0	33.9	25.9	27.2	18.4	24.8	18.4	23.7	22.0	19.6	15.3	17.2	14.6
Lee	16.9	24.9	18.5	12.1	29.0	7.9	13.7	12.2	13.5	5.3	12.3	7.9	5.3	3.5	3.8	5.3	3.8	2.6
Lincoln	38.7	42.8				33.3	23.1	29.5				18.7	16.9	19.1				17.9
Little River	40.1	48.2	39.5	39.6	35.9	35.4	24.1	32.7	28.0	27.7	23.7	22.8	16.7	22.1	19.6	22.2	20.0	15.0
Logan	38.6	41.3	28.8	31.5	37.7	29.4	26.5	27.4	20.5	22.5	20.9	22.9	22.0	20.0	19.5	19.6	19.8	23.4
Lonoke	32.5	31.3	29.7	29.7	29.0	37.8	22.5	21.6	19.4	24.7	20.0	22.4	14.1	14.1	13.0	10.5	12.3	11.6
Madison	40.5	39.2	35.8	36.1	20.0	34.7	29.7	27.2	27.0	28.2	15.1	22.8	23.5	24.7	19.2	18.4	13.7	18.8
Marion	42.5	34.3	39.1	32.7	37.6	29.1	38.4	29.7	31.0	25.3	29.5	24.9	24.5	17.2	22.1	19.2	18.7	15.9
Miller	36.9	39.0	37.4	31.3	25.5	31.4	25.8	29.4	25.4	22.6	15.9	17.0	12.9	15.8	16.2	15.4	9.1	11.3
Mississippi	29.3	30.7	26.8	26.9	23.1	19.0	23.1	23.7	21.1	19.0	15.2	13.0	9.7	10.7	11.0	8.9	9.8	7.7
Monroe	33.3	41.4	30.6	28.4	26.4	16.5	32.8	32.2	24.7	20.0	23.7	17.2	12.8	12.4	12.2	5.6	14.9	9.1
Montgomery	42.3	35.6	37.5	31.1	31.4	26.3	38.2	35.8	30.4	24.9	24.8	18.8	30.3	31.8	22.8	17.3	14.7	11.3
Nevada	29.8	32.9	37.6	30.7	28.0	31.6	22.4	29.9	27.9	25.7	21.5	28.1	12.1	14.6	17.0	16.0	10.9	17.9
** Cells containing the	- symbol ind	icate an area	a where data	is not availa	ble due to th	ne county not	participating	g or not havii	ng enough da	ata for that y	ear.							

		Percent	age of Y	outh Wi	10 Used	Alcoho	l, Cigar	ettes or	Smokel	ess Tob	acco In	Their Li	ifetime k	oy Coun	ty, Cont			
County			Alco	ohol					Ciga	rettes				Sr	nokeles	s Tobac	CO	
County	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
Newton	37.6	30.4	23.2	29.7	21.4	24.0	32.3	29.2	22.7	27.4	20.1	20.2	26.0	20.8	14.5	19.6	12.3	13.2
Ouachita	33.8	28.5	32.9	26.9	25.5	28.8	29.0	21.9	27.2	18.4	17.9	18.0	15.6	13.1	15.2	12.7	11.2	11.7
Perry	27.8	22.2	27.0	32.1	27.9	35.7	20.4	16.0	18.6	23.6	18.3	16.3	12.8	11.7	10.8	14.1	12.7	13.3
Phillips	32.3	28.9	28.2	24.0	24.8	20.4	20.5	20.2	21.9	13.0	19.5	13.5	10.9	7.3	10.5	5.9	11.2	10.1
Pike	34.5	38.6	38.0	32.1	36.2	30.8	24.3	28.2	25.7	26.1	26.6	21.5	15.9	22.6	12.9	18.7	25.9	20.7
Poinsett	36.7	28.3	29.2	30.5	29.4	32.0	32.5	24.6	24.7	28.4	23.5	26.6	19.5	14.9	13.4	15.6	11.3	16.1
Polk	39.7	38.7	29.9	35.0	33.8	37.7	28.3	30.9	22.3	22.4	25.9	25.5	19.4	22.3	16.8	19.5	19.3	19.9
Pope	32.9 29.5 30.0 27.8 28.1 25.3 23.1 19.5 20.0 18.6 18.6 15.0 14.7 13.4 13.9   4 45.1 32.5 59.2 37.3 39.3 24.5 36.6 28.8 38.2 32.8 26.4 21.4 22.1 11.7 21.0															12.1	11.2	8.3
Prairie	32.5 29.5 50.0 27.6 20.1 20.3 23.1 19.5 20.0 10.0 10.0 10.0 14.7 13.4 13.9 12.1 11.2   45.1 32.5 59.2 37.3 39.3 24.5 36.6 28.8 38.2 32.8 26.4 21.4 22.1 11.7 21.0 25.4 15.7   31.3 30.3 29.6 26.3 24.7 23.2 20.7 19.6 17.6 14.0 12.8 11.6 7.2 7.1 6.5 5.5 4.9														12.9			
Pulaski	31.3	30.3	29.6	26.3	24.7	23.2	20.7	19.6	17.6	14.0	12.8	11.6	7.2	7.1	6.5	5.5	4.9	4.5
Randolph	36.6	33.9	34.5	36.7	25.3	30.4	31.1	28.4	28.6	27.4	20.2	21.2	25.2	20.4	22.9	22.1	18.9	17.9
Saint Francis	25.1	27.7	22.0		21.1	16.5	20.2	16.4	7.8		11.1	8.1	6.5	6.7	3.9		3.4	5.3
Saline	36.3	27.1	32.7	30.7	29.5	18.3	24.0	18.7	20.7	17.2	18.4	10.8	14.6	11.4	13.1	10.0	11.4	6.7
Scott	33.9	34.0		32.4	33.3	29.8	27.1	28.9		24.2	23.0	20.6	20.4	23.2		24.5	22.3	21.6
Searcy	39.4	31.4	37.3	36.0	34.5	25.0	34.0	25.2	27.5	25.6	28.0	16.2	19.4	17.8	21.3	21.4	22.0	10.8
Sebastian	34.5	30.3	30.7	31.8	29.2	32.9	23.0	19.9	20.4	19.9	17.0	18.0	11.2	9.9	9.7	10.4	7.7	8.0
Sevier	48.9	37.8	35.4	35.3		31.2	44.4	24.7	20.3	20.8		21.4	35.8	13.9	13.6	15.2		16.4
Sharp	39.8	34.9	35.4	39.0	31.0	40.0	29.3	29.3	26.4	32.0	25.9	27.7	25.9	24.3	25.3	23.5	20.3	21.2
Stone	35.2	37.1	33.1	31.2	28.5	29.5	28.9	32.7	26.7	26.1	23.9	26.3	16.8	20.7	20.4	16.0	19.1	22.4
Union	35.5	35.2	37.7	35.9	36.9	32.9	26.8	25.1	28.2	26.0	27.8	20.9	14.6	12.9	14.6	13.3	13.3	11.3
Van Buren	29.9	30.9	32.2	26.1	34.3	26.2	25.1	25.8	26.3	16.7	24.9	16.5	21.0	21.0	18.3	13.6	19.1	13.7
Washington	30.9	27.7	26.2	27.0	24.4	24.5	19.5	16.3	15.2	13.8	12.8	11.6	11.2	9.3	8.3	7.5	7.3	6.4
White	35.8	32.9	32.5	31.0	31.4	30.1	27.7	24.8	23.3	21.3	20.6	20.3	18.0	17.5	17.4	15.9	14.8	12.5
Woodruff	35.8	42.9	43.3	39.9	34.4	35.9	24.7	34.6	30.5	36.1	23.5	26.2	14.4	24.8	15.3	23.6	14.4	22.7
Yell	42.3	33.9	30.5	37.8	24.2	32.0	24.6	24.0	23.9	24.6	15.3	17.3	16.8	22.7	20.3	18.3	11.0	12.3
** Cells containing the -	- symbol ind	licate an area	where data	is not availa	ble due to th	e county not	participating	g or not havir	ng enough da	ata for that y	ear.							

		Pe	rcentag	e of You	th Who	Used M	arijuana	a, Inhala	nts or H	lallucine	ogens Ir	n Their L	.ifetime	by Cou	nty			
Country			Marij	uana					Inha	lants					Halluci	nogens		
County	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
Arkansas	19.7	19.8	19.7	13.9	15.6	17.1	6.9	4.2	5.8	3.0	5.3	4.4	0.3	2.0	1.6	0.5	0.3	1.1
Ashley	13.4	12.4	14.7	19.6	12.3	9.1	5.3	7.1	6.8	5.0	5.0	6.1	0.5	0.7	1.0	1.1	0.8	1.6
Baxter	14.5	15.1	19.5	17.7	13.7	15.1	7.5	8.1	7.5	6.8	4.1	3.1	2.1	1.6	2.4	1.6	1.6	2.4
Benton	15.7	15.0	14.0	13.6	15.4	15.1	6.7	5.0	5.1	4.3	4.6	4.1	1.3	1.7	1.8	2.5	2.0	2.3
Boone	14.2	13.4	15.0	13.7	16.0	15.0	5.9	5.0	6.6	4.7	4.9	5.0	1.8	1.4	1.7	2.0	2.4	2.5
Bradley	13.5	15.1	11.3	10.8	9.3	15.4	8.9	5.1	3.8	4.1	1.0	5.9	0.8	1.5	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.3
Calhoun	14.3	20.0	13.3	4.3	17.8		9.2	13.0	8.4	2.9	12.2		0.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Carroll	17.4 16.5 18.7 12.9 17.3 17.7 7.7 6.0 6.8 3.9 5.4 5.1 1.4 0.7 1.4 1.0 1.7   17.8 22.9 13.9 10.3 10.8 7.9 5.9 8.5 0.9 6.2 3.8 7.8 1.1 0.0 1.8 0.0 0.9   15.9 20.9 13.9 10.3 10.4 7.9 5.9 8.5 0.9 6.2 3.8 7.8 1.1 0.0 1.8 0.0 0.9															2.4		
Chicot	17.8	17.4 16.5 18.7 12.9 17.3 17.7 7.7 6.0 6.8 3.9 5.4 5.1 1.4 0.7 1.4 1.0 1.7   17.8 22.9 13.9 10.3 10.8 7.9 5.9 8.5 0.9 6.2 3.8 7.8 1.1 0.0 1.8 0.0 0.9   15.6 8.3 9.8 17.1 12.1 7.4 7.3 6.0 5.4 5.4 6.1 2.6 1.2 0.5 0.9 0.4 0.9															0.0	
Clark	15.6	17.8 22.9 13.9 10.3 10.8 7.9 5.9 8.5 0.9 6.2 3.8 7.8 1.1 0.0 1.8 0.0 0.9 15.6   15.6 8.3 9.8 17.1 12.1 7.4 7.3 6.0 5.4 5.4 6.1 2.6 1.2 0.5 0.9 0.4 0.9 19.4   19.4 16.1 16.4 15.1 11.6 10.4 7.2 6.4 5.8 4.8 4.5 1.8 1.4 1.9 2.6 1.4															0.2	
Clay	19.4	17.0 22.3 13.3 10.3 10.0 1.3 5.3 6.3 6.3 6.2 5.0 1.1 6.0 1.0 1.0 0.0															0.9	
Cleburne	16.3	12.2	16.5	14.9	13.4	21.4	4.7	6.8	6.9	7.0	5.3	5.8	1.0	1.6	1.6	1.0	1.6	2.7
Cleveland	7.7	13.2	12.5	11.3	9.3	10.9	3.6	4.4	3.1	2.0	2.9	5.7	0.6	1.8	0.0	0.7	0.0	1.9
Columbia	12.5	14.5	13.3	10.2	10.5	7.1	9.0	4.8	9.1	2.0	4.6	3.6	0.7	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.7
Conway	19.1	13.7	13.4	14.9	14.4	12.7	7.0	6.5	6.2	6.1	4.2	5.3	1.5	0.9	1.4	0.8	0.6	1.9
Craighead	12.0	10.9	11.1	11.7	11.0	10.6	5.5	5.1	4.8	4.0	4.3	5.0	1.6	0.9	1.0	1.6	1.2	1.3
Crawford	15.7	13.1	12.4	14.8	15.6	16.8	8.2	5.8	5.7	7.2	7.4	5.2	2.0	1.1	1.6	2.2	2.2	2.1
Crittenden			19.5	10.9					3.2	3.0					0.8	0.0		
Cross	16.5	16.1	14.3	16.3	16.1	12.8	7.9	7.2	5.8	5.4	6.4	4.9	1.0	1.8	1.8	1.4	0.5	1.0
Dallas	12.9	15.3	13.0				7.6	8.2	3.1				0.0	0.6	1.9			
Desha	14.4	18.7	14.2	16.0	13.4	12.0	6.1	6.4	5.0	3.3	4.6	6.8	0.5	1.0	1.3	1.3	0.7	1.2
Drew	15.3	16.4	16.1	14.5	14.1	15.7	7.0	6.3	6.7	5.1	5.2	7.4	0.7	0.6	1.2	1.5	0.7	1.3
Faulkner	16.0	16.8	15.3	14.3	14.1	11.6	6.0	5.9	5.6	5.1	3.8	4.3	1.6	1.7	1.5	1.8	1.8	1.2
Franklin	14.3	12.6	14.6	10.3	13.8	15.0	8.1	6.8	6.8	5.6	6.1	5.5	1.2	0.6	1.5	0.9	0.5	2.7
Fulton	14.4	7.3	11.3	5.6	10.6	10.6	10.3	4.1	6.4	1.1	3.6	0.0	1.5	0.5	1.1	1.1	1.2	3.0
** Cells containing the	symbol indi	cate an area	where data	is not availal	ble due to th	e county not	participating	or not havin	g enough da	ta for that ye	ear.							

		Perce	ntage o	f Youth	Who Us	ed Marij	juana, Ir	nhalants	or Hall	ucinoge	ns In Th	neir Life	time by	County,	Cont.			
Country			Marij	uana					Inha	lants					Halluci	nogens		
County	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
Garland	18.0	18.7	17.1	16.1	14.7	16.6	7.7	6.7	6.2	5.8	5.4	5.1	1.9	1.7	1.3	1.2	1.6	1.7
Grant	17.4	14.7	15.8	12.5	12.6	13.6	6.9	6.9	4.2	5.8	4.0	4.0	1.1	1.3	1.3	1.2	2.1	1.9
Greene	14.3	10.4	11.5	10.9	9.5	12.7	8.2	5.7	5.4	5.7	5.1	4.6	1.3	1.8	1.0	1.6	1.2	1.4
Hempstead	12.4	13.7	17.3	10.5	16.8	16.8	8.2	6.8	9.3	5.3	5.9	6.0	0.9	0.3	1.2	0.8	1.3	1.3
Hot Spring	13.7	16.2	15.9	17.0	15.4	10.3	7.1	6.2	6.6	5.8	5.7	5.4	0.7	0.6	0.9	2.0	0.9	0.4
Howard	12.5	14.7	12.5	7.1	8.9	14.7	7.0	5.8	3.3	1.8	2.7	2.8	0.6	1.1	0.6	0.2	0.7	0.2
Independence	13.4	13.6	15.1	13.3	11.6	11.8	6.6	8.9	5.8	5.8	5.9	5.8	1.2	1.6	1.5	1.8	1.7	1.3
Izard	13.3	13.3 12.6 12.7 10.1 18.5 14.6 10.3 6.2 8.2 4.7 7.7 5.1 1.3 0.5 0.3 0.5 1.7   16.4 15.8 19.9 11.2 10.6 9.8 7.2 9.0 7.5 4.9 3.8 3.8 2.0 1.6 0.7 1.2 0.3															1.0	
Jackson	13.3 12.6 12.7 10.1 18.5 14.6 10.3 6.2 8.2 4.7 7.7 5.1 1.3 0.5 0   16.4 15.8 19.9 11.2 10.6 9.8 7.2 9.0 7.5 4.9 3.8 3.8 2.0 1.6 0   14.1 16.8 13.9 17.5 13.7 16.8 6.6 5.5 4.9 6.9 4.0 4.1 1.0 0.8 1														0.7	1.2	0.3	0.5
Jefferson	14.1	16.8	13.9	17.5	13.7	16.8	6.6	5.5	4.9	6.9	4.0	4.1	1.0	0.8	1.2	1.1	0.2	0.7
Johnson	13.3	12.7	20.3	13.0	11.3	12.3	7.9	6.8	8.4	4.8	5.2	4.3	1.9	1.6	2.1	1.1	0.9	0.9
Lafayette	15.2		6.2	8.2		12.0	8.9		6.1	4.1		2.4	1.3		0.0	2.0		0.0
Lawrence	13.7	11.3	13.4	7.3	9.4	8.9	7.1	6.7	4.5	4.5	2.7	1.4	0.9	1.3	1.8	1.0	0.6	0.0
Lee	4.8	11.9	10.9	3.0	16.0	2.6	4.1	4.7	3.8	0.0	6.2	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.8	0.0	0.0	2.6
Lincoln	13.4	15.7				13.2	7.8	7.7				3.0	1.4	1.3				0.4
Little River	13.2	17.7	15.7	17.1	14.5	13.6	8.7	7.8	4.8	5.7	5.0	6.4	0.9	0.8	0.2	1.6	1.2	0.4
Logan	10.8	16.6	11.8	11.9	15.0	11.0	7.8	10.8	5.4	7.0	4.6	5.2	0.4	1.0	0.8	0.0	0.3	1.6
Lonoke	16.2	15.0	14.0	16.3	11.6	15.6	5.6	4.7	5.8	8.5	5.7	3.5	1.6	1.3	1.2	1.8	0.5	2.1
Madison	19.7	18.0	19.5	19.0	8.4	17.7	9.2	7.9	4.2	7.8	3.3	5.0	1.5	0.9	1.6	2.5	1.0	3.2
Marion	20.6	15.0	17.9	14.7	19.4	15.9	5.9	7.3	5.6	4.1	7.6	2.7	2.3	1.0	1.1	1.2	1.7	1.5
Miller	19.5	21.8	20.4	15.9	13.8	13.7	7.5	9.5	5.1	5.2	2.9	5.2	1.3	1.9	1.4	1.6	0.6	1.6
Mississippi	13.6	16.9	13.9	13.6	10.6	8.6	6.9	6.2	4.0	3.9	2.8	4.2	0.5	1.1	0.9	1.5	0.2	0.5
Monroe	21.0	21.8	19.4	19.3	17.8	14.4	6.6	6.3	4.2	4.6	3.4	3.3	0.0	2.7	2.8	0.0	0.0	1.1
Montgomery	14.1	11.7	16.2	15.6	16.3	9.3	9.1	4.8	4.0	3.7	3.6	3.3	0.8	1.9	1.7	1.4	0.9	0.9
Nevada	8.9	14.8	15.9	16.7	13.2	20.0	3.6	5.5	6.5	4.5	3.0	4.3	0.8	0.7	1.1	1.6	0.4	1.1
** Cells containing the -	- symbol ind	icate an area	a where data	is not availa	ble due to th	e county not	participating	g or not havii	ng enough da	ata for that y	ear.							

		Perc	entage	of Youth	n Who U	lsed Ma	rijuana,	Inhalan	ts or Ha	llucinog	gens In <sup>•</sup>	Their Lif	etime b	y Count	y, Cont.			
County			Marij	uana					Inha	lants					Halluci	nogens		
County	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
Newton	18.6	14.8	13.8	13.4	10.7	9.7	8.8	4.7	4.1	5.1	4.2	2.1	2.4	1.3	1.2	1.5	2.1	2.1
Ouachita	18.2	14.1	18.2	11.3	13.0	12.2	7.0	6.4	7.6	6.3	4.7	5.6	0.8	0.6	0.4	0.4	1.3	0.4
Perry	11.6	8.2	10.8	13.7	10.2	10.9	5.0	4.0	5.8	3.2	4.0	5.9	1.2	0.6	1.2	1.6	0.9	0.5
Phillips	12.3	14.1	18.4	14.2	12.8	11.1	5.6	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.6	2.6	0.8	0.4	0.8	0.2	0.5	0.6
Pike	10.7	11.4	12.1	12.3	13.0	11.6	7.1	5.7	6.4	5.6	5.1	4.8	0.8	1.0	1.5	1.6	1.5	0.0
Poinsett	16.7	13.2	13.1	14.4	14.7	17.3	5.4	5.8	4.3	5.0	3.3	5.2	1.4	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.8	1.5
Polk	16.2	17.0	12.7	13.9	16.6	15.3	7.3	7.1	6.6	4.6	5.5	6.8	1.7	1.7	1.2	1.7	0.5	1.5
Pope	14.8 14.3 13.0 13.5 13.8 11.4 7.0 5.6 5.7 4.2 4.8 5.5 2.0 1.6 2.1 1.4 2.0   18.5 13.6 21.3 18.8 14.3 9.4 11.1 3.3 11.5 6.2 3.6 1.5 0.3 0.6 0.6 2.3 0.0   20.2 20.5 20.1 16.8 17.2 14.8 7.0 6.4 5.6 4.8 4.5 4.8 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.5															1.6		
Prairie	14.5 14.5 15.6 15.5 11.4 17.6 5.6 5.7 4.2 4.6 5.6 2.0 1.6 2.1 1.4 2.0 1.4   18.5 13.6 21.3 18.8 14.3 9.4 11.1 3.3 11.5 6.2 3.6 1.5 0.3 0.6 0.6 2.3 0.0 0.0   20.2 20.5 20.1 16.8 17.3 14.8 7.9 6.4 5.6 4.8 4.5 4.8 1.8 1.9 1.8 1.3 1.5 0.3															0.0		
Pulaski	18.5 13.6 21.3 18.8 14.3 9.4 11.1 3.3 11.5 6.2 3.6 1.5 0.3 0.6 0.6 2.3 0.0 0   20.2 20.5 20.1 16.8 17.3 14.8 7.9 6.4 5.6 4.8 4.5 4.8 1.8 1.9 1.8 1.3 1.5 1   12.6 12.1 12.4 12.5 0.6 10.4 6.4 7.2 7.4 4.8 4.8 4.7 0.0 1.1 1.5 1.4 1.1 0															1.3		
Randolph	20.2 20.5 20.1 16.8 17.3 14.8 7.9 6.4 5.6 4.8 4.5 4.8 1.8 1.9 1.8 1.3 1.5 1.3   12.6 13.1 13.4 13.5 9.6 10.4 6.4 7.2 7.4 4.8 4.8 4.7 0.9 1.1 1.5 1.4 1.1 0.9															0.9		
Saint Francis	9.9	11.0	8.0		16.1	9.5	7.8	3.9	4.0		4.7	1.8	0.7	0.6	0.0		0.3	0.9
Saline	17.6	9.7	15.8	13.8	13.7	6.0	6.1	5.3	5.1	4.3	4.4	3.9	1.6	0.7	1.6	1.8	1.2	0.8
Scott	13.0	15.9		12.4	15.2	13.6	4.8	6.5		5.4	5.9	4.6	0.9	1.2		1.2	1.0	0.6
Searcy	18.6	12.0	13.6	13.4	16.6	8.2	9.4	4.3	6.2	4.0	7.6	1.4	2.4	1.2	0.6	0.7	1.0	0.5
Sebastian	18.7	16.3	18.1	17.9	16.6	18.6	7.6	5.6	5.8	5.0	4.2	4.8	2.2	1.6	2.2	2.0	1.3	2.5
Sevier	21.5	13.6	14.5	14.1		9.1	6.7	5.1	5.0	6.1		7.8	0.6	1.7	0.8	1.3		0.6
Sharp	14.8	16.5	14.5	18.6	13.5	16.0	10.6	8.5	7.8	9.3	6.4	7.9	2.0	2.3	1.7	2.3	1.4	1.6
Stone	16.7	20.0	18.2	14.7	12.9	16.0	5.9	9.0	7.1	4.7	5.2	8.3	0.8	0.3	1.4	1.2	0.8	1.7
Union	16.8	17.5	17.0	17.2	19.7	17.2	8.5	6.0	6.9	4.1	6.1	5.2	0.7	1.2	0.9	0.8	1.3	1.0
Van Buren	13.4	14.5	11.9	9.0	14.5	8.4	9.1	5.7	7.2	4.8	6.8	3.2	1.7	2.3	0.0	0.7	2.1	0.7
Washington	16.4	15.1	14.0	13.4	12.7	13.4	7.1	5.9	5.2	4.3	3.1	3.0	2.3	1.9	2.1	2.0	2.3	1.7
White	16.5	14.5	15.2	12.8	14.4	13.9	6.7	7.0	6.6	5.4	4.8	4.5	1.5	1.2	1.1	1.4	1.7	1.8
Woodruff	14.7	14.4	17.9	14.8	11.5	18.1	6.3	5.9	4.3	7.7	2.3	4.8	2.6	0.7	3.7	0.7	0.8	0.6
Yell	13.1	10.5	18.2	16.6	11.1	13.8	7.7	6.0	5.3	6.8	3.7	1.7	1.8	1.6	2.3	0.3	0.7	1.0
** Cells containing	the symbo	l indicate an	area where	data is not a	vailable due	to the count	y not particip	pating or not	having enou	gh data for ti	hat year.							

	Pe	ercentag	je of Yo	uth Who	Used C	Cocaine,	Methar	nphetan	nines or	Synthe	tic Mari	juana In	Their L	ifetime	by Cour	nty		
County			Coc	aine				M	ethampl	netamin	es			Sy	nthetic	Marijua	na	
County	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
Arkansas	1.3	2.3	1.0	1.3	1.0	2.0	0.0	1.0	1.5	0.5	0.0	0.4	4.9	2.8	3.1	1.3	1.7	1.8
Ashley	0.6	0.8	1.2	1.6	0.5	1.2	0.9	0.7	1.2	0.9	0.6	0.6	3.7	3.7	3.5	3.0	1.7	2.4
Baxter	1.6	1.0	1.5	0.9	0.6	1.0	1.9	1.7	1.4	0.8	0.5	0.6	6.2	5.0	4.1	2.4	1.5	1.1
Benton	1.2	1.4	1.1	1.1	1.6	1.2	1.2	1.1	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.6	7.3	4.5	3.4	2.2	2.3	1.7
Boone	0.7	1.0	0.9	1.1	0.9	1.1	1.1	1.3	1.0	0.9	0.4	0.9	6.7	4.4	3.9	2.4	1.9	1.1
Bradley	1.5	1.0	1.0	0.6	0.5	0.3	0.8	0.5	0.0	0.6	0.0	0.3	3.8	2.6	0.9	1.0	1.3	2.0
Calhoun	1.0 1.0 2.8 0.0 1.1  1.0 0.0 2.8 0.0 1.2  2.0 6.0 3.7 0.0 3.4   1.7 0.8 1.6 1.2 1.4 1.4 1.4 0.6 1.4 1.4 1.6 1.5 6.1 3.5 3.9 2.7 1.8 3.4   1.5 0.9 0.9 0.6 0.0 0.4 0.0 0.0 0.5 0.0 1.1 2.2 5.7 1.1 0.5																	
Carroll	1.0 1.0 2.8 0.0 1.1  1.0 0.0 2.8 0.0 1.2  2.0 6.0 3.7 0.0 3.4   1.7 0.8 1.6 1.2 1.4 1.4 1.4 0.6 1.4 1.4 1.6 1.5 6.1 3.5 3.9 2.7 1.8 3.4   1.5 0.9 0.9 0.6 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.5 0.0 1.1 2.2 5.7 1.1 0.5 3.4															3.2		
Chicot	1.7 0.8 1.6 1.2 1.4 1.4 0.6 1.4 1.4 1.6 1.5 6.1 3.5 3.9 2.7 1.8 3   1.5 0.9 0.9 0.6 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.5 0.0 1.1 2.2 5.7 1.1 0.5 1   1.2 0.9 0.9 1.4 0.9 0.5 0.9 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.2 5.0 1.0 2.9 2.2 0															1.6		
Clark	1.5 0.9 0.9 0.6 0.0 0.4 0.0 0.0 0.5 0.0 1.1 2.2 5.7 1.1 0.5 1.   1.2 0.9 0.9 1.4 0.9 0.5 0.7 1.0 0.9 0.7 0.7 0.2 5.0 1.0 2.0 2.9 2.2 0.0   1.3 1.7 1.4 1.6 1.1 0.9 2.6 0.6 1.0 0.6 0.9 12.4 8.3 6.1 7.0 3.5 2															0.2		
Clay	1.5 0.9 0.9 0.6 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.5 0.0 1.1 2.2 5.7 1.1 0.5 1.6   1.2 0.9 0.9 1.4 0.9 0.5 0.7 1.0 0.9 0.7 0.7 0.2 5.0 1.0 2.0 2.9 2.2 0.2   1.3 1.7 1.4 1.6 1.1 0.9 2.6 0.6 1.0 0.6 0.9 12.4 8.3 6.1 7.0 3.5 2.2 0.2															2.2		
Cleburne	1.0	1.0	1.8	2.3	0.5	2.5	1.4	1.5	1.8	1.6	0.5	0.8	7.0	4.6	4.7	3.0	2.9	2.7
Cleveland	0.6	1.8	0.6	1.0	0.7	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.0	1.8	3.5	5.0	1.7	1.4	0.6
Columbia	0.7	1.0	0.7	1.0	0.5	0.7	0.0	1.0	0.7	1.0	0.5	0.0	3.5	3.8	5.6	4.1	1.4	1.4
Conway	1.5	1.2	1.4	1.2	0.6	1.7	2.2	0.8	0.9	0.9	0.5	1.0	8.3	4.8	4.6	1.2	2.2	1.2
Craighead	1.0	1.0	0.5	1.2	1.1	1.3	0.9	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.4	3.4	2.7	2.0	1.5	1.6	1.3
Crawford	1.8	1.1	0.8	0.8	1.1	0.5	2.1	0.9	1.2	0.5	1.4	0.4	8.3	4.0	3.0	1.8	1.9	1.9
Crittenden			0.8	0.0					0.0	0.0					0.8	1.0		
Cross	2.1	1.4	0.6	1.6	1.2	1.1	1.7	0.8	0.5	1.5	1.0	1.0	3.5	2.9	2.0	2.3	1.4	1.3
Dallas	0.0	1.2	1.9				0.0	1.2	1.3				4.5	2.9	2.5			
Desha	1.1	2.0	0.7	0.0	1.1	2.8	0.6	0.5	0.9	0.0	0.0	2.0	2.3	5.4	1.7	2.9	0.7	2.0
Drew	1.6	0.5	1.8	1.3	0.9	0.9	1.5	1.2	1.4	0.5	0.9	0.7	4.9	5.5	5.6	2.3	2.2	1.9
Faulkner	1.6	1.3	1.1	1.2	1.1	0.7	1.0	1.1	1.1	0.7	0.7	0.7	6.9	5.6	3.9	2.5	1.9	1.1
Franklin	1.2	1.0	0.0	0.4	0.9	1.0	1.2	1.2	0.8	0.7	0.9	0.9	6.3	3.2	3.8	0.9	1.2	2.8
Fulton	2.6	0.3	1.1	0.0	1.2	1.5	2.4	0.8	1.1	0.0	2.4	1.5	6.5	3.2	4.1	0.0	1.2	1.5
** Cells containing the -	- symbol ind	icate an area	a where data	is not availa	ble due to th	e county not	participating	g or not havii	ng enough da	ata for that y	ear.							

	Perce	entage o	of Youth	Who Us	ed Coc	aine, Me	thamph	etamine	es or Sy	nthetic	Marijuai	na In Th	eir Lifet	ime by (	County,	Cont.		
County			Coc	aine				M	ethamph	netamin	es			Sy	nthetic	Marijua	na	
County	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
Garland	1.3	1.4	1.2	1.2	0.9	1.5	1.0	1.1	0.6	0.9	0.9	0.8	7.1	4.8	3.2	3.9	3.7	2.5
Grant	1.5	1.0	1.1	1.5	1.2	1.5	0.9	1.2	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.5	8.9	5.0	3.6	2.1	2.2	2.2
Greene	1.2	1.2	0.6	1.4	1.0	0.9	1.0	1.1	0.6	1.4	0.8	0.9	6.5	4.0	3.2	2.5	2.3	2.2
Hempstead	1.3	1.0	3.6	1.4	1.0	2.6	0.5	0.8	2.0	1.6	1.6	1.0	2.8	3.5	3.5	2.6	2.9	1.3
Hot Spring	1.0	1.0	1.7	1.8	0.4	0.6	0.8	1.2	1.6	0.8	0.3	1.3	4.0	4.6	3.4	3.1	1.4	1.1
Howard	0.8	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.7	0.6	0.8	1.0	0.9	0.7	0.0	0.6	2.2	3.0	3.8	0.9	1.4	1.6
Independence	1.4	1.4	1.3	0.7	1.3	1.3	1.5	1.7	1.1	1.0	0.9	1.0	6.8	4.8	4.3	4.8	2.1	2.5
Izard	1.6 0.5 0.8 1.3 1.4 1.0 1.1 0.5 0.8 0.5 0.6 0.0 6.5 3.5 5.8 2.6 4.7   1.0 1.6 1.9 1.5 0.0 0.7 1.5 2.5 0.9 1.5 0.8 0.5 4.0 5.2 5.4 4.2 1.0   1.1 1.0 1.1 2.1 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.7 0.6 14 0.6 0.2 4.2 4.9 4.4 5.3 0.6															4.0		
Jackson	1.0 1.6 1.9 1.5 0.0 0.7 1.5 2.5 0.9 1.5 0.8 0.5 4.0 5.2 5.4 4.2 1.0 1   1.1 1.0 1.1 2.1 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.7 0.6 1.4 0.6 0.2 4.2 4.9 4.4 5.3 0.6 1.4															1.4		
Jefferson	1.0 1.6 1.9 1.5 0.0 0.7 1.5 2.5 0.9 1.5 0.8 0.5 4.0 5.2 5.4 4.2 1.0 1.   1.1 1.0 1.1 2.1 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.7 0.6 1.4 0.6 0.2 4.2 4.9 4.4 5.3 0.6 1.   2.0 0.6 2.3 0.6 1.4 0.9 2.1 0.3 0.8 0.5 5.3 4.1 4.2 1.6 1.4 1.4															1.2		
Johnson	1.1 1.0 1.1 2.1 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.7 0.6 1.4 0.6 0.2 4.2 4.9 4.4 5.3 0.6 1.2   2.0 0.6 2.3 0.6 1.4 0.9 2.1 0.3 0.8 0.5 5.3 4.1 4.2 1.6 1.4 1.6   0.4 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.4 0.9 0.4 0.9 0.4 0.9 0.4 0.9 0.4 0.4 0.9 0.4 0.9 0.9 0.4 0.9 0.4 0.9 0.4 0.9 0.4 0.9 0.4 0.9 0.4 0.9 0.4 0.9 0.9 0.4 0.9 0.9 0.4 0.9 0.9 0.4 0.9 0.9 0.4 0.9 0.9 0.4 0.9 0.9 0.4 0.9 0.9 0.4 0.9 0.9 0.4 0.9 0.9 0.4 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.4 0.9 0.9 0.4 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0															1.6		
Lafayette	0.4		0.0	2.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	2.1		0.0	3.6		0.8	4.1		2.4
Lawrence	1.5	1.3	0.8	1.0	1.0	0.5	1.5	1.9	1.2	0.6	0.8	0.2	6.2	4.5	3.4	1.4	0.8	0.7
Lee	0.0	0.6	0.8	0.0	1.0	2.6	0.0	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.6	1.6	0.6	0.8	0.0	2.0	0.0
Lincoln	1.6	1.3				1.3	0.3	1.0				1.3	7.4	5.7				0.4
Little River	2.7	1.2	0.2	0.8	1.7	1.1	0.6	1.4	0.7	1.0	1.2	0.8	4.8	5.9	4.2	6.2	2.7	1.5
Logan	0.7	1.0	1.3	0.7	0.0	0.5	1.5	1.3	1.4	1.0	0.3	0.7	3.3	4.8	2.2	1.7	2.0	0.7
Lonoke	1.2	1.2	1.0	1.1	0.7	0.0	0.8	0.9	0.8	1.8	0.5	0.7	5.7	3.7	2.8	2.9	1.0	0.7
Madison	2.1	0.9	0.9	2.4	1.0	2.9	1.5	0.9	1.4	1.7	0.4	1.1	11.5	6.3	4.0	4.9	0.7	1.9
Marion	2.3	0.8	0.8	1.2	1.7	0.9	2.8	1.0	1.1	0.3	0.7	1.5	8.2	3.1	3.3	2.9	1.3	1.8
Miller	0.8	1.7	1.2	1.1	0.9	1.2	0.9	1.3	0.9	1.1	0.3	0.5	5.4	9.7	6.3	4.5	2.1	2.0
Mississippi	0.5	1.0	0.9	0.8	0.2	0.4	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.2	0.4	2.3	3.2	1.7	2.1	0.7	1.1
Monroe	0.0	2.7	1.4	1.1	2.2	0.0	0.0	1.8	0.0	1.2	1.2	0.0	3.5	3.6	2.8	1.1	2.3	0.0
Montgomery	1.5	1.0	2.0	2.3	1.3	0.5	0.0	1.0	1.0	0.9	0.0	0.0	5.3	1.0	3.6	0.5	1.4	3.3
Nevada	0.8	1.4	1.4	0.6	1.1	3.2	0.8	2.1	1.8	1.9	0.7	1.1	4.9	2.1	4.7	2.5	2.2	7.4
** Cells containing the	symbol indi	cate an area	where data	is not availa	ble due to th	e county not	participating	or not havin	g enough da	ta for that ye	ear.							

	Perce	entage o	of Youth	Who Us	sed Coc	aine, Me	ethamph	netamine	es or Sy	nthetic	Marijua	na In Th	eir Lifet	ime by (	County,	Cont.		
County			Coc	aine				M	ethampl	netamin	es			Sy	nthetic	Marijua	na	
County	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
Newton	1.0	1.3	0.6	0.4	0.8	1.0	2.7	1.3	0.6	0.7	0.4	0.0	5.1	3.8	2.4	2.9	1.7	0.5
Ouachita	0.9	1.2	1.2	0.4	0.5	0.7	0.5	0.7	1.3	0.5	0.7	0.4	4.7	2.7	3.0	1.3	2.5	1.0
Perry	0.6	0.6	0.3	1.3	1.3	0.5	0.0	0.6	0.3	1.1	1.3	0.9	4.1	2.5	1.7	3.8	1.3	0.9
Phillips	0.8	0.4	0.7	0.2	0.5	0.6	0.5	0.6	0.0	0.7	0.0	0.6	2.4	0.8	1.3	1.9	0.0	0.9
Pike	1.6	1.0	1.5	1.3	1.5	0.0	0.8	0.0	0.8	0.7	0.7	0.0	3.6	3.7	3.8	2.5	2.9	4.1
Poinsett	0.9	1.6	0.9	0.9	1.1	1.1	1.4	1.5	1.2	1.2	0.8	0.9	4.4	2.5	1.4	1.2	1.2	2.4
Polk	1.0	1.3	1.0	1.1	1.0	1.9	2.2	2.1	0.6	1.1	0.8	1.0	6.5	7.3	2.2	3.2	1.5	1.8
Pope	1.4 1.1 1.2 1.1 1.6 1.0 1.1 0.8 0.6 0.8 0.9 7.3 4.8 2.9 2.2 1.5   1.2 0.6 0.6 0.8 0.0 0.0 0.9 1.9 0.0 1.6 0.0 0.0 4.4 1.3 1.9 3.9 0.7   1.3 1.4 1.3 1.1 1.0 0.8 0.9 0.6 0.6 0.5 4.5 3.3 2.2 1.5															1.4		
Prairie	1.4 1.1 1.2 1.1 1.2 1.6 1.0 1.1 0.8 0.6 0.8 0.9 7.3 4.8 2.9 2.2 1.5 1   1.2 0.6 0.6 0.8 0.0 0.0 0.9 1.6 0.0 0.0 4.4 1.3 1.9 3.9 0.7 0   1.3 1.4 1.3 1.1 1.0 0.8 1.1 0.9 0.9 0.6 0.6 0.5 4.5 3.3 2.2 1.5 1   1.3 1.4 1.3 1.1 1.0 0.8 1.1 0.9 0.9 0.6 0.6 0.5 4.5 3.3 2.2 1.5 1.2 1															0.0		
Pulaski	1.2 0.6 0.6 0.8 0.0 0.0 0.9 1.9 0.0 1.6 0.0 0.0 4.4 1.3 1.9 3.9 0.7 0   1.3 1.4 1.3 1.1 1.0 0.8 1.1 0.9 0.9 0.6 0.6 0.5 4.5 3.3 2.2 1.5 1.2 1   1.3 1.5 1.1 0.9 1.3 1.3 0.7 0.9 1.1 0.7 6.4 5.9 3.7 4.0 2.5 2															1.1		
Randolph	1.2   0.0 <td>2.2</td>															2.2		
Saint Francis	0.5	1.0	0.0		0.6	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.0		0.3	0.3	1.6	1.0	0.0		1.5	0.3
Saline	1.9	0.9	1.6	1.2	1.2	0.2	1.5	0.5	0.6	0.8	0.3	0.1	6.9	2.2	2.9	1.7	1.6	0.7
Scott	1.5	2.1		0.9	1.4	0.7	0.6	1.5		0.6	1.0	1.3	4.2	6.8		1.8	3.5	2.6
Searcy	2.1	0.9	0.6	1.0	1.7	0.5	1.8	1.2	0.9	1.0	1.7	0.0	10.9	4.6	3.4	2.4	2.4	0.9
Sebastian	1.8	1.4	1.8	1.8	1.0	1.3	1.9	1.2	1.9	1.2	0.5	0.8	7.6	5.8	4.4	2.9	1.9	2.1
Sevier	0.0	2.4	1.0	2.4		1.3	1.1	2.0	0.6	0.7		1.3	5.1	2.9	3.3	1.7		0.0
Sharp	1.4	1.5	1.9	1.8	1.0	2.0	1.4	1.7	1.6	1.8	1.4	1.3	8.7	6.8	7.8	5.5	2.7	3.2
Stone	1.0	0.3	2.3	0.9	0.8	2.0	1.0	0.8	2.0	0.0	0.6	0.9	9.4	8.7	6.6	5.3	3.9	3.2
Union	0.8	1.3	1.1	1.6	1.5	1.1	1.4	0.8	0.9	1.1	0.7	0.6	3.6	3.3	2.8	3.1	3.2	1.3
Van Buren	1.5	1.0	0.7	0.9	0.8	1.3	1.3	1.3	0.0	0.7	0.8	0.6	7.2	4.9	2.5	1.6	3.7	1.3
Washington	1.5	1.4	1.4	1.3	1.3	0.9	1.2	1.1	1.0	0.7	0.9	0.7	6.0	4.2	2.6	1.8	1.4	1.4
White	1.4	1.5	1.2	1.3	1.2	1.3	1.1	1.0	1.0	0.9	0.8	0.8	5.2	4.2	3.0	2.2	1.9	1.7
Woodruff	1.6	0.7	1.2	0.7	0.0	1.2	1.6	0.7	0.6	0.7	0.0	0.6	3.7	0.7	5.6	2.1	0.0	2.4
Yell	1.9	1.9	0.8	1.0	0.4	0.7	1.6	0.6	1.5	0.0	0.4	0.3	6.0	2.5	3.0	1.7	0.4	1.0
** Cells containing the -	- symbol ind	icate an area	a where data	is not availa	ble due to th	e county not	participating	g or not havir	ng enough da	ata for that y	ear.							

			Perce	ntage of	f Youth	Who Us	ed Bath	Salts, E	cstasy	or Heroi	in In The	eir Lifeti	me by C	county				
Ocumtur			Bath	Salts					Ecs	tasy					Her	roin		
County	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
Arkansas	0.8	1.5	0.5	1.0	0.7	1.8	1.3	3.6	1.1	1.0	0.7	0.9	1.0	0.8	0.3	0.5	0.3	0.7
Ashley	0.8	0.2	0.8	1.1	1.2	2.0	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.9	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.2	0.8	0.2	0.6	0.8
Baxter	1.6	1.5	1.5	1.8	1.0	1.6	2.4	2.1	2.8	1.7	0.8	1.6	2.5	1.4	1.1	0.9	0.6	1.1
Benton	1.4	1.0	1.1	1.2	1.5	1.8	1.4	1.6	1.0	1.4	1.0	1.0	0.7	0.9	0.5	0.7	0.9	0.7
Boone	0.9	1.5	1.1	0.8	2.3	1.4	1.3	1.1	1.3	1.6	1.1	1.4	0.8	0.8	1.0	1.1	0.7	0.8
Bradley	1.0	1.0	0.0	0.3	0.8	0.7	1.0	1.3	1.0	0.6	0.3	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.3	0.3
Calhoun	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.0	1.1		0.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	2.3		0.0	1.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	
Carroll	1.8   0.9   1.4   2.2   0.6   0.9   1.1   1.5   1.6   1.0   1.0   0.9   0.7   0.5   0.8   0.6   1.1     0.7   0.0   0.9   1.0   3.2   1.5   1.4   0.0   0.3   0.0   1.6   0.0															0.9		
Chicot	0.7	1.8 0.9 1.4 2.2 0.6 0.9 1.1 1.5 1.6 1.0 1.0 0.9 0.7 0.5 0.8 0.6 1.1   0.7 0.0 0.9 0.9 1.0 3.2 1.5 1.4 0.0 0.3 0.0 1.6 0.0 0															0.0	
Clark	1.3	0.7	0.9	0.7	1.5	1.4	1.5	0.3	1.1	1.1	0.7	0.9	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.7	0.0
Clay	1.3	1.2	0.0	1.0	0.4	1.7	1.6	1.2	0.4	1.4	0.7	1.1	0.5	0.6	1.2	1.0	0.7	0.7
Cleburne	1.1	0.6	0.8	0.9	1.1	1.0	1.8	0.9	1.4	1.1	0.9	1.9	0.6	0.7	1.4	1.1	0.9	1.7
Cleveland	0.0	0.9	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	1.8	1.2	1.0	0.7	1.3	0.0	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Columbia	0.7	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.7	1.4	0.0	2.1	1.9	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Conway	1.0	0.8	1.1	0.9	0.3	1.0	1.9	1.9	1.2	0.2	0.3	0.7	0.4	0.5	0.3	0.5	0.0	0.8
Craighead	0.7	0.8	0.8	1.1	1.0	2.1	1.5	1.3	0.8	1.0	1.0	0.8	0.8	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.6	0.4
Crawford	1.0	0.9	1.1	0.5	1.4	1.2	2.3	1.4	1.3	0.8	1.1	1.0	1.1	1.3	0.4	1.5	0.8	0.9
Crittenden			3.1	0.0					0.0	0.0					0.0	0.0		
Cross	0.8	0.6	1.5	1.7	1.6	1.8	1.7	3.4	1.0	1.3	0.5	1.1	1.1	1.4	0.2	1.2	0.5	1.3
Dallas	0.6	1.8	1.2				0.0	1.8	0.6				1.3	1.2	0.0			
Desha	1.1	2.0	0.9	1.3	1.8	2.8	0.3	0.0	0.6	0.4	0.4	1.6	0.6	1.0	0.6	0.0	0.4	1.6
Drew	0.6	0.9	1.1	1.0	1.9	2.2	1.1	1.1	1.4	0.3	0.7	1.3	0.3	0.8	0.5	0.3	0.7	0.7
Faulkner	1.0	0.9	1.3	1.5	1.7	1.6	2.0	1.8	1.5	0.9	1.3	0.7	1.3	0.9	0.7	0.4	0.6	0.7
Franklin	1.5	0.4	3.0	1.5	1.4	1.7	1.9	1.3	0.0	0.8	0.5	1.6	0.9	0.4	0.8	0.2	0.4	0.9
Fulton	1.2	0.5	0.3	0.0	1.1	0.8	1.2	0.5	0.3	0.0	1.2	2.3	1.8	0.0	0.8	0.0	2.3	1.5
** Cells containing the -	- symbol ind	licate an area	a where data	is not availa	ble due to th	e county not	participating	g or not havii	ng enough da	ata for that y	ear.							

		Р	ercenta	ge of Yo	uth Who	o Used I	Bath Sa	lts, Ecst	asy or H	leroin Ir	n Their I	_ifetime	by Cou	nty, Cor	nt.			
Country			Bath	Salts					Ecs	tasy					Her	oin		
County	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
Garland	1.1	0.8	1.1	1.4	1.3	1.2	2.6	2.0	1.5	1.0	0.7	1.3	0.9	1.0	0.8	0.8	0.4	1.7
Grant	1.0	0.8	0.7	1.0	1.0	0.8	2.6	1.3	1.1	1.0	0.9	1.5	1.2	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.8	1.3
Greene	0.8	1.3	0.8	1.8	1.1	1.3	1.5	1.0	0.8	1.2	0.8	0.7	1.3	1.4	0.7	0.8	0.3	0.4
Hempstead	1.7	1.0	0.8	1.6	2.9	1.3	1.4	0.1	0.8	0.4	1.3	0.6	0.6	0.1	1.7	0.6	1.3	0.3
Hot Spring	1.3	1.0	0.8	1.1	1.1	1.9	1.7	1.1	1.2	1.9	0.6	0.6	0.9	1.0	0.9	0.8	0.4	0.8
Howard	0.4	0.8	0.5	0.7	0.7	2.0	0.8	1.0	0.5	0.2	0.7	0.8	0.8	0.6	0.3	0.2	0.7	0.2
Independence	0.9	1.0	1.0	1.1	1.6	2.3	1.1	1.4	0.8	1.4	0.9	1.5	1.0	0.9	0.7	0.6	0.9	0.9
Izard	1.4	1.4 0.8 0.8 0.3 2.8 0.5 1.9 1.1 0.5 0.5 0.8 1.0 1.1 0.5 0.3 1.1   1.2 2.3 0.9 1.2 0.8 1.4 2.2 0.9 0.9 0.7 0.5 0.5 0.7 0.9 1.4 0.8 0.5															0.5	
Jackson	1.2	1.4 0.8 0.8 0.3 2.8 0.5 1.9 1.1 0.5 0.8 1.0 1.1 0.5 0.3 1.1 0.5   1.2 2.3 0.9 1.2 0.8 1.4 2.2 0.9 0.9 0.7 0.5 0.5 0.7 0.9 1.4 0.8 0.5 0.5   1.2 0.6 1.2 1.0 0.6 1.1 1.7 1.1 1.3 1.3 0.2 0.8 1.0 0.4 0.4 1.0 0.4 0															0.7	
Jefferson	1.2	1.2 2.3 0.9 1.2 0.8 1.4 2.2 0.9 0.9 0.7 0.5 0.5 0.7 0.9 1.4 0.8 0.5 0.7   1.2 0.6 1.2 1.0 0.6 1.1 1.7 1.1 1.3 1.3 0.2 0.8 1.0 0.4 0.4 1.0 0.4 0.4														0.2		
Johnson	1.0	1.0	0.4	1.0	1.1	1.2	1.7	1.1	1.7	0.6	0.8	0.6	0.7	1.1	0.8	0.7	0.5	0.7
Lafayette	0.9		0.8	2.1		3.7	1.8		0.0	0.0		1.2	0.9		0.8	0.0		0.0
Lawrence	1.5	0.6	0.7	0.6	1.1	0.0	1.5	1.7	0.8	0.8	1.1	0.2	0.4	0.5	0.7	0.5	0.6	0.3
Lee	0.0	1.2	1.5	0.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.8	0.0	0.0	2.6	0.8	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Lincoln	1.1	1.3				0.0	0.8	1.5				1.3	0.3	0.5				0.0
Little River	0.9	0.8	0.7	0.8	0.3	1.9	2.1	1.2	1.4	0.8	1.0	1.1	0.9	0.8	0.5	1.3	0.0	0.8
Logan	1.0	0.0	1.1	0.0	0.6	1.4	1.1	1.6	0.5	0.7	0.6	0.5	0.4	0.0	1.1	0.3	0.3	0.7
Lonoke	0.9	0.9	0.7	1.8	1.3	0.7	1.3	1.5	1.1	0.7	0.3	2.1	0.6	0.8	0.6	0.4	0.3	0.7
Madison	1.0	0.6	1.1	1.2	1.1	2.1	1.3	1.3	1.2	2.2	1.1	1.1	1.5	1.3	0.7	1.5	0.0	1.9
Marion	1.0	0.5	1.1	0.9	1.0	0.3	2.3	0.8	1.4	0.6	0.0	0.6	2.1	0.8	1.9	0.9	1.3	0.6
Miller	0.5	0.8	1.3	0.8	1.2	1.6	1.7	2.2	0.8	1.1	1.1	1.2	0.8	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.3	0.9
Mississippi	1.1	1.0	0.8	1.0	0.8	0.9	1.2	1.3	0.6	1.0	0.5	0.4	0.1	0.4	0.4	0.8	0.1	0.2
Monroe	1.3	0.9	0.0	0.0	4.5	2.2	0.9	3.6	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.9	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
Montgomery	2.3	1.9	0.7	1.4	2.2	1.9	4.6	0.0	0.7	0.5	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.9	0.4	0.5
Nevada	0.8	0.7	1.4	0.3	0.8	4.2	2.0	1.4	1.1	2.3	1.5	3.2	0.8	1.0	1.1	1.0	0.7	0.0
** Cells containing the -	- symbol ind	icate an area	where data	is not availa	ble due to th	e county not	participating	g or not havir	ng enough da	ata for that ye	ear.							

		Р	ercenta	ge of Yo	outh Wh	o Used I	Bath Sa	lts, Ecst	asy or I	leroin li	n Their I	Lifetime	by Cou	nty, Cor	nt.			
County			Bath	Salts					Ecs	tasy					Her	roin		
County	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
Newton	0.7	0.9	0.6	1.8	1.7	1.0	1.7	1.7	0.0	0.7	0.9	1.0	1.4	1.3	0.0	0.7	0.8	0.0
Ouachita	0.9	1.0	1.6	0.7	1.1	1.5	1.4	1.3	1.2	0.5	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.9	0.8	0.3	0.4	0.7
Perry	0.6	0.0	0.3	0.8	1.8	0.9	0.3	0.3	0.9	1.6	0.4	0.5	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.5	0.9	0.0
Phillips	1.4	0.2	1.5	2.2	0.9	2.3	1.1	0.4	1.0	0.5	0.5	0.6	0.5	0.2	0.6	0.5	0.0	0.3
Pike	1.0	0.0	0.8	0.9	0.0	0.0	1.2	0.8	1.5	0.7	0.7	0.0	0.6	0.3	0.8	0.5	0.7	0.0
Poinsett	0.2	0.5	0.7	0.3	0.3	1.1	0.7	0.9	0.7	0.3	0.8	0.7	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.3	0.5	0.5
Polk	1.6	1.2	1.3	0.9	1.2	2.0	1.4	0.7	0.9	1.3	0.4	0.7	0.8	0.9	0.6	0.4	0.4	1.3
Pope	0.8 0.8 1.0 1.1 1.2 2.0 1.7 1.3 0.8 0.8 1.1 1.2 1.1 0.6 1.0 0.4 0.7   0.6 0.0 0.6 0.8 0.0 0.0 2.2 0.7 1.9 1.2 0.0 0.0 0.3 0.6 0.0 0.4 0.0   1.0 1.1 1.2 0.0 0.0 0.3 0.6 0.0 0.4 0.0															0.7		
Prairie	0.8 0.8 1.0 1.1 1.2 2.0 1.7 1.3 0.6 0.6 1.1 1.2 1.1 0.6 1.0 0.4 0.7   0.6 0.0 0.6 0.8 0.0 0.0 2.2 0.7 1.9 1.2 0.0 0.0 0.3 0.6 0.0 0.4 0.0   1.2 1.1 1.3 1.4 1.8 1.7 1.5 1.3 0.8 0.8 0.7 0.9 0.8 0.8 0.4 0.0															0.0		
Pulaski	0.6   0.0   0.6   0.8   0.0   0.0   2.2   0.7   1.9   1.2   0.0   0.0   0.3   0.6   0.0   0.4     1.2   1.1   1.3   1.4   1.8   1.7   1.7   1.5   1.3   0.8   0.8   0.7   0.9   0.8   0.8   0.4														0.6	0.6		
Randolph	0.7	0.0 0														0.9		
Saint Francis	0.9	0.8	0.0		0.3	0.9	0.7	0.4	0.0		0.0	0.6	0.5	0.4	0.0		0.0	0.0
Saline	0.9	1.1	1.3	1.3	1.8	1.5	1.8	0.8	1.2	1.2	0.9	0.4	0.9	0.3	0.7	0.7	0.6	0.1
Scott	1.2	1.8		0.6	1.0	0.7	0.6	1.2		0.3	1.7	0.7	0.9	0.9		0.3	0.7	0.0
Searcy	1.2	0.9	0.0	0.7	1.0	1.4	0.9	1.8	0.9	0.3	0.7	0.9	0.9	0.3	0.6	0.7	1.1	0.0
Sebastian	1.0	0.9	1.3	1.1	0.9	1.2	2.2	1.9	2.0	1.4	0.7	1.4	1.4	1.0	1.2	1.0	0.5	0.7
Sevier	0.6	1.4	0.8	0.6		1.9	1.1	0.7	0.7	0.7		1.3	1.1	0.4	0.8	0.4		0.7
Sharp	0.8	1.2	1.0	1.0	1.2	2.2	1.5	2.0	1.4	1.6	0.8	1.6	0.9	1.5	1.1	1.4	1.0	0.9
Stone	0.5	0.8	0.6	1.2	1.6	0.9	1.3	0.5	1.2	0.6	1.1	0.9	0.2	0.3	0.9	0.3	1.1	0.6
Union	1.3	1.1	1.1	1.0	1.5	1.6	1.3	1.6	1.0	1.7	1.9	1.2	0.6	0.9	0.7	1.1	0.6	0.8
Van Buren	0.9	1.3	1.1	0.2	1.0	1.1	1.5	1.8	0.2	0.7	1.0	0.4	1.1	1.6	0.0	0.9	1.2	0.4
Washington	1.3	1.2	1.1	1.3	1.7	1.4	2.1	1.5	1.1	1.2	1.0	0.8	1.1	0.8	0.6	0.5	0.6	0.6
White	0.6	0.8	1.0	1.1	1.5	1.0	2.0	1.5	1.3	1.3	1.0	1.4	0.9	0.9	0.7	0.7	0.6	0.8
Woodruff	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.6	1.6	0.0	1.9	0.7	0.8	0.0	1.1	0.0	0.6	1.4	0.8	0.6
Yell	1.2	0.3	0.8	1.7	1.1	1.7	2.3	0.6	3.1	0.7	0.4	0.3	0.7	0.3	0.8	0.0	0.4	1.7
** Cells containing the	- symbol ind	icate an area	a where data	is not availa	ble due to th	e county not	participating	g or not havir	ng enough da	ata for that y	ear.							

	Percer	ntage	of You	th Wh	o Use	d Pre	scripti	on Dr	ugs, C	)ver-T	he-Co	unter	Drugs	, Alco	pops	or Any	y Drug	j In Th	eir Lif	etime	by Co	ounty		
County		Pre	script	ion Dr	ugs		(	Over-T	he-Co	ounter	Drug	S			Alco	pops					Any	Drug		
County	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
Arkansas	9.5	5.6	8.7	4.5	5.0	7.0	2.3	2.5	3.6	1.3	2.0	2.0	31.1	28.0	30.4	25.1	21.9	21.3	28.5	24.1	25.7	18.9	20.7	24.3
Ashley	6.3	4.4	7.2	10.6	7.0	6.8	3.9	2.0	3.1	3.4	2.6	2.8	25.4	22.1	24.1	29.9	21.6	14.5	19.3	18.5	20.8	26.5	18.7	17.0
Baxter	8.7	8.6	10.9	9.4	6.8	6.8	4.3	4.2	3.9	3.7	3.2	2.4	21.4	21.4	23.8	23.0	18.4	14.6	21.7	22.0	26.3	23.4	17.5	19.3
Benton	7.5	7.3	6.8	7.5	8.0	7.8	3.6	3.4	2.9	2.9	3.0	3.2	21.0	19.7	17.8	16.8	18.0	17.4	22.2	20.1	19.4	19.3	21.3	21.3
Boone	7.6	6.4	6.9	6.5	7.4	8.1	4.0	3.0	3.1	2.8	3.1	2.6	22.9	18.9	22.5	20.1	20.7	19.5	20.2	18.2	20.2	18.5	21.5	21.3
Bradley	6.1	4.6	2.9	3.2	3.6	5.6	5.1	3.1	1.0	1.9	1.3	2.7	19.1	19.6	15.4	16.8	10.5	17.5	20.5	19.5	15.1	14.5	12.1	22.1
Calhoun	10.1	5.1	6.7	3.0	11.2		4.0	3.0	3.8	1.5	1.1		32.7	26.5	19.8	14.5	25.8		27.3	26.5	25.0	10.0	29.7	
Carroll	8.3 6.0 9.7 5.5 7.4 8.8 3.9 2.8 3.6 3.3 2.3 3.0 23.8 27.3 27.4 19.4 24.1 24.1 24.1 22.0 25.7 19.4 21.6 23.5   5.2 8.5 6.5 4.3 3.4 4.8 1.9 2.7 5.7 2.0 1.5 1.6 20.5 21.6 14.3 11.7 13.1 3.3 22.9 30.7 20.0 17.5 14.9 17.2   6.0 4.6 5.6 9.7 7.8 4.7 3.7 1.9 3.2 3.3 4.2 1.6 22.5 18.0 18.1 28.8 21.1 13.0 21.3 14.6 15.5 23.0 18.7 12.0																							
Chicot	5.2	8.5	6.5	4.3	3.4	4.8	1.9	2.7	5.7	2.0	1.5	1.6	20.5	21.6	14.3	11.7	13.1	3.3	22.9	30.7	20.0	17.5	14.9	17.2
Clark	6.0	4.6	5.6	9.7	7.8	4.7	3.7	1.9	3.2	3.3	4.2	1.6	22.5	18.0	18.1	28.8	21.1	13.0	21.3	14.6	15.5	23.0	18.7	12.0
Clay	10.8	6.6	7.6	7.1	6.9	8.2	6.0	2.7	3.7	5.1	3.1	3.4	29.2	23.0	24.7	23.3	21.4	17.5	26.4	22.7	21.7	19.6	18.9	19.7
Cleburne	8.2	5.9	9.1	6.5	7.6	9.8	4.0	3.3	4.4	3.6	2.7	4.4	25.1	18.6	22.3	19.0	18.4	23.3	21.2	18.2	23.0	20.5	19.4	27.7
Cleveland	2.4	3.6	8.1	5.1	3.6	7.7	1.8	2.7	3.1	3.4	2.2	2.6	23.1	21.4	19.4	17.6	15.1	20.8	11.8	18.3	18.6	16.2	14.3	17.6
Columbia	10.5	7.7	5.6	6.3	4.6	6.5	2.8	1.9	4.2	3.2	2.3	1.5	36.4	25.5	22.9	14.7	18.9	12.4	24.8	21.1	21.5	15.3	16.9	12.1
Conway	9.5	6.0	7.5	6.3	5.3	7.9	3.6	3.7	3.7	2.8	2.7	3.0	24.6	23.1	20.1	20.7	19.9	19.6	25.0	20.5	19.0	20.8	18.0	18.9
Craighead	7.2	6.3	6.6	7.7	8.0	8.0	3.6	2.8	3.0	2.9	3.1	3.1	19.5	16.0	16.3	15.8	15.1	15.1	18.3	16.5	16.9	17.9	17.0	18.7
Crawford	10.1	6.9	6.8	8.8	7.5	8.1	4.6	3.4	3.2	2.8	2.8	2.9	23.4	17.7	15.7	16.9	21.5	20.2	22.3	18.6	18.1	21.4	24.5	22.6
Crittenden			4.8	2.0					0.8	2.0					15.4	15.3					26.6	16.7		
Cross	9.1	8.2	8.8	8.9	8.9	8.8	4.7	3.1	3.1	4.4	3.3	3.4	28.4	22.6	21.2	23.0	19.4	21.3	23.4	23.2	22.6	23.6	24.2	20.4
Dallas	7.1	7.1	5.6				3.2	4.8	1.2				25.8	26.8	18.8				21.7	22.1	17.9			
Desha	4.5	7.9	6.5	3.8	6.5	6.5	3.1	5.9	2.6	1.7	1.8	2.0	27.6	28.2	19.8	16.4	15.0	18.1	21.5	27.6	21.0	20.4	19.2	21.0
Drew	8.0	5.9	6.0	7.0	5.6	7.8	3.5	3.1	2.7	3.4	2.6	3.5	18.7	17.4	20.1	14.1	19.1	16.6	21.5	20.3	22.3	20.2	18.8	24.1
Faulkner	8.5	9.0	8.5	8.1	7.1	6.8	3.3	4.4	3.1	2.7	2.5	2.8	21.0	22.3	19.3	18.0	15.3	17.3	21.5	22.4	21.4	20.4	19.8	17.9
Franklin	6.8	4.1	6.8	6.8	6.8	9.8	2.8	2.3	2.3	1.7	1.6	2.6	24.6	24.3	23.7	18.6	21.5	20.2	20.6	17.2	22.6	17.4	19.8	22.7
Fulton	7.7	3.8	6.4	6.7	11.5	3.0	3.0	2.7	3.3	1.1	1.2	3.1	29.3	22.6	23.5	18.0	19.3	20.6	23.7	12.4	17.1	12.1	20.5	12.8
** Cells containing th	ne symb	ol indicate	e an area	where da	ata is not a	available	due to the	e county n	ot particip	pating or r	not having	enough e	data for th	nat year.										

Per	centag	je of Y	outh	Who L	lsed P	rescri	ption	Drugs	s, Ove	r-The-	Count	er Dru	igs, Al	сорор	os or <i>l</i>	Any D	rug In	Their	Lifetir	ne by	Coun	ty, Co	nt.	
County		Pre	script	ion Dr	ugs		(	Over-T	he-Co	ounter	Drug	S			Alco	pops					Any	Drug		
County	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
Garland	9.7	9.4	9.7	9.3	8.1	9.9	4.3	4.1	3.3	3.6	3.2	3.9	22.2	22.4	21.2	19.9	15.9	16.9	24.9	24.2	23.5	23.0	21.3	22.8
Grant	9.3	7.9	9.3	6.3	6.7	6.5	4.2	4.1	3.0	3.2	2.1	3.4	25.8	23.8	22.2	20.3	16.1	15.9	23.4	21.1	21.3	18.9	17.4	19.0
Greene	8.2	6.6	5.7	8.0	8.5	9.1	4.8	3.2	2.5	3.7	3.6	2.9	21.8	15.8	18.5	16.6	15.4	16.1	20.0	16.4	17.6	18.2	16.5	20.2
Hempstead	6.8	6.6	6.5	5.4	8.4	7.1	3.3	3.0	3.7	4.2	2.9	2.3	23.3	22.7	24.6	15.3	20.0	13.9	22.0	21.1	25.6	17.8	22.9	22.5
Hot Spring	7.6	7.1	7.2	10.9	8.2	6.7	3.2	3.5	2.7	3.4	2.9	1.5	20.0	21.4	18.1	20.1	17.9	11.3	20.7	20.8	22.4	23.1	21.8	16.5
Howard	4.1	6.2	5.1	2.7	4.7	5.7	2.0	3.5	2.1	2.3	3.4	3.4	22.4	25.4	16.1	15.6	19.7	21.0	18.7	20.7	17.6	10.9	16.0	21.5
Independence	8.6	7.0	8.9	7.0	5.8	8.3	3.9	3.4	3.7	2.7	2.8	3.6	21.2	24.2	22.5	21.5	15.5	16.6	19.6	19.6	20.7	19.0	17.7	19.2
Izard	7.6	7.6	6.3	7.0	9.5	6.7	3.0 3.6 2.6 2.1 4.5 2.0 26.2 23.6 26.3 20.8 29.4 21.3 21.8 20.6 19.4 16.1 27.0 21   4.0 7.0 2.6 2.7 2.0 2.2 24.3 23.1 22.7 18.0 14.4 13.9 23.3 24.4 26.6 17.0 15.7 15.7													21.2				
Jackson	7.2	8.0	7.0	5.2	5.9	5.5	4.0	7.0	2.6	2.7	2.0	2.2	24.3	23.1	22.7	18.0	14.4	13.9	23.3	24.4	26.6	17.0	15.7	15.4
Jefferson	4.8	5.6	5.7	10.2	3.8	5.6	2.7	2.9	2.8	5.2	1.9	1.7	20.2	19.3	17.3	22.9	7.8	15.7	21.0	22.6	19.4	23.6	18.7	22.1
Johnson	8.5	6.3	12.0	6.5	5.2	5.6	3.7	3.5	4.2	2.8	2.6	2.6	20.4	17.5	26.9	16.7	14.8	13.3	20.0	18.4	27.4	18.5	17.4	17.8
Lafayette	5.8		3.1	0.0		6.1	2.7		2.3	0.0		3.6	22.5		10.1	21.7		12.0	23.3		15.2	12.2		19.3
Lawrence	9.6	6.2	7.0	4.8	6.7	5.6	3.1	2.9	3.0	2.4	2.9	1.0	27.3	19.8	22.6	15.9	17.6	14.9	20.8	17.5	17.5	12.4	14.4	11.7
Lee	3.3	0.0	0.8	0.0	4.0	2.6	0.8	0.6	0.0	0.0	1.0	2.6	9.1	10.8	6.2	3.6	11.1	5.3	8.1	15.4	15.4	3.0	20.0	5.3
Lincoln	7.6	8.5				7.7	4.6	4.4				2.6	28.4	28.4				17.5	21.1	22.4				19.7
Little River	7.2	9.6	8.5	7.8	5.0	6.7	4.8	3.9	2.5	4.9	3.5	4.1	28.1	32.1	25.1	24.5	23.3	21.9	21.2	26.2	20.5	23.3	19.5	22.1
Logan	5.9	8.9	6.0	6.4	7.8	4.9	2.5	3.2	3.3	2.7	2.3	2.3	25.3	29.5	21.9	21.5	21.6	13.6	19.0	23.7	18.2	18.5	21.2	15.9
Lonoke	8.4	7.8	8.8	7.5	6.8	9.2	3.3	3.2	3.5	2.9	3.3	3.5	21.8	20.8	19.6	18.3	16.2	17.7	21.8	20.4	20.7	23.9	17.4	22.9
Madison	12.1	10.2	9.4	9.8	3.9	9.9	5.8	5.5	3.2	4.6	2.4	3.5	30.1	27.4	24.4	23.7	12.5	22.7	26.3	25.9	24.6	24.1	12.2	23.3
Marion	9.2	7.6	10.3	6.5	7.3	3.6	5.1	3.1	4.5	1.5	4.3	0.9	27.1	21.0	21.2	19.8	21.5	17.1	25.3	20.7	24.1	17.5	25.2	19.7
Miller	8.9	9.5	7.9	8.2	7.0	8.4	4.2	4.3	3.2	3.3	3.2	2.1	24.9	24.5	22.7	18.3	15.2	19.4	25.9	29.0	25.8	21.9	19.1	21.3
Mississippi	7.2	6.9	7.5	7.0	5.9	5.8	2.7	4.4	2.4	3.5	2.0	1.9	17.2	20.1	17.4	15.0	12.0	9.9	20.7	22.8	19.1	18.8	16.0	15.3
Monroe	7.1	11.9	4.2	4.7	4.5	4.4	1.8	4.5	1.4	3.5	2.3	1.1	24.7	32.4	15.3	9.3	16.9	7.8	29.1	27.0	20.8	21.6	26.7	17.6
Montgomery	10.0	1.9	11.3	8.7	8.4	6.2	6.2	1.0	4.3	3.7	3.1	1.9	24.6	18.8	25.7	18.3	17.9	12.3	22.7	17.3	24.5	22.4	21.3	15.0
Nevada	5.7	6.6	8.0	3.5	6.5	8.5	2.9	2.1	3.3	3.5	3.4	5.3	18.4	21.8	23.4	18.1	14.8	22.1	16.1	21.1	22.6	20.4	17.2	26.3
** Cells containing th	ne symb	ol indicat	e an area	where da	ata is not a	available	due to the	e county n	ot particip	pating or r	not having	enough	data for th	at year.										

Per	centag	je of Y	outh \	Nho U	Jsed P	rescri	ption	Drugs	, Ove	r-The-	Count	er Dru	igs, Al	copo	os or <i>l</i>	Any D	rug In	Their	Lifetin	ne by	Coun	ty, Co	nt.	
County		Pre	scripti	on Dr	ugs		(	Over-T	he-Co	ounter	Drug	S			Alco	pops					Any	Drug		
County	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
Newton	9.5	5.6	1.8	5.1	5.0	5.6	3.7	2.1	1.2	2.6	2.1	3.1	27.2	21.5	15.7	18.7	9.7	10.8	24.7	16.5	16.6	18.4	15.6	15.4
Ouachita	7.3	5.9	8.7	6.5	6.4	6.5	4.6	4.2	3.9	2.1	1.7	3.0	23.2	19.0	19.9	17.2	14.7	15.8	25.8	20.6	25.9	18.8	18.2	19.9
Perry	4.7	4.4	5.2	9.6	5.3	7.2	1.9	1.3	2.6	2.5	2.7	2.3	16.2	13.1	17.7	21.2	17.7	20.4	15.3	10.6	15.3	18.9	13.7	19.9
Phillips	5.6	6.4	5.9	6.1	6.6	5.7	2.7	1.1	3.2	1.5	3.7	1.2	19.8	17.6	16.9	14.3	14.9	8.4	19.0	18.7	23.1	20.1	18.5	15.9
Pike	5.9	6.0	6.1	7.9	7.4	4.8	2.6	1.3	1.9	3.1	4.4	1.4	22.5	25.7	23.6	22.1	23.7	16.4	17.7	18.3	17.4	18.4	21.0	12.3
Poinsett	9.7	6.3	7.4	6.9	7.6	9.3	4.0	2.8	1.8	2.8	2.6	3.5	25.3	17.2	18.9	18.5	18.2	19.7	22.5	18.1	19.2	20.0	19.2	23.5
Polk	6.7	7.0	5.8	6.3	6.2	7.7	3.3	4.3	2.6	2.9	2.8	2.8	23.4	26.1	17.5	19.5	21.2	21.1	21.0	22.8	19.4	18.5	23.8	22.1
Pope	7.5	6.6	6.3	6.0	6.3	8.3	3.7	3.3	2.7	2.8	2.6	3.1	23.3	19.4	19.5	16.8	16.4	14.6	20.4	18.9	18.6	19.0	19.5	19.1
Prairie	8.8	6.5	14.1	7.8	5.7	1.4	3.5	2.0	3.2	3.5	0.0	0.7	29.2	20.3	36.9	26.4	25.0	15.2	28.2	18.8	31.2	23.8	17.9	11.5
Pulaski	7.8	7.3	7.6	6.1	6.1	6.8	3.6	3.6	3.3	2.8	2.6	2.7	19.6	18.6	17.6	13.7	14.2	12.1	27.1	26.2	25.8	22.5	23.2	21.4
Randolph	6.7	7.8	7.0	8.8	6.4	4.8	2.9	4.5	2.6	2.8	2.5	3.6	28.3	19.0	23.4	26.4	14.7	17.9	16.3	18.5	18.6	18.7	15.1	17.1
Saint Francis	3.7	2.5	4.0		5.1	2.8	2.3	1.0	4.0		1.8	0.3	15.8	15.8	12.0		9.5	6.2	18.6	15.8	12.0		22.0	14.0
Saline	10.2	5.2	8.9	8.2	7.2	4.5	4.5	2.6	3.4	3.5	3.3	1.7	25.6	15.6	21.4	19.1	18.4	9.8	22.6	15.8	21.8	19.7	20.7	12.7
Scott	3.9	7.1		5.5	7.9	4.9	2.4	5.0		3.0	3.1	2.6	20.1	20.0		20.2	22.7	16.3	18.2	22.3		17.4	21.1	18.8
Searcy	10.6	5.2	6.2	4.7	6.3	2.7	4.1	1.2	3.1	2.4	2.1	0.9	29.7	19.6	22.9	23.6	20.1	11.8	25.5	16.0	20.1	18.1	21.3	11.8
Sebastian	7.8	6.8	7.7	8.4	7.3	9.2	3.7	3.3	2.9	4.0	2.6	3.2	22.2	18.3	18.3	20.0	16.1	20.0	24.8	21.1	23.4	22.8	21.9	24.6
Sevier	11.9	4.8	5.7	6.7		6.5	5.6	2.9	2.6	3.0		3.2	37.1	23.7	24.1	22.8		14.4	29.8	19.9	18.0	20.2		18.2
Sharp	10.3	8.6	9.3	10.3	7.9	10.6	3.8	5.6	4.5	3.9	3.6	2.9	25.8	24.8	26.9	29.5	21.6	24.5	23.1	21.7	20.3	25.7	18.8	24.8
Stone	5.0	5.6	6.3	6.3	4.4	9.7	4.3	3.1	3.4	3.3	2.2	3.7	23.8	24.9	21.6	21.2	16.9	18.9	21.5	25.8	22.7	19.6	16.9	22.7
Union	7.6	7.8	9.5	7.5	10.2	9.1	3.6	3.3	3.1	3.3	3.5	3.3	23.0	24.2	24.3	22.1	24.0	18.8	24.5	24.1	24.3	22.1	27.7	24.3
Van Buren	6.3	6.3	5.9	6.5	7.9	4.9	2.4	3.1	2.0	3.9	2.9	1.9	19.2	19.3	17.3	14.7	22.2	12.2	19.4	19.8	18.3	14.2	20.9	12.2
Washington	7.9	6.8	6.6	6.3	5.5	5.8	3.4	3.2	2.8	2.3	2.3	2.4	20.3	17.3	15.7	15.7	13.3	12.7	22.8	20.6	19.4	18.9	17.5	18.2
White	9.6	8.2	9.0	8.2	9.2	7.2	4.7	4.2	4.3	3.7	3.3	3.0	24.5	22.5	20.4	19.4	19.2	17.5	22.9	20.9	21.6	19.3	20.9	19.3
Woodruff	6.9	7.8	9.8	7.7	6.9	9.6	1.6	2.6	2.5	2.8	0.8	4.2	24.7	32.9	27.0	28.2	24.4	25.3	19.9	20.3	20.1	21.0	19.1	23.4
Yell	7.1	6.0	8.3	7.7	3.0	6.6	3.5	3.2	3.8	3.1	1.1	2.4	28.9	18.8	20.5	20.3	12.3	14.8	21.2	17.3	22.0	23.2	14.7	18.2
** Cells containing th	ne symb	ol indicat	e an area	where da	ata is not a	available	due to the	county n	ot particip	pating or r	not having	enough (	data for th	nat year.										

	P	Percenta	ge of Yo	outh Wh	o Used	Alcohol	, Cigare	ttes or S	Smokele	ess Toba	acco Du	ring the	Past 30	Days b	y Count	ÿ		
County			Alco	ohol					Ciga	rettes				Sr	nokeles	s Tobac	со	
County	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
Arkansas	20.4	14.3	25.0	14.9	18.5	17.8	13.3	10.6	14.4	7.8	8.0	8.9	4.8	5.5	11.0	6.0	4.2	5.7
Ashley	19.4	17.0	18.9	23.3	13.5	10.0	11.5	9.4	11.8	14.4	7.7	6.0	7.3	7.1	8.0	9.8	5.8	4.7
Baxter	13.4	13.5	14.8	15.0	10.4	9.7	8.9	9.3	12.0	8.5	7.1	5.3	6.1	6.2	7.0	5.6	4.1	3.7
Benton	12.5	11.8	12.0	10.8	11.9	11.7	6.0	5.3	5.6	4.9	4.9	4.0	4.1	3.8	3.5	2.8	3.5	2.7
Boone	11.0	10.9	12.6	11.2	12.6	12.1	10.2	9.0	7.9	6.8	8.9	6.8	6.1	6.3	6.5	6.6	4.9	6.0
Bradley	13.2	12.8	18.1	10.0	9.5	13.3	10.8	8.4	6.5	7.6	5.3	5.9	6.6	7.0	7.5	4.1	3.1	4.4
Calhoun	19.4	23.8	18.7	5.7	16.5		10.8	12.1	6.3	2.9	1.1		10.8	14.2	9.9	5.6	10.9	
Carroll	14.3	13.9	18.6	13.8	13.8	16.3	7.6	7.6	7.3	5.9	6.9	7.6	6.8	8.5	6.9	5.5	5.4	7.4
Chicot	11.1	14.7	8.3	5.0	6.1	1.6	5.4	6.0	1.7	1.6	1.8	1.5	3.9	3.8	3.4	1.3	1.3	0.0
Clark	13.7	13.9	11.0	20.7	10.6	8.6	7.9	6.7	6.2	10.1	5.2	3.9	5.0	4.8	3.6	8.4	2.3	5.2
Clay	17.0	16.4	17.4	13.0	11.0	10.5	13.6	12.7	11.6	10.1	8.7	5.3	9.7	8.6	7.9	9.2	7.7	4.8
Cleburne	15.5	11.6	16.7	12.5	14.6	15.4	11.1	9.1	11.9	7.5	9.5	10.6	7.8	11.2	9.7	7.8	6.0	7.2
Cleveland	17.2	11.4	13.0	12.8	10.7	13.2	10.1	7.7	6.8	8.7	7.1	9.2	8.3	5.1	6.8	5.7	5.0	5.5
Columbia	23.8	15.9	15.3	11.1	10.1	9.3	17.6	14.0	9.0	1.9	5.9	3.6	13.7	8.6	4.1	3.9	5.9	2.2
Conway	16.5	12.9	13.7	11.6	10.7	12.8	9.7	9.8	8.1	7.2	5.8	7.4	5.6	6.0	7.5	7.0	6.6	6.3
Craighead	11.5	9.6	10.3	10.8	10.0	9.3	7.6	6.8	6.3	6.0	5.7	5.3	4.8	4.8	4.2	4.1	3.4	4.0
Crawford	13.1	9.2	7.9	10.8	12.4	13.5	9.1	7.3	5.6	7.4	7.0	6.6	7.9	4.9	4.3	6.9	7.2	6.5
Crittenden			11.0	7.9					2.3	1.0					0.0	1.9		
Cross	16.8	14.7	12.4	15.6	13.7	13.5	8.7	10.0	7.9	7.9	7.0	5.5	5.5	6.9	5.2	6.8	6.9	5.8
Dallas	14.6	21.5	13.0				10.0	11.9	7.9				11.2	7.3	5.5			
Desha	19.0	19.8	14.3	14.3	11.8	14.4	8.6	16.1	10.6	11.4	7.4	7.9	5.1	9.8	6.1	6.4	2.8	7.5
Drew	13.7	10.8	13.3	8.9	11.4	13.1	11.6	8.5	7.6	6.5	4.7	8.9	5.5	5.9	6.0	5.7	5.1	6.5
Faulkner	13.8	13.4	11.5	12.2	10.2	10.8	8.1	7.4	6.6	4.6	4.6	5.0	6.2	5.5	5.5	4.4	3.9	4.6
Franklin	15.0	13.1	15.0	11.2	11.6	14.5	10.3	8.8	13.2	5.5	7.5	6.0	8.2	10.1	15.3	6.4	6.6	5.8
Fulton	14.5	8.9	13.5	11.0	13.3	13.0	14.2	6.0	9.2	10.2	10.1	6.7	10.2	9.7	6.4	5.1	6.7	3.7
** Cells containing the	- symbol ind	icate an area	a where data	is not availa	ble due to th	e county not	participating	g or not havii	ng enough da	ata for that y	ear.							

	Perc	entage	of Youth	ו Who U	sed Alc	ohol, Ci	garettes	s or Smo	okeless	Tobacc	o Durin	g the Pa	st 30 Da	iys by C	ounty, C	Cont.		
County			Alco	ohol					Ciga	rettes				Sr	nokeles	s Tobac	со	
County	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
Garland	13.9	13.9	14.7	12.2	10.4	11.4	9.2	7.2	6.8	5.2	4.3	5.7	6.3	6.5	5.3	4.7	3.8	4.3
Grant	17.1	14.1	13.9	13.2	10.9	9.8	11.5	10.4	8.5	7.0	6.5	8.3	10.4	9.2	9.2	6.8	6.0	6.1
Greene	12.3	9.7	13.1	10.9	8.7	10.6	9.8	7.8	7.6	7.1	5.6	7.7	6.3	6.2	6.7	6.2	4.3	3.8
Hempstead	15.2	17.1	18.5	9.6	16.8	11.3	7.9	7.8	11.0	5.6	7.1	5.1	6.7	3.5	7.2	3.4	3.9	1.2
Hot Spring	12.8	14.5	11.8	14.0	12.0	9.4	8.0	7.0	7.2	9.1	6.5	5.8	5.8	7.6	6.7	7.2	5.3	6.4
Howard	14.1	17.0	11.5	9.9	13.4	12.5	12.7	10.7	9.2	5.2	10.1	4.1	11.4	10.6	8.2	6.3	14.9	2.7
Independence	12.5	14.9	14.3	14.5	9.8	10.3	10.9	9.0	10.8	8.4	7.4	7.8	9.3	9.3	8.4	7.2	6.9	6.7
Izard	14.5	13.7	16.8	16.0	18.2	14.3	11.6	10.8	12.6	9.6	13.7	15.6	13.0	10.8	11.9	8.4	13.9	14.2
Jackson	14.8	.8 13.8 14.7 11.1 8.8 12.1 13.7 11.4 9.8 6.3 4.7 6.6 9.3 6.4 9.1 7.5 3.4 7.0   .4 12.2 12.0 17.2 6.5 9.0 6.5 7.8 7.3 9.5 3.5 4.6 4.9 4.3 5.1 6.4 2.3 4.8														7.0		
Jefferson	13.4	13.6 14.7 11.1 6.6 12.1 13.7 11.4 9.6 6.5 4.7 6.6 9.3 6.4 9.1 7.3 3.4 7.0   12.2 12.0 17.2 6.5 9.0 6.5 7.8 7.3 9.5 3.5 4.6 4.9 4.3 5.1 6.4 2.3 4.8   10.6 15.0 0.0 8.6 8.7 8.2 6.6 12.7 5.8 4.5 2.0 4.0 5.2 6.7 2.0 2.2 2.2													4.8			
Johnson	12.4	10.6	15.9	9.9	8.6	8.7	8.3	6.6	13.7	5.8	4.5	3.0	4.9	5.2	6.7	3.9	2.2	2.2
Lafayette	16.6		5.4	18.8		8.4	14.2		6.6	18.2		2.4	9.5		2.9	12.7		6.1
Lawrence	18.5	12.2	14.3	8.5	9.7	8.1	13.6	9.0	11.6	6.8	8.6	5.6	10.2	8.4	7.7	5.2	6.9	6.3
Lee	4.8	8.3	7.8	6.1	11.1	5.3	1.5	1.7	3.8	0.0	2.8	5.1	1.5	1.7	2.3	5.3	1.9	2.6
Lincoln	14.1	19.9				13.2	8.0	12.3				7.7	8.2	9.1				7.6
Little River	18.9	21.8	18.9	19.1	13.0	12.0	10.4	14.6	12.9	11.3	9.1	8.5	8.7	9.9	10.8	10.6	9.8	6.6
Logan	14.2	19.3	12.4	14.2	13.1	9.4	8.5	10.3	7.9	7.5	6.5	7.5	9.5	6.9	9.2	8.9	7.1	8.0
Lonoke	14.4	12.3	12.5	14.9	11.1	14.8	9.0	7.7	7.2	8.6	6.7	7.5	6.3	6.3	6.0	5.8	5.5	2.7
Madison	18.2	17.8	14.7	15.8	6.7	17.4	10.2	8.4	9.4	9.8	4.2	8.1	9.2	9.9	9.2	8.9	5.1	7.4
Marion	16.9	14.0	16.1	10.0	14.3	10.9	15.6	12.5	11.8	9.3	12.8	8.9	10.5	5.4	8.2	7.0	3.6	7.7
Miller	14.3	17.0	16.8	14.0	9.7	11.6	8.8	10.7	8.9	7.3	4.6	4.9	4.6	7.6	6.6	6.7	3.3	4.9
Mississippi	10.3	12.2	10.0	8.5	8.3	5.6	6.2	7.3	5.9	5.1	5.0	3.6	4.0	5.1	5.8	4.4	5.0	3.0
Monroe	14.8	23.4	6.9	9.1	11.1	4.4	13.2	11.6	7.4	7.8	4.3	5.6	3.8	3.3	1.2	1.1	4.3	2.3
Montgomery	16.9	20.2	13.2	10.6	14.3	8.5	19.7	15.0	15.2	7.1	10.1	6.6	18.2	17.0	8.6	2.7	7.0	7.0
Nevada	7.7	14.5	15.9	13.2	11.5	16.8	7.4	9.8	9.9	7.3	6.4	19.8	5.5	5.8	6.4	8.9	6.0	10.5
** Cells containing the -	- symbol ind	icate an area	a where data	is not availa	ble due to th	e county not	participating	or not havir	ng enough da	ata for that y	ear.							

	Perc	entage	of Youth	ו Who U	sed Alc	ohol, Ci	garettes	s or Smo	okeless	Tobacc	o During	g the Pa	st 30 Da	ys by C	ounty, C	Cont.		
County			Alco	ohol					Ciga	rettes				Sr	nokeles	s Tobac	со	
County	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
Newton	17.7	12.2	7.7	12.3	8.3	9.7	13.1	11.2	5.2	8.4	6.1	3.6	10.7	10.8	4.7	5.4	5.3	3.7
Ouachita	13.7	11.5	14.5	10.6	11.2	11.6	9.6	7.6	7.6	5.5	5.9	4.7	7.4	6.1	6.2	4.7	5.2	5.1
Perry	9.1	9.2	11.9	13.2	12.8	13.7	6.4	6.6	6.0	7.6	6.5	7.7	4.0	4.2	5.4	6.3	6.5	8.2
Phillips	13.9	12.1	12.3	10.4	11.5	7.6	5.7	6.3	6.6	3.7	3.5	3.1	4.7	3.2	3.8	3.0	4.5	5.6
Pike	12.7	11.2	13.3	14.3	13.0	11.0	7.6	9.2	7.4	7.6	5.1	7.2	7.6	11.7	7.0	7.2	12.9	6.0
Poinsett	17.4	10.3	12.1	9.7	11.6	13.8	14.6	10.3	9.3	8.7	8.4	10.0	9.4	5.7	4.7	5.6	3.0	5.7
Polk	15.9	17.1	12.6	12.1	13.2	13.5	9.2	14.1	6.4	9.0	8.5	7.0	7.0	10.4	7.0	7.3	7.2	7.4
Pope	14.7	12.1	13.1	11.1	11.3	9.0	9.0	6.0	6.7	5.8	5.7	4.8	6.8	5.6	6.5	5.0	4.4	3.4
Prairie	22.2	2 13.0 22.3 15.6 10.7 11.0 12.3 12.4 10.2 13.3 3.6 7.1 11.7 2.6 8.9 10.6 5.0 5.7   1 12.4 12.2 10.0 9.4 8.3 6.4 5.8 4.3 3.6 3.1 2.5 3.0 2.7 2.4 2.3 2.0 1.9														5.7		
Pulaski	12.1	12.4	12.2	10.0	9.4	8.3	6.4	5.8	4.3	3.6	3.1	2.5	3.0	2.7	2.4	2.3	2.0	1.9
Randolph	16.7	14.0	15.8	18.3	10.6	13.1	14.1	12.4	11.0	11.5	5.9	8.9	12.7	11.2	11.0	8.9	6.4	7.4
Saint Francis	9.6	8.9	6.0		9.9	6.4	3.7	4.3	2.0		2.3	2.6	2.0	2.6	0.0		0.9	2.9
Saline	18.1	8.8	14.0	13.1	12.0	5.8	10.4	6.3	7.5	5.4	5.8	2.8	6.8	5.3	5.1	3.9	3.8	2.4
Scott	13.4	13.3		11.8	11.5	11.7	7.7	10.9		5.4	9.1	7.8	10.1	9.4		7.2	9.4	8.5
Searcy	17.6	10.4	10.6	15.4	12.5	9.5	14.5	9.9	8.7	7.3	8.2	4.8	8.1	8.6	8.9	8.4	8.5	3.9
Sebastian	14.9	12.3	12.8	13.5	11.8	14.4	7.2	6.6	6.1	6.3	3.9	4.9	4.2	4.2	4.3	3.7	2.5	2.6
Sevier	24.7	16.2	15.4	16.4		11.7	22.2	7.6	8.0	7.0		5.7	17.8	5.4	7.2	5.5		6.9
Sharp	17.8	14.0	17.1	15.8	10.5	15.3	12.6	11.0	9.5	12.3	8.5	10.8	11.1	10.7	9.7	9.0	7.7	8.2
Stone	13.2	14.5	16.6	11.8	9.3	13.7	12.4	14.9	12.4	9.2	10.4	10.3	6.9	10.1	8.4	6.9	7.4	9.7
Union	16.5	15.1	16.8	16.0	15.9	14.6	9.7	9.3	9.5	9.3	9.6	6.9	7.0	4.8	5.8	5.9	5.8	5.4
Van Buren	14.7	12.5	12.9	8.8	14.7	6.9	7.7	8.1	8.5	5.5	10.4	5.0	8.6	8.4	9.6	5.5	10.0	5.4
Washington	13.1	11.1	10.2	10.5	9.9	9.5	5.6	5.5	4.6	3.5	3.7	3.3	4.8	3.8	3.3	3.2	3.4	2.5
White	14.5	12.8	13.4	11.4	12.6	11.8	10.8	8.5	7.8	6.7	6.4	6.8	8.1	7.1	7.3	6.2	5.4	4.9
Woodruff	11.6	9.2	19.8	21.7	13.2	16.3	6.7	14.4	13.9	14.7	8.3	11.0	6.2	10.5	8.9	10.4	6.2	10.9
Yell	18.1	11.0	14.5	12.5	7.7	12.7	9.2	7.4	9.4	5.3	1.8	3.1	7.4	8.0	9.4	4.7	2.9	3.4
** Cells containing the -	- symbol indi	icate an area	a where data	is not availa	ble due to th	e county not	participating	or not havir	ng enough da	ata for that y	ear.							

		Percer	ntage of	Youth \	Who Use	ed Marij	uana, In	halants	or Hallu	icinogei	ns Durir	ng the Pa	ast 30 D	ays by (	County			
County			Marij	uana					Inha	lants					Halluci	nogens		
County	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
Arkansas	10.0	9.3	10.1	6.3	9.6	8.1	2.6	1.5	3.4	1.3	1.3	1.8	0.0	0.5	0.3	0.5	0.3	0.4
Ashley	6.5	4.0	6.6	8.7	4.3	3.1	0.9	2.2	3.1	1.4	2.3	3.4	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.8
Baxter	5.7	6.5	9.4	8.7	7.2	6.7	2.3	2.1	1.6	1.9	1.5	1.3	0.3	0.6	0.8	0.2	0.6	1.0
Benton	6.7	7.3	7.1	6.9	7.5	7.1	1.9	1.6	1.7	1.2	0.9	1.0	0.3	0.6	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.8
Boone	6.3	5.5	5.5	4.4	7.1	7.6	2.0	1.2	1.6	1.5	1.5	1.3	0.7	0.2	0.4	0.3	0.9	0.4
Bradley	5.1	7.2	7.5	7.2	4.5	8.2	3.6	1.6	0.0	1.0	0.8	2.6	0.5	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Calhoun	1.0	6.1	8.3	0.0	6.7		4.0	3.0	0.9	0.0	4.5		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Carroll	8.4	8.6	9.9	5.7	8.6	7.4	2.7	1.4	1.5	1.4	2.1	1.6	0.2	0.1	0.7	0.2	0.7	0.9
Chicot	7.4	7.4 10.3 6.4 4.5 3.3 3.1 2.2 2.3 0.9 2.8 2.4 0.0 1.1 0.0 0.9   6.6 2.4 5.5 5.7 5.1 3.5 2.2 1.5 2.8 1.8 2.9 0.5 0.5 0.3 0.4													0.0	0.0	0.0	
Clark	6.6	2.4	5.5	5.7	5.1	3.5	2.2	1.5	2.8	1.8	2.9	0.5	0.5	0.3	0.4	0.7	0.2	0.0
Clay	9.5	7.0	7.6	7.6	4.2	5.2	2.7	2.5	3.1	1.6	1.8	1.7	0.9	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.6	0.4
Cleburne	9.2	5.6	6.0	7.0	7.8	9.1	2.5	2.8	2.6	2.4	2.1	1.9	0.4	0.1	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.6
Cleveland	3.0	1.8	3.8	3.7	2.9	5.0	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.7	1.4	1.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.0	0.6
Columbia	3.5	3.3	4.9	2.0	2.3	1.4	2.8	1.4	1.4	1.0	0.5	1.4	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Conway	9.4	6.2	7.0	4.2	7.0	5.3	2.0	1.5	2.3	2.1	1.1	1.7	0.3	0.3	0.6	0.2	0.2	0.5
Craighead	5.5	4.9	4.4	5.2	5.1	4.8	1.8	1.3	1.6	1.3	1.3	1.6	0.6	0.2	0.4	0.6	0.4	0.4
Crawford	6.7	6.3	4.7	4.5	5.8	6.8	1.9	2.0	1.3	3.0	1.6	1.7	0.5	0.2	0.4	0.3	0.5	0.5
Crittenden			8.6	5.0					2.4	2.0					0.8	0.0		
Cross	8.7	8.7	5.7	6.2	7.8	4.4	2.7	3.4	2.1	2.5	2.7	1.9	0.4	0.2	0.7	0.4	0.4	0.5
Dallas	5.1	8.8	6.8				1.9	2.3	1.2				0.0	0.0	0.6			
Desha	6.9	6.9	7.8	4.6	8.2	6.0	2.2	2.5	3.0	1.3	1.1	2.8	0.2	0.5	0.2	0.0	0.7	0.4
Drew	6.7	6.8	7.5	6.6	6.1	7.9	2.5	2.0	2.5	1.5	1.9	2.2	0.1	0.2	0.4	0.5	0.4	0.2
Faulkner	7.7	7.8	7.7	6.9	6.8	4.9	1.7	1.4	1.5	1.7	1.4	1.3	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.5
Franklin	5.7	4.3	4.6	3.2	4.8	7.0	3.1	1.9	3.0	2.2	1.8	0.9	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.4	0.4	0.2
Fulton	4.7	3.2	4.7	3.3	3.7	6.9	4.7	1.6	0.6	1.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.2	0.8
** Cells containing the	symbol ind	licate an area	a where data	a is not availa	able due to th	ne county no	t participatin	g or not havi	ng enough d	ata for that y	rear.							

	P	ercentaç	ge of Yo	uth Who	Used I	Marijuan	a, Inhal	ants or	Hallucin	ogens l	During t	he Past	30 Days	s by Cou	unty, Co	nt.		
Country			Marij	uana					Inha	lants					Halluci	nogens		
County	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
Garland	8.1	8.4	7.8	7.4	7.1	7.9	2.3	2.3	1.7	1.8	2.0	2.6	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.6	0.5	0.4
Grant	9.0	6.5	6.2	5.5	5.9	6.0	1.9	2.0	1.5	1.8	0.8	1.6	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.9	0.7
Greene	6.6	4.3	5.0	5.1	3.7	5.2	1.8	1.6	2.0	1.8	1.8	1.3	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.6	0.3	0.7
Hempstead	4.9	5.2	8.6	4.9	10.4	9.0	1.7	2.5	2.7	2.4	3.1	2.6	0.3	0.3	0.5	0.4	0.8	0.0
Hot Spring	7.4	6.5	6.5	8.7	6.5	6.7	1.9	2.3	2.3	2.6	1.9	2.6	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.6	0.1	0.4
Howard	4.7	4.4	6.0	2.3	2.0	6.2	2.7	1.3	1.7	0.7	0.7	0.8	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.0	0.0
Independence	4.8	5.2	6.2	5.1	4.7	5.0	2.5	2.8	1.7	2.0	2.1	1.8	0.2	0.4	0.4	0.7	0.7	0.2
Izard	5.7	3.8	5.8	4.4	9.1	4.5	3.0	3.2	1.6	2.1	3.0	1.5	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.5	0.6	0.5
Jackson	7.7	7.2 7.0 3.6 3.0 4.8 2.7 3.8 2.1 2.2 1.0 1.2 0.5 0.7 0.0 0.7 0.0 0.2   9.4 6.9 9.1 8.2 7.6 2.5 2.1 1.7 1.4 2.1 1.7 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.3 0.0 0.2														0.2		
Jefferson	7.2	9.4	6.9	9.1	8.2	7.6	2.5	2.1	1.7	1.4	2.1	1.7	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.0	0.2
Johnson	5.7	4.0	10.0	5.8	5.8	6.9	2.0	1.7	4.2	1.2	1.4	1.1	0.8	0.1	0.8	0.0	0.3	0.1
Lafayette	6.2		0.8	6.2		9.6	4.5		4.6	2.1		1.2	0.4		0.0	0.0		0.0
Lawrence	5.8	4.0	5.2	2.1	3.0	3.7	1.6	1.6	1.3	1.4	1.1	0.9	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.2	0.2	0.0
Lee	2.4	5.3	4.7	3.0	8.1	2.6	0.8	1.8	1.5	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
Lincoln	4.9	6.7				4.7	2.2	2.6				0.9	0.8	0.5				0.0
Little River	8.4	7.1	6.9	6.7	6.7	8.4	3.0	2.5	1.6	1.3	1.0	1.9	0.9	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0
Logan	3.5	4.8	4.8	7.4	5.4	5.1	2.2	2.9	1.6	1.3	1.7	2.6	0.1	0.3	0.5	0.3	0.3	0.7
Lonoke	6.8	6.3	6.1	8.9	8.2	8.5	1.7	2.0	1.9	2.9	2.0	0.0	0.6	0.4	0.4	1.1	0.2	0.0
Madison	12.4	8.9	9.3	10.2	3.4	7.9	2.9	3.2	1.6	2.2	0.7	1.6	0.4	0.2	0.9	0.2	0.3	2.1
Marion	9.0	7.0	7.8	4.7	12.0	6.5	1.3	2.3	1.9	1.8	2.6	1.2	0.8	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Miller	10.1	10.0	11.0	8.3	7.2	6.7	2.4	3.2	1.9	2.1	0.6	2.2	0.5	0.2	0.0	0.7	0.1	0.7
Mississippi	6.4	7.7	5.9	6.5	4.9	3.3	2.5	2.5	1.5	1.5	0.7	1.8	0.1	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.2
Monroe	8.7	9.9	9.9	12.6	4.4	7.7	2.6	0.9	4.2	3.4	2.2	2.2	0.0	0.0	2.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
Montgomery	9.1	4.8	9.3	5.9	7.1	2.8	3.1	1.0	0.3	1.8	2.7	0.5	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0
Nevada	5.7	6.5	5.4	7.3	5.5	14.7	1.2	2.4	2.2	1.3	0.7	0.0	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
** Cells containing the	symbol inc	licate an area	a where data	a is not availa	able due to th	ne county no	t participatin	g or not havi	ng enough d	ata for that y	ear.							

	Pe	ercentag	ge of Yo	uth Who	Used N	Narijuan	a, Inhal	ants or	Hallucin	ogens I	During t	he Past	30 Days	s by Cou	inty, Co	nt.		
County			Marij	uana					Inha	lants					Halluci	nogens		
County	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
Newton	9.5	3.4	5.3	4.7	4.5	4.6	3.7	1.7	1.8	2.9	0.8	0.5	0.7	0.0	0.6	0.4	1.2	0.0
Ouachita	8.0	6.8	8.9	5.5	6.3	6.2	2.7	2.1	2.8	1.8	1.6	2.1	0.5	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1
Perry	4.1	2.8	4.6	7.0	2.6	5.4	1.6	0.6	1.7	1.9	1.3	0.0	0.9	0.0	0.6	0.3	0.9	0.0
Phillips	5.5	8.5	10.0	7.5	5.6	5.9	2.4	1.1	2.4	2.7	2.3	1.7	0.5	0.2	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.3
Pike	4.2	3.1	5.3	4.5	5.8	6.2	1.8	1.8	2.7	2.0	0.7	2.8	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0
Poinsett	8.0	6.2	6.1	4.5	6.7	7.2	1.4	1.6	1.5	0.7	1.2	1.5	0.4	0.2	0.1	0.3	0.5	0.7
Polk	8.0	7.3	5.8	5.8	7.8	6.7	2.5	1.9	1.8	1.6	1.4	1.9	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.4
Pope	7.0	5.5	6.1	5.8	6.3	5.3	2.6	1.6	2.1	1.2	1.4	1.7	0.6	0.3	0.6	0.3	0.7	0.7
Prairie	5.8	5.2 8.3 9.0 3.6 3.6 5.6 0.0 4.5 2.3 0.7 0.7 0.0 0.0 1.6 0.0 0.0   6 10.8 11.3 8.8 9.4 8.1 2.6 2.0 1.9 1.5 1.7 0.5 0.7 0.5 0.6 0.6 0.6														0.0		
Pulaski	10.6	10.8	11.3	8.8	9.4	8.1	2.6	2.0	1.9	1.5	1.5	1.7	0.5	0.7	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.3
Randolph	4.9	7.2	6.3	7.1	3.4	3.5	1.6	3.2	1.3	2.2	0.9	1.8	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.3	0.2	0.2
Saint Francis	4.1	5.0	2.0		10.2	7.0	3.7	1.6	0.0		2.1	0.6	0.5	0.4	0.0		0.0	0.6
Saline	8.8	3.4	7.4	6.4	6.1	2.6	1.8	1.5	1.2	1.2	1.5	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.3	0.5	0.5	0.2
Scott	3.2	8.0		5.7	6.2	5.5	2.4	1.8		2.1	1.7	1.3	0.6	1.2		0.3	0.7	0.0
Searcy	5.6	4.6	3.1	6.7	6.3	2.3	2.9	1.8	1.4	0.7	3.5	2.3	0.6	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.5
Sebastian	9.8	9.0	9.3	9.6	8.1	9.9	2.4	1.8	2.1	1.9	1.2	1.2	0.7	0.8	0.8	0.6	0.4	0.9
Sevier	7.9	6.6	5.1	8.7		4.6	2.8	1.8	1.1	1.2		3.3	0.0	0.8	0.1	0.1		0.0
Sharp	6.4	5.7	5.9	6.8	5.0	6.8	3.7	2.3	2.2	2.5	2.0	2.2	0.6	0.3	0.6	0.8	0.2	0.9
Stone	7.2	6.7	10.0	5.3	4.1	7.2	2.0	2.8	2.0	1.5	1.6	1.1	0.0	0.3	0.6	0.3	0.6	0.0
Union	8.2	8.1	6.5	8.0	10.4	9.4	2.9	1.9	2.7	1.6	2.0	1.5	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.2	0.5	0.4
Van Buren	6.5	7.5	6.0	2.1	6.5	3.2	2.6	1.8	2.9	1.4	2.1	0.6	0.4	0.5	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.2
Washington	8.4	7.9	7.0	6.8	6.3	7.3	2.2	1.9	1.4	1.2	0.8	1.0	1.0	0.5	0.7	0.6	0.6	0.5
White	6.4	6.5	7.2	5.6	5.9	6.4	1.9	2.3	1.6	1.2	1.2	1.7	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.5
Woodruff	6.8	2.6	11.1	5.7	4.7	9.1	2.6	1.3	1.2	2.8	0.0	2.4	0.5	0.0	1.2	0.0	0.8	0.0
Yell	4.9	2.5	5.3	3.4	2.9	5.2	2.9	1.3	1.5	1.4	1.5	0.7	0.2	0.0	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
** Cells containing the -	- symbol ind	icate an area	a where data	is not availa	ble due to th	ne county not	participating	g or not havii	ng enough da	ata for that y	ear.							

	Perce	ntage of	f Youth	Who Us	ed Coca	nine, Me	thamph	etamine	s or Syr	nthetic <b>N</b>	<i>l</i> larijuan	a Durin	g the Pa	st 30 Da	ays by C	County		
County			Coc	aine				M	ethampl	netamin	es			Sy	nthetic	Marijua	na	
County	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
Arkansas	0.8	1.3	0.2	0.3	0.0	0.7	0.3	0.3	0.5	0.3	0.0	0.2	0.8	0.3	1.8	0.5	0.3	0.7
Ashley	0.1	0.3	0.5	0.2	0.6	0.2	0.3	0.5	0.4	0.2	0.4	0.0	0.5	0.5	1.8	0.5	0.5	0.2
Baxter	0.3	0.4	0.6	0.3	0.2	0.4	0.6	0.5	0.3	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.6	0.8	0.8	0.6	0.4	0.5
Benton	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.6	0.5	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.3	1.6	0.8	0.8	0.6	0.7	0.6
Boone	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1	1.5	0.8	0.3	0.1	0.7	0.3
Bradley	1.0	0.5	0.0	0.6	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.5	0.0	0.6	0.0	0.3	1.0	1.0	0.0	0.3	0.3	1.0
Calhoun	0.0	0.0	1.9	0.0	1.1		0.0	0.0	0.9	0.0	1.1		0.0	1.0	1.9	0.0	0.0	
Carroll	0.6	0.2	0.7	0.4	0.7	0.4	0.6	0.1	0.1	0.4	1.0	0.4	1.6	0.7	0.8	0.7	0.6	1.1
Chicot	0.7	7 0.0 0.9 0.0														0.0		
Clark	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.7	0.4	0.2	1.2	0.0	0.4	1.8	1.1	0.0
Clay	0.5	1.0	0.8	0.2	0.2	0.0	1.1	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.0	4.2	1.8	1.4	2.2	0.7	0.7
Cleburne	0.1	0.6	0.3	0.6	0.4	0.8	0.4	0.4	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.2	1.0	1.5	1.0	0.1	1.1	0.4
Cleveland	0.0	0.9	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.2	1.8	0.6	1.0	0.0	0.0
Columbia	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	1.9	1.4	0.0	0.9	0.7
Conway	0.4	0.3	0.6	0.5	0.3	0.5	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.3	0.2	0.3	1.3	0.8	0.5	0.3	0.8	0.2
Craighead	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.4	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.9	0.6	0.7	0.5	0.4	0.4
Crawford	0.3	0.2	0.4	0.3	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.3	0.2	1.9	0.9	0.2	0.8	0.0	0.7
Crittenden			0.0	0.0					0.0	0.0					0.0	0.0		
Cross	0.6	0.6	0.2	0.6	0.4	0.5	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.4	0.8	1.1	0.5	0.3	0.6	0.7	0.5
Dallas	0.0	0.0	1.2				0.0	0.6	0.6				0.0	1.8	1.9			
Desha	0.2	0.5	0.2	0.0	0.4	1.6	0.6	0.5	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.8	1.0	0.9	0.8	0.0	1.2
Drew	0.4	0.3	0.7	0.5	0.2	0.2	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.2	0.0	0.7	2.6	0.7	0.8	0.4	0.0
Faulkner	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.3	2.0	0.9	0.5	0.3	0.4	0.2
Franklin	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.5	0.3	0.5	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.3	2.3	0.4	0.8	0.4	0.7	0.2
Fulton	0.6	0.3	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.6	0.0	0.6	0.0	1.2	0.8	0.3	0.5	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
** Cells containing the -	- symbol ind	icate an area	a where data	is not availa	ble due to th	e county not	participating	g or not havii	ng enough da	ata for that y	ear.							

P	ercenta	ge of Yo	outh Wh	o Used (	Cocaine	, Metha	mpheta	mines o	r Synthe	etic Mar	ijuana D	ouring th	e Past 3	30 Days	by Cou	nty, Cor	nt.	
County			Coc	aine				M	ethampl	netamin	es			Sy	nthetic	Marijua	na	
County	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
Garland	0.2	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.5	0.5	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1	1.6	0.9	0.5	1.2	0.9	0.9
Grant	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.9	0.4	0.2	0.4	0.3	0.7	0.3	0.0	0.1	1.6	1.1	0.6	0.3	0.6	0.5
Greene	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.5	0.2	0.5	2.2	1.2	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.6
Hempstead	0.5	0.0	1.4	0.2	0.5	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.8	0.6	0.8	0.3	0.5	0.6	0.8	0.6	0.5	1.0
Hot Spring	0.4	0.1	0.6	0.6	0.1	0.0	0.3	0.3	1.0	0.3	0.0	0.4	0.8	1.2	0.9	0.7	0.5	0.4
Howard	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.2	0.5	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.2	0.0	1.0
Independence	0.4	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.6	0.3	0.3	0.0	0.3	1.2	0.9	1.6	1.0	0.3	0.4
Izard	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.6	0.5	0.8	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.6	0.5	1.3	1.0	1.4	1.0
Jackson	1.2	0.5	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.5	0.2	2.0	0.0	0.7	0.0	0.2	1.5	2.0	0.9	1.0	0.5	0.5
Jefferson	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.6	0.4	0.3	0.6	0.8	0.0	1.7	2.1	2.0	0.9	0.4	0.3
Johnson	0.7	0.2	0.0	0.2	0.3	0.0	0.9	0.1	0.8	0.1	0.3	0.0	1.3	0.8	0.8	0.2	0.5	0.5
Lafayette	0.4		0.0	2.0		0.0	0.4		0.0	2.1		0.0	0.4		0.0	0.0		0.0
Lawrence	0.7	0.4	0.5	0.3	0.2	0.0	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.5	0.0	2.1	0.7	0.2	0.0	0.2	0.3
Lee	0.0	0.6	0.8	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.8	1.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Lincoln	0.8	1.0				0.0	0.0	0.5				0.4	2.2	2.3				0.4
Little River	1.2	0.4	0.0	0.3	0.5	0.4	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.5	0.5	0.4	2.1	1.8	1.4	0.8	0.2	0.4
Logan	0.1	0.6	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.3	0.4	0.0	0.3	0.3	0.6	0.2	0.7	0.6	1.1	0.3	0.6	0.5
Lonoke	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.4	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.5	0.2	0.4	0.0	0.7	1.1	0.5	0.3	1.1	0.5	0.0
Madison	0.8	0.8	0.4	0.2	0.0	1.9	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.0	0.8	3.1	1.3	1.1	2.4	0.4	1.1
Marion	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.5	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.3	1.5	0.5	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.0
Miller	0.4	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.7	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.0	2.1	4.5	2.3	0.8	0.8	0.5
Mississippi	0.3	0.3	0.1	0.4	0.2	0.1	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.8	1.0	0.6	0.5	0.3	0.3
Monroe	0.0	0.9	1.4	0.0	1.1	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.9	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
Montgomery	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.5	1.3	0.5
Nevada	0.8	0.3	0.7	0.3	0.4	2.1	0.4	0.7	1.1	0.6	0.4	0.0	0.4	0.3	0.7	1.9	0.0	1.1
** Cells containing the -	- symbol ind	icate an area	a where data	is not availa	ble due to th	e county not	participating	g or not havii	ng enough da	ata for that y	ear.							

P	ercenta	ge of Yo	outh Wh	o Used (	Cocaine	, Metha	mpheta	mines o	r Synthe	etic Mar	ijuana D	ouring th	e Past 3	30 Days	by Cou	nty, Cor	nt.	
County			Coc	aine				M	ethampl	netamin	es			Sy	nthetic	Marijua	na	
County	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
Newton	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.6	0.4	0.0	0.5	1.7	0.9	0.6	0.4	0.0	0.0
Ouachita	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.3	0.0	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.0	0.4	0.3	1.2	0.9	0.7	0.4	0.9	0.4
Perry	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.9	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.9	1.1	0.4	0.0
Phillips	0.5	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.5	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.3	0.8	0.2	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.3
Pike	0.2	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.3	0.0	0.2	0.7	0.0	1.4	1.6	1.1	0.0	0.7	1.4
Poinsett	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.5	0.5	0.2	0.4	0.8	0.0	0.3	0.3	1.2	0.7	0.7	0.0	0.2	0.7
Polk	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.6	0.0	0.3	0.5	0.6	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.1	2.4	2.5	1.0	0.6	0.5	0.6
Pope	0.4	0.3	0.5	0.3	0.3	0.5	0.5	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.5	2.2	0.9	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.5
Prairie	0.3	3 0.0 0.0 0.4 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.8 0.0 0.0 0.6 0.6 1.3 1.6 0.0 0.0   4 0.6 0.6 0.4 0.3 0.3 0.4 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.2 1.0 0.8 0.4 0.5 0.5 0.5														0.0		
Pulaski	0.4	0.6	0.6	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2	1.0	0.8	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.5	
Randolph	0.4	0.6	0.4	0.3	0.9	0.2	0.0	0.2	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.2	1.6	0.8	0.4	1.7	0.5	0.5
Saint Francis	0.2	0.6	0.0		0.0	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.9	0.8	0.0		0.9	0.3
Saline	0.9	0.3	0.5	0.4	0.1	0.2	0.6	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.1	1.6	0.7	0.4	0.3	0.5	0.1
Scott	0.9	0.9		0.0	0.0	0.3	0.6	0.3		0.3	0.4	0.3	0.6	1.5		0.6	1.4	1.0
Searcy	0.6	0.6	0.3	0.7	0.3	0.5	0.3	0.6	0.6	0.3	0.0	0.0	2.1	0.6	1.1	0.3	1.0	0.0
Sebastian	0.6	0.4	0.7	0.4	0.3	0.5	0.7	0.5	0.8	0.4	0.1	0.2	3.0	1.3	1.2	0.6	0.4	0.7
Sevier	0.0	0.6	0.3	0.8		0.6	0.6	0.4	0.3	0.3		0.0	2.3	0.8	0.7	0.6		0.0
Sharp	0.8	0.6	0.5	0.4	0.2	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.4	0.7	2.6	2.1	2.6	1.6	0.4	0.7
Stone	0.2	0.0	0.9	0.0	0.6	0.0	0.5	0.0	1.7	0.0	0.6	0.3	3.0	1.5	2.3	0.6	0.3	0.3
Union	0.3	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.3	0.6	0.4	0.2	0.5	0.1	0.2	1.6	1.2	1.0	1.1	1.2	0.2
Van Buren	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.2	0.2	0.7	0.8	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.0	3.0	1.8	1.1	0.5	0.6	0.2
Washington	0.4	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.2	0.3	0.3	1.2	1.0	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6
White	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.9	0.9	0.7	0.6	0.4	0.3
Woodruff	1.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.1	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.0	0.6
Yell	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.2	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.0
** Cells containing the	- symbol ind	licate an area	a where data	is not availa	ble due to th	e county not	t participating	g or not havii	ng enough da	ata for that y	ear.							

		Pe	ercentag	je of Yoi	uth Who	Used E	Bath Sal	ts, Ecsta	asy or H	eroin D	uring th	e Past 3	0 Days	by Cour	nty			
Country			Bath	Salts					Ecs	tasy					Her	roin		
County	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
Arkansas	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.8	1.0	0.9	0.0	1.8	0.5	0.3	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.0	0.2
Ashley	0.3	0.5	0.8	0.5	0.3	1.4	0.1	0.3	0.7	0.2	0.4	0.0	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.5	0.0
Baxter	0.7	0.5	0.7	0.9	0.6	0.2	0.5	0.4	0.6	0.4	0.0	0.1	0.9	0.2	0.6	0.3	0.1	0.4
Benton	0.6	0.4	0.4	0.6	0.4	0.7	0.6	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.4
Boone	0.3	0.6	0.3	0.1	0.8	0.7	0.4	0.0	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.4	0.2	0.3	0.3
Bradley	0.5	0.8	0.0	0.3	0.3	0.7	0.3	1.3	0.0	0.6	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.5	0.3
Calhoun	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	1.1		0.0	0.0	0.9	0.0	1.1		0.0	0.0	0.0	1.5	0.0	
Carroll	0.5	0.3	0.5	0.6	0.2	0.6	0.6	0.1	0.9	0.1	0.2	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.6	0.6	0.5
Chicot	0.4	0.5   0.0   0.3   1.0   1.6   0.4   0.5   0.9   0.0 <td>0.0</td>														0.0		
Clark	0.3	0.2	0.6	1.1	0.7	0.7	0.5	0.0	0.7	0.4	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.4	0.0
Clay	0.7	0.4	0.0	0.4	0.2	0.7	0.7	0.8	0.0	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.2	0.0	0.2	0.4	0.0
Cleburne	0.4	0.1	0.1	0.7	0.5	0.8	0.3	0.4	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.4
Cleveland	0.0	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Columbia	0.7	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Conway	0.3	0.5	0.5	0.6	0.3	0.3	0.7	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.3
Craighead	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.5	0.6	0.8	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2
Crawford	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.6	0.5	0.4	0.3	0.6	0.2	0.2	0.5	0.3	0.5	0.0	0.4
Crittenden			0.0	0.0					0.0	0.0					0.0	0.0		
Cross	0.8	0.2	0.7	1.2	1.0	1.1	0.7	0.8	0.2	0.6	0.3	0.6	0.6	0.3	0.0	0.6	0.3	0.5
Dallas	0.0	0.6	1.9				0.0	1.8	0.0				0.6	0.6	0.0			
Desha	0.5	1.5	0.7	0.8	0.7	2.0	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.4	0.8	0.6	1.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	1.2
Drew	0.1	0.2	0.5	0.3	0.7	0.7	0.3	0.5	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.2	0.4
Faulkner	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.6	0.8	0.8	0.6	0.3	0.4	0.2	0.4	0.2	0.4	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.2
Franklin	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.5	0.3	0.7	0.3	0.0	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2
Fulton	0.3	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.6	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
** Cells containing the	- symbol ind	icate an area	a where data	is not availa	ble due to th	e county not	participating	g or not havii	ng enough da	ata for that y	ear.							

Percentage of Youth Who Used Bath Salts, Ecstasy or Heroin During the Past 30 Days by County, Cont.																		
County			Bath	Salts					Ecs	tasy					Her	oin		
County	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
Garland	0.6	0.1	0.4	0.7	0.4	0.4	0.6	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.1	0.5	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.9
Grant	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.2	0.5	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.0	0.2	0.4	0.3	0.2	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.1	0.3
Greene	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.6	0.3	0.6	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.2
Hempstead	0.9	0.1	0.3	1.2	0.5	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
Hot Spring	0.5	0.4	0.5	0.3	0.5	0.9	0.6	0.3	0.6	0.3	0.0	0.2	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.1	0.0
Howard	0.4	0.5	0.0	0.5	0.0	1.4	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.4	0.4	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2
Independence	0.2	0.4	0.2	0.4	0.6	0.7	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.5	0.2	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.4
Izard	0.3	0.5	0.0	0.3	1.4	0.0	0.3	0.3	0.0	0.3	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.0
Jackson	0.8	1.6	0.0	0.2	0.3	0.5	0.2	0.9	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.2	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.2	0.0	0.2
Jefferson	0.6	0.3	0.6	0.4	0.4	0.9	0.8	0.4	0.5	0.4	0.2	0.3	0.6	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.4	0.1
Johnson	0.1	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.6	0.5	0.7	0.1	0.6	0.2	0.8	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.2	0.0
Lafayette	0.0		0.8	2.1		2.4	0.9		0.8	0.0		0.0	0.5		0.8	0.0		0.0
Lawrence	0.6	0.1	0.0	0.3	0.2	0.0	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.2	0.5	0.2	0.4
Lee	0.8	0.6	1.5	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Lincoln	0.5	0.3				0.4	0.3	1.0				0.0	0.3	0.0				0.0
Little River	0.9	0.4	0.2	0.8	0.0	0.8	1.2	0.4	0.0	0.5	0.5	0.0	0.9	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.0	0.8
Logan	0.1	0.0	1.1	0.3	0.6	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.0	0.3	0.2	0.0	0.3	0.8	0.0	0.3	0.2
Lonoke	0.4	0.2	0.3	1.1	0.5	0.0	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.3	0.0	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.4	0.0	0.0
Madison	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.7	1.1	0.2	0.2	0.2	1.2	0.0	0.3	0.2	0.6	0.5	0.2	0.0	0.3
Marion	0.5	0.5	0.3	0.0	0.7	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.3	0.3	1.0	0.3
Miller	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.2	0.5	0.9	0.6	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.6	0.5	0.4	0.1	0.2	0.4	0.3	0.4
Mississippi	0.5	0.3	0.3	0.5	0.3	1.0	0.7	0.4	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.0
Monroe	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.3	1.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Montgomery	1.5	1.0	0.3	0.9	1.4	0.5	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.5	0.0
Nevada	0.0	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.0	2.1	0.0	0.3	0.4	0.6	0.8	0.0	0.4	0.3	0.7	0.3	0.4	0.0
** Cells containing the -	- symbol ind	icate an area	a where data	is not availa	ble due to th	e county not	participating	g or not havii	ng enough da	ata for that y	ear.							

Percentage of Youth Who Used Bath Salts, Ecstasy or Heroin During the Past 30 Days by County, Cont.																				
County			Bath	Salts					Ecs	tasy			Heroin							
County	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017		
Newton	0.3	0.0	0.0	1.5	0.4	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.0		
Ouachita	0.5	0.6	0.8	0.4	0.5	0.8	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.7	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.3	0.0	0.2	0.1		
Perry	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.0		
Phillips	0.8	0.4	0.8	1.0	0.5	1.7	0.6	0.2	0.4	0.7	0.0	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.3		
Pike	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.7	0.0	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.2	0.7	0.0		
Poinsett	0.1	0.0	0.5	0.3	0.2	0.4	0.2	0.1	0.4	0.0	0.3	0.4	0.1	0.1	0.4	0.1	0.0	0.3		
Polk	0.5	0.5	1.0	0.6	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.0	0.1	0.4	0.0	0.3	0.2	0.5	0.1	0.3	0.1	0.3		
Pope	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.6	0.5	1.0	0.5	0.5	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.5	0.1	0.4	0.1	0.2	0.4		
Prairie	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.7	0.6	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.0		
Pulaski	0.6	0.5	0.8	0.6	0.9	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.2	0.3	0.2		
Randolph	0.0	0.2	0.7	0.5	0.5	0.9	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.7	0.0	0.5	0.2	0.0	0.4	0.3	0.0	0.6		
Saint Francis	0.9	0.2	0.0		0.0	0.6	0.0	0.4	0.0		0.0	0.3	0.2	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		
Saline	0.2	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.6	0.5	0.4	0.0	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.4	0.2	0.1		
Scott	0.6	1.2		0.3	0.3	0.3	0.0	0.3		0.0	0.7	0.0	0.6	0.9		0.3	0.0	0.0		
Searcy	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.9	0.0	1.2	0.0	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.3	0.4	0.0		
Sebastian	0.7	0.4	0.5	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.5	0.2	0.4	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.2	0.2		
Sevier	0.0	0.1	0.3	0.4		0.0	0.6	0.6	0.0	0.3		0.0	0.6	0.0	0.3	0.3		0.7		
Sharp	0.5	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.4	0.7	0.6	0.5	0.2	0.6	0.4	0.2	0.3	0.5	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.7		
Stone	0.5	0.3	0.0	0.9	0.6	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.0	0.6	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.3	0.3	0.0		
Union	0.6	0.4	0.4	0.5	1.0	0.9	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.4	0.8	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.6	0.4	0.5		
Van Buren	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.2	0.2	0.7	0.5	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.0	0.4	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.2		
Washington	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.6	0.8	0.6	0.6	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.2	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.1		
White	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.5	0.8	0.7	0.6	0.4	0.4	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.2	0.3		
Woodruff	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.7	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.0	0.5	0.0	1.2	0.7	0.0	0.6		
Yell	0.1	0.3	0.0	0.7	0.0	1.0	0.7	0.0	1.5	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0		
** Cells containing the -	- symbol ind	icate an area	a where data	is not availa	ble due to th	e county not	participating	g or not havii	ng enough da	ata for that y	ear.									

Perc	entag	e of Yo	outh V	Vho U	sed Pi	rescrij	otion I	Drugs,	Over	-The-C	Counte	er Drug	gs, Alo	сорор	s or A	ny Dr	ug Du	ring th	ne Pas	st 30 D	ays b	y Cou	nty		
County		Pre	scripti	ion Dr	ugs		(	Over-T	he-Co	ounter	Drug	S			Alco	pops			Any Drug						
County	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	
Arkansas	4.6	3.3	4.1	1.5	2.7	3.3	1.0	2.0	2.3	1.0	0.3	0.9	13.5	10.6	16.7	9.4	12.3	12.4	16.5	11.2	14.4	8.8	12.2	13.5	
Ashley	3.5	1.9	3.7	4.8	3.1	2.6	2.0	1.4	1.5	1.8	1.0	1.2	13.6	10.6	13.4	12.6	8.2	5.2	10.6	8.5	10.8	13.6	9.0	9.8	
Baxter	3.3	3.6	3.9	4.6	2.2	2.5	1.4	2.0	2.0	1.8	0.8	1.3	8.5	8.8	10.2	11.2	6.8	7.0	9.9	9.6	13.5	12.1	10.0	8.9	
Benton	2.8	3.4	2.8	3.6	3.5	3.0	1.3	1.6	1.4	1.1	1.1	1.3	7.9	8.0	7.4	6.8	7.2	6.8	10.1	10.7	10.0	10.1	10.6	10.3	
Boone	4.6	2.5	2.8	2.8	2.9	2.5	1.6	1.0	1.0	0.9	1.5	1.6	8.9	6.6	8.4	6.7	8.1	8.1	9.7	8.2	8.2	7.8	10.0	10.6	
Bradley	2.6	3.3	1.9	1.3	1.0	1.7	2.8	2.1	1.0	1.3	0.8	1.0	9.2	8.3	11.4	5.4	5.6	9.6	10.9	10.7	7.5	9.3	6.2	12.4	
Calhoun	7.1	0.0	1.9	1.5	4.5		2.0	0.0	1.0	1.5	1.1		12.2	17.3	11.4	2.9	6.7		10.1	8.8	13.0	2.9	12.1		
Carroll	4.3	2.4	4.6	2.8	3.5	4.2	1.8	1.0	1.3	2.0	1.1	1.7	10.8	9.6	10.0	9.3	7.8	10.2	12.6	11.0	12.7	9.5	11.5	11.0	
Chicot	3.3	6.7	5.7	0.8	1.0	3.2	1.5	1.8	3.8	1.1	0.5	0.0	7.0	11.8	9.5	3.7	5.4	1.6	11.1	17.3	9.2	8.4	7.0	7.8	
Clark	2.2	1.5	3.4	4.8	3.9	1.6	1.5	0.7	2.1	1.8	1.8	0.5	9.6	8.2	8.2	15.2	8.3	6.1	9.4	5.1	9.8	10.6	9.7	5.1	
Clay	5.1	1.9	3.7	2.4	3.0	2.8	2.7	1.4	1.6	1.6	1.1	1.5	10.8	10.1	9.4	9.3	7.9	5.7	14.4	10.8	11.8	9.5	7.7	10.8	
Cleburne	3.5	2.1	3.7	3.2	4.8	3.7	1.5	1.3	1.2	1.7	1.6	0.8	8.2	6.7	10.3	6.6	10.0	8.5	12.9	9.3	10.4	10.8	11.1	13.1	
Cleveland	2.4	1.8	3.1	2.7	1.4	1.9	1.2	0.0	0.0	1.7	0.7	0.6	11.8	8.9	7.5	9.5	6.5	9.2	4.7	4.4	5.6	6.1	5.7	8.8	
Columbia	3.5	3.4	2.1	0.0	1.4	3.7	2.1	1.4	1.4	1.1	0.5	0.0	11.2	11.1	8.5	10.5	2.8	6.6	11.1	9.6	7.6	3.1	3.7	6.4	
Conway	3.8	1.9	3.2	3.1	2.9	3.8	0.7	1.2	1.7	1.5	0.8	1.5	10.5	8.0	7.5	7.0	7.4	10.2	12.9	9.5	10.6	9.2	9.2	9.8	
Craighead	3.4	2.9	3.2	3.5	3.7	3.6	1.5	1.4	1.3	1.4	1.2	1.7	6.8	5.9	7.1	6.5	6.5	5.4	9.3	8.1	8.1	8.8	8.3	9.6	
Crawford	3.4	3.4	2.8	3.5	1.7	3.1	1.4	1.7	1.9	1.8	1.4	1.1	8.0	6.1	4.5	8.0	7.6	9.2	10.5	9.8	8.7	8.7	8.9	9.9	
Crittenden			1.6	0.0					0.8	1.0					7.3	5.9					12.5	7.8			
Cross	5.0	3.4	5.1	4.4	4.1	3.0	2.3	2.3	1.3	1.9	2.2	1.3	13.6	10.9	8.8	9.1	9.0	9.2	14.1	12.6	10.9	11.9	13.1	9.3	
Dallas	1.9	3.0	3.8				0.6	3.6	1.2				10.3	12.4	8.2				8.9	14.0	13.6				
Desha	1.6	5.4	3.0	1.7	3.9	4.0	1.1	2.5	1.5	0.4	0.7	1.2	12.0	11.9	10.6	5.5	7.2	8.1	11.0	13.3	12.5	7.1	11.7	11.9	
Drew	3.6	2.7	1.8	3.6	3.0	2.6	1.4	0.9	1.1	1.6	0.9	1.9	8.4	8.0	7.6	5.7	7.6	7.3	11.3	11.0	11.0	10.1	9.7	12.2	
Faulkner	3.9	4.1	3.5	3.1	3.1	2.4	1.5	1.8	1.3	1.0	1.0	0.9	8.8	8.7	7.6	7.0	6.4	7.1	11.1	11.8	10.7	10.5	10.1	8.5	
Franklin	2.0	1.8	0.8	2.3	2.7	3.6	0.8	0.7	0.0	0.6	0.7	0.9	10.3	10.0	10.5	7.7	7.6	8.7	9.6	6.8	8.3	7.0	7.8	10.2	
Fulton	1.8	1.4	2.5	3.4	3.7	0.8	2.6	0.8	1.4	1.1	0.0	0.8	9.4	8.9	10.0	6.7	8.2	8.3	10.9	5.9	6.6	7.8	8.0	7.5	
** Cells containing th	ne symb	ol indicate	e an area	where da	ata is not a	available	due to the	county n	ot particip	pating or r	not having	enough	data for th	nat year.											

Percentage of Youth Who Used Prescription Drugs, Over-The-Counter Drugs, Alcopops or Any Drug During the Past 30 Days by County, Cont.																									
Ocumbu		Pre	scripti	ion Dr	ugs		(	Over-T	he-Co	ounter	Drug	S			Alco	pops			Any Drug						
County	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	
Garland	4.5	4.2	4.5	3.9	2.6	3.7	1.8	1.6	1.5	1.5	1.3	1.4	9.1	8.7	9.5	8.3	6.2	7.3	12.3	12.6	11.7	11.5	10.5	12.8	
Grant	3.5	3.2	4.9	2.3	3.5	2.6	1.8	1.4	1.7	0.9	0.9	0.9	11.0	9.7	9.4	9.8	7.8	5.5	12.6	9.7	10.9	8.2	9.2	8.7	
Greene	3.7	2.9	2.8	3.7	3.1	3.2	1.5	1.5	0.8	1.5	1.7	1.1	8.0	5.3	9.3	6.7	5.8	6.9	9.8	7.7	8.0	9.7	7.9	8.9	
Hempstead	3.0	2.9	4.4	2.0	3.1	2.9	2.1	1.4	1.7	2.8	0.8	1.6	11.1	9.7	12.1	6.4	8.4	5.1	9.9	10.5	13.3	9.0	15.4	12.7	
Hot Spring	3.9	3.7	3.2	6.2	3.2	4.1	1.9	1.5	1.4	1.8	1.2	0.7	7.9	9.2	7.2	8.4	8.0	5.2	10.9	9.5	9.6	13.1	10.4	11.6	
Howard	1.8	3.0	1.4	1.6	2.0	2.6	0.4	1.4	1.4	0.7	0.0	1.0	6.6	11.1	5.9	7.3	6.8	10.3	8.3	7.6	8.4	4.8	4.7	10.1	
Independence	3.8	2.4	3.2	2.9	3.1	3.8	1.5	1.5	1.2	1.2	1.3	1.4	7.9	9.6	9.9	9.1	5.9	6.7	9.1	8.7	9.4	8.8	8.0	9.4	
Izard	2.7	1.9	2.9	3.4	5.0	1.0	1.4	1.6	1.6	1.3	2.5	1.5	9.5	10.1	11.1	9.3	13.3	10.2	11.9	9.1	8.4	7.7	13.8	7.1	
Jackson	3.2	3.9	2.1	2.2	1.8	2.6	3.0	2.5	0.5	1.5	1.3	0.7	8.7	9.5	9.4	7.7	3.6	7.7	12.5	12.3	10.5	8.0	6.7	7.6	
Jefferson	2.7	3.2	3.2	4.4	2.3	2.5	1.3	1.8	1.4	1.9	1.1	1.0	9.3	7.9	8.5	11.4	3.8	6.1	11.0	13.5	11.1	13.3	12.5	10.9	
Johnson	4.0	2.5	5.7	2.4	2.6	2.7	1.7	0.8	2.5	1.3	1.4	0.7	7.6	7.1	10.1	6.3	5.6	5.2	9.0	7.8	15.4	8.7	7.9	10.0	
Lafayette	2.7		1.5	0.0		2.4	1.3		2.3	0.0		0.0	12.1		3.9	10.4		4.8	11.9		8.3	8.2		14.5	
Lawrence	4.3	2.7	3.0	2.7	1.9	1.9	1.5	1.5	1.0	1.3	1.1	0.7	10.2	8.4	9.0	4.0	7.1	4.4	9.7	7.4	8.1	5.6	5.7	5.7	
Lee	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.9	5.9	3.1	0.0	3.0	0.0	3.2	8.3	8.5	3.0	11.0	2.6	
Lincoln	3.3	4.9				3.4	3.3	1.5				1.3	9.8	12.9				7.7	9.7	12.5				9.0	
Little River	4.5	4.3	3.4	5.4	2.0	4.2	2.1	1.6	1.4	2.8	1.3	3.4	16.4	13.5	10.8	11.6	8.5	8.0	13.4	12.2	10.5	11.5	9.2	13.8	
Logan	2.1	2.5	2.4	1.7	2.9	1.4	0.7	1.0	0.8	1.0	1.1	0.7	8.0	13.7	9.8	9.1	8.1	7.0	7.3	9.1	9.7	10.0	9.3	8.3	
Lonoke	3.4	3.3	3.9	2.2	4.6	2.9	1.0	1.4	1.3	0.7	1.8	2.1	9.5	7.8	8.2	9.0	8.1	7.9	10.3	10.3	9.7	13.7	11.2	10.4	
Madison	7.5	5.1	4.2	5.6	2.1	2.4	3.3	2.3	1.2	2.2	1.7	0.8	11.8	11.0	9.6	11.5	2.8	12.8	16.8	14.7	13.4	14.0	5.6	12.0	
Marion	5.4	4.7	4.5	2.1	2.3	1.5	2.6	1.8	2.2	0.3	2.0	0.0	12.5	8.6	8.6	5.9	11.3	7.7	11.8	12.2	12.2	7.3	14.8	8.5	
Miller	3.2	4.0	5.5	4.2	3.4	3.6	1.5	2.0	1.7	1.7	1.7	1.2	10.1	11.7	10.6	8.7	6.3	6.3	13.8	15.7	15.5	13.3	10.6	11.0	
Mississippi	3.2	3.7	4.1	3.5	2.8	1.9	1.8	2.2	2.0	1.7	1.0	1.1	7.0	8.8	7.3	5.7	4.8	3.5	10.9	12.2	10.0	10.5	7.7	7.8	
Monroe	2.6	8.1	4.2	3.5	3.4	3.3	1.3	1.8	1.4	3.5	1.1	0.0	11.9	12.6	4.2	3.5	5.6	3.3	13.1	14.4	12.5	14.9	11.0	14.3	
Montgomery	3.8	1.0	4.7	3.2	4.0	1.9	3.1	1.0	2.0	2.3	0.9	0.9	5.4	8.7	9.0	8.2	5.3	4.8	14.4	6.7	12.9	10.0	12.2	5.1	
Nevada	4.1	2.4	4.3	1.6	1.9	4.2	0.8	1.0	1.8	1.6	1.5	0.0	4.9	9.4	11.3	7.7	7.5	9.5	10.1	10.5	9.0	9.6	7.3	17.9	
** Cells containing th	ne symb	ol indicat	e an area	where da	ata is not	available	due to the	e county n	ot particip	pating or I	not having	r enough	data for th	nat year.											

Percent	Percentage of Youth Who Used Prescription Drugs, Over-The-Counter Drugs, Alcopops or Any Drug During the Past 30 Days by County, Cont.																								
Ocumbu		Pre	scripti	on Dr	ugs		(	Over-T	he-Co	ounter	Drug	S			Alco	pops			Any Drug						
County	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	
Newton	4.8	2.6	0.0	1.5	2.1	2.1	2.1	0.0	0.0	1.5	0.8	0.5	11.2	6.4	4.3	9.9	4.3	4.1	13.9	5.9	7.1	10.1	7.4	8.2	
Ouachita	4.4	2.8	3.6	2.5	1.8	3.3	2.1	2.5	1.2	1.7	0.9	2.0	10.0	8.8	9.4	6.7	6.2	8.4	13.6	11.1	12.8	9.7	9.5	11.7	
Perry	2.8	0.6	1.7	5.5	1.8	4.5	0.3	0.0	1.2	1.9	2.2	1.8	4.8	4.7	7.0	7.4	7.1	6.3	6.9	3.4	7.5	10.8	4.4	8.6	
Phillips	2.7	3.4	3.6	2.9	3.3	3.4	1.5	0.4	1.7	1.2	0.9	0.6	10.8	9.3	8.4	6.8	9.3	4.6	10.2	12.2	14.4	12.9	10.8	9.6	
Pike	2.0	1.8	2.7	2.7	2.2	0.7	2.0	0.3	1.1	1.4	0.7	0.7	10.5	7.1	7.5	8.5	5.2	9.6	7.4	6.9	9.4	8.0	10.1	6.8	
Poinsett	5.1	3.2	3.3	2.4	3.4	4.5	1.6	1.2	1.0	1.0	0.9	1.6	10.9	6.6	7.4	5.3	7.1	8.0	11.8	9.5	9.6	6.8	9.7	11.6	
Polk	3.5	3.1	1.8	2.9	1.8	3.3	2.1	2.0	0.6	1.6	1.4	2.0	9.6	10.3	7.8	6.9	6.4	8.4	11.1	10.9	9.5	8.8	10.7	10.6	
Pope	3.9	2.8	3.0	3.0	2.8	3.2	1.9	1.2	1.0	1.1	1.2	1.4	10.5	7.0	7.7	7.7	6.2	5.3	10.7	8.9	9.5	8.9	9.7	9.1	
Prairie	4.1	2.6	6.4	4.7	2.9	0.0	1.9	0.0	0.6	0.8	0.0	0.0	14.8	6.5	16.6	10.6	7.1	6.6	13.5	7.8	15.9	13.3	5.7	4.3	
Pulaski	3.4	3.4	3.1	2.9	2.6	3.1	1.4	1.5	1.1	1.4	1.0	1.3	7.8	7.7	7.6	5.7	5.9	4.6	14.9	14.7	14.9	12.6	12.9	11.8	
Randolph	1.6	2.3	3.1	3.5	2.5	2.4	0.7	1.5	0.9	1.0	0.9	2.6	9.9	7.2	10.2	12.3	6.5	8.6	6.8	10.3	9.0	11.2	6.6	8.0	
Saint Francis	1.8	1.4	2.0		2.1	0.9	0.7	0.6	2.0		0.6	0.3	8.2	6.1	6.0		4.5	3.4	10.6	7.9	6.0		13.1	8.6	
Saline	5.8	2.8	3.7	3.4	3.2	1.9	2.4	0.9	1.6	1.7	1.4	0.7	11.6	5.1	9.0	9.7	7.2	3.6	12.4	6.6	10.8	9.5	9.7	5.9	
Scott	1.5	2.4		1.8	2.4	2.6	1.2	2.7		1.2	1.0	0.7	6.6	6.7		6.0	6.6	4.6	8.5	12.0		9.3	9.9	7.8	
Searcy	3.5	1.8	2.6	3.4	1.0	1.4	1.5	0.0	1.4	2.0	1.7	0.9	10.3	6.8	7.1	10.1	8.4	4.5	11.1	6.7	7.1	9.0	10.7	6.3	
Sebastian	3.1	3.0	3.9	4.5	3.1	3.9	1.7	1.6	1.3	1.9	1.0	1.1	8.9	8.0	7.8	8.7	7.1	9.7	13.6	12.5	13.3	13.3	10.8	12.8	
Sevier	8.4	1.4	2.6	3.1		5.2	4.0	2.0	0.8	1.8		1.3	21.9	10.3	9.9	9.9		5.9	15.7	10.1	7.9	11.5		10.4	
Sharp	4.1	2.9	3.2	3.9	3.3	4.5	1.7	1.8	2.1	1.6	2.0	1.6	11.4	9.2	11.3	12.7	7.5	10.6	11.3	10.6	9.3	11.6	8.9	10.7	
Stone	2.3	1.8	4.0	2.1	1.1	2.6	2.0	0.8	1.7	1.5	0.6	2.0	9.1	10.8	9.2	8.1	6.9	10.3	9.9	9.9	12.2	9.4	6.3	10.2	
Union	4.0	3.2	3.9	2.8	5.2	4.3	2.3	1.5	2.0	1.4	1.8	1.6	10.6	10.5	11.8	10.5	10.8	8.9	13.4	12.0	11.5	11.1	15.7	14.2	
Van Buren	2.8	3.4	3.4	3.0	3.9	2.4	1.3	1.0	1.4	1.9	1.0	1.1	8.0	8.6	7.5	4.4	8.7	4.3	8.6	10.3	9.8	5.3	10.0	5.6	
Washington	3.5	3.1	3.0	2.8	2.2	2.4	1.5	1.3	1.1	0.9	0.8	1.2	7.8	6.7	6.1	6.2	5.7	5.4	12.2	11.1	10.2	9.7	8.6	9.9	
White	4.3	3.2	4.3	3.3	3.7	3.4	2.1	1.6	1.8	1.7	1.2	1.3	9.8	9.1	8.5	7.3	7.8	8.1	10.6	10.1	11.2	9.2	9.7	9.7	
Woodruff	2.6	3.3	4.3	2.1	2.3	5.4	0.5	0.7	1.2	2.1	1.5	2.4	6.8	12.4	12.9	19.6	10.0	13.3	9.5	7.2	12.8	11.2	7.6	11.4	
Yell	3.4	1.9	2.3	3.8	1.1	2.4	1.6	2.2	0.8	1.4	0.4	1.0	12.8	5.5	6.8	9.0	5.6	5.9	9.4	6.3	7.6	8.4	5.1	8.9	
** Cells containing th	ne symb	ol indicat	e an area	where da	ata is not a	available	due to the	e county n	ot particip	pating or r	not having	enough	data for th	nat year.											